ISBN 0-9689167-5-8

## Early Stages of Fishes in the Western North Atlantic Ocean

### (Davis Strait, Southern Greenland and Flemish Cap to Cape Hatteras)

# **Volume Two**

**Scorpaeniformes through Tetraodontiformes** 

Michael P. Fahay

i iii v

#### Table of Contents Volume Two

Title page		
Table of Conten	nts	
Introduction		

Species accounts:

Scorpaeniformes

1	
Scorpaenidae	932, 938
Triglidae	932, 956
Dactylopteridae	932, 962
Cottidae	932, 964
Agonidae	933, 982
Cyclopteridae	933, 988
Liparidae	933, 990
Perciformes	1008
Percoidei	
Acropomatidae	1016, 1018
Apogonidae	1016, 1022
Bramidae	1016, 1024
Carangidae	1016, 1038
Caristiidae	1017, 1090
Cirrhitidae	1017, 1095
Coryphaenidae	1017, 1096
Echeneidae	1100, 1104
Epigonidae	1100, 1108
Gerreidae	1100, 1110
Haemulidae	1101, 1112
Howellidae	1101, 1118
Kyphosidae	1101, 1120
Lobotidae	1101, 1124
Lutjanidae	1101, 1126
Larvae of 5 Families	1102
Malacanthidae	1152, 1154
Moronidae	1152, 1158
Mullidae	1152, 1162
Polyprionidae	1152, 1164
Pomatomidae	1152, 1166
Priacanthidae	1152, 1168
Rachycentridae	1152, 1170
Sciaenidae	1153, 1172
Serranidae	1208
Sparidae	1208, 1246
Symphysanodontidae	1208, 1258
Mugiloidei	
Mugilidae	1260, 1262
Polynemoidei	
Polynemidae	1260, 1266
Labroidei	
Labridae	1260,1268
Scaridae	1260, 1282
Pomacentridae	1260,1284

Zoarcoidei and Notothenioidei	
Nototheniidae	1287, 1289
Anarhichadidae	1286, 1290
Cryptacanthodidae	1286, 1292
Pholidae	1286, 1294
Stichaeidae	1286, 1296
Zoarcidae	1287, 1308
Trachinoidei	
Ammodytidae	1312, 1314
Chiasmodontidae	1312, 1316
Percophidae	1312, 1322
Uranoscopidae	1312, 1324
Blennioidei	1012, 1021
Blenniidae	1312 1326
Gobiesocoidei	1012, 1020
Gobiesocidae	1334 1336
Callionymoidei	1554, 1550
Callionymidae	133/ 1338
Dracconettidae	1334, 1330
A conthuroidoi	1554, 1540
Acanthuridaa	1334 1342
Chastedontidea	1334, 1342
Enhimpidae	1334, 1340
	1334, 1332
Democratidae	1334, 1354
Pomacantidae	1334, 1330
Gobioidei	1259 1260
Gobilidae	1358, 1360
Eleotridae	1358, 1380
Microdesmidae	1358, 1382
Sphyraenoidei	1004 1000
Sphyraenidae	1384, 1388
Scombroidei	1001 1001
Scombrolabracidae	1384, 1394
Gempylidae	1384, 1396
Trichiuridae	1384, 1412
Xiphiidae	1384, 1416
Istiophoridae	1385, 1418
Scombridae	1385, 1428
Stromateoidei	
Centrolophidae	1462, 1464
Nomeidae	1462, 1470
Ariommatidae	1462, 1484
Tetragonuridae	1462, 1488
Stromateidae	1462, 1492
Pleuronectiformes	
Scophthalmidae	1496, 1500
Bothidae	1496, 1502
Paralichthyidae	1496, 1512
Pleuronectidae	1496, 1536
Poecilopsettidae	1496, 1550
Achiridae	1496, 1552

iv

Cynoglossidae	1496, 1556
Tetraodontiformes	
Triacanthodidae	1558, 1560
Balistidae	1558, 1562
Monacanthidae	1558, 1568
Ostraciidae	1558, 1582
Tetraodontidae	1559, 1588
Diodontidae	1559, 1592

Molidae	1559, 1598
Literature Cited	1605
Appendices	
Appendix 1: Myomeres in Leptocephali	1659
Appendix 2: Meristic Character Ranges	1663
Appendix 3: Collection Details	1681

#### Introduction to Volume Two

#### Justification for separation of taxa

Volume Two of the present monograph includes the larvae of fish species in four orders: Scorpaeniformes, Perciformes, Pleuronectiformes and Tetraodontiformes. This separation point is not only a convenient place to separate the two volumes based on total number of taxa, but it also occurs at a point that might be logical in a systematic sense. With two exceptions, the taxa included in Volume Two all belong to the group of percomorphs proposed to be remainders after isolation of two identifiable monophyletic sub-groups, Atherinimorpha and Smegmamorpha (*sensu* Johnson and Patterson, 1993) containing seven monophyletic orders covered in Volume One. The exceptions include the family Dactylopteridae, here included in the order Scorpaeniformes with a brief discussion detailing why Johnson and Patterson (1993) include it in an expanded (and independent) order Dactylopteriformes. The other exception pertains to the Mugilidae, here included as a family of the perciform suborder Mugiloidei, but elsewhere considered to be an order within the Smegmamorpha (Johnson and Patterson, 1993). Note also the inclusion of the gobiesocids in a perciform suborder Gobiesocoidei, rather than in the Batrachoidiformes as considered by some authors.

#### **Relationships of Scorpaeniformes to Perciformes**

Scorpaeniformes may be a specialized group of Perciformes, rather than a precursor to that polyphyletic group (e.g. Johnson and Patterson, 1993). Members of families within the Scorpaeniformes all have a suborbital stay (formed by the elongation of the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> infraorbital bones, usually connected to the preopercle) that is the basis for the term "mail-cheeked fishes". Larval scorpaeniforms are usually characterized by having very early forming, large pectoral fins and very spiny heads, often including well developed parietal ridges (see Washington *et al.*, 1984a). In other respects, however, these fishes can be classified as perciforms, whose larvae are also characterized by arrays of head spines. Until a consensus on this controversy is reached, the classification used in the present volume follows that in recent usage (e.g. Eschmeyer, 1990; Nelson, 1994).

Most ichthyologists agree that the classification of fishes is in a state of flux (e.g. Stiassny *et al.*, 2004). The classification of the nominal order Perciformes is particularly chaotic (as well as polyphyletic) and one of its nominal suborders, Percoidei, is nothing more than a wastebasket of species that do not obviously belong elsewhere. There are no described diagnostic characters that define this group. It is not the purpose of the present atlas to contribute to this chaos. Therefore, "perciform" suborders are presented alphabetically in order to avoid implying relationships. Moreover, families within several suborders (including Percoidei) are also presented alphabetically, since monophyly has not been established for many of these suborders.

#### Head spines and spinous scales

Many larvae of fishes in the orders Scorpaeniformes and Perciformes have an array of spines on their heads. These are variously developed in larvae of these two orders, ranging from none in a very few "percoids", cyclopterids and liparids, to a simple series of spines along the edge of the preopercle in many taxa, to a complex pattern of spines and spiny ridges ornamenting several major bones of the skull or upper pectoral girdle. Because of the importance of this skull ornamentation, terminologies of head spines (with names based on the bone on which the spines originate) are repeatedly portrayed throughout this monograph. Beginning with the Agonidae (Scorpaeniformes), and continuing through Perciformes, the presence or absence and nature of head spines are listed in a "Head Spine Checklist" in most species accounts. Spinous scales (or body spicules) are transitory characters in the larvae of some taxa that have not been adequately studied. In addition to their simple presence or absence (mentioned in several descriptions), the physical nature of these structures varies widely, as does the sequence in which they form on the larval body. Further attention to the development (and disappearance) and structure of these features would add another important data set in the effort to understand phylogenetic relationships, as it has in *Coryphaena* and *Rachycentron*, for example (G. D. Johnson, 1984).

#### Pleuronectiformes

Species in this large order are either dextral (meaning both eyes are situated on the right side of the head) or sinistral (where both eyes are situated on the left side of the head). Larvae undergo a remarkable and well-described transformation, during which one of the eyes migrates to the other side, either over the dorsal ridge, or through the head under the anterior dorsal fin. Coincident with this eye migration is a crossing of the optic nerves. Most non-pleuronectiform fishes have a dimorphic optic chiasma, where the nerve of the right or left eye is dorsal to the other with approximate equal frequency (Hensley and Ahlstrom, 1984). Most flatfishes, on the other hand, have monomorphic optic chiasmas. For example, in the 'left-eyed' Paralichthyidae, the right optic nerve is always dorsal to the left, whereas in the 'right-eyed' Pleuronectidae, the left optic nerve is always dorsal to the right. Fishes in the Soleidae and Cynoglossidae, however, retain the truly dimorphic condition, where either condition might pertain. See Hensley and Ahlstrom (1984) for a complete discussion of exceptions to these rules and how interpretation of the optic chiasma condition contributes to studies of phylogenetic relationships. Pleuronectiformes is presumably monophyletic, although the question is currently open. A complete discussion of compositions of constituent groups, osteological characters in adults and an exposition of larval characters may be found in Ahlstrom *et al.* (1984a) and Hensley and Ahlstrom (1984).

#### Tetraodontiformes

Adults and larvae of fishes in this order exhibit extreme specializations. In many species, fin spines, fin rays, or entire fins and their supporting structures are reduced or lost. Vertebral numbers are typically low. Many have scales and/or teeth that are greatly modified. Several taxa exhibit extensive spination over their heads and bodies or have modified scales forming bony carapace plates surrounding the larval body. Members of two families are inflatable. Within the present study area, larvae of three species in Molidae may be collected. These taxa totally lack a caudal fin and ural bones. Instead, a "pseudocaudal" fin (also termed a "clavus") is formed by modified elements of the dorsal and anal fins (pterygiophores and fin rays) converging toward the caudal region during ontogeny (Tyler, 1980; Leis, 1984a; Johnson and Britz, 2005). The anterior dorsal spines in many taxa are equipped with a locking mechanism that serves to hold the first spine rigidly upright, and some have a "supraneural element" (see glossary) that is positioned posterior to the fin spines, but anterior to the fin rays. See several chapters in the Tetraodontiformes section of Leis and Carson-Ewart (2004) for descriptions of many of these ontogenetic specializations summarized at the family level and for an exposition of ontogenetic characters, based on Indo-Pacific material, that is more comprehensive than the chapter in the present monograph.

#### **Contribution of ontogeny**

The purpose of this monograph is to demonstrate similarities and differences in larvae of given groups with the expectation that the perspective provided by the study of ontogenetic development will eventually contribute to the establishment of monophyly (or not) in these groups. The study and description of ontogeny not only illustrates similarities and differences in the morphology of early stages. The recent, increased use of clearing and differential staining techniques (for example Potthoff *et al.*, 1986) allows analysis of character transformation and homology, and often helps identify cases of homoplasy in phylogenetic studies. Taxa treated in Volume Two are particularly rich in their possession of transitory characters, and most of these characters are clearly highlighted, especially when they are shared by a number of taxa.

# **Species Accounts**