# NOT TO BE CITED WITHOUT PRIOR REFERENCE TO THE SECRETARIAT



<u>Serial No. N4134</u> <u>NAFO/FC Doc. 99/3</u>

# 21ST ANNUAL MEETING - SEPTEMBER 1999

# Summary of

Status of Proposals and Resolutions of NAFO (as of July 1999)

by

## NAFO Secretariat

The summary of proposals and resolutions in Parts I and II provide information on the current status of amendments to the Convention and for international regulation of the fisheries in the Regulatory Area for period of 1979-July 1999.

The Quota Tables in Part III provide information on the international quota regulation of the fisheries in Subarea 3 of the Convention Area (1979-1999) and Part IV contains the full texts of NAFO Resolutions (1979-1999).

# Proposals and Resolutions of NAFO (as of July 1999)

# Table of Contents

1.	Conservation and Enforcement Measures	4
II.	NAFO Resolutions	20
III.	Quota Tables, 1979-1999	23
IV.	Texts of the NAFO Resolutions, 1979-1999	46

#### PART I

## <u>Proposals and resolutions for amendments</u> to the Convention and the Conservation and Enforcement Measures

#### I. Proposals for changes in the Convention, adopted by the General Council of NAFO

Resolution (4) Modification of western boundary of Subarea 1 of the Convention Area (Amendment to

Annex III of the Convention; to be effective 1 January 1980)

Proposed:7 June 1979 (Proc. June 1979 meting, p. 71-72)

In force: 1 January 1980

Resolution (1/86) Adopted by the General Council of NAFO, re modifications of statistical boundary

between Subareas 4 and 5 of the Convention Area. (amends Annex III of the Convention)

Proposed:12 September 1986

In force: 9 October 1987, by unanimous decision of the General Council and assent from

the observer from the U.S.A. on 18 September 1987

## II. Proposals for international regulation of the trawl fishery, adopted by the Fisheries Commission of NAFO

Proposal (1) Regulation of the fisheries by catch quota in the Regulatory Area (for 1979; TACs and

allocations for capelin and squid (Illex); minimum 10% of squid quota to be taken in

Subarea 3 and opening date for fishery 1 July)

Proposed:9 March 1979 (Proc. March 1979 Meeting, p. 30)

In force: 28 May 1979

Proposal (2) Regulation of the fisheries by catch quota in the Regulatory Area (for 1980; TACs and

allocations for 8 stocks; requests no directed fishery for Div. 3NO cod and by-catch restricted to 2,500 kg and 10% of total weight on board of all species caught in Div. 3NO)

Proposed:7 June 1979 (Proc. June 1979 Meeting, p. 95-96)

In force: 14 August 1979 for all stocks except Div. 3M redfish (EEC objection 9 August

1979 adds 40 days)

19 September 1979 for Div. 3M redfish for all Contracting Parties, except EEC)

Proposal (3) Mesh size regulation of the squid (llex) fishery in the Regulatory Area (60 mm,

irrespective of material; codend covers to prevent damage; to be effective on 1 January

1980)

Proposed:7 June 1979 (Proc. June 1979 Meeting, p. 97)

In force: 1 January 1980

Proposal (4) Authorized actions to be taken by the Executive Secretary following information on

Contracting Parties reaching their catch quotas

Proposed:7 June 1979 (Proc. June 1979 Meeting, p. 98)

In force: 14 August 1979

Proposal (5) Amendments to the NAFO Scheme of Joint International Enforcement (amends

paragraphs 1,3,4,5,6,7,10,11, and 12)

Proposed:7 June 1979 (Proc. June 1979 Meeting, p. 103-105)

In force: 14 August 1979

Proposal (6) Reporting of fish on board vessels entering the Regulatory Area (fishing logs to record

amount of each species on board)

Proposed:7 June 1979 (Proc. June 1979 Meeting, p. 106)

In force: 14 August 1979

Proposal (7) Proposal for International Regulation of the Fisheries in Subarea 3 of the Convention

Area, adopted by the Fisheries Commission of the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries

Organization for 1980.

Proposed:7 March 1979 In force: 12 May 1980

Proposal (8) Proposal for International Regulation of the Fisheries for squid (*Illex*) in Subareas 3 and 4

of the Convention Area, adopted by the Fisheries Commission of the Northwest Atlantic

Fisheries Organization for 1980.

Proposed:7 March 1979 In force: 12 May 1980

Proposal (9) Proposal for International Regulation of the Fisheries for particular stocks, i.e. cod in Div.

3M, cod in Div. 3NO, both to be reviewed, redfish in 3M and 3LN, American plaice in 3M and 3LNO, yellowtail in 3LNO, witch in 3NO, squid (*Illex*) in 3 and 4, for 1981.

Proposed:12 September 1980

In force: Except for 3M and 3NO - 1 January 1981

Proposal (1/81) Proposal for International Regulation of the Fisheries for particular stocks, i.e. cod in Div.

3M, cod in Div. 3NO, capelin in Div. 3LNO for 1981, and beyond for cod in Div. 3NO.

Proposed:1 April 1981

In force: Except for 3M - 1 June 1981

Proposal (2/81) Proposal for International Regulation of the Fisheries for particular stocks, i.e. cod in Div.

3M for 1981.

Proposed:26 June 1981 In force: 6 September 1981

Proposal (3/81) Proposal for International Regulation of the Fisheries for particular stocks, i.e. cod in Div.

3M, cod in Div. 3NO, redfish in 3M and 3LN, American plaice in 3M and 3LNO, yellowtail in 3LNO, witch in 3NO, capelin in 3LNO, squid (*Illex*) in 3 and 4, for 1982.

Proposed:11 September 1981 In force: 1 January 1982

Proposal (1/82) Proposal for International Regulation of the Fisheries for particular stocks, i.e. cod in Div.

3M, cod in Div. 3NO, redfish in 3M and 3LN, American plaice in 3M and 3LNO, yellowtail in 3LNO, witch in 3NO, capelin in 3NO, squid (*Illex*) in 3 and 4 for 1983.

Proposed:15 September 1982 In force: 26 December 1982

Proposal (1/83) Proposal for International Regulation of the Fisheries for particular stocks, i.e. cod in Div.

3M, cod in Div. 3NO, redfish in 3M and 3LN, American plaice in 3M and 3LNO, yellowtail in 3LNO, witch in 3NO, capelin in 3NO, squid (*Illex*) in 3 and 4 for 1984.

Proposed:23 September 1983

In force: 13 December 1983 (except Cod 3M and Redfish 3M, 3LN-17 January 1984)

Proposal (1/84)

Proposal for International Regulation of the Fisheries for particular stocks, i.e. cod in Div. 3M, cod in Div. 3NO, redfish in 3M and 3LN, American plaice in 3M and 3LNO, yellowtail in 3LNO, witch in 3NO, capelin in 3NO, squid (*Illex*) in 3 and 4 for 1985.

Proposed:14 September 1984

In force:24 November 1984 (except for Cod 3M and 3NO and Redfish 3M - 29 December 1984 and Redfish 3LN - 26 December 1984)

Proposals IA.1/84 IA.2/84, IIIB/84, IV.1/84, IV.2/84, IV.3/84, Schedule III/84. Proposals for Amendments to the Conservation and Enforcement Measures (FC Doc. 82/IX/13), i.e. Part I - Rule A.3(b) and A.3(c); Part III - Rule B.2; Part IV - Rule (v)(c), Rule 11 and Rule 12: and, Part V - Schedule III.

Proposed:12 September 1984 In force: 5 February 1985

Proposal (1/85)

Proposal for International Regulation of the Fisheries for particular stocks, i.e. cod in Div. 3M, cod in Div. 3NO, redfish in 3M and 3LN, American place in 3M and 3LNO, yellowtail in 3LNO, witch in 3NO, capelin in 3NO, squid (*Illex*) in 3 and 4 for 1986.

Proposed:13 September 1985

In force: Capelin 1 Jan 1986, Yellowtail and Witch 4 Jan 1986 and 23 Jan 1986, Squid 2 Feb 1986, Remainder 4 Jan 1986 and 29 Jan 1986

Proposal (2/85)

Proposal for information regarding 3L cod.

Proposed:13 September 1985

In force: 4 Jan 1986 and 23 Jan 1986

Proposal (3/85)

Proposal for a moratorium on a directed fishery for 3L cod outside 200 miles, during

1986.

Proposed:13 September 1985

In force: 4 Jan 1986 and 29 Jan 1986

Proposal (1/86)

Proposal for International Regulation of the Fisheries for particular stocks, i.e. cod in Div. 3M, cod in Div. 3NO, redfish in 3M and 3LN, American plaice in 3M and 3LNO, yellowtail in 3LNO, witch in 3NO, capelin in 3NO, squid (*Illex*) in 3 and 4 for 1987.

Proposed:12 September 1986

In force:All on 31 December 1986, except for squid which became valid for the EEC only on 9 January 1987

Proposal (2/86)

Proposal for closing a directed fishery for 3L Cod outside 200 miles during 1987.

Proposed:12 September 1986 In force: 31 December 1986

Proposal (1/87)

Proposal for International Regulation of the Fisheries for particular stocks, i.e. cod in Div. 3M, cod in Div. 3NO, redfish in 3M and 3LN, American plaice in 3M and 3LNO, yellowtail in 3LNO, witch in 3NO, capelin in 3NO, squid (*Illex*) in 3 and 4 for 1988.

Proposed:18 September 1987

In force: Squid in 3+4, capelin in 3NO and cod in 3M - 18 November 1987. Remainder – 5 January 1988.

Proposal (2/87)

Proposal for closing a directed fishery for 3L Cod outside 200 miles during 1988.

Proposed:18 September 1987 In force: 05 January 1988 Proposal (1/88) Proposals IC.3/88, IV.1/88 to IV.14/88 and Annexes I/88 to VI/88 - Amendments to the

Conservation and Enforcement Measures (FC Doc. 88/1).

Proposed:10 February 1988 In force: 10 June 1988

Proposal (2/88) Proposal for International Regulation of the Fisheries for particular stocks, i.e. cod in Div.

> 3M, cod in Div. 3NO, redfish in 3M and 3LN, American plaice in 3M and 3LNO, yellowtail in 3LNO, witch in 3NO, capelin in 3NO, squid (*Illex*) in 3 and 4 for 1989.

Proposed:15 September 1988

In force: Cod in 3M, capelin in 3NO and squid in 3+4 - 25 December 1988. Remainder -

14 January 1989.

Proposal (3/88) Proposal for closing a directed fishery for 3L Cod outside 200 miles during 1989.

> Proposed:15 September 1988 In force: 14 January 1989

Proposal (1/89) Proposal for International Regulation of the Fisheries for particular stocks, i.e. cod in Div.

> 3M, cod in Div. 3NO, redfish in 3M and 3LN, American plaice in 3M and 3LNO, yellowtail in 3LNO, witch in 3NO, capelin in 3NO, squid (*Illex*) in 3 and 4 for 1990.

Proposed:15 September 1989

In force: Cod in 3M, capelin in 3NO and Squid in 3+4 - 12 December 1989. Remainder –

15 January 1990.

Proposal (2/89) Proposal for closing a directed fishery for 3L Cod outside 200 miles during 1990.

> Proposed:15 September 1989 In force: 15 January 1990

Proposal (3/89) Proposal for Changes to NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures Regarding

Incidental Catch Limits - Part I.A.4/89

Proposed:14 September 1989 In force: 19 December 1989

Proposal to assess the effects of cod by-catches in the redfish and flatfish fisheries - Part Proposal (4/89)

I.C.4/89

Proposed:14 September 1989 In force: 19 December 1989

Proposal (5/89) Proposal to secure advice on areal and seasonal concentrations of juvenile American plaice

and yellowtail flounder in Div. 3LNO in the Regulatory Area - Part I.C.5/89

Proposed:15 September 1989 In force: 19 December 1989

Proposal (1/90) Proposal for International Regulation of the Fisheries for particular stocks, i.e. cod in Div. NAFO/FC Doc. 90/12

3M, 3NO, redfish in 3M and 3LN, American plaice in 3M and 3LNO, yellowtail in

3LNO, witch 3NO, capelin 3NO, squid (Illex) 3+4 for 1991.

Proposed:14 September 1990

In force: 30 December 1990 (for all Contracting Parties except the European Community

which objected to the allocations of Redfish 3LN and Witch 3NO)

Proposal (2/90)

NAFO/FC Doc. 90/12

Proposal for closing a directed fishery for 3L Cod outside 200 miles during 1991.

Proposed:14 September 1990

In force: 30 December 1990 (for all Contracting Parties except the European Community

which objected to the Proposal)

Proposal (3/90)

NAF/GC Doc. 90/10 and 90/9

Proposal for establishment of the Standing Committee on Fishing Activity of Non

-Contracting Parties in the Regulatory Area (STACFAC)

Proposed:14 September 1990 In force: 14 September 1990

Proposal (4/90)

NAFO/FC Doc. 90/12

Incidental catch limits to a maximum of 2,500 kg or 10%, whichever is greater, for each species listed in Schedule I for which no quota has been allocated to the Contracting

Party-Part IA.4/90.

Proposed:14 September 1990 In force: 07 July 1991

Proposal (5/90)

NAFO/FC Doc. 90/12

Strengthening ropes, splitting straps and codend floats may be used on trawls within the Regulatory Area, as long as these attachments do not in any way restrict the mesh authorized in the Conservation and Enforcement Rules or obstruct the mesh opening-Part IIB.4/90.

Proposal (1/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/1 Guidelines for the Coordination and Optimization of Inspection and Control in the

Regulatory Area-Part IV/91.

Adopted: 19 March 1991

Approved in principle: 21 June 1991

Proposal (2/91)

NAFO/FC Doc. 91/1

New Rules (1 and 2) "Marking" of small boats carried on board fishing vessels and

fixed fishing gear-Part IID.1,2/91.

Adopted: 19 March 1991

In force: 27 July 1991 (For all Contracting Parties except the USSR which objected to

this Proposal)

Proposal (3/91)

NAFO/FC Doc. 91/1

Change the heading of Part III "Notification" to "Vessel Requirements".

Adopted: 19 March 1991

In force: 27 July 1991 (For all Contracting Parties except the USSR which objected to

this Proposal)

Proposal (4/91)

NAFO/FC Doc. 91/1

A new Rule for "Marking of Fishing Vessels"-Part IIIA/91.

Adopted: 19 March 1991

In force: 27 July 1991 (For all Contracting Parties except the USSR which objected to

this Proposal)

Proposal (5/91)

NAFO/FC Doc. 91/1

New Rules 1,2 and 3 for "Documentation" that each Contracting Party shall ensure the fishing vessels over 10 meters in length shall carry on board documents issued by the competent authorities of the State in which it is registered. The vessels (over 17 meters in length) which freeze or salt fish shall keep on board up-to-date drawings or descriptions of their fish rooms-Part IIIB.1.2.3/91

Adopted: 19 March 1991

In force: 27 July 1991 (For all Contracting Parties except the USSR which objected to

this Proposal)

Proposal (6/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/1 Change the sections of Notification of Research Vessels" and Notification of Fishing and Processing Vessels from "A" and "B" to "C" and "D", respectively.

Adopted: 19 March 1991

In force: 27 July 1991 (For all Contracting Parties except the USSR which objected to

this Proposal)

Proposal (7/91)

New Rules (1 and 2) for "Hail System" that the Contracting Parties shall ensure the

NAFO/FC Doc. 91/1

reports to their competent authorities: each entry, each exit and movement between NAFO divisions with the date, time and geographical position of the vessel; this information shall immediately be entered in the logbook of the vessel. Within 24 hours of receipt of these reports, whenever possible, competent authorities of each Contracting Party shall transmit the information contained therein to other Contracting Parties with an inspection presence in the Regulatory Area. The information shall also be forwarded to the Executive Secretary as soon as possible-Part IIIE.1.2/91.

Adopted: 19 March 1991

In force: 27 July 1991 (For all Contracting Parties except the USSR which objected to

this Proposal)

Proposal (8/83-91) NAFO/FC Doc. 83/IX/4 GF/91-268-09.07.91

"Schedule IV-Authorized Mesh Size of Nets"

Adopted: September 1983 In force: 09 July 1991

Proposal (9/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7

Part IA.3c

Remove phrase: ..."small unavoidable"...

Adopted: 13 September 1991

In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia which objected to

this Proposal)

Proposal (10/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7 Change a word: ... "division" ...

New phrase: ... "or an "others" quota has been fully utilized,"

Part IA.4a,b

Adopted: 13 September 1991

In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia which objected to

this Proposal)

Proposal (11/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7 New word: ... "year-to-date" ...

Part IC.3C Adopted: 13 September 1991

In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia which objected to

this Proposal)

Proposal (12/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7 Amend: ... "Inspection and Surveillance" ...

Adopted: 13 September 1991

Part IIIC.6

In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia which objected to

this Proposal)

Proposal (13/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7 Part IIIE.1

Amend: ... "and Surveillance"...

Adopted: 13 September 1991

In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia which objected to

this Proposal)

Proposal (14/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7 Part IIIE.2 Amend: ... "the NAFO Executive Secretary. The NAFO Executive Secretary shall transmit the information to other Contracting Parties with an inspection presence in the Regulatory Area as soon as possible and shall ensure that all such transmissions to a Contracting Party are numbered sequentially."

Adopted: 13 September 1991

In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia which objected to this Proposal)

Proposal 15/91 NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7 Part IIIE.3 (new) "Contracting Parties will ensure all hail reports transmitted by them will be sequentially numbered. The Executive Secretary will verify that all hail reports have been received by checking sequential numbering and verify any discrepancies with the Contracting Party concerned. The hail reports are to be treated in a confidential manner".

Adopted: 13 September 1991

In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia which objected to this Proposal)

Proposal (16/91) NAFO/FC Doc.91/7 Part IV Amend: "... and Surveillance"

- (i) ... "and surveillance"; the Scheme of Joint International Part IV.1Inspection "and Surveillance,"...
- (ii) ... "the type and call sign"; "or other aircraft"...
- (iii) ... "or aircraft" ...
- (v) ... "and aircraft"...; "facsimile or other communication"...
- (vi) ... "and aircraft"; "and aircraft" ...
- (vii) ... "and aircraft" ...
- (viii) ... "aircraft's or"; "or its equivalent"...; "or practicable,".

Adopted: 13 September 1991

In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia which objected to this Proposal)

Proposal (17/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7 Part IV.4b "(i)" Amend: ... "which is identical"...; ..., "but which may be half-scale".

Adopted: 13 September 1991

In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia which objected to this Proposal)

Proposal (18/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7 Part IV.4 (new) "(ii) Surveillance Aircraft assigned to the Scheme shall have their international radio call sign clearly displayed."

Adopted: 13 September 1991

In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia which objected to this Proposal)

Proposal (19/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7 Part IV.6 (i) Amend: ... "calendar monthly" ...

(ii)(c) Amend: ... "by species" ...

Adopted: 13 September 1991

In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia which objected to this Proposal)

Proposal (20/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7 Part IV.8 (ii) Amend: ... "and forward to the Executive Secretary" ...

Adopted: 13 September 1991

In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia which objected to this Proposal)

Proposal (21/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7 This is a new Part IV.9 the text of which is in FC Doc. 91/7.

Part IV.9 Adopted: 13 September 1991

In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia which objected to this Proposal)

Proposal (22/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7 Part IV.12 Part IV.12 "13". ... "and other aircraft"; ... "and other aircraft"...

Adopted: 13 September 1991

In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia which objected to this Proposal)

Proposal (23/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7 Part IV.13 "14"...

"(iv) the number of air hours flown on NAFO patrol, the number of sightings and

(new) number of surveillance reports established with the date, time and position of the sightings in respect of these surveillance reports."

"(v) the disposition of surveillance reports notified to it by a Contracting Party.

(new) surveillance reports shall be listed annually until follow-up action is concluded by the appropriate authorities. In cases where the follow-up action results in penal action any penalties imposed shall be described in specific terms."

Adopted: 13 September 1991

In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia which objected to

this Proposal)

Proposal (24/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7 Part IV. Annex I Remove reference "Duration of Assignment"

Adopted: 13 September 1991

In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia which objected to

this Proposal)

Proposal (25/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7 Part IV. Annex V Amend to "his/her, he/she" in all text.

Amend reference to time to "UTC".

In section Authorized inspector(s), item 2

Amend: "inspector(s)"

Adopted: 13 September 1991

In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia which objected to

this Proposal)

Proposal (26/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7 Part IV. Annex VI Add new questions:

"30. I have completed an inspection of catch on board your vessel. As a result of this inspection, I have estimated your total catch as ...... t.

31. I have completed an inspection of your log records. Your log records indicate your total catch on board is .....t.

32. I have found that there is a difference between your recorded catch and my estimate of the catch on board your vessel.

33. I shall report this difference to your Contracting Party in my inspection report."

Adopted: 13 September 1991

In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia which objected to this Proposal)

Proposal (27/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7 This is a new Annex VII (Surveillance Report) text of which is in FC Doc. 91/7.

Part IV.Annex VII (new) Adopted: 13 September 1991

In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia which objected to

this Proposal)

Proposal (28/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7 Part V. Schedule II

Amend: "Fishing" ...

Adopted: 13 September 1991

In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia which objected to

this Proposal)

Proposal (29/31) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/9 1b) "... and except as provided in (c),..."

Part III.E

1c) (new) "When vessels conduct a fishery between divisions 3L and 3N, and 3N and 3O which necessitates crossing the line between the divisions more than once

during a period of 24 (twenty-four) consecutive hours, and provided that they remain within 10 miles of the line between the divisions the vessels concerned shall not report each change of division but shall instead report when first crossing the line between the divisions, and at intervals not exceeding 24 (twenty-four) hours thereafter, the date, the time and their geographical positon. When such vessels leave the delimited area of 10 miles either side of the line between the divisions concerned, they shall again report the date, the

time and their geographical position.

Adopted: 13 September 1991

In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia which objected to

this Proposal)

Proposal (30/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/12 Part IV. Annex VIII

The NAFO Inspection Seal (an identification mark; Part IV.6(v)) for use by inspectors

assigned to the Scheme of Joint International Inspection and Surveillance.

(new)

Adopted: 13 September 1991

In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia which objected to

this Proposal)

Proposal (31/91)

Hail System Message Format to transmit the information to the NAFO Executive

Secretary.

NAFO/FC Doc. 91/13 Part III. Annex I

Adopted: 13 September 1991

(new)

In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia which objected to

this Proposal)

Proposal (32/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/14 "Closure of the 3L Cod fishery in the Regulatory Area during 1992"

Adopted: 13 September 1991

In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except the European Economic

Community which objected to the Proposal).

Proposal (33/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/14 Schedule I - Quota Table for 1992; minimum commercial cod size 40 cm in Div. 3M and

3NO

Adopted: 13 September 1991

In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except the European Economic

Community with respect to the following stocks:

- Redfish in Divisions 3LN

- Witch in Divisions 3NO)

Proposal (1/92) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/12

NAFO Inspection Seal for use by inspectors assigned to the Scheme of Joint International

Inspection and Surveillance

(revised)

Adopted: 10 February 1992 In force: 10 April 1992

Proposal (2/92)

Hail System message format.

GF/92-249 (Attachment)

Adopted: 27 March 1992 In force: 28 May 1992

Proposal (3/92)

Observations by inspectors of incidental by-catches in excess of prescribed limits.

NAFO/FC Doc. 92/6

Adopted: 18 September 1992 In force: 22 November 1992

Proposal (4/92)

Action by Contracting Parties to prevent infringements to the measures by their vessels.

NAFO/FC Doc. 92/7

Adopted: 18 September 1992 In force: 22 November 1992

Proposal (5/92)

Production logbooks and stowage plans

NAFO/FC Doc. 92/8

Adopted: 18 September 1992 In force: 22 November 1992

Proposal (6/92) NAFO/FC Doc. 92/9 STACTIC Form A-Annual Return of Inspections, Catch Record Discrepancies and/or apparent infringements; STACTIC Form BAnnual Return of Disposition of Catch

Record Discrepancies and/or apparent infringements

Adopted: 18 September 1992 In force: 22 November 1992

Proposal (7/92)

Mesh size of nets on board vessels operating in the Regulatory Area

NAFO/FC Doc. 92/10

Adopted: 18 September 1992 In force: 22 November 1992

Proposal (8/92)

Definition of an inspection party

NAFO/FC Doc. 92/11

Adopted: 18 September 1992 In force: 22 November 1992

Proposal (9/92)

New item E-Other Measures

NAFO/FC Doc. 92/12

Adopted: 18 September 1992 In force: 22 November 1992

Proposal (10/92)

Pilot project for a NAFO Observer Scheme (new Part VI of the Measures)

NAFO/FC Doc. 92/13

Adopted: 18 September 1992 In force: 22 November 1992

Proposal (11/92) NAFO/FC Doc. 92/14 Minimum mesh sizes for groundfish fisheries in the Regulatory Area

Adopted: 18 September 1992 In force: 22 November 1992

Proposal (12/92)

Minimum fish size

NAFO/FC Doc. 92/15

Adopted: 18 September 1992 In force: 22 November 1992

Proposal (13/92)

Annual return of surveillance information in compliance with the hail system

NAFO/FC Doc. 92/16

Adopted: 18 September 1992 In force: 22 November 1992

Proposal (14/92)

Schedule I - Quota Table for 1993

NAFO/FC Doc. 92/19

Adopted: 18 September 1992

In force: 22 November 1992 (for 3LNO yellowtail, 3LNO A. plaice and 3NO capelin for all Contracting Parties; 31 December 1992 for all other stocks for all Contracting Parties, except the Russian Federation which objected to the

proposal)

Proposal (1/93)

Operation of the Hail System

NAFO/FC Doc. 93/6

Adopted: 10 September 1993 In force: 16 November 1993

Proposal (2/93) NAFO/FC Doc. 93/7 Pilot Project for a NAFO Observer Scheme; to include modification by the Scientific

Council for sampling of main species

Adopted: 10 September 1993 In force: 16 November 1993

Proposal (3/93)

Proposal on Cod 3L; no directed fishery

NAFO/FC Doc. 93/8

Adopted: 10 September 1993 In force: 16 November 1993

Proposal (4/93)

Management Measures for 3NO Cod; 50% observer coverage and 100% inspection

NAFO/FC Doc. 93/9

Adopted: 10 September 1993 In force: 16 November 1993

Proposal (5/93) NAFO/FC Doc. 93/10 Management Measures for 3M and 3LNO Shrimp: mesh size 40 mm and sorting grids; no

directed fishery in 3LNO

Adopted: 10 September 1993 In force: 16 November 1993

Proposal (6/93)

Inspection Procedure: 30 minutes delay for retrieving nets for inspection purposes

NAFO/FC Doc. 93/11

Adopted: 10 September 1993 In force: 20 November 1993

Proposal (7/93)

Management Measures - Minimum Fish Size; return undersized fish to the sea

NAFO/FC Doc. 93/12

Adopted: 10 September 1993 In force: 20 November 1993

Proposal (8/93)

Schedule I - Quota Table for 1994

NAFO/FC Doc. 93/18

Adopted: 10 September 1993

In force: 15 December 1993 (for all Contracting Parties except Latvia and Russian

Federation who objected to the proposal relative to the allocation of "block"

quota to Latvia, Lithuania, Estonia and Russian Federation).

Proposal (1/94) NAFO/FC Doc. 94/4 No directed fishery for the cod stock in Divisions 3N and 3O of the Regulatory Area in

Adopted: 17 February 1994 In force: 24 April 1994

Proposal (2/94)

Management Measures of 3L Cod - No directed fishery in 1995

NAFO/FC Doc. 94/8

(Revised)

Adopted: 23 September 1994

In force: 12 December 1994

Proposal (3/94) NAFO/FC Doc 94/8

maximum spacing between the bars of 22 mm; for 3LNO-no directed fishery in 1995

(Revised)

Adopted: 23 September 1994 In force: 12 December 1994

Proposal (4/94) NAFO/FC Doc. 94/8 Pilot Project for a NAFO Observer Scheme - Pilot Project to be extended to

Management Measures for 3M-3LNO Shrimp: Minimum mesh size of 40 mm and

31 December 1995.

(Revised)

NAFO/FC Doc. 94/9

Adopted: 23 September 1994 In force: 12 December 1994

Proposal (1/95)

Schedule I - Quota Table for 1995

NAFO/FC Doc. 94/13

Adopted: 23 September 1994

In force: 02 January 1995 (for all Contracting Parties except Latvia and Russian

Federation who objected to the part of the proposal relative to the allocation of

"block" quota to Latvia, Lithuania, Estonia and Russian Federation).

Proposal (2/95)

Allocation of Greenland halibut in Subareas 2 and 3 for 1995.

NAFO/FC Doc. 95/1

Adopted: 01 February 1995

In force: 12 May 1995 (for all Contracting Parties except Estonia, European Union,

Latvia, Lithuania and Poland, who objected to this proposal)

Proposal (3/95)

Minimum fish size (for Greenland halibut)

NAFO/FC Doc. 95/9

Adopted: 15 September 1995 In force: 29 November 1995

Proposal (4/95)

Processed length equivalents

NAFO/FC Doc. 95/10

Adopted: 15 September 1995 In force: 29 November 1995

Proposal (5/95)

Transmission of information from inspections

NAFO/FC Doc. 95/11

Adopted: 15 September 1995 In force: 29 November 1995

Proposal (6/95) Inspections

NAFO/FC Doc. 95/12

Adopted: 15 September 1995 In force: 29 November 1995

Proposal (7/95)

Reporting of catch on board fishing vessels entering and exiting the Regulatory Area

NAFO/FC Doc. 95/13

Adopted: 15 September 1995 In force: 29 November 1995

Proposal (8/95)

Mesh Size

NAFO/FC Doc. 95/14

Adopted: 15 September 1995 In force: 29 November 1995

Proposal (9/95)

NAFO/FC Doc. 95/15

Port Inspections

Adopted: 15 September 1995 In force: 29 November 1995

Proposal (10/95)

Follow-up on apparent infringements

NAFO/FC Doc. 95/16

Adopted: 15 September 1995 In force: 29 November 1995

Proposal (11/95)

Pilot Project for Observers and Satellite Tracking

NAFO/FC Doc. 95/17

Adopted: 15 September 1995 In force: 29 November 1995

Proposal (12/95)

Effort plans and catch reporting

NAFO/FC Doc. 95/18

Adopted: 15 September 1995 In force: 29 November 1995

Proposal (13/95)

NAFO/FC Doc. 95/19

Infringements

Adopted: 15 September 1995 In force: 29 November 1995

Proposal (14/95)

No directed fishery for Cod 2J3KL in the Regulatory Area in 1996

NAFO/FC Doc. 95/23

Adopted: 15 September 1995 In force: 29 November 1995

Proposal (15/95)

Management of shrimp fishery

NAFO/FC Doc. 95/21

Adopted: 15 September 1995

In force: 02 January 1996 (for all Contracting Parties except Iceland and Russia who

objected to the proposal)

Proposal (16/95)

Schedule I - Quota Table for 1996

NAFO/FC Doc. 95/23

Adopted: 15 September 1995

In force: 02 January 1996 (for all Contracting Parties except Latvia and Russia who objected to the proposal relative to the allocation of "block" quota to Latvia,

Lithuania, Estonia and Russia Federation).

Proposal (1/96) Include "target species" in hail reports

NAFO/FC Doc. 96/5

Adopted: 13 September 1996 In force: 27 November 1996

Proposal (2/96)

Observer duties to collect data on discards

NAFO/FC Doc. 96/6

Adopted: 13 September 1996 In force: 27 November 1996

Proposal (3/96)

No directed fishery for cod 2J3KL in Div. 3L, in the Regulatory Area in 1997

NAFO/FC Doc. 96/7

Adopted: 13 September 1996 In force: 27 November 1996

Proposal (4/96)

No directed fishery for 3LNO shrimp in 1997

NAFO/FC Doc. 96/8

Adopted: 13 September 1996 In force: 27 November 1996

Proposal (5/96)

Catch limits for 2J3KL cod stock in the NAFO Regulatory Area

NAFO/FC Doc. 96/10

Adopted: 13 September 1996 In force: 27 November 1996

Proposal (6/96)

Experimental redfish fishery for vessels with 90mm mesh in 1997

NAFO/FC Doc. 96/9

Adopted: 13 September 1996

In force: 05 December 1996 (on all Contracting Parties except Cuba, who objected to this

proposal)

NAFO/FC Doc. 96/9

06 January 1997: modified by the Chairman of the Fisheries Commission by

the

(final revision)

deletion of the words "...for Russian vessels".

Proposal (7/96)

3M Shrimp Management

NAFO/FC Doc. 96/5

Adopted: 13 September 1996

In force: 30 December 1996 (on all Contracting Parties except Iceland, who objected to

this proposal)

Proposal (8/96)

Schedule I - Quota Table for 1997

NAFO/FC Doc. 96/13

Adopted: 13 September 1996

In force: 06 January 1997 (on all Contracting Parties except Russian Federation who

objected to the part of the proposal relative to the allocation of "block" quota to Latvia, Lithuania, Estonia and Russian Federation; and Latvia who objected to the allocation of "block" quota and "Others": for fishing Greenland halibut).

Proposal (1/97)

No directed fishery for Witch in Div. 3L, in the Regulatory Area in 1998

NAFO/FC Doc. 97/7

Adopted: 19 September 1997 In force: 25 November 1997

Proposal (2/97)

No directed fishery for 3LNO shrimp in 1998

NAFO/FC Doc. 97/9

Adopted: 19 September 1997 In force: 25 November 1997 Proposal (3/97)

No directed fishery for cod 2J3KL in Div. 3L, in the Regulatory Area in 1998

NAFO/FC Doc. 97/10

Adopted: 19 September 1997 In force: 25 November 1997

Proposal (4/97)

Pilot Project for Observers and Satellite Tracking

NAFO/FC Doc. 97/11

Adopted: 19 September 1997 In force: 25 November 1997

Proposal (5/97)

Inspector's/Trainee's Document of Identity

NAFO/FC Doc. 97/12

Adopted: 19 September 1997 In force: 01 December 1997

Proposal (6/97)

3M Shrimp Management

NAFO/FC Doc. 97/8

Adopted: 19 September 1997

In force: 31 December 1997 (on all Contracting Parties except Iceland, who objected to

Part I.F.4 this proposal)

Proposal (7/97)

Schedule I - Quota Table for 1998

NAFO/FC Doc. 97/14

Adopted: 19 September 1997

In force: 31 December 1997 (on all Contracting Parties except Russian Federation who objected to the part of the proposal relative to the allocation of "block" quota of Cod 3M, Redfish 3M and Squid 3+4 to Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania and Russian Federation and to the footnote 1 of the Quota Table; and Latvia who objected to the allocation of "block" quota of Cod 3M, Redfish 3M and Squid 3+4 and

"Others": for fishing Greenland halibut).

Proposal (1/98)

Program for Observers and Satellite Tracking

NAFO/FC Doc. 98/7

Adopted: 18 September 1998 In force: 25 November 1998

Proposal (2/98)

No transshipment of fish from Non-Contracting Party vessels

NAFO/FC Doc. 98/8

Adopted: 18 September 1998 In force: 25 November 1998

Proposal (3/98)

No directed fishery for cod 2J3KL in Div. 3L, in the Regulatory Area in 1999

NAFO/FC Doc. 98/13

Adopted: 18 September 1998 In force: 25 November 1998

Proposal (4/98)

Management Measures for Shrimp in Div. 3LNO

NAFO/FC Doc. 98/13

Adopted: 18 September 1998 In force: 25 November 1998

Proposal (5/98)

No directed fishery for Witch in Div. 3L in the Regulatory in 1999

NAFO/FC Doc. 98/13

Adopted: 18 September 1998 In force: 25 November 1998

Proposal (6/98) Standardized Formats for the Electronic Transmissions of NAFO Hail and Satellite

NAFO/FC Doc. 98/10 Tracking Reports

Adopted: 18 September 1998 In force: 25 November 1998

Proposal (7/98) 3M Shrimp Management

NAFO/FC Doc. 98/9 Adopted: 18 September 1998

In force: 28 December 1998 (on all Contracting Parties except Iceland, who objected to

Part I.F.4.a-d and g-h of this proposal)

Proposal (8/98) Schedule I - Quota Table for 1999

NAFO/FC Doc. 98/13

Adopted: 18 September 1998

In force: 30 December 1998 (on all Contracting Parties except Russian Federation who objected to the part of the proposal relative to the allocation of "block" quota of Redfish 3M and Squid 3+4 to Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania and Russian Federation

and to the footnote 1 of the Quota Table; and Latvia who objected to the allocation of "block" quota of Redfish 3M and Squid 3+4 and "Others" re fishing Greenland halibut; and Lithuania who objected to the allocation of "block" quota of Redfish 3M and Squid 3+4, to footnote 1 of the Quota Table

and "Others" re fishing for Greenland halibut).

#### PART II

#### **NAFO** Resolutions

Resolution (1) Adopted by the General Council of NAFO, re administrative and financial arrangements for NAFO (appointment of Executive Secretary and staff of Secretariat; authorizes

Executive Secretary to receive NAFO contributions and pay all NAFO expenses)

Proposed:9 March 1979 (Proc. March 1979 Meeting, p. 11-12)

In force: 9 March 1979

Resolution (2) Adopted by the Fisheries Commission of NAFO, re vessels of non-member countries

operating in the Regulatory Area (requests President of NAFO to inform Mexico, Panama, and Venezuela of difficulties created by their vessels re conservation of stocks in

the Regulatory Area)

Proposed:7 June 1979 (Proc. June 1979 Meeting, p. 107)

In force: 7 June 1979

Resolution (3) Adopted by the Fisheries Commission of NAFO, re the establishment of a scientific

observer scheme (to improve scientific knowledge of status of stocks in the Regulatory Area, requests Contracting Parties to participate on voluntary basis; arrangements for

observers to be made bilaterally)

Proposed:7 June 1979 (Proc. June 1979 Meeting, p. 108)

In force: 7 June 1979

Resolution (4) Adopted by the General Council of NAFO, re modification of the western boundary of

Subarea 1 of the Convention Area (amends Annex III of the Convention; to be effective 1

January 1980)

Proposed:7 June 1979 (Proc. June 1979 Meeting, p. 71-72)

In force: 1 January 1980

Resolution (1/81) Adopted by the Fisheries Commission of NAFO, expressing serious concern at the

activities of Spanish vessels and inviting Spain to adhere to the Convention

Proposed:26 June 1981 In force: 26 June 1981

Resolution (1/85) Adopted by the Fisheries Commission of NAFO, concerning reporting on catches and

scientific samples.

Proposed:13 September 1985 In force: 13 September 1985

Resolution (1/86) Adopted by the General Council of NAFO, re modification of statistical boundary

between Subareas 4 and 5 of the Convention Area. (amends Annex III of the Convention)

Proposed:12 September 1987

In force: 9 October 1987, by unanimous decision of the General Council and assent from

the observer from the U.S.A. on 18 September 1987

Resolution (1/88) Adopted by the Fisheries Commission of NAFO, re reporting of provisional monthly

catches by species and stock area.

Proposed:10 February 1988 In force: 10 February 1988 Resolution (2/88)

Adopted by the Fisheries Commission of NAFO, determining the date on which the Proposal establishing the modified Scheme of Joint International Enforcement to be entitled "Scheme of Joint International Inspection" shall become a measure binding on all Contracting Parties.

Proposed:10 February 1988 In force: 10 February 1988

Resolution (3/88)

Adopted by the Fisheries Commission of NAFO, urging the Contracting Parties to continue and improve the Annual Scientific Program, requesting the same Contracting Parties and the Executive Secretary to urge non-members of NAFO to cooperate with the Program and requesting the Scientific Council to evaluate at the 1989 Annual Meeting the progress of the Program.

Proposed:15 September 1988 In force: 15 September 1988

Resolution (4/88)

Adopted by the General Council of NAFO, calling on all Contracting Parties to avoid excessive or inappropriate use of the objection procedure.

Proposed:16 September 1988 In force: 16 September 1988

Resolution (1/89)

Adopted by the General Council of NAFO, calling on all Contracting Parties for compliance with the NAFO management framework in place since 1979, and compliance with NAFO decisions in order to provide for conservation and maintain the traditional spirit of cooperation and mutual understanding in the Organization.

Proposed:15 September 1989 In force: 15 September 1989

Resolution (1/90) NAFO/GC Doc. 90/8 and 90/10 Resolution adopted by the General Council of NAFO on non-NAFO fishing activities: <a href="Declares">Declares</a> that all members of the international community whose nationals carry out fishing activities in the NAFO Regulatory Area should ensure that such activities do not have an adverse impact on the stocks or NAFO's ability to ensure conservation; <a href="Resolves">Resolves</a> for all Contracting Parties' communications through diplomatic channels with non-Contracting Parties whose vessels fish in the NAFO Regulatory Area and for adoption of all necessary measures (a harvest origin certificate, etc.) to prevent any fishing contrary to NAFO conservation measures.

Proposed:14 September 1990 In force: 14 September 1990

Resolution (1/93) NAFO/GC Doc. 93/5 Resolution adopted by the General Council of NAFO on non-Contracting Parties fishing activities in the NAFO Regulatory Area; introduces the landing declaration scheme and means of its implementation.

Proposed:10 September 1993 In force: 10 September 1993

Resolution (1/94) NAFO/GC Doc. 94/8 Resolution adopted by the General Council of NAFO re acceptance of UN "Agreement to Promote Compliance with International Conservation and Management Measures by Fishing Vessels on the High Seas".

Proposed:23 September 1994 In force: 23 September 1994 Resolution (1/95)

Resolution adopted by the Fisheries Commission of NAFO that Subareas 2 and 3 shall, as regards of the management of Greenland halibut, be geographically divided in two (2) parts:

- 2+3K - 3LMNO

Proposed:9 June 1995 In force: 9 June 1995

Resolution (1/97) NAFO/GC Doc. 97/7 Resolution adopted by the General Council of NAFO relating to the non-participation of

Bulgaria and Romania in NAFO

Proposed:19 September 1997 In force: 19 September 1997

## **PART III**

# Quota Tables (all figures are in metric tons)

# Quota Table for 1979 (NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V., Schedule I)

Country	CAPELIN Div. 3LNO	SQUID ( <i>Illex</i> ) Subareas 3+4 <sup>2,3</sup>	
Canada <sup>4</sup>	10 000¹	86 500	
Cuba <sup>4</sup>	-	4 500	
European Economic Community	-	5 000	
Romania <sup>4</sup>	-	1 000	
USSR <sup>4</sup>	-	10 000	
Reserved for the Members of the International Comfor the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries which are non Members of the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Orga (Bulgaria, Japan, Poland, Portugal and Spain)	-	13 000	
Total	10 000	120 000	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Reserved for Canadian inshore fishery in Div. 3L.

A minimum of 10% of each Party's allocation must be taken in Subarea 3.

The opening date for the squid (*Illex*) fishery is 1 July.

The amount(s) allocated to this Party include(s) the amount allocated or quota regulation of the same stock in the proposal adopted by the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries on 9 March 1979.

Quota Table for 1980 (NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I)

Contracting Party	COD Div. 3M	Div. 3NO	CAPELIN Div. 3LNO
Bulgaria			<u>-</u>
Canada	100	9 800	$16000^{1}$
Cuba	480	850	-
European Economic Community	2 405	210	-
Faroe Islands	2 900	-	-
German Democratic Republic	-	-	-
Iceland	-	-	-
Japan	-	-	-
Norway	1 200	-	-
Poland	500	-	-
Portugal	3 500	1 100	-
Romania	-	-	-
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	1 270	4 340	-
Others	85	700	-
Special Reservation <sup>2</sup>	560	9 000	-

Reserved for the Canadian inshore fishery in Div. 3L.

Reserved for Spain.

Quota Table <sup>1</sup> for 1980 (NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I)

Contracting Party	Squid ( $Illex$ ) $3 + 4^2$	(Total Allowable Catch - 150,000 metric tons)
Bulgaria	500	
Cuba	2 250	
Faroe Islands	-	
German Democratic Republic	-	
Iceland	-	
Japan	2 250	
Norway	-	
Poland	1 000	
Portugal	500	
Romania	500	
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	5 000	
Special Reservation <sup>3</sup>	2 250	

Any quota listed above may be increased by a transfer from any other quota listed above, or by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article I, paragraph 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC is not exceeded. Such transfers shall be reported promptly to the Executive Secretary.

The opening date for the squid (*Illex*) fishery is 1 July 1980.

Reserved for Spain.

Ouota Table for 1980 (NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I)

Contracting Party	Cod	Cod	Redfish	Redfish	American plaice	American American plaice plaice	Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	Squid (Rex)
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M	Div. 3M Div. 3LNO	Div. 3LNO	Div. 3NO	Div. 3LNO	Subareas 3 + 4
Canada² Cuba²	100	20	5 500 1 550	12 900	250	46 200	17 500	4 900	Q	٥
European Economic Community	2 405	۵		•	•	200	400	•	ш	ш .::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
Faroe Islands (Denmark)	1 775		•	•	•		•		u.	<u>.</u>
German Democratic Republic <sup>2</sup>		шо	•	009					Ш	ш ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
Norway² Portugal²	500		. 09	609	250	• •			Œ	<b>C</b>
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics <sup>2</sup> Others <sup>2</sup>		<b>a</b> L	10 350 2 000	9 200	1 000	· <u>\$</u>	. 001	2 030	∝ ш	ш.
Reserved for Members of the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries which are non-Members of the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization:	rs of the sion for the sheries which the Northwest parization:	<b>-</b> ∅ <b>I</b> Ш Œ ≻							<b>a</b>	
Poland Spain	560				• •					
TOTAL	10 280'	0	20 000 25 000	25 000	2 000	47 000	18 000	2 000		

Allowable catch of cod in Division 3M by Contracting Parties are for the period 1 January-30 April 1980 only.

The amount(s) allocated to this Party and to "Others" include(s) the amount(s) allocated for quota regulation of the same stock in the proposal adopted by the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries in Plenary Session on 5 June 1979.

N

Quota Table for 1981 (as amended on 26 June 1981) (NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I)

Contracting Party	DO O	8	Redfish	Redfish	American plaice	American plaice	Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	Squid (Illex) <sup>12</sup>
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO	Div. 3M	Div. 3M Div. 3LN	Div. 3M	Div. 3LNO Div. 3LNO	Div. 3LNO	Div. 3NO	Div. 3LNO	Subareas 3 + 4
Bulgaria	•		300		•	•		•	•	200
Canada	5 5 8	9 800	5 500	8 000	250	54 200	20 500	3 000	30 000	2 250
European Economic	}	<b>}</b>	}	}				. · · .		<b>}</b>
Community Fame Islands	2 405	210	1 200			90	9	•	•	•
(Denmark)	2 900	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•
German Democratic				į						
Republic		• •	•	820	•			•	•	<b>!</b> !
Japan	•	•	400	•		•	•	•		2 250
Norway	1 200 <sup>6</sup>			•	•	•	ì	•		
Poland	200	. •					•	•	!	1 000
Portugal	3 200	1 100	009	820	250	•	•	•		200
Romania	•				:	•	•	•	•	200
Socialist Republics	1 270	4 340	10 350	12 900	1 000			1 950	•	2 000
Others	20	200	100	150	200	100	100	20	ſ	•
Special Reservation	3453	000 6	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2 250³
TOTAL	12 750	26 0005	20 000	25 000	2 000	55 000	21 000	2 000	30 000	150 000

Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any other quota listed for squid or by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, para. 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible.

The opening date for the squid (Illex) fishery is 1 July 1981.

Reserved for Spain on the understanding that Spain will act in conformity with all NAFO conservation and enforcement regulations, and will participate in the NAFO Scientific Observer Scheme.

Reserved for the Canadian fishery in Div. 3L.

465 tons of this allocation represent a supplementary allocation to ensure participation by two Norwegian vessels in this fishery. This supplementary allocation TAC shall not be increased until such time as the Scientific Council reports that age 3+ annual mean biomass has reached 200 000 metric tons. shall not be taken into account in allocations for future years.

(NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I)

Contracting	800	80	Redfish	Redfish	American plaice	American plaice	Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	Squid (Tilex)
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M	Div. 3LNO	Div. 3LNO	Div. 3NO	Div. 3LNO	Subareas 3 + 4
Bulgaria		•	300	900	250	54.900	22 470	3.000	30 0003	500
Cuba	984	820	1 550	2 250	3	201	} }	3	3	2 250
European Economic Community	2 405	210	1 200	•	•	700	430			<b>9</b> 1
Faroe Islands	006 2		•			•		•		
German Democratic	3									
Republic	• :	•		820	•	• •	•	•		
Japan	• • •		400	•	•		•			2 250
Norway	1 2007		•	•	•				•	. •
Poland	200	•	• <u>•</u> •		•	•	•	1	•	1 000
Portugal	3 200	1 100	009	820	250	•		•		200
Romania Union of Soviet	•	•	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	•	•		•	•	200
Socialist Republics	1 270	4 340	10 350	10 900	1 000	•		1 950	•	2 000
Others	20	700	100	150	200	<u>2</u>	100	20		•
TOTAL	12 4051	17 0002	000 06	25,000	000	55 000	23 000	5 000	30 000	150 0004.5

The TAC will not be increased beyond 12 405 mt until the Scientific Council advises that the age 3+ mean biomass has reached a level approximately equal to one-half the mean age 3+ equilibrium biomass associated with fishing at F<sub>max</sub> and assuming long term average recruitment levels.

TAC shall not be increased until such time as the Scientific Council reports that age 3+ annual mean biomass has reached 200 000 metric tons.

Reserved for Canadian fishing in 3L.

Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, para. 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible.

The opening date for the squid (*Illex*) fishery is 1 July 1982.

The dashes which appear in the place of allocations to Canada and the EEC do not signify zero allocations. Allocations to these Contracting Parties, as yet undetermined, shall not exceed the difference between the total of altocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC.

465 tons of this allocation represent a supplementary allocation, and they shall not be taken into account in allocations for future years.

Quota Table for 1983 (NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I)

Contracting Party	8	8	Redfish	Redfish	American	American plaice	Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	Squid (Illex)
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M	Div. 3LNO	Div. 3LNO	Div. 3NO	Div. 3NO	Subareas 3 + 4
Bulgaria			Sec							5
Canada	, <del>5</del>	10 800	2 0007	12 000	250	54 200	18 535	3 000	•	3°,
Cuba I	480	8203	1 550	2 250		•		. • •:	•	2 250
European Economic Community	2 405	210	1 200		•	200	380	•	•	φ,
Faroe Islands						}				
(Denmark)	2 900	•	•	•	•				•	•
German Democratic	•			S.C.			•	•	•	•
Iceland		•	•	3	•	•		•	•	
Japan	•	. I	400	•	•	<b>1</b>	•	•	•	2 250
Norway	1 200			•	•		•	•	•	
Poland	200	•		•		•			•	1 000
Portugal	3 200	1 100	009	820	250	•	•	•		200
Romania	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	:••	200
Socialist Republics	1 270	3 340	13 850	006 8	1 000	•	•	1 950	•	2 000
Others	20	200	100	150	200	9	8	9	•	
TOTAL	12 405¹	17 000²	20 000	25 000	2 000	55 000	19 000	2 000	0	150 0004.5

The TAC will not be increased beyond 12 405 mt until the Scientific Council advises that the age 3+ biomass has reached a level approximately equal to one-half TAC shall not be increased until such time as the Scientific Council reports that age 3+ annual mean biomass has reached 200 000 metric tons. the mean age 3+ equilibrium biomass associated with fishing at F<sub>max</sub> and assuming long term average recruitment levels.

This allocation is transferred to Canada for 1983.

Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, para. 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fishenes for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible.

The opening date for the squid (Illex) fishery is 1 July.

The dashes which appear in the place of allocations to Canada and the EEC do not signify zero allocations. Allocations to these Contracting Parties, as yet undetermined, shall not exceed the difference between the total of allocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC. Includes 850 mt transferred to Cuba for 1983.

(NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I) Quota Table for 1984587

Column I	=		2	Α.	7	ii/	N.	×	×	₹
Contracting Party	POS	POS	Redfish	Redfish	American plaice	American plaice	Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	Squid (Illex)
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M	Div. 3LNO Div. 3LNO	Div. 3LNO	Div. 3NO	Div. 3NO	Subareas 3 + 4384
1. Bulgaria			300			•			•	200
2. Canada	100	11 650	700	12 850	150	54 200	16 584	3 000	•	N.S.
3. Cuba	480	•	1 550	2 250			•	•	•	2 250
4. European Economic										
Community	2 405	210	1 200		•	700	340	•	•	N.S.º
5. Faroe Islands (Denmark)	2 900			•	•	•		•	•	•
6. German Democratic										
Republic			•	820		•	•	•	•	•
7. Iceland				•	•			•	•	•
8. Japan		•	400	•	•		•	•	•	2 250
9. Norway	1 200	•		ŕ				•	•	ļ
10. Poland	200	•	•	•	•			•		1 000
11. Portugal	3 500	1 100	1 900	•	320	•	•	•	•	200
12. Romania		•	•			•		•	•	200
13. Spain	260	000 6	•	•	•			•	•	2 250
14. USSR	1 270	3 340	13 850	8 900	1 000		•	1 950	•	2 000
15. Others	20	200	8	150	200	<u>9</u>	92	20	•	.•
16. Special Reservation <sup>2</sup>	•	•	•	•	•	<b>I</b>	•		•	1
17. Total Allowable									-	
Catch	12 965 <sup>5</sup>	26 0007	20 000	25 000	2 000	55 000	17 000	2 000	9	150 000
1 Outpet of a section	0000									

There are no Special Reservations for 1984.

The opening date for the squid (Illex) fishery is 1 July.

Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, para. 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible.

The TAC will not be increased beyond 12 965 mt until the Scientific Council advises that the age 3+ mean biomass has reached a level approximately equal to one-half the mean age 3+ equilibrium biomass associated with fishing at F<sub>max</sub> and assuming long term average recruitment levels.

Not Specified because the allocations to these Contracting Parties are as yet undetermined, although their sum shall not exceed the difference between the total

of allocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC.

TAC shall not be increased until such time as the Scientific Council reports that age 3+ annual mean biomass has reached 200 000 metric tons.

(NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I) Quota Table for 1985

Column 1	=	=	2	Λ	N	<b>X</b>	<b>*</b>	×	×	ズ
Contracting Party	Cod	Cod	Redfish	Redfish	American plaice	American plaice	Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	Squid (fillex)
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M	Div. 3M Div. 3LNO Div. 3LNO	Div. 3LNO	Div. 3NO	Div. 3NO	Subareas 3 + 434
1. Bulgaria	•		300	•	•					200
2. Canada	100	15 955	200	10 650	150	48 290	14 630	3 000		S S
3. Cuba	480	•	1 750	2 450		•	•	•	•	2 250
Community	2 405	250	1 200	•		635 5	S			90
	2 900	•				}	} '		•	<u> </u>
e. German Democratic Republic			•	850						
7. Iceland	•		•	3 '	•	•				
8. Japan			400		•		•		•	2.250
9. Norway	1 200	1	•				•		•	
10. Poland	200	•	•	•			•			1 000
11. Portugal	3 500	1 315	1 900	•	320		•	•		200
12. Romania		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2000
13. Spain	260	10 780		•	•					2 250
14. USSR	1 270	4 000	13 850	10 900	1 000	•	•	1 950	•	2 000
15. Others	20	200	200	150	200	8	2	20	•	
16. Special Reservation <sup>2</sup>			1	•.			•		•	
17. Total Allowable										
Catch	12 9655	33 000	20 000	25,000	200	70.00	4	1	•	000

There are no Special Reservations for 1985. Quotas are in metric tons.

The opening date for the squid (Illex) fishery is 1 July.

Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, para: 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible.

The TAC for Cod in Div. 3M will not be increased beyond 12 965 mt until the Scientific Council advises that the age 3+ biomass has reached a level approximately equal to one-half the mean age 3+ equilibrium biomass associated with fishing at Fmex and assuming long term average recruitment levels.

Not Specified because the allocations to these Contracting Parties are as yet undetermined, although their sum shall not exceed the difference between the total of allocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC.

「大学のでは、100mmのでは、100

(NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I) Quota Table<sup>1</sup> for 1986

Column I	=	<b>E</b>	≥	>	>	₹	₹	×	×	ズ
Contracting Party	Cod	PGO	Redfish	Redfish	American plaice	American plaice	Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	Squid (Tilex)
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M	Div. 3LNO	Div. 3LNO	Div. 3NO	Div. 3NO	Subareas 3 + 4 <sup>384</sup>
1. Bulgaria		•	8				-			200
2. Canada	100	15 955	200	10 650	150	54 200	14 630	3 000		ς Σ
3. Cuba	480	•	1 750	2 450	•			) I	•	2 250
European Economic										Í
Community	2 405	250	1 200	•	•	200	300		•	υ 2
5. Faroe Islands (Denmark)	2 900			•	•	•	}		•	<u>;</u>
6. German Democratic										
Republic	•		. '	820			•	•	•	
! Iceland	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	
8. Japan		.,	904	•	•					2 250
9. Norway	1 200	•	•	•		•				3
10. Poland	200	•	•		•		•	٠		1 000
11. Portugal	3 500	1 315	1 900	•	350			•		200
12. Romania	•	•	•	•		•	•	•		200
13. Spain	280	10 780		•	•	•	•	•	•	2 250
14. USSR	1 270	4 000	13 850	10 900	1 000	•	•	1 950	. '	000
15. Others	20	200	5	150	200	100	02	5.5	•	· ·
16. Special Reservation <sup>2</sup>	•	•		•		•	•	3 '	•	
17. Total Allowable										
Catch	10 965	33 000	000	25,000	000 6	200	500	5		100007

There are no Special Reservations for 1986. Quotas are in metric tons.

The opening date for the squid (Illex) fishery is 1 July.

Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, para. 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible.

The TAC for Cod in Div. 3M will not be increased beyond 12 965 mt until the Scientific Council advises that the age 3+ biomass has reached a level approximately equal to one-half the mean age 3+ equilibrium biomass associated with fishing at F<sub>max</sub> and assuming long term average recruitment levels.

Not Specified because the allocations to these Contracting Parties are as yet undetermined, although their sum shall not exceed the difference between the total of allocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC.

Reference will be made to Scientific Council for 1987 re: distribution of squid stocks in Canadian jurisdiction and the NAFO Regulatory Area.

Quota Table¹ for 1987 (NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I)

Column I	1		N	<b>A</b>	IA	II/	H/A	×	×	×
Contracting Party	Cod	Cod	Redfish	Redfish	American plaice	American plaice	Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	Squid (fillex)
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M	Div. 3LNO Div. 3LNO	Div. 3LNO	Div. 3NO	Div. 3NO	Subareas 3 + 4384
1. Bulgaria			300			•			•	200
2. Canada	2	15 955	200	10 650	150	47 300	14 630	3 000	400	N.S.
3. Cuba	480	•	1 750	2 450	•	•			250	2 250
4. European Economic										
Community	2 405	250	1 200		•	610	300	•	250	N.S.
5. Faroe Islands (Denmark)	k) 2 900	•	•	. !					•	
6. German Democratic						· *				
Republic		•		820	•	•		•	•	. <b>)</b> 
7. Iceland	•	•			•	1			•	
8. Japan		•	400	•	•	•	•	•	800	2 250
9. Norway	1 200	•	1	•	. !	•		•	3 000	
10. Poland	200	•	•	•	•		1	•	300	1 000
11. Portugal	3 200	1 315	1 900	•	320	•		•	•	200
12. Romania		•	•	•	. •	•	•	•	•	200
13. Spain	260	10 780	1	•	•			•	•	2 250
14. USSR	1 270	4 000	13 850	10 900	1 000		•	1 950	2 000	2 000
15. Others	20	700	100	150	200	6	2	20	0	•
16. Special Reservation2	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
17. Total Allowable										
Catch	12 965	33 000	20 000	25 000	2 000	48 000	15 000	2 000	10 000	150 0007
	and district									

There are no Special Reservations for 1987

The opening date for the squid (Illex) fishery is 1 July.

Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, para. 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible.

equal to one-half the mean age 3+ equilibrium biomass associated with fishing at F<sub>max</sub> and assuming long term average recruitment levels.

Not Specified because the allocations to these Contracting Parties are as yet undetermined, although their sum shall not exceed the difference between the total The TAC for Cod in Div. 3M will not be increased beyond 12 965 mt until the Scientific Council advises that the age 3+ biomass has reached a level approximately

The TAC would remain at 150 000 tons subject to adjustment where warranted by scientific advice.

of allocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC.

(NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I) Quota Table<sup>1</sup> for 1988

Column I		<b>=</b>	2	>	5	<b>I</b>	<b>≡</b> X	X	×	X
Contracting	8	8	Redfish	Redfish	American plaice	American plaice	Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	Squid (mex)
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M	Div. 3LNO	Div. 3LNO Div. 3NO	Div. 3NO	Div. 3NO	Subareas 3 + 4 <sup>384</sup>
I. Bulgaria			300				•		•	200
2. Canada 3. Cuba		19 070	500 1 750	10 650 2 450	150	39 415	14 630	3 000	375	N.S. <sup>5</sup>
1. European Economic Community	•	14 750	1 200	•	350	210	300	•	375	Z S.
5. Faroe Islands										· .
6. German Democratic							·			!
Republic	•	•		820	•		•			
7. Iceland 8. Japan			, 64		! . ! \ \ !	1	•	•	- 40 - 00	2 250
9. Norway		•	•	•	. •	•	!		4 500	•
10. Poland	•	•	•			•	•	•	450	1 000
1. Romania	•	•	•	•	•			•	• (	200
12. USSR 13. Others	•	4 780	13 850	10 900	- 000	, K	' 6	1 950	7 500	2000
14. Special Reservation <sup>2</sup>	•	•	3 '	3 '	}	? '		3 '	)	3
15. Total Allowable Catch	0	40 000	20 000	25 000	2 000	40 000	15 000	2 000	15 000	150 000 <sup>6</sup>

There are no Special Reservations for 1987.

The opening date for the squid (Illex) fishery is 1 July.

Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, para. 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible.

Not Specified because the allocations to these Contracting Parties are as yet undetermined, atthough their sum shall not exceed the difference between the total of allocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC.

The TAC would remain at 150 000 tons subject to adjustment where warranted by scientific advice.

Quota Table¹ for 1989 (NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I)

Column I	-	H	N	<b>&gt;</b>	IA	IIA	III.	×	×	ҳ
Contracting Party	Coq	Cod	Redfish	Redfish	American plaice	American	Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	Squid (Tilex)
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M	Div. 3M Div. 3LNO Div. 3LNO	Div. 3LNO	Div. 3NO	Div. 3NO	Subareas 3 + 4384
1. Bulgaria	•	•	300	4	•		•	•		200
2. Canada	3	11 920	200	10 650	150	29 860	4 875	3 000	748	Z.S.
3. Cuba	•	•	1 750	2 450	•	•	:	•	700	2 250
<ol> <li>Denmark (in respect of Faroe Islands &amp; Greenland)</li> </ol>	ct of sreenland)	•				•			,	
5. European Economic	Ò									<b>!</b>
1.0		9 220	3 100		350	382	8		700	N.S.
<ol> <li>German Democratic Remarklic</li> </ol>	<b>U</b>			050						•
7. Iceland				) ()	•	•			•	• •
8. Japan		•	400	. 1	* ***	•	•		2 612	2 250
9. Norway		•		•	1	•	•	•	8 400	•
10. Poland			1	•	•	•	•	•	840	1 000
11. USSR	•	2 985	13 850	10 900	1 000	•		1 950	14 000	2 000
12. Others	•	875	100	150	200	55	25	20		2 000
13. Special Reservation <sup>2</sup>	n <sub>2</sub>	•	•	•	. •	1	•	•	•	
14. Total Allowable										
Catch	0	25 000	20 000	25 000	2 000	30 300	2 000	2 000	28 000	150 000 <sup>6</sup>

There are no Special Reservations for 1989.

The opening date for the squid (Illex) fishery is 1 July.

Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, para. 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible.

Not Specified because the allocations to these Contracting Parties are as yet undetermined, although their sum shall not exceed the difference between the total of allocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC.

The TAC would remain at 150 000 tons subject to adjustment where warranted by scientific advice.

(NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I) Quota Table for 1990

Column I		=	=	2	<b>&gt;</b>	<b>I</b>	₹	<b>=</b>	×	×	X
Contracting Party		8	Poo	Redfish	Redfish	American plaice	American	Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	Squid (Tllex)
		Div. 3M	Div. 3NO	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M	Div. 3LNO Div. 3LNO	Div. 3LNO	Div. 3NO	Div. 3NO	Subareas 3 + 4384
1. Bulgaria			•	750	•		. •	•		•	200
Canada		•	8 868	1 250	10 650	150	24 538	4 875	3 000	800	Z.S.S.
Cuba	7	•		4 375	2 450	•	•	•	•	750	2 250
Faroe Islands & Greenland	sreenland)		•	•			•	•	•		•
5. European Economic	Ö.										
Community 6. German Democratic	<u>ي</u>		098 9	7 750	•	320	317	100		750	N.S.5
Republic		•	•		820	•		•			
celand		•		•		•	•	•		•	
8. Japan		•		1 000	1		•	•	•	2 800	2 250
9. Norway		•	•	•		ì	•	•		000 6	!
ru. rogand		•	•	• (	•	•	•	•	•	006	1 000
12 Others		<u>.</u>	2 221	34 625	10 900	000	. 4	' č	1 950	15 000	2 000
13. Special Reservation <sup>2</sup>	Jn <sup>2</sup>	• •	3 '	} '	3	3 '	ş ·	3 '	ਨ ' •	• •	6
14. Total Allowable											
Catch		C	18 600	5000	000	9	000		-		

There are no Special Reservations for 1990.

The opening date for the squid (Illex) fishery is 1 July.

Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, para. 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible.

Not Specified because the allocations to these Contracting Parties are as yet undetermined, although their sum shall not exceed the difference between the total of allocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC.

The TAC would remain at 150 000 tons subject to adjustment where warranted by scientific advice.

(NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I) Quota Table<sup>1</sup> for 1991

Column I	<b>=</b>	==	λ.	<b>&gt;</b>	5	₹	\$	×	×	×
Contracting	<b>8</b> 0	Cod	Redfish	Redfish	American plaice	American plaice	Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	Squid (Tilex)
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M	Div. 3LNO	Div. 3M Div. 3LNO Div. 3LNO	Div. 3NO	Div. 3NO	Subareas 3 + 4384
1. Bulgaria	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	750	•		•		. :		500
2. Canada	5	6 484	1 250	5 964	150	25 425	6 825	3 000	800	N.S.
3. Cuba	480		4 375	1 372	•	•	1	•	750	2 250
<ol> <li>Denmark (in respect of Faroe Islands &amp; Greenland) 2 900</li> </ol>	d) 2 900		•	•	•	•	•			
5. European Economic										
Community	6 465	5 016	7 750	•	320	328	140		750	N.S. 5
6. German Democratic										
Republic	1	•	•	476	•				1	
'. Iceland			•		•	•	•			. •
8. Japan	•	•	1 000	•	•	•		•	2 800	2 250
9. Norway	1 200	•	•	:	1			1	000 6	
10. Poland	200	•	•	•	•	•	•		006	1 000
11. USSR	1 270	1 624	34 625	6 104	1 000	•		1 950	15 000	5 000
12. Others	20	476	250	\$	200	47	38	20		5 000
13. Special Reservation <sup>2</sup>	•	•			•	•	•	•	<b>4</b>	•
14. Total Allowable										
Catch	12 965	13 600	20 000	14 000	2 000	25 800	2 000	2 000	30 000	150 000 <sup>6</sup>

Quotas are in metric tons.

There are no Special Reservations for 1991.

The opening date for the squid (*Illex*) fishery is 1 July.

Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, para. 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid instead for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible.

Not Specified because the allocations to these Contracting Parties are as yet undetermined, although their sum shall not exceed the difference between the total of allocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC.

The TAC would remain at 150 000 tons subject to adjustment where warranted by scientific advice.

(NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I) Quota Table for 1992

Column 1	=		2	>			100	2	,	5
			•		•	•		≤	<	₹
Contracting Party	POO O	B S	Redfish	Redfish	American plaice	American plaice	Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	Squid (Tilex)
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M	Div. 3LNO	Div. 3LNO	Div. 3NO	Div. 3NO	Subareas 3 + 4384
1. Bulgaria			645	•		•	•	•	•	200
2. Canada	<u>1</u>	6 484	1 075	5 964	150	25 425	6 825	3 000	800	Z.O.S
3. Cuba	480	1	3 762	1 372		•	•	•	750	2 250
<ol> <li>Denmark (in respect of Faroe Islands &amp; Greenland) 2 900</li> </ol>	and) 2 900					•		•		
5. European Economic										
Community	6 465	5 016	6 665	476	320	328	140		750	N.S.
7. Japan			860	•	• •			• •	2 800	2 250
8. Norway	1 200	ì	•		•		•		000 6	} }
9. Poland	200	•			•	•	•	•	006	1 000
10. USSR	1 270	1 624	29 777	6 104	1 000		•	1 950	15 000	2 000
11. Others	20	476	216	8	200	47	35	20	•	2 000
12. Special Reservation <sup>2</sup>	•	•	•	!			•	: <b>1</b> :		•
13. Total Allowable										
Catich	12 965	13 600	43 000	14 000	2 000	25 800	2 000	2 000	30 000	150 000 <sup>6</sup>
Onotas are in metric tons	ic tone									

Quotas are in metric tons.

There are no Special Reservations for 1992

The opening date for the squid (Illex) fishery is 1 July.

Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, para. 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible.

Not Specified because the allocations to these Contracting Parties are as yet undetermined, although their sum shall not exceed the difference between the total of allocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC.

The TAC would remain at 150 000 tons subject to adjustment where warranted by scientific advice.

Quota Table¹ for 1993 (NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I)

	:	=	2	•	•	•		<u>{</u>	<b>4</b>	
Contracting	<b>B</b> S	8	Redfish	Redfish	American plaice	American plaice	Yellowtail	· · Witch.	Capelin	Squid (Illex)
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M	1 .	Div. 3LNO Div. 3LNO	Div. 3NO	Div. 3NO	Subareas 3 + 4 <sup>384</sup>
1. Bulgaria	•		450		•	•		1		200
2. Canada	100	4 863	750	5 964	150	10 347	6 825	3 000	•	N.S.
3. Cuba	480		2 625	1 372	•	•	•	•	•	2 250
4. Denmark (in respect of Fame Islands & Greenland)	2 800 P	•	•	* :	•	•	•	•		
5. European Economic										
Community	6 465	3 762	4 650	476	320	133	140	•		N.S.
6. Japan	•	•	009	•	•	•	•	•	•	2 250
7. Norway	1 200		•	•	1	•	•		•	
8. Poland	200	•	•	•	1	•	•	•	•	1 000
9. Estonia						•				
10. Latvia	1 270	1 218	20 775	6 104	1 000	•	•	1 950	•	2 000
12 Russia										
13. Others	20	357	150	\$	200	ଷ	38	26	•	2 000
14. iotal Allowable Catch	12 965	10 200	30 000	14 000	2 000	10 500	2 000	2 000	0	150 000 <sup>6</sup>

Quotas to be fished by vessels from Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania and the Russian Federation. The provisions of Part I, Section A.3 of the NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures shall apply. Quotas are in metric tons.

The opening date for the squid (IIIex) fishery is 1 July.

Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, para. 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible.

Not Specified because the allocations to these Contracting Parties are as yet undetermined, although their sum shall not exceed the difference between the total of allocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC. The TAC would remain at 150 000 tons subject to adjustment where warranted by scientific advice.

Quota Table for 1994 (NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I)

Column I	1	<b>III</b> (2000)	VI.	>	<b>X</b>	7	₹	×	×	×
Contracting Party	Сод	Cod	Redfish	Redfish	American plaice	American plaice	Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	Squid (Tilex)
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M	Div. 3LNO Div. 3LNO	Div. 3LNO	Div. 3NO	Div. 3NO	Subareas 3 + 4364
1. Bulgaria		-	390				•			200
2. Canada	85	2 861	650	5 964	75	4 730	6 825	1 800	C	S Z
3. Cuba	407	•	2 275	1 372	•		•	<b>!</b>	0	2 250
4. Denmark (in respect of										}
Faroe Islands & Greenland) 2 461	and) 2 461	•	, 1	•	•		•		•	•
5. European Union	5 485	2 2 1 3	4 030	476	175	19	140		0	, S
6. Japan	•		520	•	•		•		0	2 250
7. Norway	1 018	•	•		•	•	•	•	0	} !   
8. Poland	424	•		•		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	•	;•• •;	0	1 000
9. Estonia										
10. Latvia 📐	1 0781	7161	18 005	6 1041	1001			, c	•	1000
11. Lithuania		2	3	5	3	•		2	>	3
13. Others	4	210	130	<b>2</b>	250	6	35	8	•	2 000
					-	-				
14. Total Allowable Catch	11 000	000 9	26 000	14 000	1 000	4 800	7 000	3 000	no	150 0005
									2	

Quotas to be fished by vessels from Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania and the Russian Federation. The provisions of Part I, Section A.3 of the NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures shall apply

Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, paragraph 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, adn the report shall be made as promptly as possible. The opening date for the Squid (Illex) is 1 July.

Not specified because the allocation to these Contracting Parties are as yet undetermined, although their sum shall not exceed the difference between the total of allocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC.

The TAC would remain at 150 000 tonnes subject to adjustment where warranted by scientific advice.

\*Considering the advice contained in the Report of the Scientific Council and having regard to the poor state of the stock of Cod in Divisions 3NO; American plaice in Divisions 3LNO and 3M; Witch flounder in Division 3NO and Yellowtail flounder in 3LNO, no directed fishery shall be carried out under the TACs agreed for each of these stocks in 1994, which are suspended. The provisons of Part I, Section A.4b) of NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures shall apply.

(NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule 1) Quota Table for 1995

Ebugaria   Div. 3NO   Div. 3NO		J	Cod	æ	Redfish	Amer	Amercian plaice Yellowtail Witch	Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	Greenland halibut <sup>6</sup> Squid (Mex) <sup>23</sup>	Squid (Mex)
N   S80	contracting Party		Div. 3NO*	Div. 3M	4	Div. 3M*	Div. 3LNO*	Div. 3LNO*	Div. 3NO*	Div. 3NO*	Subareas 2+3	Subareas 3+4
## (Farree Islands	Bulgaria	•	z	330	•	z	Z	20	z	20		200
## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	Canada	88		650	5 964	)		)	) . : :*	•	16 300	N.S.
in Union 5 486	). Cuba	407	۵	2 275	1 372	Ω,	Δ.	0	۵	O		2 250
an Union 5 485 T 4030 476 T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	. Denmark (Faroe Islands		- œ	•		- œ	- œ	- œ	- œ	<b>- c</b>		
an Union 5 485 T 4 030 476 T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	and Greenland)		шc			шс	ШС	шO	шс	шç		
1018 F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F	. European Union		) ⊢ ⊔	4 030	476	) <b>– u</b>	) ├- ⊔	) <b>- u</b>	) <b>)</b> – U	) ⊢ ⊔	3 400	N.S.
1018   F   F   F   F   F   F   F   F   F	. Iceland	•	<b>0</b>	•	•	<u> </u>	ם ע	۵.	<b>D</b>	J 0	•	
1018	<b>Lapan</b>			250	•						2 600	2 250
1018	Korea					l					•	2 000
424 H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H	Norway	1 018	L <del>-</del> (		•	(	(		L — (			
1 078	0. Poland	424	ŊΙ.		•	ŊΙ.	ŊΙ.	ŊΙ.	ωI.	NI.	•	1 000
1 078' 1 1078' 6 104' 3 200  42 130 84 1500  1 500  17 000 15	1. Estonia		- z (			- z (	- 2 (	720	-z(	- z (		
1500 1500 1500 1500 1500 1500 1500 1500	2. Latvia	1 0781	5	18 005	, ,	5	5	•	5	5		, non
3 200 42 130 84 1500 1500 15	3. Lithuania	•		} }								
42 130 84 1500 1500 1500 15 1500 15	4. Russia										3 200	
11 000	5. Others	45		130	8						1 500	3 000
	otal Allowable Catch	11 000		26 000	14 000		•		•		27 000	150,000

Ouclass to be fished by vessels from Estonia, Lativia, Lithuania and the Russian Federation. The provisions of Part I; Section A.3 of the NAFO Conservation and Enforcement

16

Measures shall apply.

The opening date for the Squid (IIIex) fishery is 1 July.

Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, paragraph 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible. \* Not specified because the allocation to these Contracting Parties are as yet undetermined, although their sum shall not exceed the difference between the total of allocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC.

\* The TAC would remain at 150 000 tonnes subject to adjustment where warranted by scientific advice.

\* Effective as of 12 May 1995.

<sup>\*</sup> The provisions of Part I, Section A.4b) of NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures shall apply.

Quota Table for 1996 (NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule 1)

		Cod	Re	Redfish	Amerc	Amercian plaice	Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	Capelin Greenland halibut Squd (IIEV)23	A Squid (Mex) <sup>23</sup>
Contracting Party	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO*	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M*	Div. 3LNO*	Div. 3LNO*	Div. 3NO*	Div. 3NO*	Div. 3LMNO	Subareas 3+4
1. Bulgana			390	•	•	•					200
2. Canada	88	0	929	4 686	0	0	0	•	0	3 000	N.S.
3. Cuba	407	•	2 275	1 078	<b>C</b>				0		2 250
4. Denmark (Faroe Islands and Greenland)	s 2461		•		•						
5. European Union	5485	0	4 030	374	0	0	0	•	•	11 070	N.S.
6. Iceland			•	•	•				•	•	
7. Japan			250	•			•		•	2 050	2 250
8. Korea			•				•				2 000
9. Norway	1 018		•						0		
10. Poland	424	•	•	•					0		1 000
11. Estonia											
12. Latvia	4 0701	•	9	1							
13. Lithuamia		•	8	<b>\$</b>	•			•	•	•	2 800
14. Russia										2 550	
15. Others	4	0	130	99	0	0	0	0		1 330	3 000
Total Allowable Catch	11 000		26 000	11 000	•	•		•		20 000	150,000

Ouotas to be fished by vessels from Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania and the Russian Federation. The provisions of Part I, Section A.3 of the NAFO Conservation and Enforcement

Measures shall apply

Not specified because the allocation to these Contracting Parties are as yet undetermined, although their sum shall not exceed the difference between the total of allocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC.

The opening date for the Squid (IIIex) fishery is 1 July.

The opening date for the Squid (IIIex) fishery is 1 July.

Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, paragraph 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible.

TAC would remain at 150 000 tonnes subject to adjustment where warranted by scientific advice.

Of which no more than 40% (532 t) may be fished before 1 May 1996 and no more than 80% (1064 t) may be fished before 1 October 1996.

Each Contracting Party shalf report catches by its vessels of G. halibut to the Executive Secretary every Tuesday for the week ending at 2400 hours on the previous Sunday (FC Doc. 95/18).

<sup>\*</sup> No directed fishing - The provisions of Part I, Section A.4b) of NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures shall apply.

Quota Table for 1997 (NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule 1)

		<b>8</b>	nediish	2	Amercian plaice	Yellowtali	Witch	Capellu	Greenaria nalibut squa (arex	,
Contracting Party	Div. 3M	Div. 3M Div. 3NO*	Div. 3M Div. 3LN	SLN Div. 3M*	Div. 3LNO*	Div. 3LNO*	Div. 3NO*	Div. 3NO*	Div. 3LMNO	Subareas 3+4
1. Bulgaria						•	•	•		200
2. Canada	47	0	650 4 6	4 686 0	0	0	0	0	3 000	N.S.
3. Cuba	22	•		. 820	•	•	•	0	•	2 250
4. Denmark (Faroe Islands										
and Greenland)	1342	•	8	•	•	•	. •	•		•
5. European Union	2992	0	4 030	374 0	0	0	•	0	11 070	N.S.
6. France (St. Pierre										
and Miquelon)	•	•	8	•	•	•	•	•	•	2000
7. Iceland	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
8. Japan	•	•	250	•	•		•	0	2 050	2 250
9. Korea	•		8	•	•	•		•	•	2 000
10. Norway	555	•		•	•	•		0	•	•
11. Poland	ន	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	1 000
12. Estonia									e Let	
13. Latvia	1007	•	40 AF1 4 7061	190			•	•		5
14. Lithuania	86		80	8	•	•	>	•	•	3
15. Russia									2 550	
16. United States										
of America	•	•	8	•	•	•	•	•	•	2000
17. Others	ន	•	160	0 99	0	0	0		1 330	3 000
Total Allowable Catch	9		26 000 11 000	00	٠	•.	•	•	20 000	150.000
		-								

Ouotas to be fished by vessels from Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania and the Russian Federation. The provisions of Part I, Section A.3 of the NAFO Conservation and Enforcement

<sup>2</sup> The opening date for the Squid (Illex) fishery is 1 July.

Not specified because the allocation to these Contracting Parties are as yet undetermined, although their sum shall not exceed the difference between the total of allocations to

other Contracting Parties and the TAC.

<sup>5</sup> The TAC would remain at 150 000 tonnes subject to adjustment where warranted by scientific advice.
<sup>6</sup> Of which no more than 40% (532 t) may be fished before 1 May 1997 and no more than 80% (1064 t) may be fished before 1 October 1997.
<sup>7</sup> Quota of Bulgaria to be distributed among Denmark (Faroe Islands & Greenland), France (St. Pierre & Miquelon), Korea, the USA and others for 1997.
\* No directed fishing - The provisions of Part I, Section A.4b) of NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures shall apply.

is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be <sup>3</sup> Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, paragraph 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid made as promptly as possible.

Quota Table for 1998 (NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule 1)

	Cod	Pool 1	Re	Redfish	Amer	Amercian plaice	Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	Greenland halib	Greenland halibut Squid (Illeg <sup>23</sup>
Contracting Party	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO*	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M*	Div. 3LNO*	Div. 3LNO*	Div. 3NO*	Div. 3NO*	Div. 3LMNO	Subareas 3+4
1. Bulgaria					,	•	•				
2. Canada	9	0	650	0	0	0	3 900°	0	0	3 000	N.S.
3. Cuba	7	٠	2 275	0	•			•	0	•	2 250
4. Denmark (Faroe Islands	,										
and Greenland)	447	•	8	•	•	•	•			. •	
5. European Union	266	0	4 030	0	0	0	808	•	0	11 070	N.S.
6. France (St. Pierre											
and Miquelon)	•	•	8		•	•	•			•	2000
7. Iceland		•	•	•	•	•			•	•	
8. Japan	•	•	250	•		•			0	2 050	2 250
9. Korea		•	8		•	•	•				2 000
10. Norway	185	•	4	.1		•	•		0		
	7	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		1 90
7	196	•	18 005	•					•		1000
	}	•	2	•	•	•	•		>	•	3
15. Russia J 16. Ilnited States										2 550	
of America	•	•	8	•	•	•				•	000
17. Others	•	<b>0</b>	160	0	0	0	20°	0	•	1 330	3 200
Total Allowable Catch	2 000		20 000	•	•	•	4 000	•	•	000 00	150 0005
							8			200	20,00

Ouotas to be fished by vessels from Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania and the Russian Federation. The provisions of Part I, Section A.3 of the NAFO Conservation and Enforcement

Measures shall apply.

The opening date for the Squid (Illex) fishery is 1 July.

is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, paragraph 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid made as promptly as possible.

Not specified because the allocation to these Contracting Parties are as yet undetermined, aithough their sum shall not exceed the difference between the total of allocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The TAC would remain at 150 000 tonnes subject to adjustment where warranted by scientific advice.

Of which no more than 40% (532 t) may be fished before 1 May 1998 and no more than 80% (1064 t) may be fished before 1 October 1998.

Each Contracting Party shall notify the Executive Secretary bi-weekly of catches taken by its vessels from this stock. The Executive Secretary shall notify without delay all Contracting Parties of the date on which, for this stock, acumulated reported catch taken by vessels of the Contracting Parties is estimated to equal 100 percent of the TAC for that stock. At that date each Contracting Party, to which a quota has been allocatred or which vessels are engage dinfishing under the "Others" quota, shall prohibit fishing by its vessels for that stock.

Contracting Parties shall inform the NAFO Executive Secretary before 1 December 1997 of the measures to be taken to meet the advice of the NAFO Scientific Council.

<sup>\*</sup> No directed fishing - The provisions of Part I, Section A.4b) of NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures shall apply. 9 The provisions of Part I, Section A.4b) of NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures shall apply (FC Doc. 96/1).

Quota Table for 1999 (NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule 1)

		Cod	2	Redfish	American plaice	an plaice	Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin		G. halibut Squid (Illex)
Contracting Party D	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO*	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN*	Div. 3M*	Div. 3LNO*	Div. 3LNO	Div. 3NO*	Div. 3NO*	Div. 3NO* Div. 3LMNO Subareas 3+4	Subarcas 3+4
L. Canada	0	0	200	0	0	0	58507	0	0	3 667	N.S. <sup>4</sup>
2. Cuba	0	•	1750	0	•		•	•	0		1 125
. Denmark (Faroe Islands	s										
European Union	0	· 0	3100	• 0	0	. 0	1207	• •	' 0	13 530	Z.S.
France (St. Pierre et						, -					
Miquelon)		1	69		•	•		•		•	1 000
. Iceland	•		•		•		•	•	•	•	•
Japan	•	•	400	•	•		•		0	2 506	1 125
Korea		•	69		•	•	٠		•		1 000
Norway	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0		
10. Poland	0		•	•	•			•	0		200
II. Estonia											
12. Latvia	0	0	13 8501	0	0		•	0	0	•	2 5001
14. Russia										3117	
<ol><li>United States of</li></ol>							•				
America	•	•	69	•	•		•	•	•		1 000
16. Others	•	0	124	0	0	0	30,	0		1 624	1 750
Total Allowable Catch	•	•	13 0006	•	•		8000 9	*	•	24 444	75 000

Quotas to be fished by vessels from Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania and the Russian Federation. The provisions of Part I, Section A.3 of the NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures shall apply.

<sup>2</sup> The opening date for the Squid (Illex) fishery is 1 July.

Not specified because the allocation to these Contracting Parties are as yet undetermined, although their sum shall not exceed the difference between the total of allocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC.

Of which no more than 40% (650 t) may be fished before 1 May 1999 and no more than 80% (1299 t) may be fished before 1 October 1999.

Contracting Parties shall inform the NAFO Executive Secretary before 1 December 1998 of the measures to be taken to meet the advice of the NAFO Scientific Council

The provisions of Part I, Section A.5b) of NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures shall apply.

\*No directed fishing -- The provisions of Part I, Section A.5b) of NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures shall apply.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, paragraph 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible.

Each Contracting Party shall notify the Executive Secretary bi-weekly of catches taken by its vessels from this stock. The Executive Secretary shall notify without delay all Contracting Parties of the date on which, for this stock, accumulated reported catch taken by vessels of the Contracting Parties is estimated to equal 100 percent of the TAC for that stock. At that date each Contracting Party, to which a quota has been allocated or which vessels are engaged in fishing under the "Others" quota, shall prohibit fishing by its vessels for that stock.

### PART IV

### **NAFO** Resolutions

(1) Resolution Relating to Administrative and Financial Arrangements for NAFO, adopted by the General Council on 9
March 1979

## The General Council,

Noting the need for administrative and financial arrangements for NAFO, and

Having considered the Report of the Working Group on Finance and Administration,

# Adopts the following arrangements:

- (1) that the Executive Secretary of ICNAF be appointed with effect from today as the Executive Secretary of NAFO on the understanding that he will continue to act at the same time as Executive Secretary of ICNAF at least until 31 December 1979 and that as Executive Secretary of NAFO he receive no remuneration for 1979;
- (2) that the Executive Secretary be authorized to appoint the staff of the ICNAF Secretariat as staff of NAFO's Secretariat on the understanding that this staff will continue to act at the same time as staff of ICNAF's Secretariat at least until 31 December 1979 and that as NAFO's Secretariat they receive no remuneration for 1979;
- (3) that pending the adoption of financial regulations the Executive Secretary of NAFO be authorized to receive into a NAFO account all contributions in respect of NAFO and to pay any expenses of NAFO including an administrative fee for any services provided to NAFO by the ICNAF Secretariat;
- (4) that all assets and liabilities of ICNAF as of 31 December 1979 shall be assumed by NAFO effective 1 January 1980;
- (5) that the Executive Secretary of NAFO be instructed to prepare and circulate for consideration at the Annual Meeting of NAFO a budget estimate for the financial year 1980;
- (6) that the President of the Organization be requested to approach the Government of Canada for the purpose of appointing the Auditor General of Canada, who is the present auditor for ICNAF, to be the external auditor for NAFO; and
- (7) that the President of the Organization be requested to approach the Government of Canada for the purpose of obtaining the same privileges and immunities as granted to ICNAF for the new Organization (NAFO) in order that NAFO may enjoy the maximum benefits permissible under existing domestic laws and regulations.
- (2) Resolution Relating to Vessels of Non-Member Countries Operating in the Regulatory Area, adopted by the Fisheries Commission of the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization on 7 June 1979

# **The Fisheries Commission**

Noting that, in 1978 and 1979, vessels flying the flags of Panama, Venezuela, and Mexico have fished in the Regulatory Area,

Noting that Panama, Venezuela, and Mexico are not Members of the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries or the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization, and that the vessels in question have operated outside the conservation regime established by the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries and the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization,

Noting that the fish stocks of the Regulatory Area are, at present, being fully utilized by Members of the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries and the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization,

<u>Noting</u>, in consequence, that fishing by states which are not Members of the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries or the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization represents a serious threat to conservation in the Regulatory Area,

Noting that the vessels in question have links with Members of the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries or the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization and, in particular, that at least some of these vessels are owned either wholly or in part by fishing interests in Member Countries, and that at least some of the catch of these vessels is landed in Member Countries,

## Resolves:

- (1) that all Members of the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization be called upon to take all practicable steps to prevent arrangements between their nationals and vessels flying the flags of States which are not Members of the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries or the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization, where such arrangements involve fishing by such vessels in the Regulatory Area, and
- (2) that the President of the Organization be requested to inform Mexico, Panama, and Venezuela of the difficulties created by fishing vessels flying their flags with regard to the conservation of the stocks of the Regulatory Area.
- (3) Resolution Concerning the Establishment of a Scientific Observer Scheme, adopted by the Fisheries Commission of the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization on 7 June 1979

### The Fisheries Commission,

Noting the adoption by the Scientific Council of a report supporting the establishment of an international scientific observer program with respect to fisheries in the Convention Area,

<u>Considering</u> that it would be desirable to improve scientific knowledge of the status of fish stocks in the Regulatory Area, through the establishment of an international scientific observer program,

<u>Hereby Resolves</u> that Parties whose vessels have been conducting fisheries in the Regulatory Area, within the framework established by multilateral fisheries conventions applicable to this Area, be called upon to develop an international scientific observer program, commencing in 1979, on a voluntary basis. The following guidelines are recommended for the establishment of such a program through bilateral discussions:

- 1. Parties interested in participating in this program would develop mutually satisfactory arrangements on a bilateral basis in order to facilitate the implementation of this program.
- 2. At the request of the authorities of a Party participating in this program, in accordance with logistic arrangements made on a bilateral basis, the participating Party to which the request is addressed would arrange to have vessels under its jurisdiction that have been identified in these arrangements, and are operating in the Regulatory Area, accept on board scientific observers designated by the requesting Party.
- 3. Transportation of scientific observers to and from fishing vessels will be the responsibility of the observers' authorities, and may be effected by their inspection vessels designated under the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries or the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization Schemes of Joint International Enforcement, or directly between fishing vessels. Arrangements, including those concerning the transportation and boarding of observers, shall be organized so as to minimize interference with fishing operations, and, for example, in no case shall a fishing vessel be obliged to change its course pursuant to this program. Arrangements will be made for messages to be sent and received on behalf of the observer using the vessel's radio equipment and operator. Any expenses involved in such communication will be borne by the observers's authorities.
- 4. The masters of fishing vessels receiving scientific observers will ensure that such observers are granted full cooperation upon boarding, including accommodation and meals.
- 5. Scientific observers participating in the program will carry insurance satisfactory to the Parties concerned, either at their own expense, or as provided by their own authorities.
- 6. The participating Parties will establish, on a bilateral basis, the terms of reference for the scientific observers placed on board vessels pursuant to bilateral arrangements. The scientific observers will provide to the relevant masters, a copy of such records prepared by the observers, that the masters wish to retain.
- 7. The scientific observers will submit at the first opportunity, through their authorities to the authorities of the relevant fishing vessels, a copy of all scientific data records.

NOTE: The Fisheries Commission requests that the General Council transmit this Resolution to Parties to the International Convention for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries which are not Parties to the Convention on Future Multilateral Cooperation in the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries.

(1/81) Resolution Expressing Serious Concern at the Activities of Spanish Vessels and Inviting Spain to Adhere to the Convention, adopted by the Fisheries Commission on 26 June 1981

Whereas the Fisheries Commission has provided special reservations for Spain in 1981 on the understanding that Spanish vessels would act in conformity with all NAFO conservation and enforcement regulations, and would participate in the NAFO Scientific Observer Scheme;

Whereas NAFO inspectors have reported recurrent violations by Spanish vessels of NAFO regulations by, inter alia, maintaining log records which do not correspond with the amounts of fish on board or refusing to allow full inspections of vessels to compare the amount of fish on board with log records of catches;

Whereas Spain has not implemented the NAFO Scientific Observer Scheme;

Whereas the number of Spanish vessels fishing in NAFO Divisions 3M and 3NO and the catches taken by vessels inspected would suggest that the special reservations allocated to Spain have been exhausted:

## THE FISHERIES COMMISSION OF THE NORTHWEST ATLANTIC FISHERIES ORGANIZATION:

CALLS UPON the Government of Spain to ensure that Spanish vessels cease fishing for cod in NAFO Divisions 3M and 3NO immediately;

DRAWS THE ATTENTION of the Government of Spain to the fact that any future allocations for Spain will be dependent upon a formal commitment from Spain to conform with all NAFO conservation and enforcement regulations and to implement the NAFO Scientific Observer Scheme;

INVITES the Government of Spain to take steps to become party to the NAFO Convention as soon as possible in order to participate fully in the conservation and in the rational utilization of the stocks in the NAFO Regulatory Area.

(1/85) Resolution Concerning Reporting on Catches and Scientific Sampling, adopted by the Fisheries Commission on 13
September 1985

THE FISHERIES COMMISSION calls on all its Members to follow the reporting requirements of NAFO Rules and Regulations (as contained in the provisions concerning management in NAFO document FC 82/IX/13 - Conservation and Enforcement Measures - Part I.C) and comply with the sampling requirements which have been established by the Scientific Council.

(1/88) Resolution of the Fisheries Commission of the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization regarding reporting of provisional monthly catches by species and stock area, adopted by the Fisheries Commission on 10 February 1988

Whereas in 1982 the Fisheries Commission adopted Conservation and Enforcement Measures;

Whereas Part I, Section C, 3(a) of these Measures requires each Contracting Party to report to the Executive Secretary, within 30 days following the calendar month in which catches are made, provisional monthly catches by species and stock area;

Whereas the implementation of this obligation requires the Contracting Parties to have procedures through which they obtain regular catch reports from their fishing vessels during their fishing operations in the Regulatory Area to ensure proper in-season management of the fishery resources;

Recognizing that for Contracting Parties whose vessels fish in the Regulatory Area in January, the 1st report of the year is required within thirty days of the end of January;

The Contracting Parties represented at the meeting of the Fisheries Commission undertake to take the necessary measures to comply with the reporting requirements of the NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures.

(2/88) Resolution determining the Date on which the Proposal establishing the Modified Scheme of Joint International
Enforcement to be entitled "Scheme of Joint International Inspection" shall become a Measure Binding on all
Contracting Parties, adopted by the Fisheries Commission on 10 February 1988

Whereas in Article XVIII of the International Convention on Future Multilateral Cooperation in the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries the Contracting Parties have agreed to maintain in force and to implement within the Regulatory Area a scheme of joint international enforcement;

Whereas the Fisheries Commission, pursuant to paragraph 5 of Article XI of the Convention, has adopted a proposal for modifications to the Scheme and to Part I of the NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures in accordance with the Annex\* to this Resolution;

Whereas the Fisheries Commission has agreed that the modified scheme of joint international enforcement, now to be entitled "Scheme of Joint International Inspection", and the modification to Part I of the NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, shall come into force only if they are binding in their entirety on all Contracting Parties;

Whereas the proposal adopted by the Fisheries Commission shall now be transmitted by the Executive Secretary to all Contracting Parties pursuant to paragraph 6 of Article XI of the Convention;

The Fisheries Commission hereby resolves, pursuant to paragraph 7 of Article XI of the Convention, that the proposal it has adopted shall become a measure binding on all Contracting Parties ninety days after the date of transmittal specified in the notification of the proposal by the Executive Secretary unless a Contracting Party presents to the Executive Secretary an objection to the proposal pursuant to paragraph 1 of Article XII of the Convention, in which case the proposal shall not become a measure binding on any Contracting Party.

\*See NAFO/FC Doc. 88/1

(3/88) Resolution of the Fisheries Commission of the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization urging the Contracting Parties to continue and improve the Annual Scientific Program, requesting the same Contracting Parties and the Executive Secretary to urge non-members of NAFO to cooperate with the Program and requesting the Scientific Council to evaluate at the 1989 Annual Meeting the progress of the Program

The Fisheries Commission,

Recalling its decision at the Ninth Annual Meeting to establish an Annual Scientific Observer Program in order to improve scientific knowledge on the status of the fish stocks in the Regulatory Area, and its request that the Scientific Council prepare a report for the 1988 Annual Meeting, and,

Noting the response of the Scientific Council, contained in particular in FC Doc. 88/05 but also in SCS Doc. 88/19 and SCS Doc. 88/20,

Accepts the Scientific Council recommendation that existing scientific surveys be continued and that any new research efforts be addressed towards completing scientific objectives currently in place;

Urges those Contracting Parties which have not recently conducted research surveys to resume such work;

Calls upon Contracting Parties to ensure the provision to NAFO of complete and accurate statistical reports regarding catches, discards and directed fishing efforts, so as to rectify the information deficiencies outlined in FC Doc. 88/05;

Calls also upon Contracting Parties to improve and extend biological sampling of stocks in the Regulatory Area by specialized personnel, either unilaterally or through bilateral cooperative program where appropriate, in order to rectify the information deficiencies outlined in FC Doc. 88/05;

Requests the Executive Secretary and Contracting Parties individually to contact non-members of NAFO, whose nationals fish in the Regulatory Area, to request them to provide NAFO with complete and accurate statistical reports and to cooperate fully with the NAFO Scientific Program; and,

Requests the Scientific Council to evaluate the progress of this program in a report at the 1989 Annual Meeting.

(4/88) Resolution of the General Council of the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization calling on all Contracting Parties to avoid excessive or inappropriate use of the objection procedure, adopted by the General Council on 16 September 1988

The General Council.

Recalling the obligations inscribed in the Law of the Sea Convention of 1982, as regards international cooperation to provide for the conservation, and optimum utilization of the living resources of the sea;

Bearing in mind that the Convention on Future Multilateral Cooperation in the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries (NAFO) was born out of a desire to promote the conservation and optimum utilization of the living resources of the Northwest Atlantic area;

Recalling that the Convention provides that the object of the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization shall be to contribute through consultation and cooperation to the optimum utilization, rational management and conservation of the living resources of the NAFO Convention Area;

Noting that the Convention provides that the Fisheries Commission shall be responsible for the management and conservation of the fishery resources of the Regulatory Area, and that the Commission exercises this responsibility inter alia, by adopting proposals for the establishment of total allowable catch limits and the allocation to Contracting Parties of quotas in the Regulatory Area;

Noting the annual adoption by the Fisheries Commission of fisheries regulations specifically requiring that the Contracting Parties conduct their fisheries in the Regulatory Area in such a manner that catches shall not exceed the total allowable catch for each stock and the quotas for each stock set out in the annual Fisheries Commission regulations;

Considering that the objection procedure set out in Article XII of the NAFO Convention if applied on a continuing basis by any NAFO member against the regulatory fisheries measures adopted by the Commission, may lead to damage of the living marine resources of the Northwest Atlantic;

Calls on all Contracting Parties to avoid excessive or inappropriate use of the objection procedure against the regulatory measures adopted by the Fisheries Commission.

(1/89) Resolution of the General Council of the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization calling on all Contracting Parties for compliance with the NAFO management framework in place since 1979, and compliance with NAFO decisions in order to provide for conservation and maintain the traditional spirit of cooperation and mutual understanding in the Organization, adopted by the General Council on 15 September 1989

The General Council,

Recalling the obligations inscribed in the Law of the Sea Convention of 1982 as regards international cooperation to provide for the conservation and optimum utilization of the living resources of the sea;

Recalling that the NAFO Convention provides that the object of the Organization shall be to contribute through consultation and cooperation to the optimum utilization, rational management and conservation of the fishery resources of the NAFO Convention Area;

Noting that implementation of the NAFO Convention from the outset has been based on the principles of conformity with scientific advice and relative stability in the proportionate shares of the total catches of NAFO-managed stocks, subject to changes through decisions taken by NAFO;

Noting the commitment of all NAFO Contracting Parties to the principles of conservation and rational management of living marine resources;

Calls for compliance with the NAFO management framework in place since 1979, and compliance with NAFO decisions in order to provide for conservation and maintain the traditional spirit of cooperation and mutual understanding in the Organization.

# (1/90) Resolution of the General Council of the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization on Non-NAFO Fishing Activities, adopted by the General Council on 14 September 1990

Recalling Article 116 of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea stating that the right of all States for their nationals to engage in fishing on the high seas is subject to certain conditions:

Recalling Article 117 of that Convention requiring States to take or cooperate with other States in taking such measures for their respective nationals as may be necessary for the conservation of the living resources of the high seas, and Article 118 which provides that States shall cooperate with each other in the conservation and management of living resources in the areas of high seas;

Recalling Article 63.2 of that Convention providing that where the same stock or stocks of associated species occur both within the exclusive economic zone and in an area beyond and adjacent to the zone, the coastal State and the States fishing for such stocks in the adjacent area shall seek, either directly or through appropriate subregional or regional organizations, to agree upon the measures necessary for the conservation of these stocks in the adjacent area;

Recalling that according to Article 119.2 of that Convention available scientific information, catch and fishing effort statistics, and other data relevant to the conservation of fish stocks shall be contributed and exchanged on a regular basis through competent international organizations, whether subregional, regional or global, where appropriate and with participation by all States concerned;

Considering that the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization is the competent regional fisheries organization for the conservation and rational management of fish resources in the NAFO Regulatory Area;

Recalling Article XIX of the NAFO Convention in which the Contracting Parties agree to invite the attention of any State not a Party to the Convention to matters relating to fishing activities in the Regulatory Area of the nationals or vessels of that State which appear to affect adversely the attainment of the objectives of the Convention;

Recalling the resolution adopted by the Fisheries Commission of NAFO on June 7, 1979 calling on all Contracting Parties to take all practicable steps to prevent arrangements between their nationals and vessels flying the flags of States which are not members of NAFO, where such arrangements involve fishing in the Regulatory Area;

Noting that an increasing number of vessels of States not party to the Convention have been fishing in the Regulatory Area, representing a serious threat to the conservation and rational management of stocks;

Noting further that at least some of the catch of these vessels is landed or sold in States being Contracting Parties;

Declares that all members of the international community whose nationals carry out fishing activities in the NAFO Regulatory Area should ensure that such activities do not have an adverse impact on the stocks or NAFO's ability to ensure conservation; and

### resolves that:

- (1) All Contracting Parties should communicate through diplomatic channels with non-Contracting Parties whose vessels fish in the NAFO Regulatory Area to request that they take all necessary measures to prevent any fishing contrary to NAFO conservation measures;
- (2) The Executive Secretary of NAFO draw to the attention of the non-Contracting Parties involved the activities of their vessels in the Regulatory Area, and the negative impact of such fishing on the conservation of fish stocks in the Regulatory Area;
- (3) The Executive Secretary and Contracting Parties individually contact non-Contracting Parties, whose vessels fish in the Regulatory Area, to request them to provide NAFO with complete and accurate statistical reports on their catches in the NAFO Regulatory Area to the end of 1990;
- (4) All Contracting Parties should take effective measures to reduce the benefits of any fishing activities undertaken by vessels from non-Contracting Parties in the NAFO Regulatory Area where such fisheries take place contrary to NAFO conservation measures, with the aim of causing them to withdraw from such activities;
- (5) In full respect of the international obligations of Contracting Parties, further measures should be developed for consideration by the General Council at its 1991 annual meeting, including the possibility of introducing a system under which all Contracting Parties would require that all fish and fish products of a species managed by NAFO, imported from non-Contracting Parties whose vessels fish in the NAFO Regulatory Area, be accompanied by a certificate indicating harvest origin outside that Area.

(1/93) Resolution of the General Council of NAFO (on the report of the Standing Committee on Fising Activities of Non-Contracting Parties in the Regulatory Area, STACFAC), adopted by the General Council on 10 September 1994

# The General Council,

- Recalling the Resolution on Non-NAFO Fishing activities adopted by the General Council at the 12th Annual Meeting of the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization, resolving i.a. that
  - · in full respect of the international obligations of Contracting Parties, further measures should be developed for consideration by the General Council at its 1991 annual meeting;
- Recalling the Terms of Reference for the Standing Committee, established by the General Council, resolving i.a. that the Committee will
  - obtain and compile all available information on the fishing activities of non-Contracting Parties in the Regulatory Area, including details on the type, flag and name of vessels and reported or estimated catches by species and area;
  - obtain and compile all available information on landings, and transshipments of fish caught in the Regulatory Area by non-Contracting Parties, including details on the name and flag of the vessels; the quantities by species landed, transshipped; and the countries and ports through which the product was shipped;
  - examine and assess all such options open to NAFO Contracting Parties including measures to monitor landings of fish caught by non-Contracting Party vessels in the Regulatory Area;
- Recalling that with respect to the relevant provision of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, available catch and fishing effort statistics, and other data relevant to the conservation of fish stocks shall be contributed by flag states and exchanged on a regular basis through competent international organizations, whether subregional, regional or global, where appropriate and with participation of all States concerned;
- Considering that the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization is the competent regional fisheries organization for the conservation and rational management of fish resources in the NAFO Regulatory Area,
- Considering the need for all states exploiting these living marine resources to cooperate fully in conservation and management,
- Recalling that in conformity with Article XIX of the Convention States party to the NAFO Convention have drawn the attention of states not party to the NAFO Convention of their fishing activities undermining the effectiveness of NAFO conservation and management measures and that some of these states have withdrawn their vessels from the NAFO Regulatory Area, while vessels from other such States remain,
- Bearing in mind the statistical reports on catches of fish stocks in the NAFO Regulatory Area which are currently provided to NAFO by the Contracting Parties,
- Considering that certain states not party to the NAFO Convention have not provided statistical reports on catches by their vessels in the Regulatory Area,
- Having regard also to the adverse implications of high levels of unreported catches by non-Contracting Parties for the assessment of management advice and the critical state of many of the NAFO-regulated stocks, especially bearing in mind the substantial proportion of the total catches taken by vessels of states not party to the NAFO Convention,
- Considering the desirability of providing administrative arrangements to facilitate in an interim period the gathering of statistical information on landings by vessels flying the flag of these states and therefore Contracting Parties undertake to collect landing declarations,

Recalling the GATT principles of non-discrimination, transparency and proportionality,

herewith, therefore, the General Council adopts, in principle, the proposed landing declaration scheme and notes the proposed means of implementation (Addendum) which will also take into account specific national legislation of the Contracting Parties to NAFO and the precise assistance required by the non-Contracting Parties.

The General Council requests that STACFAC review and monitor the implementation of this programme and if appropriate consider further means to achieve the withdrawal of all Non-Contracting Party vessels from the NAFO Regulatory Area at the earliest possible date.

The General Council decided to make further diplomatic demarches to Non-Contracting Parties urging them to withdraw their vessels from the NAFO Regulatory Area and to this end the General Council authorizes Canada, the EC, Japan and Russia to represent NAFO in a coordinated delegation, which where possible should include senior fisheries officials, to visit the capitals of the non-Contracting Parties concerned. This joint demarche should take place as soon as possible in order to effect the withdrawal of these vessels before the beginning of the 1994 fishing season.

Addendum (Resolution 93/1 of the General Council)

### Implementation of the Landing Declaration Scheme

- 1. The Landing Declaration is an interim measure pending withdrawal of non-Contrcting Party vessels, designed to help non-Contracting Parties collect statistics of catches by their vessels in the NAFO Regulatory Area.
- 2. The Landing Declaration records catches of NAFO-regulated groundfish in the NAFO Regulatory Area and, when a non-Contracting Party vessel lands such species into a Contracting Party port, records whether and what quantity was caught in the NAFO Regulatory Area. The Landing Declarations are collected by the Contracting Party authorities on behalf of the non-Contracting Party in accordance with international obligations on the collection of statistics. In the event of non-delivery of a Landing Declaration, the non-Contracting Party is informed of such non-delivery and of the quantities of groundfish products landed by the vessel.
- 3. The Landing Declaration records the weight of each product landed as in the example attached. One copy is forwarded to the non-Contracting Party and one to the NAFO Secretariat. The main additional information provided by the Declaration is the area of harvest (NAFO Regulatory Area or not).
- 4. The non-Contracting Party is periodically informed of the results of NAFO surveillance of its vessels. If it appears that a vessel has been fishing in the Regulatory Area but has recorded its landings into a Contracting Party as not having originated in the Regulatory Area, the non-Contracting Party is informed of the apparent discrepancy with a view to investigation by the non-Contracting Party.
- 5. Landing Declaration forms are in principle made available to vessels by the non-Contracting Party but are freely available at Contracting Party ports.

### Landing Declaration/Declaration de Debarquement (1)

Exporter (Name, full address, country     Exportateur (Nom, adresse complète, pays)	2.	Number Numéro	000
3. Consignee (Name, full address, country)		Atlantic Cod (Ga Atlantic Redfish American Plaice Yellowtail Floun	
Destinataire (Nom, adresse complète, pays)		Issued with a vie harvest orig	w to obtaining statistical information on gin (1)
		Morue (Atlantique Sébaste (Atlantique Plie canadienne (Limande à quene	CLARATION CONCERNANT  ne) (Gadus Morhua)  ue Nord) (Sebastes spp)  Hippoglossoides platessoides)  jaune (Limanda ferruginea)  pocephalus cynoglossus) (2)
		Délivrée en vue concernant l'orig	de l'obtention d'information statisque ine de pêche (1)
		4. Country of ori Pays d'origine	gin 5. Country of destination Pays de destination
6. Place and date of catch/shipment/transshipment/ - name and flag of catch-/transport vessel(s) lieu et date de pêche/d'embarquement/-de transbordement/ - nom et pavillon du (des) navire(s) de pêche/de transport  7. Marks and numbers-Number and kind of packages-DETAILED DESC	RIPTION OF C	GOODS (3)	8. Quantity in tonnes
Marques et numéros-nombre et nature des colis-DESIGNATION DET.			
9. DECLARATION BY THE CAPTAIN			
I the undersigned, declare that in accordance with the entries in the l Redfish (Sebastes spp), American Plaice (Hippoglossoides Plates cynoglossus) from the stocks of the North-West Atlantic Ocean fished	soides), Yello	wtail Floudner (	Limanda Ferruginea), Witch Flounder (Glyptocephalus
DECLARATION DU CAPITAINE	Yes	No	
Je soussigné déclare qu'en accord avec les inscriptions dans le livre sébaste (Atlantique Nord) (sebastes spp), Plie canadienne (Hi Glyptocephalus cynoglossus) provenant des stocks de l'ocean de l'Pêche de l'Atlantique du nord-Ouest - OPANO. (3)	ppoglossoides	platessoides), Li	mande à quene jaune (Limanda ferruginea), Plie grise
10. CAPTAIN (Name, full address, country) CAPITAINE (Nom, adresse complète, pays)  At/A(Signature)		on le	
(1) This Landing Declaration for statistical purposes has to be presented. Cette Declaration Débarquement pour de statisque doit atre présentée (2) Delete as appropriate			

- (2) Detete as appropriate
  Biffer la mention inutile
  (3) Fresh/Frozen (Harmonized System 0302-0303) Frais/Congelé (Système harmonizé 0302-0303)
   Fillets/Filets
   Meat/chair
   Salted/Salé

(94/1) Resolution of the General Council of NAFO (on Report by the Standing Committee on Fishing Activities of Non-Contracting Parties in the Regulatory Area, STACFAC) adopted by the General Council on 23 September 1994.

To the Parties whose vessels have been observed fishing in the NAFO Regulatory Area over the past year.

NAFO calls upon all its Contracting Parties and upon all those Non-Contracting Parties whose vessels have been observed fishing in the NAFO Regulatory Area over the past year to deposit as soon as possible their instruments of acceptance to the United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization's "Agreement to Promote Compliance with International Conservation and Management Measures by Fishing Vessels on the High Seas" and pending the entry into force of that Agreement, to apply its provisions to the area of High Seas known as the NAFO Regulatory Area with immediate effect.

(95/1) Resolution of the Fisheries Commission of NAFO adopted on 9 June 1995

### THE FISHERIES COMMISSION

Having considered the joint proposal by Canada and the European Community to NAFO for 1995 that:

(a) The 27,000t TAC for 2+3 Greenland halibut be divided as follows:

2+3K (Canadian 200 mile zone) 7,000 tonnes 3LMNO 20,000 tonnes

(b) The 7,000t allocation for 2+3K (within Canadian 200 mile zone) for Greenland halibut be allocated to Canada;

Recalling Scientific Council reports which have cautioned about concentrating fishing effort on one part of the stock;

Noting that the catches of Greenland halibut in the NAFO Regulatory Area will take place entirely in 3LMNO;

Noting that Canada will limit its catch in 2+3K to 7,000t and in 3LMNO to 3,000t;

HAS AGREED to implement its decisions for 1995 with respect to 2+3 Greenland halibut by specifying that:

- (a) Sub-area 2+3 shall, as regards the management of Greenland halibut, be geographically divided as follows:
  - 2+3K
  - 3LMNO
- (b) The TAC for 3LMNO shall be 20,000t.

(97/1) Resolution of the General Council of NAFO adopted on 19 September 1997 relating to the non-participation of Bulgaria and Romania in NAFO

### The General Council

<u>Recalling</u> that the NAFO Convention provides that the object of the Organization shall be to contribute through consultation and co-operation to the optimum utilization, rational management and conservation of the fishery resources of the NAFO Convention Area;

Noting its concern about the long-standing non-participation of Bulgaria and Romania in NAFO, particularly the non-payment of their respective contributions;

Considering that such long-standing non-participation in NAFO and non-payment of their respective contributions disrupt the normal functioning of the Organization; and

Recalling that the Chairman of the General Council and the Executive Secretary have, on numerous occasions, written to Bulgaria and Romania expressing the Organization's concerns and asking for indications as to their intent concerning future participation in NAFO, with no response,

### resolves that:

- 1) Each Contracting Party, and in particular the NAFO Convention depositary state, shall communicate through the appropriate diplomatic channels with Bulgaria and Romania;
  - (a) to convey the concerns over their non-participation in NAFO and the non-payment of their contributions to NAFO; and
  - (b) to urge them either to meet their obligations under the Convention or to exercise their rights under Article XXIV thereof, the latter in effect resulting in the suspension of the debt accumulated from the non-payment of contributions.
- 2) Each Contracting Party shall report to the General Council, at its next annual meeting, on the results of its diplomatic communications effected pursuant to paragraph 1 above.