# NORTHWEST ATLANTIC FISHERIES ORGANIZATION



# Scientific Council Studies Number 46

# Protocols of the EU bottom trawl survey of Flemish Cap



You are free to copy and distribute the work and to make derivative works under the following conditions:

Attribution. You must attribute the work in the manner specified by the author or licensor.

Noncommercial. You may not use this work for commercial purposes.

Any of these conditions can be waived if you get permission from the copyright holder. Your fair dealing and other rights are in no way affected by the above.

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.5/ca/legalcode.en

ISSN-0250-6432

# **Protocols of the EU bottom trawl survey of Flemish Cap**

Antonio Vázquez<sup>1</sup>, José Miguel Casas<sup>2</sup> and Ricardo Alpoim<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Instituto de Investigaciones Marinas, Muelle de Bouzas, Vigo, Spain, Email: avazquez@iim.csic.es

<sup>2</sup>Instituto Español de Oceanografía, Apdo. 1552, 36200 Vigo, Spain, Email: mikel.casas@vi.ieo.es

<sup>3</sup>Instituto Português do Mar e da Atmosfera. Av. Brasília, 1400 Lisboa, Portugal, Email: ralpoim@ipma.pt

Vázquez, A., J. Miguel Casas, R. Alpoim. 2014. Protocols of the EU bottom trawl survey of Flemish Cap. *Scientific Council Studies*, **46**: 1–42. doi:10.2960/S.v46.m1

## Abstract

Methods and procedures used in the EU bottom trawl survey of Flemish Cap (NAFO Division 3M) are described in detail. The objectives of publicizing these protocols are to achieve a better understanding of its results, and to contribute to the routines being unaltered.

Keywords: bottom trawl, survey, Flemish Cap

## Introduction

The following protocols have been routinely followed since the beginning of the survey series in 1988. They describe the working routines used in the past as well the procedures proposed to be followed in the future. However, there were some changes along the years, which include:

- Hauls schedule was of 24 hours during the first survey in 1988, and only then; it was from 6:00 to 22:00 local time in the remaining surveys.
- The former RV *Cornide de Saavedra* was substituted by RV *Vizconde de Eza* in 2003.
- Coverage area also changed in 2003, increasing its limits from 730 m isobaths to 1100 m in 2003 and 1460 m since 2004.

- Non-commercial invertebrates, sponges and corals among them, are recorded since 2007.
- Division 3M is divided into 39 sampling strata up to 1460 m depth, but only 32 of them are considered. Strata 35–39 in the Beothuk bank and strata 26 and 27 located in the SE Flemish Cap were not visited since 2007 as it was almost impossible to carry out standard hauls. Results from 2004 onwards refer to the area of those 32 strata.
- Sampling of target species, including cod, is done independently by sex, even it isn't required by the NAFO criterion.

# Contents<sup>1</sup>

Introduction	1
Objective	3
Flemish Cap	3
Former research	3
Fisheries	4
Work already done	4
Importance of the survey	5
Survey design	6
Trawl station methodology	6
Criteria for rejecting a haul:	6
Vessels	7
Personnel	7
Standardization	7
Survey gear	7
Dates	8
Data collection	8
Catch record	8
Length sampling	8
Biological sampling	9
Redfish (Sebastes spp.) sampling	10
Shrimp (Pandalus borealis) sampling	11
Taxonomy	11
Physical oceanography	11
Sampling benthonic invertebrates	11
Conservation of specimens	11
Recording data	12
Feeding sampling	12
Data analysis	13
Results	13
Calculations	13
Missing data	13
Validation of Survey Results	14
References	14
ANNEVES	21
ALVINEAED	41
Data 10ms	22
Species working codes	27
Snrinp sampling ( <i>Panaalus borealis</i> )	33
Publications 2002–2012	42

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The covered items follow the scheme proposed by NAFO (1975a) and used in the Manual of Groundfish Surveys in the Northwest Atlantic (Doubleday 1981).

### Objective

The objective of the survey is to know the stock status of target species: their abundance, biomass and demographic structure, and the oceanographic conditions on the bank. This objective implies the following actions:

- A random stratified survey of the Flemish Cap area until 1460 m (800 fathoms) depth, making 181<sup>2</sup> bottom trawl hauls with a Lofoten fishing gear, at daytime: between 6:00 and 22:00, and 30 minutes effective fishing time.
- Recording catches of fish species and invertebrates.
- Detailed biological sampling in each haul, including length, sex, weight, otolith and gonad's sampling for each one of the target species. Only length and length-weight sampling will be done for all the other species.
- Feeding analysis of most abundant species, to be done every two years.
- Sampling of invertebrates, with special attention to corals and sponges, to allow identification of potentially vulnerable marine ecosystems.
- Collecting environmental data through a reticule of CTD stations separated 15 nautical miles both in latitude and longitude.

## Target species:

- cod (Gadus morhua)
- redfish (*Sebastes marinus, S. mentella* and *S. fasciatus*)
- American plaice (*Hippoglossoides platessoides*)
- Greenland halibut (*Reinhardtius hippoglossoides*)
- roughhead grenadier (Macrourus berglax)
- shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*)

## **Flemish Cap**

Flemish Cap is an isolated bank on the American continental shelf, with an approximated surface of 17 000 squared nautical miles within the 1460 m (800 fathoms) isobath and 10 555 within the 730 m (400 fathoms) one (Fig. 1). Flemish Pass, an area deeper than 1000 m, separates it from the Newfoundland Grand Bank and gives it its isolated character by limiting the migration of many

species, particularly those occurring in the shallowest zones. The position of the bank was first determined by the Marquis de Chabert in 1750, who indicated that the bank already appeared in Dutch charts, but in a wrong position (Chabert 1753).

The general circulation in the vicinity of the Flemish Cap consists of the offshore branch of the Labrador Current which flows through the Flemish Pass on the Grand Bank side and a jet that flows eastward north of the Cap and then southward east of the Cap. To the South, the Gulf Stream flows to the northeast to form the North Atlantic Current and influences waters around the southern areas of the Cap. In the absence of strong wind forcing, the circulation over the central Flemish Cap is dominated by a topographically induced anti-cyclonic (clockwise) gyre (Akenhead 1986, Stein 1996).

Templeman (1976) made the first full description of the Flemish Cap Bank and he made reference to the smaller cod caught in that bank by American fishermen in the late nineteenth century compared with the sizes of cod fished in the Grand Banks.

## **Former research**

Regular fluctuations on the magnitude of the cod and redfish year-classes have been recorded in Flemish Cap. This was the reason to develop an international research project on the factors affecting the production of good or bad year-classes (Lilly 1986). On these studies was concluded that the predominance of the anticyclonic flow around Flemish Cap was the main factor for the larval survival.

Lilly (1986) made a review of the objectives and achievements of this project developed between 1978 and 1982. The Flemish Cap Bank was chosen to study the real processes and mechanisms included in fish production for the following reasons:

- Fluctuations in year-class strength of both cod and redfish were regularly observed in this area.
- The stocks of cod are discrete and confirmed as separate from those of the Grand Bank.
- The circulation patterns are likely quite amenable to study.
- The area is reasonably restricted in size.
- The area is one which, because of its major oceanographic features, has been of interest to physical oceanographers for many years and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The cited 181 hauls is not a proper quantitative objective, but it corresponds to the most appropriate number to reach the main objective of the survey, which is an adequate sampling of the whole bank. The survey is planned based on this Fig. and, because of that, it is seldom achieved and never exceeded.

there exist a useful historical data base of fish production and physical environmental data.

Thus, there were three important issues to consider for the prediction of the survival in the different year-classes:

- The effect of water circulation patterns and the abundance and size composition of the planktonic food supply on the retention and the survival of fish larvae on Flemish Cap.
- The effect of intraspecific and interspecific predation on the survival of juvenile fish.
- Improved assessment of the size of the spawning stocks<sup>3</sup>.

The survey season was chosen in accordance to the initial objectives, and cod was the most important. Since cod spawning occurs mainly in March, sampling for larval survival studies had been proposed to be held between February and June, for survival of juveniles in March and September and for estimating the abundance of spawning stock population in March. For studying the way in which the eggs and larvae are swept by the currents, the period from March to May had been also proposed.

Cod annual recruitments were very weak along the years when the project was developed, hindering to complete the planned studies. One of the most important conclusions was that the 1981 strong year-class was originated from a very small spawning stock. This conclusion reinforced the general knowledge that the oceanographic conditions and circulation patterns on the bank at the time of spawning were the determining factors in the concentration or dispersion of the larvae to regions outside the bank causing the fluctuations in the size of cod year-classes.

One of the most important concerns about the fishing resources in Flemish Cap, not yet fully resolved, was the isolation of the cod in the Flemish Cap bank. According to de Cardenas (1994), results of two tagging surveys conducted by the European Union in 1991 and 1992 do not allow to reject the hypothesis that there is some interconnection with neighbouring populations. According to this author, these tagging results are similar to those obtained in the Canadian surveys of 1962 and 1964, and they suggest that there is some rate of emigration of individuals who have reached maturity (probably aged five or six). The occurrence of such migration, when it is not taken into account in the assessment, could produce significant errors in the estimation of the parameters and consequent mistakes in the advice of management measures (mesh sizes, reference fishing mortalities, etc...).

## Fisheries

Flemish Cap is entirely outside any 200-mile EEZ, and the exploitation of its resources is regulated by the NAFO.

Inaccuracy in catch statistics was a constant problem in the history of fishing in Flemish Cap. It occurred as a result of, first, the overfishing of national quotas by NAFO member countries and the subsequent unreported catches and, second, the presence of uncontrolled fleet belonging to non-member countries, whose declaration of catches, when they were made, did not offer guarantees. In this scenario, the results of the research surveys in Flemish Cap were the most reliable information source on the state of stocks. Russia also conducted annual surveys during the period 1977–1993, but there was a great disparity between the results of these surveys when both coincided in the same year. A Canadian survey covered the period 1977–1985.

#### Work already done

This survey series was initiated by the EU in 1988, and the following surveys were done until 2012:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The same issues, as well as the interest of the Spanish and Portuguese fishing fleet in those fisheries, were the reasons to initiate the EU survey series.

Year	Vessel	Valid hauls	Dates of hauls
1988	Cornide de Saavedra	115	8/7 - 22/7
1989	Cryos	116	12/7 - 1/8
1990	Ignat Pavlyuchenkov	113	18/7 - 6/8
1991	Cornide de Saavedra	117	24/6 - 11/7
1992	Cornide de Saavedra	117	29/6 - 18/7
1993	Cornide de Saavedra	101	23/6 - 8/7
1994	Cornide de Saavedra	116	6/7 - 23/7
1995	Cornide de Saavedra	121	2/7 - 19/7
1996	Cornide de Saavedra	117	28/6 - 14/7
1997	Cornide de Saavedra	117	16/7 - 1/8
1998	Cornide de Saavedra	119	17/7 - 2/8
1999	Cornide de Saavedra	117*	2/7 - 20/7
2000	Cornide de Saavedra	120*	10/7 - 28/7
2001	Cornide de Saavedra	120*	3/7 - 20/7
2002	Cornide de Saavedra	120	30/6 - 17/7
2003	Vizconde de Eza Cornide de Saavedra	177 (114)** 62***	2/6 – 2/7 7/6 – 17/6
2004	Vizconde de Eza Cornide de Saavedra	177 (124)** 61***	25/6 - 2/8 23/7 - 2/8
2005	Vizconde de Eza	176 (117)**	2/7 - 21/8
2006	Vizconde de Eza	179 (115)**	1/7 - 26/7
2007	Vizconde de Eza	174 (117)**	23/6 - 19/7
2008	Vizconde de Eza	167 (111)**	21/6 - 19/7
2009	Vizconde de Eza	178 (119)**	23/6 - 20/7
2010	Vizconde de Eza	153 (97)**	21/6 - 21/7
2011	Vizconde de Eza	127 (77)**	27/6 - 9/8
2012	Vizconde de Eza	174(118)**	26/6 - 24/7

\*) 20 additional hauls were done every year to test the Campelen gear.

\*\*) hauls in the original zone (less than 730 m depth) are in brackets.

\*\*\*) parallel hauls for calibration.

From 1988 to 2002, the survey was carried out on board RV *Cornide de Saavedra*, covering the 19 strata defined up to 730 m (400 fathoms) depth (Fig. 2); its primary objective was to assess the populations of cod and American plaice. In 2003, taking advantage of new fishing capacities of RV *Vizconde de Eza*, the surveyed area was increased to prospect 31 strata up to 1100 m (600 fathoms) depth, to cover the wider area of the Greenland halibut distribution, which was the commercial species of greatest interest to the EU fleet at that time. In 2004, the range of depths was extended up to 1460 m (800 fathoms) with 34 strata and it was reduced to 32 from 2008 onwards.

The results of the Surveys are presented systematically in the NAFO Scientific Council and they are published as research papers in the SCR Doc. series (Scientific Council Research Documents) (Vázquez 1989, Casas y González 2011, Vázquez 2012).

Calibration of RV *Cornide de Saavedra* versus RV *Vizconde de Eza* catch rates was made from 111 parallel hauls of the two vessels in the 2003 and 2004 surveys (González-Troncoso and Casas 2005); it allowed transforming the *Cornide de Saavedra* catch data in their equivalence in *Vizconde de Eza* scale, to produce homogeneous abundance indices series.

#### Importance of the survey

The main interest of the fisheries research in Flemish Cap is to know adequately the evolution of fishing grounds where cod, redfish and American plaice have traditionally been fished and, more recently, Greenland halibut, grenadiers and shrimp. Spain and Portugal are the EU countries most directly concerned in those fisheries.

Survey results provide independent information about the stock status of commercial fisheries, which is in some cases the only available information. The results are provided regularly to the NAFO Scientific Council, and they are also the base for many later studies.

These results are used by the NAFO Scientific Council to make an assessment on the state of the resources, which is the key tool for the NAFO Fisheries Commission to take the appropriate management measures. Results are used in the following stocks:

cod (Div. 3M) – results are the only available fishery independent reference

American plaice (Div. 3M) – "

redfish (Div. 3M) -

Northern shrimp (Div. 3M) - ""

Greenland halibut (SA2 and Div, 3KLMNO) – together with two Canadian surveys

roughhead grenadier (SA2+3) – together with two Canadian surveys

Furthermore, results have contributed to the preliminary identification of vulnerable marine ecosystems.

The Annex contains a list of publications supported by the survey series since 2002, when it was co-funded by the EU Data Collection Framework. The annex also lists several PhD theses where the information obtained from the survey series since its inception in 1988 was essential.

## Survey design

The survey has a stratified random design, covering the area with 181 bottom trawl fishing stations, following the methodological specifications of NAFO (Doubleday 1981).

The adopted stratification of Flemish Cap is that described by Doubleday (1981), which considers 19 strata up to 730 m (400 fathoms) depth. Stratification was later extended by the Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO) of Canada (Bishop 1994) to cover up to 1460 m (800 fathoms) depth, considering 39 strata (Fig. 2). Two strata of this bank (numbers 26 and 27) have fishing grounds unsuitable for trawling due to the huge abundance of sponges, and the same goes for the five strata belonging to the Beothuk Knoll (numbers 35–39) due, presumably, to the massive presence of corals. All these strata have been removed from the survey, resulting in the current 32 strata surveyed (Table 1). Each stratum is divided in rectangles of equal area. *i.e.* the number of rectangles is proportional to the stratum area (Fig. 2b, inlet). A total of 478 rectangles are therefore considered in the current survey design (Table 1). Each rectangle is in turn divided in 10 fishing units of equal area, leading to 4780 possible bottom trawl fishing hauls (Fig. 2b).

#### Trawl station methodology

The selection of the hauls is set with the following conditions:

- The number of hauls in each stratum (Table 2) is fixed, distributed proportionately to the number of units, and ensuring at least two hauls by stratum.
- Hauls (fishing units) are randomly chosen within each stratum with the following constrains: only one haul can be selected within a given rectangle, and two hauls cannot coincide in adjacent fishing units.
- Information from previous surveys and commercial fishing is used to eliminate hauls in unsuitable fishing grounds.
- The allocation of the hauls into each fishing unit could be made more accurate using the bathymetry of the area obtained by the NEREIDA project, reducing the risks of snagging in the bottom.

In accordance with Table 2, 181 hauls will be selected at random, 120 of them in less than 730 m depth.

The criterion used to change the position of a previously selected random haul has always been the information from the commercial fishing and from previous surveys about the suitability of the bottom trawling. This information is contrasted with the more detailed bathymetric charts of the bottom that have been developed in the project NEREIDA.

## Criteria for rejecting a haul:

- Snag of the trawling gear in the bottom.
- Damages in the cod-end or severe damages in large sections of the wings or belly.
- Less than 20 minutes of effective trawling time.
- Gear malfunction, *i.e.*, when it is considered that gear contact with bottom was not correct, or the geometry of the gear was not maintained properly through the whole trawl.

Rejected fishing hauls means that, because standard conditions were not achieved, such station cannot be used to quantify the biomass and abundance neither to determine the structure of the population. However, the specimens caught in any non-valid hauls can be used to make all kind of biological sampling.

## Vessels

The survey is carried out on board RV *Vizconde de Eza*, 1400 GRT, 1 800 kW.

Former RV *Cornide de Saavedra* was 1200 GRT and 1680 kW.

#### **Fishing gears**

The trawling gear used is the Lofoten (NAFO 1990) (Fig. 3), built and rigged as specified in Table 3. This gear is similar to that used by the commercial fleet engaged in American place fishing on Flemish Cap in the years when the survey started. It is characterized by being well adapted to the frequent hard bottoms of the bank, and it showed good performances throughout the years.

The cod-end mesh size is 35 mm, which is adequate for fishing juveniles of most important commercial species, particularly for cod at age one.

The cod-end mesh size (35 mm) is inefficient to retain juvenile shrimps (ages 1 and 2), and delays in one or two years the estimation of each new year-class entering the fishery. After several attempts in different surveys, an auxiliary net bag of 10 mm mesh size is used since 2000 to retain the youngest individuals of shrimp escaping throw an small square of the cod-end. The base of the bag is a diamond of 36 cm in each side, and it is attached to the cod-end in a central-dorsal position, 26 cm from the seam end, just in a position where it is believed that the escape is maximum (Aschan and Sunnanå 1997).

#### Personnel

Catch sampling (2 teams of 5 persons each)	10
Taxonomy	1
Identification of redfish species	1
CTD and data processing	1
Survey leader	1

TOTAL

#### 14 scientists

Every two years two persons will carry out feeding studies with exclusive dedication. In those years, the identification of redfish species and taxonomy tasks will be carried out by the teams in charge of catch sampling.

## Standardization

Daily fishing period: 6.00 to 22.00

The target trawling speed is 3.5 knots. It is not possible to maintain the speed when trawling at deeper grounds due to insufficient weight of the trawl doors used. While this problem is not solved, deeper sets are made at the highest speed possible, which is always around 3.00 knots.

The 30 minutes trawling time is counted from the moment the gear, after its first contact with the bottom, acquires its characteristic mouth opening, until the beginning of the haul in. Its control is done, whenever possible, by using net sounders (ITI or SCANMAR), which enables accurate measures of those times. The start of the haul in is kept as the haul's end to be consistent with previous criterion used (with the exception of 2005).

In the surveys previous to 2003, when net sounder was not available, the 30 minutes were counted according to the expression:

#### t(min) = 32 + depth(m) / 100

where *t* was the time between the end of the cable veering and the start of haul in. This criterion was established in the 1992 survey, in which it was made a systematic control of the gear behaviour with the SCANMAR sounder. The interpretation that was then made for counting the trawling time per haul was "as long as the art is in contact with the bottom before the start of the haul in" (Vázquez 1993).

Whenever possible during the haul in, the time when the gear loses its characteristic shape and it moves off the bottom is also recorded, which reveals the effective trawling time and, in his day, apply corrections to previous surveys.

As will be indicated (VI-Results, Estimates), the catch data are transformed to catch per trawled mile for processing, provided that fishing has lasted at least 20 and no more than 40 minutes.

The order of execution of selected stations is determined during the survey, setting each day the hauls to be held the next day, trying to minimize the routes between stations. A detailed plan of the order of the stations is impractical because it is necessary to make changes due to unforeseen malfunction of the gear (*e.g.* obstruction, breakages...).

The distance travelled in each haul is the geographical distance between the GPS positions of the start of the haul (when the gear comes into contact with the bottom and it acquires its characteristic shape) and the start of the haul in (when cable starts to be recovered).

The length of the wire released is determined by the following relationship (meters) and the results are in Table 4.

## Cable length = 2 \* depth + 200

The dimensions of each gear in use are verified using the data forms in Fig. 3, by reporting in each cell the observed value versus the specified one. Groundrope and bobbins dimensions are detailed in Fig. 4.

## Dates

The survey starts in the second half of June, and needs 30 fishing days.

## **Data collection**

A haul's data form (see annex) is filled in each set. It will contain information gathered in the bridge during and immediately after finishing the haul, as well as catch information by species. This form is available in the sampling area before sorting the catch starts.

The personnel in charge of analysing the catch is divided into two shifts of five people each, with the following schedule: Their occurrence in past surveys is listed by Vázquez *et al.* (2013).

Species that are not possible classify immediately are labelled with the number of the station and with a letter by alphabetical order (*e.g.* #xx species A, #xx species B, ...), weighed and recorded in the summary report with the sequence described. Unidentified species are then set aside and sent to the dry laboratory for proper identification. The identification is made by a scientist appointed at the beginning of the survey (and always the same scientist) and regardless whether it is able to identify the samples, the specimens are frozen and stored in the freezer.

Sometimes redfish catch is excessive to sort all individuals (the Survey Leader or in his place the Team Leader determines when a catch is excessive). In these hauls, all species other than the redfish are separated and processed as usual. A number of boxes (number defined by the Team Leader) of redfish are separated for sampling, these boxes are chosen randomly without separating the three species and juveniles (see redfish sampling section below). The rest of the redfish catch is not weighed, but put in boxes; these boxes are counted and thrown overboard. The person in charge of recording catches places near the discard strip, counts and records the number of discarded boxes.



The teams exchange shifts in the middle of the survey (90 hauls).

The designated *Team Leader* is responsible for distributing the work for the rest of the team and for verifying that all data forms are covered adequately by reviewing them at the end of the haul analysis. It is also responsible for report to the other *Team Leader* of the work that remains when the haul analysis is not completed during the shift.

#### **Catch record**

One member of each team, and always the same, is responsible for logging the catches in the haul's data form (see annex). All fish species, as well the commercial cephalopods and crustacean are recorded. Non-commercial invertebrates, sponges and corals among them, are recorded since 2007 in a specific data form (see below). All species should be identified. Species already found are listed in the Annex, as well their working codes. He is also responsible for controlling that only redfish is discarded and the rest of the species are separated and transported to the sorting zone.

Later, total catches of redfish species are calculated by extrapolation. In this case it is necessary to state, on the reverse of the haul summary report, the number of discarded boxes and the number of boxes with redfish that were sorted.

## Length sampling

The length sampling follows the sampling NAFO recommendations on length ranges and sex discrimination (NAFO 1999)<sup>4</sup> (Table 5). Therefore, the length measurements of fish are made on the total length and to the centimetre below, except the redfish (*Sebastes* spp.) which is measured to the fork length and also to the centimetre below. The standard for the Grenadiers (preanal fin length<sup>5</sup> to the half centimetre below) extends to: *Macrourus* spp., *Coryphaenoides* spp., *Nezumia* spp. and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This recommendation was approved by the NAFO Scientific Council in 1974 (NAFO 1974, NAFO 1975b). The standard for the grenadiers was afterwards amended (NAFO 1980, p. 94, NAFO 1984, p. 75).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Pre-anal fin length is considered the distance between the end of the snout and the first ray of the anal fin.

*Trachyrhynchus* spp. Mantle length to the half centimetre below is measured for cephalopods, and carapace length (distance from the posterior edge of the optic pit to the postero-dorsal edge of the carapace) to the half millimetre below for shrimp.

Following the same recommendations, length sampling is performed independently by sex in all flatfish, redfish, cod and grenadier (*Macrourus berglax*). In these species, the selected sample is classified by sex before measuring lengths in order to reduce the causes of error.

Table 5 shows how the sample data is submitted for consideration by the NAFO Scientific Council. This does not exclude the possibility of the data being gathered in greater detail; therefore, for example, fish whose frequencies are grouped into 2 or 3 centimetres are always measured to the centimetre below for convenience. Likewise, although the NAFO Scientific Council considers that the separation of the sexes in cod sampling is not required, this species is sampled independently by sex since 2010.

As a rule, all individuals in the catch are measured. Exception is made when the capture of some species is very abundant and then a subsample containing, as reference at least 200 individuals, is measured. This is a situation that occurs frequently with redfish; in these cases the number of boxes to be measured is determined by the person in charge of this species and the details of the capture and the selection of the sample are clearly reflected in the back of the haul's data form.

When the capture of a species is very large and there is a large number of small fish and few large fish, it is not sometimes reasonable to measure all of them; in these cases the sampling is done independently for each size groups and details are noted on the reverse of the haul summary report. This procedure must be exceptional

The sample weight is always recorded in the haul summary report, whether or not equal to the capture.

The lengths shall be recorded in the appropriate data form for this purpose (see Annex). After the measurement, it is clearly indicated the beginning and end of the size range and measures are counted and registered the total number of individuals measured by size. For species with sex discrimination, frequencies are recorded in separate columns with indication of the sex on headings. Measurements of species which are measured in halfcentimetre below are recorded in a specific data form.

The length frequency of each species is recorded in separated data forms. Only in the case of the species in

which the numbers of individuals is very low, the length frequency of several species are recorded in the same form, always clearly stating to what species refers each measurement. Length measurements are made by at least two persons, so that always a person measure and other records.

## **Biological sampling**

In each haul a full biological sampling is done for all or at least two species of the following ones: cod, American plaice, *Sebastes marinus*, *S. mentella*, *S. fasciatus*, juvenile *Sebastes*, Greenland halibut and roughhead grenadier. This biological sampling includes:

- length
- round weight
- sex
- gonad collection (if applicable)
- gutted weight (gonad free)
- otolith collection

The target sample size within each haul and for each species is 50 fish, except for juvenile *Sebastes* which is only 20. In the species where the size sampling is done by sex, the sample is separated by sex before measurement; the sample goal is measuring 25 fish of each sex. The purpose of this sampling is to get at least 10 fish of each cm or  $\frac{1}{2}$  cm length (Table 5) and sex (male and female, or indeterminate) at the end of the survey.

Only female gonads (ovaries) are collected. The number of ovaries collected by species and size range is as follow:

Species	Minimum length	Gonads per
species	(cm)	cm
Cod	25	6
American plaice	25	10
Greenland halibut	40	10
Roughhead grenadier	20	6 (1/2 cm)
Redfish - marinus	20	10
Redfish - mentella	18	10
Redfish - fasciatus	15	10

The total number of gonads collected is always smaller than otoliths, therefore in the biological sampling form it is requested to annotate in the column "G" when a gonad is collected. This allows for precise control of the fish sampled by length and the number of ovaries collected. Control sheets for each species are available at the beginning of each day based on data collected from previous hauls. It is requested that all fish which ovaries are collected also the otolith are taken. Gonads are stored in perforated (to allow formalin to penetrate) plastic bags with the corresponding label where it is written the name of the species, number of the haul, the number of the observation and the fish size. Redfish is labelled with its scientific name (*S. marinus, S. mentella, S. fasciatus*). When sampling work of a haul is finished, and not later, bags are closed and stored in their corresponding container. The fixative is phosphate based neutral buffered 4% formaldehyde (10% formalin), normally produced in 20 one batches: 18 one of water, 2 one of 35-40% high grade formaldehyde, 163.8 g of sodium phosphate dibasic dihydrate and 81.4 g of sodium phosphate monobasic monohydrate.

Biological sampling is stratified by fish length and sex, and continues till having completed the required number in each length class.

A simpler sampling is done to other species which include measuring only length and weight, to calculate their relationship, which is routinely used to estimate the sampling weight based on length composition and confirm the correctness of the catch records.

The requirement of sampling shrimp (measuring lengths of several stages of maturity) makes its sampling sometimes very time consuming to be done on each haul. If this happens, a random sample of approximately 1–2 kg is frozen to be worked out later. Two people from each team are responsible for working the samples (fresh or frozen). It is intended that the samples of shrimp caught are measured and recorded in the ARGO program before the end of the survey. Once on land, it is necessary to make a length and weight sample, so in addition to samples for length measurements, specific samples are frozen for the study of the length-weight relationship in the laboratory.

Likewise, the shrimp caught in the small net bag with 10 mm mesh, placed in the dorsal-central part of the cod-end, is collected, the catch recorded independently (*Pandalus* bag, *Sebastes* bag) and individuals measured by maturity stage. If the whole catch is not measured, the sample weight is recorded as in the rest of the species.

#### Redfish (Sebastes spp.) sampling

As pointed earlier, three species of redfish occur in Flemish Cap: *Sebastes mentella, S. marinus* and *S. fasciatus*. The morphological resemblance between *S. mentella* and *S. fasciatus* is high, making difficult their identification in a quick and routinely way, and both are commonly designated as beaked redfish. In 1988 and 1989 these species were not classified and hence two redfish entities

were considered: *S. marinus* and beaked redfish, the latest included the unclassified fish (roughly those smaller than 15 cm) of the three species. Since 1990 the unclassified small fish, *i.e.* those where *S. marinus* was not possible to be distinguished from beaked redfish, was considered as a different category, named juvenile redfish. It is important to stress that many of the specimens classified into species are sexually immature, *i.e.* juveniles too. Therefore the category "juvenile redfish" does not refer to all sexually immature redfish but only those not able to be classified into species.

In 1990 and 1991, a subsample of beaked redfish catch (50 specimens) from each haul was classified into species (*S. mentella* and *S. fasciatus*) using the gasbladder musculature (Ni, 1981; Power and Ni, 1982) which is a more precise attribute than external characteristics. The fish dissection and musculature inspection was done but a designated expert in charge of this task solely. In 1990 these specimens were used exclusively for individual biological sampling, *i.e.* length, weight and age, as they were not taken randomly. In 1991, the subsample (maximum of 150 Kg) was taken randomly and then used also to estimate the haul catch species composition.

In 1991, during the process of redfish identification, it was developed sufficient skills to distinguish S. mentella and S. fasciatus by their external appearance. As a consequence, since 1992 the three redfish species are routinely separated in the whole catch, except the smallest ones still considered as juvenile redfish. A number of specimens were still dissected for inspecting the gasbladder musculature; these included those fish still difficult to classify by their external morphology, but also a selection of fish at different sizes for checking the correctness of the classification. The number of dissected fish reduced in each survey as experience was gained. The same person classified redfish species from 1992 to 2002, and since then different trained persons are in charge of this task. In the current procedure still a number of specimens are still dissected for inspecting the gasbladder musculature.

To optimize the identification process, difficult individuals are often retained for a later and more detailed identification; those individuals are generally the ones with smallest size. A violation of the random sampling criterion could occur if those selected fish, once they are classified, are not reintegrated to their corresponding sampling group/ box, *i.e.* if all of them are put in the same box, and length sampling does not requires all boxes, a skew sampling will occur. Special care is taken to avoid this situation when a subsample for length measurements is taken.

The target of biological sampling is to get 20 otoliths by cm and sex from the whole bank. A data form is used to facilitate two individuals for each sex, cm and stratum are collected. It may allow getting larger sizes, which are scarce or only occurring in few strata. The person responsible for redfish classification does the biological sampling control.

## Shrimp (Pandalus borealis) sampling

Shrimp is a protandrous hermaphrodite species. Every individual is born and mature as male, and after a transition period, becomes female. In some cases the external male characters are hardly visible. Sex can be identified attending to the external structure of the endopod of the first pair of pleopods.

Shrimp sampling requires some specific consideration: the absence of hard parts for ageing makes it necessary to obtain good length distributions to identify year-classes through modal analysis. Each length frequency sample usually contains around 300 individuals. Sex and maturity are recorded according to the following categories: "male", "transition", "primiparous female", "multiparous female" and "ovigerous female". Oblique carapace length (CL) is measured with a gauge from the optic pit's back edge on the postero-dorsal edge of the lower half millimetre carapace.

Additional samples are taken for study in laboratory to calculate the length-weight relationship. These samples are frozen on board. Each individual is measured in the laboratory to the hundredth of millimetre and hundredth of gram accuracy. Samples are taken from all strata.

### **Benthonic invertebrates**

Non-commercial invertebrates are sorted after fish and commercial invertebrates, and after taken a picture of the whole invertebrate catch. Additional photos of rare or first recorded species are taken. Catch records are written down in a specific data form (see Annex), where weight and number of each best identified group is noted, as well as any observation, *e.g.* on photos taken. A sample should be taken when catch is too large.

Available guides in NAFO are:

- Coral Identification Guide NAFO Area, (Kenchington *et al.* 2009)
- Sponge Identification Guide NAFO Area (Best *et al.* 2010)

http://www.nafo.int/publications/frames/science.html

All specimens of less frequent species are retained, particularly those from species not included in the invertebrates' identification cards or those with uncertain or incomplete classification. Samples are stored in plastic bags, labelled with survey, haul and species, and they are preserved in the appropriate conservation media.

Corals and sponges are of primary interest in studying vulnerable marine habitats, so special attention is paid in recording catches and retaining samples.

- Corals: all corals not included in the identification cards are preserved. A piece of each colony of Gorgonians and Antipatarians marked with an \* in the invertebrates form is also retained, and the remaining frozen after a photo is taken.
- Sponges' large catches: a photo is taken and samples of main species retained. In large specimens only a piece, like a cheese slice, containing most important elements is taken and preserved.

Sampling fixatives are:

- 70% alcohol for cnidarians (actinides excluded), crustaceans, molluscs and Equinodermata.
- 4% formalin for Polychaeta, sponges, actinides and all others.

## Taxonomy

All species should be identified. List of species already found are listed by Vázquez *et al.* (2013). Their working codes are presented in the Annex. When species are not easily recognized, the item is reserved for late identification, putting a note on the haul's data form. Those items are identified on board to the most precise taxonomic level using the appropriate references.

If species are not identified, individuals are labelled, frozen and stored for their study in the lab. When the species is a prime cite for Flemish Cap, the item is photographed and adequately stored.

It is necessary to complete the photographic record of species in the area.

#### Physical oceanography

Temperature and salinity profiles are taken with a CTD according to a predefined square grid (Fig. 5). It contains

80 stations with 15 nautical miles mean distance among them. Stations are done when time is available, trying to disturb the hauls program as little as possible, and anyway before the first haul of the day. A person is in charge of the whole issue.

Data are incorporated to tie IEO data base, and copy are sent to the Integrated Science Data Management (ISDM, former MEDS), the Canadian data base for that zone.

## **Feeding sampling**

Feeding sampling is done every two years as a minimum, and it is under the exclusive task of two persons. To impede that the same specimens be required for different samplings, feeding sampling is normally done after catch sampling is finished and closely coordinated with the standard biological sampling work in such a way that the same fish measured in biological sampling are also analysed for their stomach contents. If there are sufficient individuals in the catch feeding analysis can be done on fish where biological sampling was not performed.

Sebastes fasciatus Macrourus berglax juvenile redfish Nezumia bairdi Phycis chesteri Sebastes marinus Sebastes mentella Urophycis tenuis Gadus morhua Amblyraja radiata Hippoglossoides platessoides Amblyraja hyperborea Reinhardtius hippoglossoides Bathyraja spinicauda Glyptocephalus cynoglossus Malacoraja senta Anarhichas denticulatus Rajella fyllae

Lycodes reticulatus

Centroscyllium fabricii

Studied species are:

Anarhichas lupus

Anarhichas minor

components to the lowest possible taxonomic level, and noting for each prey: number, digestion level (fresh, halfdigested and full-digested), percentage of total volume, length in mm, and size and number of hard pieces.

Fish with everted stomach, which is common in roughhead grenadier (M. berglax) and redfish (Sebastes spp.), or containing preys taken in the cod-end, which is common if dogfish (Anarhichas spp.), are discarded. Fish with total or partially regurgitated food, which is common in rays, cod and roundnose grenadier (C. rupestris) are only considered for calculating feeding intensity indices. Size and colour of the bladder is observed and recorded to distinguish an empty stomach or containing scarce food from a total or partially regurgitated.

### Storing data in electronic media

All data recorded during the survey are entered in a computer as soon as possible, as data is validated and potential errors corrected in an easy way. The data collected each day is always inputted before the next day work starts, to allow updating control of samples already taken.

The target sample size is considered by fish length of 10 cm (0-9, 10-19, 20-29 cm, etc.) total length (LT) to the lower cm for most of the species, except for grenadiers, where 5 cm range (0-4.5, 5-9.5, 10-14.5 cm, etc.) pre-anal fin length (LPA) to the lower half cm is used. Length to the notch of the dorsal fin (LEAD) to the lower half cm is used for wolffish.

Data taken to each fish are: length, sex, sexual maturity, round weight and total stomach repletion in volume measured with a trophometre (Olaso 1990). Stomach content analysis is done on board, identifying all After stored, data from each fishing haul will be printed to verify that the stored information is equal to that in the forms. Printing formats should be similar to that of the forms in use.

Data are stored and initially managed in an *ad hoc* program, ARGO. The system provides a reliable way of data storage and elaboration of results, as well as the possibility of transferring data to any other programs. Once they are corrected, they are transferred to the shared database SIRENO, which is managed by the IEO.

## Data analysis

#### Results

Initial data analysis, done on-board after the end of the survey, provides the following preliminary results, without prejudice to a later and detailed analysis:

- Biomass and abundance estimates for all species and comparison with some previous surveys Figs.
- Shrimp stock structure.

Later work in laboratory includes:

- Concluding otoliths ageing for fish target species, and estimating their abundance at age.
- Concluding shrimp length distribution analysis, using program MIX to identify their normal distributed components.
- Performing the histological analysis of gonads to determine maturity ogives of fish target species.
- Processing and analysing CTD data.
- Reviewing benthonic invertebrates' records based on photos taken in each haul to validate identification done on board.
- Updating benthonic invertebrates notes: list of species, and their distribution and abundance.
- Updating the photographic collection of species.

#### Calculations

Biomass and abundance estimates are calculated by the swept area method, which produces quite useful approximations of stock sizes, even if they admittedly sub-estimate real values, so they should be interpreted as indices and not as absolute Figs. Total biomass is calculated with the expression:

$$B = \sum_{a} (Cpm_{a} \times area_{a} / 0.0075)$$

B - total biomass

e – stratum

Cpm<sub>e</sub> – mean catch per mile of hauls in stratum e.

area - area of stratum e in square miles. 0.0075 - accepted width of the fishing gear in miles.

And its standard error:

$$s_{\rm B} = \sqrt{\left[ \sum_{\rm e} V(Cpm_{\rm e}) \times (area_{\rm e} / 0.0075)^2 \right]}$$
$$V(Cpm_{\rm e}) = \sum_{\rm p} \left[ (C_{\rm p} / m_{\rm p} - Cpm_{\rm e})^2 / (n_{\rm e} - 1) / n_{\rm e} \right]$$

- $\mathbf{p}$  any haul in stratum  $\mathbf{e}$ C<sub>p</sub> – catch in haul  $\mathbf{p}$
- $m_p$  miles towed in haul p
- n<sup>•</sup> number of hauls in stratum e

Length frequencies that correspond to the previous total biomass estimates are also calculated based on data per mile in each haul:

$$F(t) = \sum_{e} (fpm(t)_{e} \times area_{e} / 0.0075)$$

- F(t) frequency at length t
- e stratum
- $fpm(t)_e$  mean frequency per mile for length t of hauls in stratum **e**.
- area stratum e area in square miles.
- 0.0075 accepted width of the fishing gear in miles.

When only female biomass is the issue, like in the case of shrimp, calculations are the same but they are based on the female catch at each haul. Total catch is the only recorded at each haul, so this amount is divided between male and female in the same proportions as their respective SOP<sup>6</sup>.

#### **Missing data**

Some strata were no visited in 1993, and only one haul was done in some stratum in 2011. Missing biomass and length frequencies estimates follows different procedure in each case.

If no haul is done in a stratum, biomass is estimated by comparison among data of that survey and those of previous five years surveys, adjusting values to a multiplicative model with two factors: year and stratum (Vázquez and Larrañeta 1980):

 $B_{ea} = E_{e} * A_{a}$ 

being:

 $B_{e,a}$  – biomass of stratum e in year a  $E_e$  – stratum e factor  $A_a$  – year a factor

Factors are calculated by iteration until convergence of the equations;

$$E_{e} = \sum_{a} (B_{e,a} / A_{a} * w_{e,a}) / \sum_{a} w_{e,a}$$
$$A_{a} = \sum_{e} (B_{e,a} / E_{e} * w_{e,a}) / \sum_{e} w_{e,a}$$

being

$$w_{e,a} = A_a^2 / V(B_{e,a})$$
  

$$w_{e,a}^2 = E_e^2 / V(B_{e,a})$$
  

$$V(B_{e,a}) = B_{e,a} \text{ variance} = B_{e,a}^{-1,8}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Sum Of Products: sum of length frequencies times mean weight at length.

This assumed variance is preferable to the one calculated in each stratum and year to prevent very low results due to a reduced numbers of hauls.

Length frequencies of no visited strata were calculated by extrapolation of results in the whole visited strata, using the same proportion as biomass estimates.

When only one haul was done in a stratum, biomass is calculated as the mean between the one estimated with only that haul ( $B_1$ ) and the result obtained by the former described method for no visited strata ( $B_0$ ). If length sampling was also available for that single haul, length frequencies of the stratum will be, like in the case of biomass, the mean between haul frequencies extrapolated to biomass  $B_1$  and frequencies of total sampled biomass extrapolated to  $B_0$ . If no such sampling was available, length frequencies will be calculated by extrapolating frequencies of total sampled biomass to  $(B_1 + B_0)/2$ .

For age-length keys, when a length lacked assigned ages, frequencies at age for that length are assumed to be equal to an age-length key done with data of the previous five surveys.

## Validation of Survey Results

All collected data are recorded in paper, in the forms available for each class of data, and later stored in a PC. Verification of the stored information follows these steps:

- Once all data of a haul have been typed, sample catch must be compared with SOP (based on length frequencies of the sample): if an important difference between them exists both original and recording are revised. Consistency between length distribution and biological sampling is also checked.
- 2 All the data of each haul is printed to check that all typed information is equal to the one in the forms. Printing uses similar formats to those of the original forms for an easy reading and comparison.
- 3 Once the survey is finished, length-weight relationships for each species are updated and discrepancies again checked. When discrepancies are important (greater than 15%) and the source of them detectable, corrections are done. All corrections are made also in the original forms but never erasing the original annotation but using a red ink pen to write down the change. This allows in the future an easy traceability of the modifications made during or after the survey.

Survey results are regularly presented to the NAFO Scientific Council (Casas y González-Troncoso 2011, Vázquez 2012).

## Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the European Union Data Collection Framework (framework for the collection, management and use of data in the fisheries sector), IPMA (Portugal) and IEO and CSIC (Spain).

## References

- AKENHEAD, S.A. 1986. Water retention over Flemish Cap, p. 283–293 en S. Skreslet (ed.). The role of freshwater outflow in coastal marine ecosystems. *NATO ASI Series* Vol G7.
- ASCHAN, M and K. SUNNANA 1997. Evaluation of the Norwegian shrimp surveys conducted in the Barents Sea and the Svalbard area 1980–1997. *ICES CM* 1997/Y:07.
- BEST, M., E. KENCHINGTON, K. MACISAAC, V.E. WAREHAM, S. FULLER, and A.B. THOMPSON. 2010. Sponge Identification Guide NAFO Area. *Sci. Council Studies* 43: 1–50
- BISHOP, C.A. 1994. Revision and additions to stratification schemes used during research vessel surveys in NAFO Subareas 2 and 3. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 94/43
- CASAS, M. and D. GONZÁLEZ-TRONCOSO. 2011. Results from Bottom Trawl Survey on Flemish Cap of June-July 2010. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 11/21.
- CHABERT, M. 1753. Voyage fait par ordre du roi en 1750 et 1751, dans l'Amerique septentrionale, pour rectifier les cartes des côtes de l'Acadie, de l' isle Royale & de l'isle de Terre-Neuve; et pour en fixer les principaux points par des observations astronomiques. L'imprimerie Royale, Paris, 288 pp
- DE CÁRDENAS, E. 1994. Dinámica de la población del bacalao de Flemish Cap. Consideraciones sobre su aislamiento y gestión. Tesis Doctoral, *Universidad Complutense de Madrid*.
- DOUBLEDAY, W.G. 1981. Manual of Groundfish Surveys in the Northwest Atlantic. *NAFO Sci. Counc. Studies* 2.
- GONZÁLEZ-TRONCOSO, D. and M. Casas. 2005. Calculations of the calibration factors from the comparative experience between the RV *Cornide de Saavedra* and the RV *Vizconde de Eza* in Flemish Cap in 2003 and 2004. NAFO SCR Doc. 05/29.
- KENCHINGTON, E., M. BEST, A. COGSWELL, K. MACISAAC, F.J. MURILLO-PEREZ, B. MACDONALD, V. WAREHAM, S. D. FULLER, H.I.Ø. JØRGENSBYE, V. SKLYAR and A.B. THOMPSON – 2009. Coral Identification Guide NAFO Area. *Sci. Council Studies* 42: 1–35.
- LILLY, G. R. 1986. A synopsis of research related to recruitment of cod and redfish on Flemish Cap. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 86/101.
- NAFO. 1974. Redbook 1974. NAFO.
- NAFO. 1975a. Redbook 1975. NAFO.
- NAFO. 1975b. Sampling yearbook (18) for the year 1974. NAFO.
- NAFO. 1980. Scientific Council Report 1979-1980. NAFO.
- NAFO. 1984. Scientific Council Report 1984. NAFO.

15

NAFO. 1990. Final report of the STACREC Working Group on Survey Design and Procedures. *NAFO SCS Doc.* 90/20.

NAFO. 1999. Inventory of Sampling Data 1990-1994. NAFO.

- OLASO, I. 1990. Distribución y abundancia del megabentos invertebrado en fondos de la plataforma cantábrica. *Bol. Inst. Esp. Oceanogr.*, Publ. Esp. nº 5, 128 p.
- STEIN, M. 1996. Flemish Cap A review on research activities with focus on oceanographic conditions. *Sci. Coun. Studies* 25: 1–24.
- TEMPLEMAN, W. 1976. Biological and oceanographic background of Flemish Cap as an area for research on the reasons for year-class success and failure in cod and redfish. *ICNAF Res. Bull.*, **12**: 91–117.

VÁZQUEZ, A. and M. G. Larrañeta. 1980. Assessment of cod

stock in Division 3NO. NAFO SCR Doc., 80/II/10.

- VÁZQUEZ, A. 1989. Results from bottom-trawl survey of Flemish Cap in July 1988. *NAFO SCR Doc.*, 89/60.
- VÁZQUEZ, A. 1991. Flemish Cap cod stock analysis. NAFO SCR Doc., 91/95.
- VÁZQUEZ, A. 1993. Results from bottom trawl survey of Flemish Cap in July 1992. *NAFO SCR Doc*. 93/19.
- VÁZQUEZ, A. 2012. Results from Bottom Trawl Survey on Flemish Cap of July 2011. *NAFO SCR Doc.*, 12/026.
- VÁZQUEZ, A., J. M. CASAS, W. B. BRODIE, F. J. MURILLO, M. MANDADO, A. GAGO, R. ALPOIM, R. BAÑÓN and A. ARMESTO. 2013. List of Species as recorded by Canadian and EU Bottom Trawl Surveys in Flemish Cap. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 13/005.

Table 1. Specification and characteristics of the survey area, and number of selected hauls.

	Area sq. miles	Strata	Rectangles	Fishing units	Selected hauls
depth < 730 m	10 555	19	309	3 090	120
depth: 730-1 460 m	5 515	13	169	1 690	61
Total	16 070	32	478	4 780	181

Table 2. Stratification of Flemish Cap and hauls plan.

Stratum	Depth interval (fathoms)	Area (sq. miles)	Fishing units	Selected hauls
1	70-80	342	100	4
2	81-100	838	250	10
3	101-140	628	180	7
4	دد	348	100	4
5	دد	703	200	8
6	دد	496	150	6
7	141-200	822	240	9
8	دد	646	190	7
9	دد	314	90	3
10	دد	951	280	11
11	دد	806	240	9
12	201-300	670	200	8
13	دد	249	70	3
14	دد	602	170	7
15	دد	666	200	8
16	301-400	634	190	7
17	دد	216	60	2
18	دد	210	60	2
19	دد	414	120	5
20	401-500	525	160	6
24	دد	253	80	3
28	دد	530	160	6
33	دد	98	30	2
21	501-600	517	160	6
25	دد	226	70	3
29	دد	488	150	6
32	دد	238	70	2
34	دد	486	150	5
22	601-700	533	160	6
30	دد	1134	350	11
23	701-800	284	90	3
31	دد	203	60	2
Total (strata	a 1–34)	16 070	4 780	181

Table 3. Technical data of the survey. Characteristics and deployment of the fishing tackle.

procedure	specification
Survey type	sampling
Haul selection method	Random
Criterion to reject a haul	former surveys
	- snag in the bottom
	- severe damages in the net or in the cod-end
	- trawling time inferior to 20 minutes
Daily fishing period	- gear malfunction
Species to be sampled	6:00 to 22:00 local time
	All fishes, cephalopods, shrimp and non-commercial invertebrates.
Species for aging	cod, American plaice, redfish, Greenland halibut and roughhead
	grenadier.
Vessel	RV Vizconde de Eza
TRB	1400 GT
Power	1800 kW
Maximum trawling depth	1460 m
Area to be surveyed	Div. 3M (depth $\leq 1460 \text{ m}$ )
Time to survey	30 days
Fishing gear	Lofoten
Groundrope / headrope	17.70 m /31.20 m
Groundrope	27 steel bobbins Ø 35 cm
Floats	$\emptyset$ 20 cm (2 × 16) + $\emptyset$ 24 cm × 20
Bridles	8 m Ø 16 mm
Vertical opening	3.5 m
Horizontal opening	14  m = 0.00/5  miles
Rigging warps	100 m, 45 mm, 200 kg/100m
Trawi doors	ovar poryvarent, 850 kg
Wire	Ø 20 mm
Wire length	$2 \times \text{Depth} + 200 \text{ m}$
Cod-end mesh size	35 mm
Towing speed	3.5 knots
Trawling time	30 minutes of effective fishing time determined by net sounder or "32 +
	depth (m)/100 $^{\prime\prime}$ minutes from the time the winches are locked.

depth	length
100	400
150	500
200	600
250	700
300	800
350	900
400	1 000
450	1 100
500	1 200
550	1 300

Table 4. Trawling wire length as a function of depth, both in metres.

depth	length
600	1 400
650	1 500
700	1 600
750	1 700
800	1 800
850	1 900
900	2 000
950	2 100
1 000	2 200
1 050	2 300

length
2 400
2 500
2 600
2 700
2 800
2 900
3 000
3 100

Table 5.	Length intervals and sexing	g criteria for sampling data	transmission to NAFO (N	NAFO 1999, page 10)
	0			

Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua)		3
Pollock (Pollachius virens)		3
Cusk (Brosme brosme)		3
White hake (Urophycis tenuis)		3
Wolffishes (Anarhichas sp.)		3
Striped wolffish (Anarhichas lupus)		3
Spotted wolffish (Anarhichas minor)		3
Haddock (Melanogrammus aeglefinus)		2
Red hake (Urophycis chuss)		2
American plaice (Hippoglossoides platessoides)	(by sex)	2
Witch flounder (Glyptocephalus cynoglossus)	(by sex)	2
Yellowtail flounder (SA 3-4) (Limanda ferruginea)	(by sex)	2
Greenland halibut (Reinhardtius hippoglossoides)	(by sex)	2
Atlantic halibut (Hippoglossus hippoglossus)	(by sex)	2
Summer flounder (Paralichthys dentatus)		2
Greenland cod (Gadus ogac)		2
Redfishes (Sebastes sp.)	(by sex)	1
Golden redfish (Sebastes marinus)	(by sex)	1
Beaked redfish (Sebastes mentella)	(by sex)	1
Silver hake (Merluccius bilinearis)	(by sex)	1
Yellowtail flounder (SA 5-6) (Limanda ferruginea)	(by sex)	1
Winter flounder (Pseudopleuronectes americanus)		1
Windowpane flounder (Scophthalmus aquosus)		1
Polar cod (Boreogadus saida)		1
Scup (Stenotomus chrysops)		1
Spotted hake (Urophycis regia)		1
Atlantic herring (Clupea harengus)		1
Atlantic mackerel (Scomber scombrus)		1
Atlantic butterfish (Peprilus triacanthus)		1
Atlantic menhaden (Brevoortia tyrannus)		1
Alewife (Alosa pseudoharengus)		1
Argentines (Argentina sp.) (by sex)		1
Black seabass (Centropristis striata)		1
Blueback herring (Alosa aestivalis)		1

Roundnose grenadier (Coryphaenoides rupestris)	(by sex)	1/2 cm or 5 mm
Roughhead grenadier (Macrourus berglax)	(by sex)	1/2 cm or 5 mm
Capelin (Mallotus villosus)	(by sex)	1/2 cm or 5 mm
Squid (Illex sp. and Loligo sp.)	(by sex)	1/2 cm or 5 mm
Sea scallop (Placopecten magellanicus)		1/2 cm or 5 mm
Northern prawn (Pandalus borealis)		1/2 cm or 5 mm

Note: Any other species not listed above should initially be reported in 1-cm groups.

Species	Otoliths	Gonads	Length range for gonads
Cod	10 by cm and sex	4 by cm	>= 25 cm
A. plaice	30 by cm and sex	5 by cm	>= 25 cm
S. marinus	20 by cm and sex	10 by cm	>= 10 cm
S. mentella	20 by cm and sex	10 by cm	>= 10 cm
S. fasciatus	20 by cm and sex	10 by cm	>= 10 cm
Juvenile Sebastes	20 by cm	_	_
G. halibut	10 by cm and sex	2 by cm	>= 30 cm
M. berglax	10 by $\frac{1}{2}$ cm and sex	4 for each $\frac{1}{2}$ cm	>= 20 cm

Table 6. Sampling objectives for otoliths and gonads



Fig. 1. Situation of Flemish Cap: depth contours and dashed line indicating the 200 miles Canadian EEZ.



Fig. 2 Flemish Cap stratification: left) Distribution of the 39 strata, right) fishing units in the whole bank and rectangles of the first 19 strata (inlet).



Fig. 3 Dimensions of the Lofoten trawl gear (31.20 m  $\times$  17.70 m)



Fig. 4. Groundrope rigging.



Fig. 5. Distribution grid of 80 CTD stations with a mean distance of 15 miles apart.

# ANNEXES

Data forms

Species working codes Shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) sampling

Publications 2002–2012

# Haul's data form (both sides)

#### Campaña FLEMISH CAP 20

Pesca						
Estrato		CTD		Ca	able (m)	
Cuadrícula		Altura de olas	(m)	A	pertura arte (m)	
Día/mes		Viento en nudo	os	- ·	• • • • •	
	LARGADA	contacto	VIRADA	despegue	INCIDENCL	<u>45</u>
hora					roturas meno	res
Latitud					roturas severa	as o en copo
Longitud					mal funciona	miento arte
profundidad (m)					enganche	
fondo					arte que no to	có fondo
	1	CA	PTURA		MUESTREO de ta	allas
espec	eie  -		neso (g)		peso (g)	número
haarlaa			peso (g)		P000 (B)	
platija amorijaana	<del></del>				un de la companya de	┼───┤
platija američana	•					┼────┤
ganmeta marinus	s					<u></u> ∔
mentella	L					<b>├</b> ────┤
Tasciatus	8					
juvenile	s					
fletán negro						
mendo						ļ
camarón					·····	
Acanthephvra pe	lagica					
Anarhichas lupu	s					
" mino	r					
" dentic	culatus					
Antimora rostrat	a					
Chauliodus sloar	ni					
Macrourus bergl	ax					
Nezumia hairdii						
Onogadus ensis						
Pota						1
Raja radiata					1	
Stomias boa						
Uronhycis cheste	ri					
						<u> </u>
						<u> </u>
						<u>+</u>
						<del>  </del>
					A. M. T. T. M	<u> </u>
						<u> </u>
						<u> </u>
						<u>⊦</u>
Continue al Asso						
Continua ai dorso						

Espacio reservado p	oara sumas y cálculos	Anotar con un asterisco las cajas de 1
S. mentella	S. fascia	us S. marinus
Total	Total	Total

En el caso de que se tiren cajas al mar, ¿cuantas se han tirado?

Otras especies / otros cálculos

Continuación de la tabla de capturas

	CAPTURA	MUESTREO	de tallas
especie	peso (g)	peso (g)	número

# Length frequencies forms: 1 and 1/2 cm

#### Campaña FLEMISH CAP 20

		Campaña FLEMISH CAP 20	esca		
		D	ía/mes	ESPECIE	
Pesca		P	eso muestra		
Día/mes	ESPECIE				
Peso muestra					
		ta ta	alla	talla	
		(0	m)	(cm)	- 11 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14
talla	talla		0	0	
(cm)	(cm)		0.5	0.5	
			1	1	
U			1.5	1.5	
1			2	2	
2			2.5	2.5	
3	3		3	3	
4	4	······	35	3.5	
5	55	<b> </b>	4	4	
6	6		45	4.5	
7	7		5		
8	8		55	55	
9	9		6	6	
0	0		65	65	
1			7		
2	2		75	75	
3			8		
			85	85	
5			0,5	0,5	
5			0.5		
0			9,5		
- /			0		
0			0,5	0,5	
9			1		
0	0		1,5	1,5	
1	1		2	2	
2	2		2,5	2,5	
3	3		3	3	
4	4		3,5	3,5	
5	5		4	4	
6	6		4,5	4,5	
7	7		5	5	
8	8		5,5	5,5	
9	9		6	6	
0	0		6,5	6,5	
1			7	7	
			7,5	7,5	
2			8	8	
3			8,5	8,5	
4			9	9	
5			9,5	9,5	
0					
8					
9					

# Length frequencies form for shrimp

Panda	lus borealis	Campaña FLEMISH CAP 20			
Pesca		Peso mues	Peso muestra Copo/ Bolsa		
talla	Machos	Transición	Hembras Inmaduras	Hembras Maduras	H. Ovígeras
8					
8,5					
9					
9,5					
10					
10,5					
11					
11,5					
12					
12,5					
13					
13,5					
14					
14,5					
15					
15,5					
16					
16,5					
17					
17,5					
18					
18,5					
19					
19,5					
20					
20,5					
21					
21,5					
22					
22,5					
23					
23,5					
24					
24,5					
25					
25,5					
26.5					
20,5					
27 5					
28					
28 5					
20,5					
29 5					
30				+	
30.5					
31					
31.5					
32				†	
32.5					
33					

Pandalus borealis

Pesca

# Biological sampling form: general and shrimp.

### Campaña FLEMISH CAP 20

#### Campaña FLEMISH CAP 20\_\_\_\_

Pesca \_\_\_\_\_ ESPECIE \_\_

CIE

-----

	talla	sexo	peso	peso	G
	(cm)	ļ	(g)	eviscer.	$\vdash$
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

	talla (cm)	sexo	peso (g)	peso eviscer.	G
26					
27					
28					
29					
30					
31					
32					
33					
34					
35					
36				•	
37					
38					
39					
40					
41					
42					
43					
44					
45					
46					
47					
48					
49					
50					

	talla (mm)	sexo	peso (g)
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

	talla (mm)	sexo	peso (g)
26			
27			
28			
29			
30			
31			
32			
33			
34			
35			4
36			
37			
38			
39			
40			
41			
42			
43			
44			
45			
46			
47			
48			
49			
50			

## Invertebrates' data form.

## Campaña FLEMISH CAP 2013 INVERTEBRADOS

#### Pesca \_\_\_\_\_

	COD	peso	n°		
CRUSTÁCEOS	600				
Acanthephyra pelagica	285			GASTEROPODOS	804
Acanthephyra purpurea	286			Arrhoges occidentalis	837
Acanthephyra	14			Beringius turtoni	944
Amphipoda	895			Buccinidae	839
Benthesicymus bartletti	918			Buccinum sp.	945
Chionocetes opilio	789			Colus sp.	900
Cirripeda	854			Neptunea despecta	845
Ephyrina figueirai	813			Nudibranchia	846
Euphausiacea	956			Scaphander punctostriatus	891
Gennadas sp.	888			Turrisipho sp.	893
Gnathophausia gigas	816			Torellia delicata	869
Gnathophausia zoea	903				
Hyas coarctatus	901				
Isopoda	872				
Lebbeus polaris	620			BIVALVOS	865
Lithodes maja	650			Astarte sp.	840
Mysidacea	928				
Munidopsis curvirostra	790				
Nematocarcinus sp.	761				
Neolithodes grimaldii	651			EOUINODERMOS	
Notostomus sp.	817			ESTRELLAS	807
Paouridae	954			Bathybiaster vexillifer	796
Pandalus montavui	802			Benthopectinidae	835
Atlantonandalus propinavus	631			Brisingidae	883
Paranasiphae sulcatifrons	824			Ceramaster oranularis	830
Pasinhaea multidentata	909			Ctenodiscus crispatus	849
Pasinhaea tarda	825			Echinasteridae	843
Pentacheles laevis	555			Hippasteria physoiana	842
Stereomastis nana	601			Lentychaster arcticus	855
Steromastis sculnta	821			Lophaster furcifar	040
Bontonhilus nomeoigus	640			Mediaster bairdi	705
Sabinga hystrix	929			Poraniomornha hisnida	195
Sabinea sarsii	820			Preudarchaster sp	061
Fuserpastas arcticus	635			Prilaster andromeda	871
Eusergesies urcheus	826			Dispasteridae	8/1
Spirontogaria lilishoroji	000			Solastovidas	0071
spiromocaris injevorgi				Stanhanastarias albula	806
	-			Tremaster mirabilis	870
	-			Toroaster fulgens	819
MOLUSCOS	-			Zorousier juigens	010
CEEALOPODOS	500				
Pathynolymus availaus	505			OFILIBAS	905
Chivoteuthis en	704			Astavomy Invari	042
Cinnoteutitis sp.	515			Asseronya toveni	943
Caroleumus muellery	515			Orkingenocepnauaae	897
Gonaus fabricu	 			Opniomusium tymani	811
nistioteuttus voneiu	500			Opniophous acuteata	831
nisnoteuthis reversa	506			Opniura sarsii	8/7
Opisinoteuthidae	931				
Septolidae indet.	964				0.5.5
	1 996			ERIZOS	808
Taonius pavo				70 4 111	0.2.2
Taonius pavo Teuthowenia megalops	512			Brisaster fragilis	838

			Aphrodita sp.	809	
HOLOTURIAS	759		Laetmonice sp.	882	
CRINOIDEOS	866		PICNOGONIDA	933	
			Colossendeidae	946	
CNIDARIOS	934				
ACTINIAS	936		ASCIDIAS	932	
Hormathiidae	834				
			BRACHIOPODA	864	
ALCYONACEOS	793				
Heteropolypus sp.	892				
Duva florida	832		BRYOZOA	847	
Nephtheidae	850				
			ESPONJAS (PORIFERA)	907	
Acanella arbuscula	878		Astrophorida (Patata).	982	
Acanthogorgia	815				
Anthothela sp.	879				
Isididae	898		SIPUNCULIDA	836	
Paragorgia sp.	763				
Paramuricea sp.	860				
Primnoa resedaeformis	959				
Radicines sn.	963		NEMERTEA	894	
			CHAETOGNATHA	792	
PENNATULACEA	868				
Anthoptilum sp.	852		PYROSOMATIDAE	962	
Distichoptilum gracile	906				
Funiculina auadraneularis	881				
Halipteris finmarchica	851				
Halipteris cf. christii	880				
Pennatula sp.	848				
limhellula sn	889				
<u> </u>					
				+ +	
	+ +			+ +	
OTROS CNIDARIOS					
Evizoanthidae	862				
Flahellum sp	833			+ +	
Antinatharia	940	<u> </u>		+ +	
25mpanara	240				
MEDUSAS	960				
Atollidae	616				
Parinhullidaa	617				
Feriphylliade	017				
CTENODUODA	800				
CIENOIHORA	000			+ +	
	+ +			+ +	
HVDB0704	844			+ +	
n i DROZUA	844			+ +	
BOI VOILA DE A	055				
POLICHAETA	955				

Observaciones:

Specie	s working codes		Saccoph
		000	Saccop
	Phylum	920	Sacco
	e e	92	Sacco
	Class	25	Euryph
		55	Euryp
code	Order		Notacan
		165	Notaca
	Family	105	Linog
	Que en inse	915	Polya
	Species		Osmerif
	Agnatha (Superclass)		Osmeri
	Petromyzontiformes	175	Mallo
	Petromyzontidae	27	Argenti
1	Petromyzon marinus	157	Aroen
1	Pisces (Superclass)	107	Micros
	Carcharhiniformes	295	Nanse
	Scyliorhinidae	293	Nanse
914	Apristurus sp	271	Bathyla
714	Squaliformes	132	Rathy
459	Squalidae	132	Bathy
452	Squalus acanthias	923	Platytro
152	Somniosidae	178	Holth
21	Somniosus microcenhalus	704	Holth
21	Etmonteridae	421	Mauli
155	Etmonterus princeps	121	Mauli
155	Centroscyllium fabricii	153	Norm
150	Rajiformes	957	Alenoc
	Rajidae	176	Aleno
479	Raja sp	170	Aleno
481	Amblyraia radiata	172	Aleno
484	Ambhyraja jenseni	926	Roule
485	Amblyraja hvperborea	151	Xenoc
482	Malacoraja senta	174	Rajac
492	Malacoraja spinacidermis	565	Mirno
490	Raiella fyllae	500	Stomiifo
491	Rajella hathynhila	922	Gonost
483	Dinturus linteus	145	Cvclo
105	Arhynchobatidae	185	Gono
480	Bathvraja spinicauda	158	Sigmo
	Chimaeriformes		Sternor
	Chimaeridae	147	Maure
966	Hvdrolagus affinis	908	Argvr
916	Hydrolagus mirabilis	184	Argvn
	Anguilliformes	973	Argvn
	Nettastomatidae	952	Argvn
469	Venefica proboscidea	127	Sterno
	Synaphobranchidae	148	Sterno
113	Svnaphobranchus kaupii	978	Stomiio
998	Simenchelvs parasitica	477	Boros
	Serrivomeridae	423	Boros
123	Serrivomer beanii	997	Boros
	Nemichthydae	381	Melan
125	Nemichthys scolopaceus	48	Flage

	Saccopharyngiformes
	Saccopharyngidae
920	Saccopharynx sp.
92	Saccopharynx ampullaceus
	Eurypharyngidae
35	Eurypharynx pelecanoides
	Notacanthiformes
	Notacanthidae
165	Notacanthus chemnitzii
31	Lipogenys gillii
915	Polyacanthonotus rissoanus
	Osmeriformes
	Osmeridae
175	Mallotus villosus
27	Argentinidae
157	Argentina silus
	Microstomatidae
295	Nansenia sp.
294	Nansenia groenlandica
	Bathylagidae
132	Bathylagus sp.
133	Bathylagus euryops
923	Platytroctidae
178	Holtbyrnia anomala
704	Holtbyrnia macrops
421	Maulisia mauli
124	Maulisia microlepis
153	Normichthys operosus
957	Alepocephalidae
176	Alepocephalus sp.
171	Alepocephalus bairdii
172	Alepocephalus agassizii
926	Rouleina attrita
151	Xenodermichthys copei
174	Bajacalifornia megalops
565	Mirognathus normani
	Stomiiformes
922	Gonostomatidae
145	Cyclothone microdon
185	Gonostoma elongatum
158	Sigmops bathyphilum
	Sternoptychidae
147	Maurolicus muelleri
908	Argyropelecus sp.
184	Argyropelecus gigas
973	Argyropelecus aculeatus
952	Argyropelecus hemigymnus
127	Sternoptyx diaphana
148	Sternoptyx pseudobscura
978	Stomiidae
477	Borostomias sp.
423	Borostomias mononema
997	Borostomias antarcticus
381	Melanostomias bartonbeani
48	Flagellostomias boureei

182	Pachystomias microdon	701	Lophodolus acanthognathus
468	Malacosteus sp.		Ceratiidae
149	Malacosteus niger	146	Ceratias holboelli
150	Photostomias guernei	7	Cryptopsaras couesii
126	Chauliodus sloani		Gadiformes
260	Stomias boa		Moridae
994	Rhadinesthes decimus	139	Antimora rostrata
380	Melanostomias sp.	296	Lepidion lepidion
	Aulopiformes	207	Halargyreus johnsonii
	Ipnopidae		Gadidae
703	Bathypterois dubius	100	Boreogadus saida
3	Paralepididae	101	Gadus morhua
161	Arctozenus risso	56	Pollachius virens
262	Paralepis speciosa	102	Melanogrammus aeglefinus
17	Paralepis coregonoides	140	Micromesistius poutassou
181	Sudis hvalina		Phycidae
160	Magnisudis atlantica	110	Urophycis sp.
	Alepisauridae	105	Urophycis chuss
32	Alepisaurus ferox	186	Urophycis tenuis
371	Alepisaurus brevirostris	107	Phycis chesteri
	Anotopteridae		Lotidae
154	Anotopterus pharao	222	Brosme brosme
	Notosudidae	128	Enchelvopus cimbrius
930	Scopelosaurus lepidus	910	Gaidropsarus argentatus
	Bathysauridae	141	Gaidropsarus ensis
715	Bathysaurus ferox		Merlucciidae
	Myctophiformes	104	Merluccius bilinearis
173	Myctophidae	106	Lyconus sp.
367	Ceratoscopelus maderensis		Melanonidae
913	Lampanyctus sp.	232	Melanonus zugmaveri
370	Notoscopelus kroeveri	215	Macrouridae
366	Benthosema glaciale	426	Corvphaenoides armatus
372	Protomyctophum arcticum	168	Corvphaenoides rupestris
368	Lampadena speculigera	924	Corvphaenoides guentheri
369	Myctophum punctatum	556	Corvphaenoides carapinus
981	Taaningichthys sp.	557	Corvphaenoides brevibarbis
	Cetominiformes	985	Corvphaenoides rudis
	Rondeletiidae	214	<i>Corvphaenoides mediterraneus</i>
705	Rondeletia loricata	134	Coelorinchus caelorhincus
	Cetomimidae	170	Nezumia bairdii
223	Cetostoma regani	211	Trachvrincus scabrus
249	Lophiiformes	167	Trachyrincus murrayi
919	Linophrynidae	169	Macrourus berglax
183	Hanlophrvne mollis		Ophidiiformes
209	Linophryne coronata		Ophidiidae
26	Lophidae	177	Brotulotaenia sp.
143	Lophius americanus		Perciformes
1.0	Ogcocephalidae		Zoarcidae
301	Dibranchus atlanticus	166	Lycenchelys paxillus
201	Melanocetidae	162	Lycodes sp.
144	Melanocetus iohnsonii	164	Lvcodes vahlii
453	Oneirodidae	163	Lvcodes esmarkii
706	Chaenophrvne longicens	130	Lycodes reticulatus
220	Oneirodes eschrichtii	382	Melanostigma atlanticum
269	Dolonichthys allector	951	Ixcodonus flagellicauda
	2 oropronings anceron	201	_, cononno j.m.Soniounuu

# 28

	Polyprionidae	135	Aspidophoroides monopterygius
534	Polyprion americanus	136	Leptagonus decagonus
	Howellidae	911	Ulcina olrikii
210	Howella sherborni	917	Liparidae
	Chiasmodontidae	727	Careproctus micropus
131	Chiasmodon niger	777	Careproctus reinhardti
	Anarhichadidae	724	Liparis sp.
188	Anarhichas sp.	726	Liparis liparis
189	Anarhichas lupus	725	Liparis fabricii
190	Anarhichas minor	138	Paraliparis copei
121	Anarhichas denticulatus		Pleuronectiformes
	Stichaeidae		Pleuronectidae
281	Lumpenus sp.	114	Glyptocephalus cynoglossus
280	Lumpenus lampretaeformis	112	Hippoglossoides platessoides
212	Leptoclinus maculatus	118	Reinhardtius hippoglossoides
	Ammodytidae	120	Hippoglossus hippoglossus
129	Ammodytes sp.		Tunicata (Subphylum)
191	Ammodytes dubius	932	Ascidiacea (Class)
	Trichiuridae	853	Didemnidae
180	Aphanopus carbo		Thaliacea (Class)
	Centrolophidae	962	Pyrosomatidae
941	Centrolophus niger		
	Caristiidae	935	Mollusca
142	Caristius fasciatus	804	Gastropoda
	Beloniformes		Caenogastropoda (Subclass)
	Scomberesocidae		Stromboidea (Superfamily)
117	Scomberesox saurus	837	Arrhoges occidentalis
	Stephanoberyciformes		Muricoidea (Superfamily)
	Melamphaidae	470	Boreotrophon sp.
205	Poromitra sp.		Buccinoidea (Superfamily)
290	Poromitra megalops	839	Buccinidae
208	Scopelogadus beanii	945	Buccinum sp.
	Beryciformes	893	Turrisipho sp.
29	Diretmidae	944	Beringius turtoni
201	Diretmus argenteus	900	Colus sp.
	Trachichthyidae	845	Neptunea despecta
202	Hoplostethus atlanticus		Capuloidea (Superfamily)
	Anoplogastridae	869	Torellia delicata
248	Anoplogaster cornuta		Heterobranchia (Subclass)
	<u>Scorpaeniformes</u>		Cephalaspidea
	Sebastidae	891	Scaphander punctostriatus
52	Sebastes sp.	846	Nudibranchia
51	Sebastes norvegicus	764	Scaphopoda
53	Sebastes mentella	875	Polyplacophora
54	Sebastes fasciatus	865	Bivalvia
50	Sebastes (juvenile)		Heterodonta(Subclass)
49	Sebastes (bag)		Astartidae
558	Cyclopteridae	840	Astarte sp.
	Cottidae		Cuspidariidae
258	Triglops sp.	561	Cuspidaria sp.
159	Triglops murrayi		Pteriomorphia (Subclass)
	Psychrolutidae		Pectinidae
2	Cottunculus sp.	992	Chlamys islandica
115	Cottunculus microps	500	Cephalopoda
116	Cottunculus thomsonii		<u>Sepiolida</u>
	Agonidae	964	Sepiolidae

11	Ulcina olrikii	
17	Liparidae	
27	Careproctus micropus	
77	Careproctus reinhardti	
24	<i>Liparis</i> sp.	
26	Liparis liparis	
25	Liparis fabricii	
38	Paraliparis copei	
	Pleuronectiformes	
	Pleuronectidae	
14	Glyptocephalus cynoglossus	
12	Hippoglossoides platessoides	
18	Reinhardtius hippoglossoides	
20	Hippoglossus hippoglossus	
	Tunicata (Subphylum)	
32	Ascidiacea (Class)	
53	Didemnidae	
00	Thaliacea (Class)	
62	Pyrosomatidae	
	1 jrooonianaa o	
35	Mollusca	
04	Gastropoda	
	Caenogastropoda (Subclass)	
	Stromboidea (Superfamily)	
37	Arrhoges occidentalis	
	Muricoidea (Superfamily)	
70	Boreotrophon sp.	
	Buccinoidea (Superfamily)	
39	Buccinidae	
45	Buccinum sp.	
93	Turrisipho sp.	
44	Beringius turtoni	
00	Colus sp.	
45	Neptunea despecta	
	Capuloidea (Superfamily)	
69	Torellia delicata	
	Heterobranchia (Subclass)	
	Cephalaspidea	
91	Scaphander punctostriatus	
46	Nudibranchia	
64	Scaphopoda	
75	Polyplacophora	
65	Bivalvia	
	Heterodonta(Subclass)	
	Astartidae	
40	Astarte sp.	
	Cuspidariidae	
61	Cuspidaria sp.	
	Pteriomorphia (Subclass)	
	Pectinidae	
92	Chlamys islandica	
00	Cephalopoda	
	Sepiolida	
61	Sopiolidaa	

503	Semirossia sp.	817	Notostomus sp.
929	Teuthida	980	Notostomus elegans
912	<u>Oegopsida</u>	813	<i>Ephyrina</i> sp.
	Gonatidae	302	Oplophorus spinosus
11	Gonatus fabricii		Nematocarcinoidea (Superfamily)
	Onychoteuthidae	761	Nematocarcinus rotundus
509	Onychoteuthis banksii		Pasiphaeoidae (Superfamily)
30	Brachioteuthidae	825	Pasiphaea tarda
510	Brachioteuthis sp.	909	Pasiphaea multidentata
	Histioteuthidae	824	Parapasiphae sulcatifrons
12	Histioteuthis sp.		Alpheoidea (Superfamily)
506	Histioteuthis reversa	921	Spirontocaris spinus
511	Histioteuthis bonnellii	999	Spirontocaris liljeborgii
16	Ommastrephidae	620	Lebbeus polaris
516	Todarodes sagittatus		Pandaloidea (Superfamily)
810	<i>Illex</i> sp.	802	Pandalus montagui
504	Illex illecebrosus	632	Pandalus borealis
	Chiroteuthidae	631	Atlantopandalus propinqvus
794	Chiroteuthis sp.	630	Pandalus (bag)
707	Chiroteuthis veranii		Crangonoidea (Superfamily)
507	Chiroteuthis picteti	613	Argis dentata
	Cranchiidae	827	Sabinea sp.
768	Taonius sp.	829	Sabinea sarsii
996	Taonius pavo	828	Sabinea hystrix
512	Teuthowenia megalops	747	Sabinea septemcarinata
942	<i>Liguriella</i> sp.	640	Pontophilus norvegicus
	<u>Vampyromorpha</u>		Palinura (Infraorder)
	Vampyroteuthidae	820	Polychelidae
798	Vampyroteuthis sp.	475	Stereomastis sp.
6	<u>Octopoda</u>	821	Stereomastis sculpta
	Octopodidae	601	Stereomastis nana
502	Bathypolypus sp.	555	Pentacheles laevis
762	Bathypolypus bairdii		Anomura (Infraorder)
505	Bathypolypus arcticus	954	Paguridae
513	Graneledone sp.		Lithodidae
508	Cirroteuthidae	650	Lithodes maja
515	Cirroteuthis muelleri	651	Neolithodes grimaldii
931	Opisthoteuthidae	925	Galatheidae
			Munididae
	Arthropoda	699	<i>Munida</i> sp.
600	Crustacea (Subphylum)		Munidopsidae
	Decapoda	790	Munidopsis curvirostra
	Dendrobranchiata (Suborder)		Brachyura (Infraorder)
	Sergestoidea (Superfamily)		Oregoniidae
635	Eusergestes arcticus	806	Hyas sp.
826	Sergia robusta	788	Hyas araneus
	Penaeoidea (Superfamily)	901	Hyas coarctatus
636	Aristaeopsis edwardsiana	789	Chionoecetes opilio
918	Benthesicymus bartletti		Geryonidae
888	Gennadas sp.	927	Chaceon quinquedens
977	Gennadas elegans		Peracarida (Superorder)
	Caridea (Infraorder)	872	<u>Isopoda</u>
	Oplophoroidea (Superfamily)		Lophogastrida
14	Acanthephyra sp.		Gnathophausiidae
612	Acanthephyra eximia	816	Gnathophausia sp.
285	Acanthephyra pelagica	903	Gnathophausia zoea
286	Acanthephyra purpurea	568	Gnathophausia gigas

	Eucopiidae		Antipathidae
983	Eucopia sculpticauda	604	Stichopathes sp.
928	Mysida		Schizopathidae
895	Amphipoda	885	Stauropathes arctica
700	Hyperiidea	793	Alcyonacea
	Eucarida (Superorder)	850	Nephtheidae
956	Euphausiacea	619	Gersemia sp.
854	Cirripedia	832	Duva florida
001	empedia		Plexauridae
	Chelicerata (SubPhylum)	991	<i>Swiftia</i> sp.
933	Pycnogonida	860	Paramuricea sp.
946	Colossendeidae		Chrysogorgiidae
740	Colossendeldae	963	Radicines sp
007	Poriforo		Alcyoniidae
)07	Demosnongiae	892	Heteropolypus sp
	Demospoligide	419	Anthomastus sp.
	Cladorhizidae	41)	Anthothelidae
176		870	Anthothala sp
4/6	Chonarociaaia sp.	079	Paragorgijdao
400	Coelosphaeridae	762	Panagorgia sp
499	Forcepia sp.	/03	Paragorgia sp.
	Hadromerida	015	Acanthogoightae
	Stylocordylidae	815	Acantnogorgia sp.
417	<i>Stylocordyla</i> sp.	0.50	Primnoidae
970	Polymastiidae	959	Primnoa resedaeformis
971	<i>Tentorium</i> sp.	898	Isididae
785	Radiella hemisphaerica	878	Acanella arbuscula
	Suberitidae	0(2	Zoanthidea
566	<i>Rhizaxinella</i> sp.	862	Epizoanthidae
	<u>Spirophorida</u>	930	<u>Actiniaria</u>
618	Tetillidae	771	Liponematidae
982	Astrophorida	//4	Actinerniidae
	Ancorinidae	775	Actinornus sp
563	Stryphnus sp.	834	Hormathiidae
799	Geodiidae	605	Stephanause nexilis
934	Cnidaria	471	Stephanauge spongicola
844	Hydrozoa	.,	Actinoscyphiidae
905	Anthozoa	974	Actinoscyphia sp.
868	Pennatulacea	987	Scleractinia
	Kophobelemnidae		Caryophylliidae
814	Kophobelemnon stelliferum	886	Desmophyllum dianthus
	Halipteridae		Flabellidae
880	Halipteris cf. christii	833	Flabellum alabastrum
851	Halipteris finmarchica	960	Scyphozoa
	Anthoptilidae	863	Coronatae
852	Anthoptilum sp.	616	Atollidae
	Umbellulidae	617	Periphyllidae
889	Umbellula sp	000	
	Funiculinidae	800	Ctenopnora
881	Funiculina auadrangularis	004	
001	Protontilidae	894	Nemertea
906	Distichantilum gracile		
700	Pennatulidae		Annelida
848	Pennatula sn	955	Polychaeta
767	Ponnatula grandic		Sabellida
615	Pennatula aculeata	968	Sabellidae
015	Antinatharia		Phyllodocida
740	<u>1-mupamana</u>	976	Polynoidae

	Aphroditidae	760	Pseudarchaster gracilis
809	<i>Aphrodita</i> sp.	902	Solasteridae
882	Laetmonice sp.	949	Lophaster furcifer
	Clitellata	841	Pterasteridae
890	Hirudinea (Subclass)	428	Poraniidae
		874	Poraniomorpha hispida
836	Sipuncula	843	Echinasteridae
			Zoroasteridae
847	Bryozoa	818	Zoroaster fulgens
			Asteriidae
864	Brachiopoda	896	Stephanasterias albula
975	Terebratulina septentrionalis	805	Ophiuroidea
			Asteronychidae
	Echinodermata	943	Asteronyx loveni
807	Asteroidea	897	Gorgonocephalidae
	Astropectinidae		Ophiuridae
787	Plutonaster agassizi	877	Ophiura sarsii
855	Leptychaster arcticus	535	<i>Ophioplinthus</i> sp.
871	Psilaster andromeda		Ophiolepididae
796	Bathybiaster vexillifer	811	Ophiomusium lymani
883	Brisingidae		Ophiacanthidae
	Asterinidae	876	<i>Ophiacantha</i> sp.
870	Tremaster mirabilis		Ophiactidae
	Ctenodiscidae	831	Ophiopholis aculeata
849	Ctenodiscus crispatus	808	Echinoidea
835	Benthopectinidae	822	Echinothuriidae
	Goniasteridae		Phormosomatidae
830	Ceramaster granularis	791	Phormosoma placenta
842	Hippasteria phrygiana		Schizasteridae
795	Mediaster bairdi	838	Brisaster fragilis
	Pseudarchasteridae	866	Crinoidea
961	Pseudarchaster sp.	759	Holothuroidea
904	Pseudarchaster parelii		

#### Shrimp sampling (Pandalus borealis)

The shrimp is a decapod crustacean Pandalidae. The distinctive characters of the species are the presence of prominent spines on the 3rd abdominal somite (in mid-dorsal position) and on the 4<sup>th</sup> abdominal somite (postero-dorsal margin); spines on the distal portion of the face (Fig. 1).

Berkeley (1930) first noted that *P. borealis* is a protandric hermaphrodite, *i.e.*, each individual matures and functions first as a male, passes through a transitional or intersexual phase, and becomes a female. While this is the normal sequence of events, several authors have reported early maturing females in the southern portions of the distribution range.

The sex of *P. borealis* can be identified by changes in the external structure of the 1st pair of pleopods from the endopodite. However, a stricter classification should take into account also the observation of internal appendage and male appendage of the second pair of pleopods, allowing us to distinguish between male and female transition (Fig. 2). This appendix is difficult to see without the aid of a binocular microscope, making impractical the sampling aboard commercial vessels.

## Sampling

Due to the biological characteristics of shrimp, the study of this species presents some particularities to be considered in sampling.

The absence of hard parts that can be used to identify the age of the individuals, makes necessary to obtain size distributions in adequate numbers to enable us to identify different year classes through modal analysis or identification of trends in the size distribution of the different states considered.

Furthermore, the great plasticity of this species in terms of growth, change of sex and sexual maturity, which is affected, both spatially and temporally, determine an adequate stratification in the sampling and detailed analysis (by sex and maturity state).

The carapace length (CL) is measured with a calliper from the posterior margin of the eyestalk to the posterior mid dorsal edge of the carapace (Fig. 3), to the lower half millimetre. Approximately 200 or 300 individuals from the catch at each station will be randomly measured by sex and maturity stage (Figs. 4, 5, 6 and 7), according to the following categories:

- Males (M), who include individuals both young and adult (M). They are distinguished by the shape of the endopodite apex from the 1st pair of pleopods (Fig. 4 and 5). Also, this sampling should be done by separating the males from the individuals in transition state (T), as these specimens in NAFO, at the survey time, are classified as immature females primiparous.
- Immature primiparous females (IF). They differ mainly in the lanceolate shape of endopodite from the 1st pair of pleopods (Fig. 6), and the presence of sternal spines well defined on the abdomen (Fig. 7). In addition, as noted above, individuals in transition (T) will be considered as immature females. The presence of eggs in the head is not a decisive character, as may be present in individuals in transition or in females that have matured in the past (in this case the sternal spines are absent or much less marked).
- Mature females (MF). They are females with sternal spines absent or barely marked. In this group are included the females in a new spawning process, without eggs in the abdomen but with eggs in the head and without sternal spines visible and the females at rest, without sternal spines and eggs in the abdomen or head.
- Mature ovigerous females (HMOV), which present eggs in the abdomen.

In addition to the samples analysed on board will be collect samples for further study in the laboratory. These samples will be frozen at sea and subsequently analysed to establish the length-weight relationship. The sampling precision in the laboratory will be one hundredth of a millimetre and one hundredth of a gram. On the other hand berried females will be analysed for further study of fecundity (egg counts). Therefore samples should be collected properly so that egg loss as small as possible.

The frozen samples will be taken randomly from all strata considered in the survey.



Fig. 1. Dorsal and lateral view from *Pandalus borealis* (Butler, 1980); general aspects in its anatomy to determine the species and sex.



Fig. 2. Changes in form with increasing age of the endopodite of the first pleopod and the corresponding appendix internal and appendix masculine of the second pleopod of *Pandalus borealis*. Age in months is given in the ring in each endopodite and the carapace length (mm) above each Fig.. Male endopodite, black; transitional, cross-hatched; female, outline. Arrows indicate sequence (from Allen 1959)



Length measurement of shrimp

Fig. 3 Length measurement from the posterior margin of the eyestalk to the posterior mid dorsal edge of the carapace.



Fig. 4. **Males (M)**.- Carapace lengths from 6 to 22 mm approximately. Endopodite transformed as reproductive organ with the internal appendix clearly visible:

- Narrow and long in young males, the internal appendix exceeds the height of the apex of the endopodite (1).
- In adult males this organ is considerably wider compared with its length and rarely exceeds the apex of endopodite.



Fig. 5. *Transitional o intersex* (T).- Lengths from 18 to 23 mm. The reproductive organ gradually reduces in size at each moult and does not reach the apex of the endopodite.

In this phase two states can be distinguished:

- No eggs in the head.
- With blue-green eggs in the head. Furthermore, as in males have well defined abdominal sternal spines. They will be considered immature females.



Fig. 6. **Female**. Lengths from 18 to 30 mm. The male reproductive organ is disappeared. The endopodite shows a lanceolate shape (5 and 6). In females where there has been the release of eggs and larvae (hatching) the endopodite has long silks (7). Sternal spines have disappeared or are much less marked.

Females can present several maturity stages



*Immature females* (IF). They are hard to separate from the transition stage without observation of internal appendix in the 2nd pair of pleopods. In general they would be in this state those individuals with endopodite shape as females, and sternal spines well defined. Also, they will be considered as immature females those individuals at transition state with eggs in the carapace.

*Mature females.* (MF). They may have different stages that we will group into two: ovigerous females (HMOV) with eggs in the abdomen and non-ovigerous females (HM) no eggs in the abdomen and sternal spines barely marked or absents



Fig. 7. Diagrammatic ventral view of the abdomen of a panda id shrimp showing sternal spines (from McCrary 1971).**B** and **C**. Spines well defined (present in males, transitional stage and immature females). **D**. Spines barely marked or absents in mature females which have previously spawned

## References

- ALLEN, J.A. 1959. On the biology of *Pandalus boreales* Kroyer, with reference to a population off the Northumberland coast. *J. Mar. Biol. Ass. U.K.*, 8: 189–220. http://dx.doi. org/10.1017/S002531540001568X
- M<sup>c</sup>CRARY, J.A. 1971. Sternal spines as a characteristic for differentiating between females of some Pandalidae. *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.*, 28: 98–100. http://dx.doi. org/10.1139/f71-014

Publications 2002–2012 (which were partially or entirely based on survey results)

ALPOIM, R. 2003. A stock status update of American plaice (*Hippoglossoides platessoides*) in Division 3M. NAFO SCR Doc. 03/44.

2006. An assessment of American Plaice (*Hippoglossoides platessoides*) in NAFO Division 3M. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 06/38.

- ALPOIM, R., C. DARBY and A. ÁVILA DE MELO. 2002. An assessment of American plaice (*Hippoglossoides platessoides*) in Division 3M. *NAFO SCR Doc*. 02/62.
- ALPOIM, R., A. ÁVILA DE MELO, R. BAÑÓN, M. CASAS, S. CERVIÑO, S. JUNQUERA, I. MARTÍN, H. MURUA, X. PAZ, G. PÉREZ-GÁNDARAS, J.L. DEL RÍO, E. RODRÍGUEZ-MARÍN, F. SABORIDO-REY, E.J. DOS SANTOS and A. VÁZQUEZ. 2002. Distribution and main

characteristic of fish species on Flemish Cap based on the 1988–2002 EU-surveys in July. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 02/72.

- ALPOIM, R., E. ROMAN, B. GREENE, R. BURRY and W.R. BOWERING. 2002. Results of the Greenland halibut (*Reinhardtius hippoglossoides*) otolith exchange between Spain, Canada and Portugal. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 02/141.
- ALPOIM, R. and A. ÁVILA DE MELO. 2004. An assessment of American plaice (*Hippoglossoides platessoides*) in Division 3M. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 04/50.

2008. An assessment of American Plaice (*Hippoglossoides platessoides*) in NAFO Division 3M. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 08/40.

- ALPOIM, R., A.M. ÁVILA DE MELO and D. GONZÁLEZ-TRONCOSO. 2011. An Assessment of American Plaice (*Hippoglossoides platessoides*) in NAFO Division 3M. NAFO SCR Doc. 11/041.
- ÁVILA DE MELO, R. ALPOIM and F. SABORIDO-REY. 2002. The Present Status of Beaked Redfish (*S. mentella* and *S. fasciatus*) in NAFO Division 3M and Medium Term Projections Under A Low Commercial Catch/ High Shrimp Fishery By-Catch Regime. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 02/54
  - 2003. An assessment of beaked redfish (S. mentella and S. fasciatus) in NAFO Div. 3M. NAFO SCR Doc. 03/45.

2004. Interim Monitoring Report of Beaked Redfish (*Sebastes mentella* and *S. fasciatus*) in NAFO Division 3M. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 04/31.

2005. A revised assessment of beaked redfish

(S. mentella and S. fasciatus) in NAFO Div. 3M using

the original EU survey indices converted to the new

- RV Vizconde de Eza units. NAFO SCR Doc. 05/47. 2007. An XSA based assessment of beaked redfish (S. mentella and S. fasciatus) in NAFO Division 3M. NAFO SCR Doc. 07/47.
- ÁVILA DE MELO, A., F. SABORIDO-REY, D. GONZÁLEZ TRONCOSO, I. SKRYABIN and R. ALPOIM. 2009. An Assessment of Beaked Redfish (S. mentella and S. fasciatus) in NAFO Division 3M Based on Revised 2005-2008 catches (Is a Retrospective Biased Assessment Necessarily Useless in Terms of Scientific Advice?). NAFO SCR Doc. 09/029.
- ÁVILA DE MELO, A., R ALPOIM AND D. GONZÁLEZ-TRONCOSO. 2010. An ASPIC Based Assessment of Redfish (*S. mentella* and *S. fasciatus*) in NAFO Divisions 3LN (Is a retrospective Biased Assessment Necessarily Useless in terms of Scientific Advice?). NAFO SCR Doc. 10/28.
- ÁVILA DE MELO, A., F. SABORIDO-REY, D. GONZÁLEZ TRONCOSO, M. POCHTAR and R. ALPOIM. 2011. An Assessment of Beaked Redfish (S. mentella and S. fasciatus) in NAFO Division 3M (With an Approach to the Likely Impact of Recent 3M Cod Growth on Redfish Natural Mortality. NAFO SCR Doc. 11/026.
- BOWERING, W.R. and A. VÁZQUEZ. 2002. Distribution and abundance of witch flounder on Flemish Cap and in Flemish Pass based on Canadian and EU research survey data. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 02/75.
- CABANAS, J.M. 2002. Hydrographic Conditions on Flemish Cap in July 2001. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 02/25.

2003. Hydrographic Conditions on Flemish Cap in July 2002. NAFO SCR Doc. 03/32.

- CADRIN S., M. BERNREUTHER, E. HJÖRLEIFSSON, T.JOHANSEN, L.KERR, K.KRISTINSSON, S. MARIANI, C. PAMPOULIE, J. REINERT, F. SABORIDO-REY, T. SIGURDSSON and C. STRANSKY. 2009. Mechanisms and consequences of life cycle diversity of beaked redfish, *Sebastes mentella*. *ICES CM* 2009/H:01.
- CADRIN, S.X., M. BERNREUTHER, A. K. DANÍELSDÓTTIR, E. HJÖRLEIFSSON, T. JOHANSEN, L. KERR, K. KRISTINSSON, S. MARIANI, K. NEDREAAS, C. PAMPOULIE, B. PLANQUE, J. REINERT, F.SABORIDO-REY, T. SIGURDSSON and C. STRANSKY. 2010. Population Structure of beaked redfish, *Sebastes mentella*: evidence of divergence associated with different habitats. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* 67(8): 1617–1630. http://dx.doi. org/10.1093/icesjms/fsq046
- CASAS, J.M. 2004. Results from Bottom Trawl Survey on Flemish Cap of July 2003. *NAFO SCR Doc*. 04/021.
  - 2006. Northern shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) on Flemish Cap surveys 2006. *NAFO SCR Doc*. 06/66.
  - 2007. Northern Shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) on Flemish Cap Surveys 2007. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 07/78.
  - 2007. Assessment of the International Fishery for Shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) in Division 3M (Flemish Cap), 1993–2007. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 07/89.

2008. Division 3M Northern Shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*)-Interim Monitoring Update *NAFO SCR Doc.*08/55.

2008. Assessment of the International Fishery for Shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) in Division 3M (Flemish Cap), 1993–2008. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 08/67.

- 2008. Northern Shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) on Flemish Cap Surveys 2008. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 08/68.
- 2009. Division 3M Northern shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*)-Interim Monitoring Update. *NAFOSCR Doc*. 09/50.
- 2009. Northern Shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) on Flemish Cap Surveys 2009. 09/54.
- 2009. Assessment of the International Fishery for Shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) in Division 3M
- (Flemish Cap), 1993–2009. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 09/56. 2009. Comparison of Northern Shrimp Age composition
- calculated from Length distributions in the EU Survey and from Commercial Samples in 3M Division. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 09/57.
- 2010. Division 3M Northern shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*)-Interim Monitoring Update. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 10/47. 2010. Assessment of the International Fishery
- for Shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) in Division 3M (Flemish Cap), 1993–2010. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 10/64.
- 2010. Northern Shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) on Flemish Cap Surveys 2010. *NAFO SCR Doc*.10/66.
- 2011. Division 3M Northern shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*)-Interim Monitoring Update. *NAFO SCR Doc*. 11/45.
- 2011. Northern Shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) on
- Flemish Cap Surveys 2011. NAFO SCR Doc. 11/60. 2011. Assessment of the International Fishery
- for Shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) in Division 3M (Flemish Cap), 1993–2011. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 11/62.
- 2012. Assessment of the International Fishery for Shrimp (Pandalus borealis) in Division 3M
- (Flemish Cap), 1993–2012. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 12/52. 2012. Northern Shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) on Flemish Cap Surveys 2012. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 12/53.
- CASAS, J.M., J.L. DEL RIO and D. GONZALEZ-TROCOSO. 2004. Northern shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) on Flemish Cap surveys 2003 and 2004. *NAFO SCR Doc*. 04/77.
- CASAS, J.M. and D. GONZÁLEZ-TRONCOSO. 2005. Results from Bottom Trawl Survey on Flemish Cap of July 2004. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 05/35.
- CASAS, J.M., J.L. DEL RIO, J. TERUEL and A. ALONSO. 2005. Northern Shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) on Flemish Cap Surveys. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 05/78.
- CASAS, J.M. and D. GONZÁLEZ-TRONCOSO. 2007. Results from Bottom Trawl Survey on Flemish Cap of June–July 2006. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 07/10.
  - 2009. Results from Bottom Trawl Survey on Flemish Cap of June–July 2008. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 09/19. 2011. Results from Bottom Trawl Survey on Flemish Cap of June–July 2010. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 11/21.
- CERVIÑO, S. 2002. Bootstrap estimate of catch-sampling variability for indices of abundance at age. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 02/76.
- CERVIÑO, S. and A. VÁZQUEZ. 2003. Re-opening criteria for Flemish Cap cod: a survey-based method. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 03/38.

2004. A survey-based assessment of cod in Division 3M. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 04/53.

- CERVIÑO, S., J. GIL and R. SANCHEZ. 2005. Changes in Flemish Cap cod distribution and its relationship with environmental changes. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 05/16.
- CERVIÑO, S. and F. SABORIDO-REY. 2006. Using the bootstrap to investigate the effects of varying tow lengths and sampling schemes in fish survey. *Fish. Res.*, **79**: 294–302. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2006.03.021
- DEL RÍO, J.L., E. ROMÁN and S. CERVIÑO. 2002. Abundance and distribution of elasmobranchs in NAFO regulatory area (Divs. 3MNO). NAFO SCR Doc. 02/106.
- DEL RIO, J.L., J.M. CASAS and T. PATROCINIO. 2002. Northern Shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) on Flemish Cap in July 2002. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 02/150.
- DEL RIO, J.L., J.M. CASAS and D. GONZALEZ-TRONCOSO. 2003. Northern Shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) on Flemish Cap in June 2003. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 03/80.
  - 2004. Revision of the northern shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) on Flemish Capin June 2003. *NAFO SCR Doc*. 04/69.
- DOMÍNGUEZ-PETIT, R. A. ALONSO-FERNÁNDEZ and F. SABORIDO-REY. 2011. Incidence and significance of cystic structures in the ovaries of gadoid fish. *Scientia Marina* **75(2)**: 359-368. http://dx.doi.org/10.3989/ scimar.2011.75n2359
- DOMINGUEZ-PETIT, R. R. RIDEOUT, D. GARABANA, Y. LAMBERT and J. MORGAN. 2011. Poster: Comparative estimates of fecundity for Greenland halibut from the NW Atlantic using the autodiametric method. *FRESH final conference*, Vigo, Spain. May 2011.
- DURÁN-MUÑOZ, P., F.J. MURILLO, A. SERRANO, M. SAYAGO-GIL, S. PARRA, V. DÍAZ DEL RÍO, M. SACAU, T. PATROCINIO and J. CRISTOBO. 2008. A Case Study of available methodology for the identification of vulnerable Ecosystems/Habitats in bottom deep-sea fisheries: Possibilities to apply this method in the NAFO Regulatory Area in order to select Marine Protected Areas. NAFO SCR Doc. 08/006.
- FERNÁNDEZ, C., S. CERVIÑO and A. VÁZQUEZ. 2007. A Survey-based Assessment of Cod in Division 3M. NAFO SCR Doc. 07/39
- FERNÁNDEZ, C., S. CERVIÑO, F. SABORIDO-REY and A.VÁZQUEZ. 2008. Assessment of the Cod Stock in NAFO Division 3M. NAFO SCR Doc. 08/26.
- FULLER, S.D., F.J. MURILLO, V. WAREHAM and E. KENCHINGTON. 2008. Vulnerable Marine Ecosystems Dominated by Deep-Water Corals and Sponges in the NAFO Convention Area. NAFO SCR Doc. 08/22.
- GIL, J., R. SÁNCHEZ, S. CERVIÑO and D. GARABANA. 2002. Geostrophic circulation and heat flux across the Flemish Cap. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 02/58.
  - 2004. Geostrophic circulation and heat flux across the Flemish Cap. J. Northw. Atl. Fish. Sci., Vol. **34**: 61–68.
- GONZÁLEZ IGLESIAS, C. 2012. Atlantic cod predation on redfish in Flemish Cap. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 12/27.
- GONZÁLEZ, C., E. ROMÁN AND X. PAZ. 2003. Food and Feeding Chronology of American Plaice (*Hippoglossoides platessoides*) in the North Atlantic. NAFO SCR Doc. 03/23.
- GONZÁLEZ, C., E. ROMÁN and X. PAZ. 2004. Condition and feeding of American plaice (*Hippoglossoides platessoides*)

in the North Atlantic with emphasis in Flemish Cap. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 04/59.

- GONZÁLEZ, C., X. PAZ, E. ROMÁN and M. ALVÁREZ. 2006. Feeding habits of wolffishes (*Anarhichas denticulatus*, *A. lupus*, *A. minor*) in the North Atlantic. *NAFO SCR Doc*. 06/52.
- GONZÁLEZ, C., E. ROMÁN, X. PAZ and E. CEBALLOS. 2006. Feeding habits and diet overlap of skates (*Amblyraja radiata*, *A. hyperborea*, *Bathyraja spinicauda*, *Malacoraja senta* and *Rajella fyllae*) in the North Atlantic. *NAFO SCR Doc*. 06/53.
- GONZÁLEZ, C., J. TERUEL, E. LÓPEZ and X. PAZ. 2007. Feeding Habits and Biological Features of Deep-Sea Species of the Northwest Atlantic: Large-eyed Rabbitfish (*Hydrolagus mirabilis*), Narrownose Chimaera (*Harriotta raleighana*) and Black Dogfish (*Centroscyllium fabricii*). NAFO SCR Doc. 07/63.
- GONZÁLEZ, C., X. PAZ and A. ARMESTO. 2008. Daily ration and energy content obtained from the diet of American plaice (*Hippoglossoides plateossoides*) in the Grand Bank and Flemish Cap. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 08/52.
- GONZÁLEZ IGLESIAS, C. and J.M. CASAS. 2012. Atlantic Cod Predation on Northern shrimp in Flemish Cap (NAFO Div. 3M). *NAFO SCR Doc.* 12/55.
- GONZÁLEZ-COSTAS, F. 2009. Roughhead Grenadier Subarea 2 and 3 XSA model configuration. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 09/21.
- GONZÁLEZ-COSTAS, F. 2010. An assessment of NAFO roughhead grenadier Subarea 2 and 3 stock. NAFO SCR Doc. 10/32.
- GONZÁLEZ-COSTAS, F. and H. MURUA. 2005. Assessment of roughhead grenadier, *Macrourus berglax*, in NAFO Subareas 2 and 3. *NAFO SCR Doc*. 05/54.
- GONZÁLEZ-COSTAS, F., D. GONZÁLEZ-TRONCOSO, J.M. CASAS and G. RAMILO. 2006. Spiny dogfish (Squalus acanthias) and black dogfish (Centroscyllium fabricii) Spanish data (surveys and fishery) in NAFO Divisions 3LMNO. NAFO SCR Doc. 06/30.
- GONZÁLEZ-COSTAS F. and H. MURUA. 2007. An analytical assessment of NAFO roughhead grenadier Subareas 2 and 3 stock. *NAFO SCR* Doc. 07/34.
- GÓNZALEZ-COSTAS, F. and H. MURUA 2008. A review on roughhead grenadier (*Macrourus berglax*) biology and population structure on Flemish Cap (NAFO Division 3M) 1991-2007 based upon EU Flemish Cap bottom survey data. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 08/27.
- GONZÁLEZ-COSTAS, F. and D. GONZÁLEZ-TRONCOSO. 2009. Spanish 2006–2008 Fisheries Footprint, scientific Observers and surveys coverage and update of the Standardized CPUE Indices for Greenland Halibut. NAFO SCR Doc. 09/22.
- GONZÁLEZ-TRONCOSO, D. and M. CASAS. 2005. Calculations of the calibration factors from the comparative experience between the RV *Cornide de Saavedra* and the RV *Vizconde de Eza* in Flemish Cap in 2003 and 2004. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 05/29.
- GONZÁLEZ-TRONCOSO, D., M. CASAS and F. SABORIDO-REY. 2006. Results from bottom trawl survey on Flemish Cap of July–August 2005. NAFO SCR Doc. 06/16.

- GONZÁLEZ-TRONCOSO, D. and F. GONZÁLEZ-COSTAS. 2006. Quality of the surveys information in relation with the Greenland halibut assessment of Subarea 2 and Divisions 3KLMNO. NAFO SCR Doc. 06/50.
- GONZÁLEZ-TRONCOSO, D., M. SACAU and F. GONZÁLEZ-COSTAS. 2007. A study of Spanish Greenland halibut commercial effort and CPUE in 3LMNO using GIS with comparisons to the Spanish Div. 3NO survey and EU Flemish Cap survey catche. NAFO SCR Doc. 07/54.
- GONZÁLEZ-TRONCOSO, D and X. PAZ. 2007. Some Ecological Indices in Flemish Cap derived from the surveys conducted by EU between 1988 and 2006. NAFO SCR Doc. 07/65.
- GONZÁLEZ-TRONCOSO, D. and C. FERNÁNDEZ. 2009. Assessment of the Cod Stock in NAFO Division 3M. NAFO SCR Doc. 09/34.
- GONZÁLEZ-TRONCOSO, D. and A. VÁZQUEZ. 2010. Assessment of the Cod Stock in NAFO Division 3M. NAFO SCR Doc. 10/41.
  - 2011. Assessment of the Cod Stock in NAFO Division 3M. NAFO SCR Doc. 11/38.
- GONZÁLEZ-TRONCOSO, D. and F. GONZÁLEZ-COSTAS. 2011. New projections from the assessment of the Cod Stock in NAFO Division 3M. NAFO SCR Doc. 11/47.
- GONZÁLEZ-TRONCOSO, D. and XABIER PAZ. 2008. Growth features of American plaice (*Hippoglossoides* plateossoides) in Northwest Atlantic. NAFO SCR Doc. 08/53.
- GONZÁLEZ-TRONCOSO, D. and A. VÁZQUEZ. 2010. Assessment of the Cod Stock in NAFO Division 3M. NAFO SCR Doc. 10/41.
- HENDRICKSON, L. and A. VÁZQUEZ. 2005. Densitydependent Changes in the Spatial Distributions of Atlantic Cod (*Gadus morhua*), American Plaice (*Hippoglossoides platessoides*), and Greenland Halibut (*Reinhardtius hippoglossoides*) on the Flemish Cap During 1988-2002. J. Northw. Atl. Fish. Sci., Vol. **37**: 53–72. http://dx.doi. org/10.2960/J.v37.m566
- JUNQUERA, S, H. MURUA and K. PATTERSON. 2001. Monitoring update of the roughhead grenadier (*Macrourus berglax*) stock in NAFO Subareas 2 and 3. *NAFO SCR Doc*. 01/75.
- KENCHINGTON *et al.* 2009. The Use of Density Analyses to Delineate Sponge Grounds and Other Benthic VMEs from Trawl Survey Data. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 09/06.
- KENCHINGTON, E., BEST, M., COGSWELL, A., MACISAAC, K., MURILLO-PEREZ, F. J., MACDONALD, B, WAREHAM, V., FULLER, S. D., JØRGENSBYE, H. I. Ø., SKLYAR, V., and THOMPSON, A. B. 2009. Coral Identification Guide NAFO Area. *NAFO Sci. Council Studies* 42: 1–35. doi:10.2960/S.v42.m1. http://dx.doi. org/10.2960/S.v42.m1
- KENCHINGTON, E. F.J. MURILLO, A. COGSWELL and C. LIRETTE. 2011. Development of Encounter Protocols and Assessment of Significant Adverse Impact by Bottom Trawling for Sponge Grounds and Sea Pen Fields in the NAFO Regulatory Area. NAFO SCR Doc. 11/75.
- LILLY, G. and A. VÁZQUEZ. 2005. Flemish Cap (NAFO

Division 3M). pp 90-94 in K. Brander (ed.) Spawning and life history information for North Atlantic cod stocks. *ICES Coop. Res. Report* 274, 152 pp.

- LILLY, G.R., K. WIELAND, B.J. ROTSCHILD, S. SUNDBY, K. DRINKWATER, K. BRANDER, G. OTTERSEN, J. CARSCADDEN, G. STENSON, G. CHOUINARD, D. SWAIN, N. DAAN, K. ENBERG, M. HAMMILL, A. ROSING-ASVID, H. SVEDÄNG, and A. VÁZQUEZ. 2008. Decline and recovery of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) stocks throughout the North Atlantic. pp 39–66 *In*: Kruse, G.H., K. Drinkwater, J.N. Ianelli, J.S. Link, D.L. Stram, V. Wespestad, and D. Woodby (eds.). Resiliency of gadoid stocks to fishing and climate change. *Proceedings of the* 24th Lowell Wakefield Fisheries Symposium, 364 pp.
- MARSHALL, T., L. O'BRIEN, J. TOMKIEWICZ,
  G. MARTEINSDÓTTIR, M. J. MORGAN,
  F. SABORIDO-REY, F. KÖSTER, J. BLANCHARD,
  D. SECOR, G. KRAUS, P. WRIGHT and H. BJÖRNSSON.
  2003. Developing alternative indices of reproductive potential for use in fisheries management: case studies for stocks spanning an information gradient. J. North. Atl. Fish. Sci. 33: 161–190. http://dx.doi.org/10.2960/J.v33.a8
- MILLER, D.C.M., P. A. SHELTON, B.P. HEALEY, W.B. BRODIE, M.J. MORGAN, D. BUTTERWORTH, R. ALPOIM, D. GONZÁLEZ, F. GONZÁLEZ, C. FERNANDEZ, J. IANELLI, J-C. MAHÉ, I. MOSQUEIRA, R. SCOTT and A. VAZQUEZ. 2008. Management strategy evaluation for Greenland halibut (*Reinhardtius hippoglossoides*) in NAFO Subarea 2 and Divisions 3LKMNO. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 08/025.
- MORGAN, M.J., J. BURNETT, J. TOMKIEWICZ and F. SABORIDO-REY. 2003. The Availability of Data for Estimating Reproductive Potential for Selected Stocks in the North Atlantic. *NAFO Sci. Council Studies* 37: 1–378.
- MORGAN, J., A. PÉREZ-RODRÍGUEZ and F. SABORIDO-REY. 2011. Does increased information about reproductive potential result in better prediction of recruitment? *Can. J. Aqua. Fish. Sci.* 68: 1361–1368. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1139/f2011-049
- MORGAN, M.J., D. GARABANA and F. SABORIDO-REY. 2012. Distribution of spawning and sex ratio in Greenland halibut. NAFO SCR Doc. 12/24.
- MORGAN, M.J., D. GARABANA, R.M. RIDEOUT, E. ROMÁN, A. PÉREZ-RODRÍGUEZ and F. SABORIDO-REY. 2013. Changes in distribution of Greenland halibut in a varying environment. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* **70** (2): 352-361. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fss179
- MURILLO, F.J., P. DURÁN MUÑOZ, M. SACAU, D. GONZÁLEZ-TRONCOSO and A. SERRANO. 2008. Preliminary data on cold-water corals and large sponges by-catch from Spanish/EU bottom trawl groundfish surveys in NAFO Regulatory Area (Divs. 3LMNO) and Canadian EEZ (Div. 3L): 2005–2007 period. NAFO SCR Doc. 08/10.
- MURILLO F.J., DURAN MUÑOZ, P., ALTUNA PRADOS, A., AND SERRANO, A. 2009. Distribution of deepwater corals of the Flemish Cap, Flemish Pass and the Grand Banks of Newfoundland (Northwest Atlantic Ocean): interaction with fishing activities. ICES

Symposium, Issues Confronting the Deep Oceans: The Economic, Scientific, and Governance Challenges and Opportunities of Working in the Deep Sea). 27–30 Abril 2009. Horta. Azores, Portugal. Abstract E62.

- MURILLO, F. J., E. KENCHINGTON, C. GONZÁLEZ and M. SACAU. 2010. The Use of Density Analyses to Delineate Significant Concentrations of Pennatulaceans for Trawl Survey Data. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 10/07, Serial Number N5753.
- MURILLO, F.J., KENCHINGTON, E., SACAU, M., PIPER, D. J. W., WAREHAM, V., AND MUÑOZ, A. 2011. New VME indicator species (excluding corals and sponges) and some potential VME elements of the NAFO Regulatory Area. NAFO SCR Doc. 11/73.
- MURILLO, F.J., P. DURÁN MUÑOZ, A. ALTUNA and A. SERRANO. 2011. Distribution of deep-water corals of the Flemish Cap, Flemish Pass and the Grand Banks of Newfoundland (Northwest Atlantic Ocean): interaction with fishing activities. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* 68 (2), 319–332. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsq071
- MURILLO, F.J., SALAS, C., GOFAS, S., VALDÉS, A., PATROCINIO, T, and SERRANO, A. 2011. Diversity and distribution of mollusk assemblages of the Grand Banks of Newfoundland and Flemish Cap (Northwest Atlantic Ocean). 6th Congress of the European Malacological Societies, 18–22 July 2011. Vitoria-Gasteiz, Spain.
- MURUA, H. 2002. A Review on Roughhead Grenadier (*Macrourus berglax*) Biology and Population Structure on Flemish Cap (NAFO Division 3M), 1991–2001 Based on Flemish Cap Survey data. *NAFO SCR Doc*. 02/18.
- MURUA, H. 2003. Population structure, growth and reproduction of roughhead grenadier on the Flemish Cap and Flemish Pass. J. Fish Biol. 63: 356–373. http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/ j.1095-8649.2003.00158.x
- MURUA, H. 2003. A Review on Roughhead Grenadier (*Macrourus berglax*) Biology and Population Structure on Flemish Cap (NAFO Division 3M), 1991-2002 Based on EU Flemish Cap Bottom Survey Data. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 3/13.
- MURUA, H. 2003. Assessment of Roughhead Grenadier, Macrourus berglax, in NAFO Subareas 2 and 3. NAFO SCR Doc. 03/43.
- MURUA, H. and C. GONZALEZ. 2004. A review on roughhead grenadier (*Macrourus berglax*) biology and population structure on Flemish Cap (NAFO Division 3M) 1991–2003 based upon EU Flemish Cap bottom survey data. *NAFO SCR Doc*. 04/14.
- MURUA, H., C. GONZÁLEZ and J.M. CASAS. 2005. A review on roughhead grenadier (*Macrourus berglax*) biology and population structure on Flemish Cap (NAFO Division 3M) 1991-2004 based upon EU Flemish Cap bottom survey data. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 05/36.
- MURUA, H., F. GONZÁLEZ-COSTAS and D. POWER. 2005. A review of the Fishery and the Investigations of Roughhead grenadier (*Macrourus berglax*) in Flemish Cap and Flemish Pass. J. Northw. Atl. Fish. Sci. **37**: 13–27. http://dx.doi.org/10.2960/J.v37.m567
- MURUA, H. and C. GÓNZALEZ. 2006. A review on roughhead

grenadier (*Macrourus berglax*) biology and population structure on Flemish Cap (NAFO Division 3M) 1991-2005 based upon EU Flemish Cap bottom survey data. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 06/07.

- MURUA, H., S. CERVIÑO and A. VÁZQUEZ. 2006. A survey-based assessment of cod in Division 3M. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 6/32.
- MURUA H., F. GÓNZALEZ-COSTAS and D. POWER. 2006. A review of the biology and fishery of roughhead grenadier (*Macrourus berglax*) in the North West Atlantic. American Fishery Society - AFS Symposium. Lake Placid (USA).
- MURUA, H. and C. GÓNZALEZ. 2007. A review on roughhead grenadier (*Macrourus berglax*) biology and population structure on Flemish Cap (NAFO Division 3M) 1991-2006 based upon EU Flemish Cap bottom survey data. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 07/25.
- MURUA H., M. ARANDA y L. MOTOS. 2007. A review on roughhead grenadier (*Macrourus berglax*) biology and population structure on Flemish 2007. Evaluación y gestión internacional del bacalao de Terranova. *In*: García-Orellán, R. and X. Santos Solla (eds.). Canadá y la Unión Europea. Visión multidisciplinar de la gestión pesquera. Univ. Santiago de Compostela, 254 pp.
- PÉREZ-RODRÍGUEZ, A, J. MORGAN and F. SABORIDO-REY. 2009. Comparison of Demographic and Direct Methods to calculate Probabilistic Maturation Reaction Norms for Flemish Cap cod (*Gadus morhua*). *Evolutionary Applications* 2(3): 291–298. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ j.1752-4571.2009.00084.x
- PÉREZ-RODRIGUEZ. A. and M. KOEN-ALONSO. 2010. Standardization of time series for the EU bottom trawl Flemish Cap survey: Estimation of conversion factors between RV Cornide de Saavedra and RV Vizconde de Eza. NAFO SCR Doc. 10/22.
- PÉREZ-RODRÍGUEZ, A., M. J. MORGAN, R. M. RIDEOUT, R. DOMÍNGUEZ-PETIT and F. SABORIDO-REY. 2011. Study of the relationship between total egg production, female spawning stock biomass and recruitment in Flemish Cap cod (*Gadus morhua*). *Ciencias Marinas* 37(4B): 675–687
- PÉREZ-RODRÍGUEZ, A., M. KOEN-ALONSO and F. SABORIDO-REY. 2012. Changes and trends in the demersal fish community of the Flemish Cap, Northwest Atlantic, in the period 1988-2008. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* 69(5): 902–912. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fss019
- PÉREZ-RODRÍGUEZ, A. and F. SABORIDO-REY. 2012. Food consumption of Flemish Cap cod *Gadus morhua* and redfish *Sebastes* sp. using generic bioenergetic models. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 12/68.
- PÉREZ-RODRÍGUEZ, A., J. MORGAN, M. KOEN-ALONSO and F. SABORIDO-REY. 2013. Disentangling genetic change from phenotypic response in reproductive parameters of Flemish Cap cod *Gadus morhua*. *Fish. Res.* 138: 62–70. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2012.09.004
- ROMÁN, E., C. GONZÁLEZ and E. CEBALLOS. 2004. Food and feeding of most abundant fish species in Flemish Cap. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 04/58.
- ROMÁN, E., C. GONZÁLEZ and X. PAZ. 2004. Condition and

feeding of Greenland halibut (*Reinhardtius hippoglossoides*) in Flemish Cap and other areas: 1992–2003. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 04/60.

- SABORIDO-REY, F. and A. VÁZQUEZ. 2003. Results from Bottom Trawl Survey on Flemish Cap of July 2002. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 03/42.
- SABORIDO-REY, F., GARABANA, D. and S. Cerviño. 2004. Age and growth of redfish (*Sebastes marinus, S. mentella* and *S. fasciatus*) in Flemish Cap (Northwest Atlantic). *ICES J. Mar. Sci.*, 61: 231–242. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. icesjms.2003.11.003
- SABORIDO-REY, F., M.J. MORGAN and R. DOMÍNGUEZ. 2004. Estimation of Reproductive Potential for Flemish Cap Cod. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 04/61.
- SABORIDO-REY, F., D. GARABANA, C. STRANSKY, S. MELNIKOV and V. SHIBANOV. 2004. Review of population structure and ecology of *S. mentella* in the Irminger Sea and adjacent waters. *Rev. Fish Biol. and Fish.* 14: 455–479. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11160-005-3585-9
- STRANSKY, C., S. GUÐMUNDSDÓTTIR, T. SIGURÐSSON, S. LEMVIG, K. NEDREAAS and F. SABORIDO-REY. 2003. Age Readings of *Sebastes marinus* and *S. mentella* Otoliths: Bias and Precision Between Readers. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 03/16.
- STRANSKY, C., S. GUÐMUNDSDÓTTIR, T. SIGURÐSSON, S. LEMVIG, K. NEDREAAS and F. SABORIDO-REY. 2005. Age determination and growth of Atlantic redfish (*Sebastes marinus* and *S. mentella*): bias and precision of age readers and otolith preparation methods. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* 62: 655–670. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. icesjms.2005.01.018
- TOMKIEWICZ, J., J. BURNETT, M.J. MORGAN and F. SABORIDO-REY. 2003. Available information for estimating reproductive potential of Northwest Atlantic groundfish stocks. J. Northw. Atl. Fish. Sci. 33: 1–21. http:// dx.doi.org/10.2960/J.v33.a1
- VÁZQUEZ, A. 2002. Results from bottom trawl survey on Flemish Cap of July 2001. *NAFO SCR Doc*. 02/12.
- VÁZQUEZ, A. 2002. Catchability comparison between Lofoten and Campelen gears. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 02/74.
- VÁZQUEZ, A. 2007. Evolución de los recursos pesqueros en el área NAFO. p 53–75 *In*: Canadá y la Unión Europea.
  Visión multidisciplinar de la gestión pesquera. García-Orellán, R. and X. Santos Solla (eds.). Univ. Santiago de Compostela, 254 pp.
- VÁZQUEZ, A. 2009. La pesca española del bacalao en Terranova. *Foro Ac. Rec. Mar. Rías Gal.* **11**: 125–140.
- VÁZQUEZ, A. 1010. Results from Bottom Trawl Survey on Flemish Cap of June–July 2009. NAFO SCR Doc. 10/23.
- VÁZQUEZ, A. 2011. Validation of Flemish Cap cod ageing. NAFO SCR Doc. 11/28.
- VÁZQUEZ, A. 2012. Results from Bottom Trawl Survey on Flemish Cap of July 2011. NAFO SCR Doc. 12/26.
- VÁZQUEZ, A. 2012. On recruitment of the Flemish Cap cod

stock. NAFO SCR Doc. 12/39.

- VÁZQUEZ, A. and S. CERVIÑO. 2002. An assessment of the cod stock in NAFO Division 3M. NAFO SCR Doc. 02/58.
- VÁZQUEZ, A. and S. CERVIÑO. 2005. A review of the status of the cod stock in NAFO Division 3M. *NAFO SCR Doc*. 05/38
- VÁZQUEZ, A. and D. GONZÁLEZ TRONCOSO. 2008. Results from Bottom Trawl Survey on Flemish Cap of June–July 2007. NAFO SCR Doc. 08/34.
- VÁZQUEZ, A. and R. PIÑEIRO. 2008. Using a size indicator based on survey data to evaluate the state of the Flemish Cap ecosystem. NAFO SCR Doc. 08/51
- VÁZQUEZ, A. and M. MANDADO. 2012. A stochastic VPA of the Flemish Cap cod stock. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 12/035.
- VÁZQUEZ, A., J.M. CASAS, W.B. BRODIE, F.J. MURILLO, M. MANDADO, A. GAGO, R. ALPOIM, R. BAÑÓN and A. ARMESTO. 2013. List of Species as recorded by Canadian and EU Bottom Trawl Surveys in Flemish Cap. *NAFO SCR Doc.* 13/005.
- WITTHAMES, P.R., A.THORSEN, L.N. GREENWOOD,
  F. SABORIDO-REY, ROSARIO DOMINGUEZ,
  H. MURUA, M. KORTA and O.S.KJESBU. 2009.
  Advances in methods for determining fecundity: application of the new methods to some marine fishes. *Fish. Bull.* 107(2): 148–164.

#### Ph Thesis or equivalent

- JUAN FRANCISCO SABORIDO REY. 1994. El Género Sebastes Cuvier, 1829 (Pisces, Scorpaenidae) en el Atlántico Norte: identificación de especies y poblaciones mediante métodos morfométricos; crecimiento y reproducción de las poblaciones en Flemish Cap. Universidad Autónoma de Madrid.
- SANTIAGO CERVIÑO LÓPEZ. 2004. Estudio de la Incertidumbre Asociada a los Métodos de Evaluación de las Poblaciones de Peces. Universidad de Vigo.
- ANTÓNIO ÁVILA DE MELO. 2004. A comparative assessment of beaked redfish (*S. mentella* and *S. fasciatus*) in NAFO Division 3M and medium term projections under a low commercial catch/high shrimp fishery by-catch regime. Dissertação para acesso à categoria de Investigador Auxiliar. Instituto Nacional de Investigação Agrária e das Pescas.
- DOLORES GARABANA BARRO. 2005. The genus *Sebastes* Cuvier, 1829 (*Pisces, Scorpaenidae*) in the North Atlantic: Species and stock discrimination using traditional and geometric morphometrics. Universidad de Vigo.
- M<sup>a</sup>CONCEPCIÓN GONZÁLEZ IGLESIAS. 2012. Ecología de la platija americana (*Hippoglossoides platessoides* (Fabricius,1780)) en el Atlántico Norte. Universidad de Vigo.
- ALFONSO PÉREZ RODRÍGUEZ. 2012. An integrative study to the functioning of the Flemish Cap demersal community. Universidade de Vigo.

# **NAFO Scientific Council Studies**

- No. 1. Miscellaneous Selected Papers (101 pages, published March 1981)
- No. 2. Manual on Groundfish Surveys in the Northwest Atlantic. W. G. Doubleday, Editor (55 pages, published December 1981)
- No. 3. Miscellaneous Selected Papers (82 pages, published April 1982)
- No. 4. Special Session on Remote Sensing, September 1981 (98 pages, published September 1982)
- No. 5. Symposium on Environmental Conditions in the Northwest Atlantic During 1970–79, September 1981 (113 pages, published December 1982)
- No. 6. Miscellaneous Selected Papers (103 pages, published December 1983)
- No. 7. Miscellaneous Selected Papers (97 pages, published August 1984)
- No. 8. Miscellaneous Selected Papers (95 pages, published April 1985)
- No. 9. Special Session on Squids, September 1984 (179 pages, published November 1985)
- No. 10. Miscellaneous Selected Papers (112 pages, published August 1986)
- No. 11. Miscellaneous Selected Papers (128 pages, published March 1987)
- No. 12. Miscellaneous Selected Papers (90 pages, published March 1988)
- No. 13. Miscellaneous Selected Papers (82 pages, published November 1989)
- No. 14. Miscellaneous Selected Papers (82 pages, published November 1989)
- No. 15. Miscellaneous Selected Papers (68 pages, published May 1991)
- No. 16. Special Session on Management Under Uncertainties, September 1990 (189 pages, published November 1991)
- No. 17. Workbook Introduction to Sequential Population Analysis (98 pages, published February 1993)
- No. 18. Symposium on Changes in Abundance and Biology of Cod Stocks and Their Possible Causes (110 pages, published July 1993)
- No. 19. Miscellaneous Selected Papers (98 pages, published October 1993)
- No. 20. Miscellaneous Selected Papers (113 pages, published February 1994)
- No. 21. Collection of Papers Related to Northern Cod and Seals in NAFO Divisions 2J and 3KL, Papers from June 1993 (165 pages, published December 1994)
- No. 22. Miscellaneous Selected Papers (95 pages, published May 1995)
- No. 23. Miscellaneous Selected Papers (95 pages, published September 1995)
- No. 24. Symposium on Impact of Anomalous Oceanographic Conditions at the Beginning of the 1990s in the Northwest Atlantic on the Distribution and Behaviour of Marine Life (155 pages, published January 1996)
- No. 25. Flemish Cap Selected Environmental and Other Papers (91 pages, published July 1996)
- No. 26. Selected papers on Harp and Hooded Seals (129 pages, published December 1996)
- No. 27. Miscellaneous Selected Papers (81 pages, published December 1996)
- No. 28. Assessment of Groundfish Stocks Based on Bottom Trawl Survey Results (105 pages, published December 1996)
- No. 29. Selected Studies Related to Assessment of Cod in NAFO Divisions 2J+3KL (125 pages, published May 1997)
- No. 30. Miscellaneous Selected Papers (117 pages, published December 1997)

- No. 31. Miscellaneous Papers (165 pages, published December 1998)
- No. 32. Miscellaneous Papers (133 pages, published April 1999)
- No. 33. Miscellaneous Papers (135 pages, published May 2000)
- No. 34. Miscellaneous Papers (91 pages, published October 2001)
- No. 35 Workshop: The Canada-United States Yellowtail Flounder Age Reading (68 pages, published December 2002).
- No. 36 Workshop on Assessment Methods (320 pages, published May, 2003)
- No. 37 Working Group on Reproductive Potential (378 pages, published August, 2003)
- No. 38 Yellowtainl Flounder Ageing Manual (54 pages, published May, 2005)
- No. 39 Workshop on Mapping and Geostatistical Methods for Fisheries Stock Assessment (50 pages, published May, 2005)
- No. 40 Identification of Wolffish, Hake and rockling in the Northwest Atlantic (7 pages, published 2007)
- No. 41 Report of the Greenland Halibut (*Reinhardtius hippoglossoides*) Age Determination Workshop (96 pages, published 2008)
- No. 42 Coral Identification Guide NAFO Area (35 pages, published 2009)
- No. 43 Sponge Identification Guide NAFO Area (52 pages, published 2010)
- No. 44 Report of the Workshop on Implementation of Stock Reproductive Potential into Assessment and Management Advice for Harvested Marine Species (75 pages, published 2012)

# Scientific Publications of the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization

In efforts to reduce paper usage and ensure publications are accessible to all, many publications are available FREE electronically at *www.nafo.int*.

The NAFO publications listed below are available through the NAFO Secretariat. Prices include postage and handling.

Please note: Pricing for volumes shipped overseas is higher due to increased postage costs.

Price	Price
North America	Overseas
(CAD \$)	(CAD \$)

#### Journal of Northwest Atlantic Fishery Science - Available FREE online at www.journal.nafo.int

This publication provides an international forum for the primary publication of original research papers on fisheries science in the Northwest Atlantic, with emphasis on environmental, biological, ecological and fishery aspects of the living marine resources and ecosystems.

**Please note:** Scientific publications during ICNAF times (1949–1979) are available at the Secretariat in print format and are now available online at www.nafo.int

Vol. 43, 2010-2011 (Regular Issue)		45.00
Vol. 42, 2009-2010 (The Role of Marine Mammals in the Ecosystem in the 21st Century)		45.00
Vol. 41, 2008-2009 (Reproductive and Recruitment Processes of Exploited Marine Fish Stocks)		45.00
Vol. 40, 2008 (Regular issue)		45.00
Vol. 39, 2007-2008 (Environmental and Ecosystem Histories in the Northwest Atlantic - What Influences Liv	ing	
Marine Resources?)		45.00
Vol. 38, 2007 (Regular issue)		45.00
Vol. 37, 2005-2007 (Flemish Cap Symposium)		45.00
Vol. 36, 2005-2006 (Regular issue)		41.00
Vol. 35, 2005 (Symposium on Elasmobranch Fisheries: Managing for Sustainable Use and Biodiversity Cons	servation) 46.00	51.00
Vol. 34, 2004 (Mini-symposium on Hydrographic Variability in NAFO Waters for the Decade 1991-2000 in F	Relation to	
Past Decades)		36.00
Vol. 33, 2003 (Reproductive Potential of Fish Populations of the North Atlantic)		36.00
Vol. 32, 2003 (Regular issue)		36.00
Vol. 31, 2003 (Joint NAFO/ICES/CSIRO Symposium entitled "Deep-Sea Fisheries")		46.00
Vol. 30, 2002 (Regular issue)		36.00
Vol. 29, 2001 (Regular issue)		36.00
Vol. 28, 2000 (Special Issue on A Review of the Cod Fisheries at Greenland, 1910-1995)		36.00
Vol. 27, 2000 (NAFO/ICES/PICES Symposium on Pandalid Shrimp Fisheries - Science and Management at the	the	
Millennium)		46.00
Vol. 26, 2000 (Regular issue)		36.00
Vol. 25, 1999 (Variations in Maturation, Growth, Condition and Spawning Stock Biomass Production in Grou	undfish) Out	of Print
Vol. 24, 1998 (Regular issue)		31.00
Vol. 23, 1998 (What Future for Capture Fisheries)		41.00
Vol. 22, 1997 (NAFO/ICES Symposium on The Role of Marine Mammals in the Ecosystem)		36.00
Vol. 21, 1997 (Regular issue)		31.00
Vol. 20, 1996 (Special Issue on North Atlantic Fishery Management Systems: A Comparison of Management	Methods	
and Resource Trends)		31.00
Vol. 19, 1996 (Gear Selectivity/Technical Interactions in Mixed Species Fisheries Symposium)		31.00
Vol. 18, 1996 (Regular issue)		28.00
Vol. 17, 1994 (Special Issue on Report of the Canada–USSR Capelin Symposium)		26.00
Vol. 16, 1994 (Regular issue )		26.00
Vol. 15, 1993 (Special Issue on Decapod Crustacean Larvae from Ungava Bay)		26.00

Vol. 14, 1992 (Special Session on Changes in Biomass, Production and Species Composition)	21.00	26.00
Vol. 13, 1992 (Regular issue)	16.00	21.00
Vol. 12, 1992 (Regular issue)	16.00	21.00
Vol. 11, 1991 (Regular issue)	16.00	21.00
Vol. 10, 1990 (Special issue on The Delimitation of Fishing Areas in the Northwest Atlantic)	16.00	21.00
Vol. 9, No. 2, 1989 (Regular issue)	16.00	21.00
Vol. 9, No. 1, 1989 (Regular issue)	16.00	21.00
Vol. 8, 1988 (Regular issue)	16.00	21.00
Vol. 7, No. 2, 1987 (Regular issue)	16.00	21.00
Vol. 7, No. 1, 1986 (Regular issue)	16.00	21.00
Vol. 6, No. 2, 1985 (Regular issue)	16.00	21.00
Vol. 6, No. 1, 1985 (Regular issue)	16.00	21.00
Vol. 5, No. 2, 1984 (Regular issue)	16.00	21.00
Vol. 5, No. 1, 1984 (Regular issue)	16.00	21.00
Vol. 4, 1983 (Guide to the Early Stages of Marine Fishes in the Western North Atlantic Ocean, Cape Hatteras to the		
Southern Scotian Shelf)	26.00	31.00
Vol. 3, No. 2, 1982 (Regular issue)	14.00	19.00
Vol. 3, No. 1, 1982 (Regular issue)	14.00	19.00
Vol. 2, 1981 (Regular issue)	14.00	19.00
Vol. 1, 1980 (Regular issue)	14.00	19.00

## NAFO Scientific Council Studies - Available FREE online at www.nafo.int

This publication includes papers of topical interest and importance to the current and future activities of Scientific Council.

No. 44, 2011 (Report of the Workshop on Implementation of Stock Reproductive Potential into Assessment and

Management Advice for Harvested Marine Species)	. Online only	
No. 43, 2010 (Sponge Identification Guide - NAFO Area)	Online only	
No. 42, 2009 (Coral Identification Guide - NAFO Area)	. Online only	
No. 41, 2008 (Report of the Greenland Halibut (Reinhardtius hippoglossoides) Age Determination Workshop)	. Online only	
No. 40, 2007 (Identification of Wolffish, Hake and Rockling in the Northwest Atlantic)	Online	only
No. 39, 2005 (Workshop on Mapping and Geostatistical Methods for Fisheries Stock Assessment)	31.00	36.00
No. 38, 2005 (Yellowtail Flounder Ageing Manual)	36.00	41.00
No. 37, 2003 (Working Group on Reproductive Potential)	41.00	46.00
No. 36, 2003 (Workshop on Assessment Methods)	41.00	46.00
No. 35, 2002 (Workshop: The Canada-United States Yellowtail Flounder Age Reading)	31.00	36.00
No. 34, 2001 (Regular issue)	31.00	36.00
No. 33, 2000 (Regular issue)	31.00	36.00
No. 32, 1999 (Regular issue)	31.00	36.00
No. 31, 1998 (Regular issue)	31.00	36.00
No. 30, 1997 (Miscellaneous Selected Papers)	26.00	31.00
No. 29, 1997 (Selected Studies Related to Assessment of Cod in NAFO Divisions 2J+3KL)	26.00	31.00
No. 28, 1996 (Assessment of Groundfish Stocks Based on Bottom Trawl Survey Results	26.00	31.00
No. 27, 1996 (Miscellaneous Selected Papers)	26.00	31.00
No. 26, 1996 (Selected Papers on Harp and Hooded Seals)	26.00	31.00
No. 25, 1996 (Flemish Cap Selected Environmental and Other Papers)	26.00	31.00
No. 24, 1996 (Symposium on Impact of Anomalous Oceanographic Conditions at the Beginning of the 1990s in the		
Northwest Atlantic on the Distribution and Behaviour of Marine Life)	26.00	31.00
No. 23, 1995 (Miscellaneous Selected Papers)	26.00	31.00
No. 22, 1995 (Miscellaneous Selected Papers)	26.00	31.00
No. 21, 1994 (Collection of Papers Related to Northern Cod and Seals in NAFO Divisions 2J and 3KL)	26.00	31.00
No. 20, 1994 (Miscellaneous Selected Papers)	26.00	31.00
No. 19, 1993 (Miscellaneous Selected Papers)	21.00	26.00
No. 18, 1993 (Symposium on Changes in Abundance and Biology of Cod Stocks and Their Possible Causes)	21.00	26.00
No. 17, 1993 (Workbook: Introduction to Sequential Population Analysis)	18.00	23.00
No. 16, 1991 (Special Session on Management Under Uncertainties, 5–7 September 1990)	21.00	26.00
No. 15, 1991 (Miscellaneous Selected Papers)	18.00	23.00
No. 14, 1990 (Miscellaneous Selected Papers)	18.00	23.00

18.00	23.00
18.00	23.00
18.00	23.00
18.00	23.00
21.00	26.00
16.00	21.00
14.00	19.00
14.00	19.00
14.00	19.00
14.00	19.00
12.00	17.00
11.00	16.00
12.00	17.00
	18.00 18.00 18.00 21.00 16.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 12.00 11.00 12.00

## NAFO Scientific Council Reports - Available FREE online at www.nafo.int

This publication contains reports of Scientific Council Meetings held through each year since NAFO replaced ICNAF. (The comparable publication during ICNAF was entitled the *Redbook*).

2011 (issued April 2012)	\$ 36.00	41.00
2010 (issued April 2011)	\$ 36.00	41.00
2009 (issued May 2010)	36.00	41.00
2008 (issued May 2009)	36.00	41.00
2007 (issued May 2008)	36.00	41.00
2006 (issued May 2007)	36.00	41.00
2005 (issued May 2006)	36.00	41.00
2004 (issued January 2005)	36.00	41.00
2002/2003 Supplement (issued January 2004)	21.00	26.00
2002/2003 (issued August 2003)	31.00	36.00
2002 (issued January 2003)	31.00	36.00
2001 (issued January 2002)	31.00	36.00
2000 (issued January 2001)	29.00	34.00
1999 (issued January 2000)	29.00	34.00
1998 (issued January 1999)	26.00	31.00
1997 (issued January 1998)	23.00	28.00
1996 (issued January 1997)	23.00	28.00
1995 (issued January 1996)	23.00	28.00
1994 (issued January 1995)	21.00	26.00
1993 (issued January 1994)	21.00	26.00
1992 (issued December 1992)	18.00	23.00
1991 (issued December 1991)	16.00	21.00
1990 (issued December 1990)	14.00	19.00
1989 (issued December 1989)	14.00	19.00
1988 (issued December 1988)	12.00	17.00
1987 (issued December 1987)	12.00	17.00
1986 (issued December 1986)	12.00	17.00
1985 (issued December 1985)	12.00	17.00
1984 (issued December 1984)	12.00	17.00
1983 (issued December 1983)	12.00	17.00
1982 (issued December 1982)	12.00	17.00
1981 (issued December 1981)	12.00	17.00
1979-80 (issued December 1980)	12.00	17.00

## Early Stages of Fishes in the Western North Atlantic Ocean (Davis Strait, Southern Greenland and Flemish Cap to Cape Hatteras)

This comprehensive scientific publication is the only up-to-date textbook providing detailed descriptions and accurate drawings of the early life-history stages of the fishes from the Northwest Atlantic Ocean north of 35°N and west of 40°W. The region covers the world's most famous fishing grounds and includes the Davis Strait, southern Greenland, Flemish Cap, Georges Bank, northern Sargasso Sea and Middle Atlantic Bight to Cape Hatteras.		
Hardcover 2-Volume Edition by Michael. P. Fahay	120.00	135.00
Identification of Wolffish, Hake and Rockling in the Northwest Atlantic		
1-page Identification Guide produced in full-colour on laminated stock	10.00	15.00
Sponge Identification Guide NAFO Area		
Coral Identification Guide NAFO Area (full-colour on waterproof stock)	30.00	35.00
Coral Identification Guide NAFO Area		
Coral Identification Guide NAFO Area (full-colour on waterproof stock)	25.00	30.00

# Information for Preparing Manuscripts for NAFO Scientific Publications

## Journal of Northwest Atlantic Fishery Science

The Journal is for the primary publication of original practical and theoretical research that is unpublished and is not being submitted for publication elsewhere. While it is intended to be regional in scope, papers of general applicability and methodology may be considered. Space is also provided for notes, letters to the editor and notices. Each paper is assigned to an Associate Editor of the Journal's Editorial Board, and is normally reviewed by two referees regarding suitability as a primary publication.

#### **NAFO Scientific Council Studies**

The Studies publishes papers which are of topical interest and importance to the current and future activities of the Scientific Council, but which do not meet the high standards or general applicability required by the Journal. Such papers have usually been presented as research documents at Scientific Council meetings and nominated for publication by the Standing Committee on Publications. Studies papers are not peer reviewed.

#### **Content of Paper**

The paper should be in English. The sequence should be: Title, Abstract, Text, References, Tables and Figures.

#### Title

The paper should start with the title, followed by the name(s), address(es) and emails of the author(s) including professional affiliation, and any related footnotes.

#### Abstract

An informative concise abstract should be provided along with key words listed alphabetically.

#### Text

In general, the text should be organized into Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results, Discussion, and Acknowledgements. Authors should be guided by the organization of papers that have been published in the NAFO Journal or Studies.

**Introduction** should be limited to the purpose and rationale of the study.

**Materials and Methods** should describe in sufficient detail the materials and methods used, so as to enable other scientists to evaluate or replicate the work.

**Results** should answer the questions evolving from the purpose of the study in a comprehensive manner and in an orderly and coherent sequence, with supporting tables and figures.

**Discussion** should explain the main contributions from the study, with appropriate interpretation of the results focusing on the problem or hypothesis. Comparisons with other studies should be included here.

Acknowledgements should be limited to the names of individuals who provided significant scientific and technical support, including reviewers, during the preparation of the paper, and the names of agencies which provided financial support.

### References

The references cited in the text should be listed alphabetically. References should be mainly restricted to significant published literature. Unpublished documents and data, papers in preparation, and papers awaiting acceptance to other journals, may be cited with full contact addresses as unpublished or personal communications.

#### **Examples:**

- KING, M. 1995. Fisheries biology, assessment and management. Fishing News Books, UK, 341 p.
- CROWDER, L. B., and S. A. MURAWSKI. 1998. Fisheries by-catch: implications for management. *Fisheries*, **23**: 8–16. doi:10.1577/1548-8446(1998)023<0008:FBIFM>2 .0.CO;2
- ÁVILA DE MELO, A. M., D. POWER, and R. ALPOIM. MS 2005. An assessment of the status of the redfish in NAFO Division 3LN, *NAFO SCR Doc.*, No. 52, Serial No. 5138, 19 p.

Text citations of the above would be (King, 1995; Crowder and Murawski, 1998; Ávila de Melo *et al.*, MS 2005). The surnames of two authors may be used in a citation, but *et al.* should be used for more than two authors. The citation of mimeographed reports and meeting documents should contain the abbreviation "MS". Abbreviations of periodicals can be found <u>ftp://ftp.fao.org/fi/asfa/Monitoring\_List/MASTER.txt</u>. The Digital Object Identifier (doi) should be included if available. <u>http://www.crossref.org/freeTextQuery/</u> can be used to checked this.

#### **Tables and Figures**

All Tables and Figures must be cited in the text. Tables and Figures must be numbered consecutively and correspond with the order of presentation in the text. Figure captions should be included as a separate page. Each table and figure should have a complete concise descriptive caption. Figures should always be submitted in black and white. Colour plots and photographs are acceptable only if colour is essential to the content. Preferably, all figures should be submitted as separate files in .eps or .ps format. Photographs, maps and contour plots can also be submitted in high quality .jpg format.

If using excel, open the files in R and save the graphs by right clicking and saving as metafiles or postscript files. If using SlideWrite copy the files as Metafiles (WMF). Do not save them as bitmap files. They are not editable.

#### **Paper Submission**

Papers should be submitted by email to Dr. Neil Campbell, General Editor, at journal@nafo.int or ncampbell@nafo.int