

NORTHWEST ATLANTIC FISHERIES ORGANIZATION



Summary of the Proposals and Resolutions of NAFO
for the period 1979-1999

Dartmouth, CANADA
2003

The summary of proposals and resolutions in Parts I and II provide information on the current status of amendments to the Convention and for international regulation of the fisheries in the Regulatory Area for period of 1979-1999.

The Quota Tables in Part III provide information on the international quota regulation of the fisheries in Subarea 3 of the Convention Area (1979-1999) and Part IV contains the full texts of NAFO Resolutions (1979-1999).

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. Proposals and resolutions for amendments to the Convention and for the Conservation and Enforcement Measures, 1979-1999.....	2
II. NAFO Resolutions, 1979-1999.....	18
III. Quota Tables, 1979-1999	21
IV. Texts of the NAFO Resolutions, 1979-1999	44

PART I

Proposals and resolutions for amendments
to the Convention and the Conservation and Enforcement Measures

I. Proposals for changes in the Convention, adopted by the General Council of NAFO

- Resolution (4) Modification of western boundary of Subarea 1 of the Convention Area (Amendment to Annex III of the Convention; to be effective 1 January 1980)
- Proposed: 7 June 1979 (Proc. June 1979 meeting, p. 71-72)
In force: 1 January 1980
- Resolution (1/86) Adopted by the General Council of NAFO, re modifications of statistical boundary between Subareas 4 and 5 of the Convention Area. (amends Annex III of the Convention)
- Proposed: 12 September 1986
In force: 9 October 1987, by unanimous decision of the General Council and assent from the observer from the U.S.A. on 18 September 1987

II. Proposals for international regulation of the trawl fishery, adopted by the Fisheries Commission of NAFO

- Proposal (1) Regulation of the fisheries by catch quota in the Regulatory Area (for 1979; TACs and allocations for capelin and squid (*Illex*); minimum 10% of squid quota to be taken in Subarea 3 and opening date for fishery 1 July)
- Proposed: 9 March 1979 (Proc. March 1979 Meeting, p. 30)
In force: 28 May 1979
- Proposal (2) Regulation of the fisheries by catch quota in the Regulatory Area (for 1980; TACs and allocations for 8 stocks; requests no directed fishery for Div. 3NO cod and by-catch restricted to 2,500 kg and 10% of total weight on board of all species caught in Div. 3NO)
- Proposed: 7 June 1979 (Proc. June 1979 Meeting, p. 95-96)
In force: 14 August 1979 for all stocks except Div. 3M redfish (EEC objection 9 August 1979 adds 40 days)
19 September 1979 for Div. 3M redfish for all Contracting Parties, except EEC)
- Proposal (3) Mesh size regulation of the squid (*Illex*) fishery in the Regulatory Area (60 mm, irrespective of material; codend covers to prevent damage; to be effective on 1 January 1980)
- Proposed: 7 June 1979 (Proc. June 1979 Meeting, p. 97)
In force: 1 January 1980
- Proposal (4) Authorized actions to be taken by the Executive Secretary following information on Contracting Parties reaching their catch quotas
- Proposed: 7 June 1979 (Proc. June 1979 Meeting, p. 98)
In force: 14 August 1979
- Proposal (5) Amendments to the NAFO Scheme of Joint International Enforcement (amends paragraphs 1,3,4,5,6,7,10,11, and 12)
- Proposed: 7 June 1979 (Proc. June 1979 Meeting, p. 103-105)
In force: 14 August 1979

- Proposal (6) Reporting of fish on board vessels entering the Regulatory Area (fishing logs to record amount of each species on board)
Proposed: 7 June 1979 (Proc. June 1979 Meeting, p. 106)
In force: 14 August 1979
- Proposal (7) Proposal for International Regulation of the Fisheries in Subarea 3 of the Convention Area, adopted by the Fisheries Commission of the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization for 1980.
Proposed: 7 March 1979
In force: 12 May 1980
- Proposal (8) Proposal for International Regulation of the Fisheries for squid (*Illex*) in Subareas 3 and 4 of the Convention Area, adopted by the Fisheries Commission of the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization for 1980.
Proposed: 7 March 1979
In force: 12 May 1980
- Proposal (9) Proposal for International Regulation of the Fisheries for particular stocks, i.e. cod in Div. 3M, cod in Div. 3NO, both to be reviewed, redfish in 3M and 3LN, American plaice in 3M and 3LNO, yellowtail in 3LNO, witch in 3NO, squid (*Illex*) in 3 and 4, for 1981.
Proposed: 12 September 1980
In force: Except for 3M and 3NO - 1 January 1981
- Proposal (1/81) Proposal for International Regulation of the Fisheries for particular stocks, i.e. cod in Div. 3M, cod in Div. 3NO, capelin in Div. 3LNO for 1981, and beyond for cod in Div. 3NO.
Proposed: 1 April 1981
In force: Except for 3M - 1 June 1981
- Proposal (2/81) Proposal for International Regulation of the Fisheries for particular stocks, i.e. cod in Div. 3M for 1981.
Proposed: 26 June 1981
In force: 6 September 1981
- Proposal (3/81) Proposal for International Regulation of the Fisheries for particular stocks, i.e. cod in Div. 3M, cod in Div. 3NO, redfish in 3M and 3LN, American plaice in 3M and 3LNO, yellowtail in 3LNO, witch in 3NO, capelin in 3LNO, squid (*Illex*) in 3 and 4, for 1982.
Proposed: 11 September 1981
In force: 1 January 1982
- Proposal (1/82) Proposal for International Regulation of the Fisheries for particular stocks, i.e. cod in Div. 3M, cod in Div. 3NO, redfish in 3M and 3LN, American plaice in 3M and 3LNO, yellowtail in 3LNO, witch in 3NO, capelin in 3NO, squid (*Illex*) in 3 and 4 for 1983.
Proposed: 15 September 1982
In force: 26 December 1982
- Proposal (1/83) Proposal for International Regulation of the Fisheries for particular stocks, i.e. cod in Div. 3M, cod in Div. 3NO, redfish in 3M and 3LN, American plaice in 3M and 3LNO, yellowtail in 3LNO, witch in 3NO, capelin in 3NO, squid (*Illex*) in 3 and 4 for 1984.
Proposed: 23 September 1983
In force: 13 December 1983 (except Cod 3M and Redfish 3M, 3LN-17 January 1984)

- Proposal (1/84) Proposal for International Regulation of the Fisheries for particular stocks, i.e. cod in Div. 3M, cod in Div. 3NO, redfish in 3M and 3LN, American plaice in 3M and 3LNO, yellowtail in 3LNO, witch in 3NO, capelin in 3NO, squid (*Illex*) in 3 and 4 for 1985.
Proposed: 14 September 1984
In force: 24 November 1984 (except for Cod 3M and 3NO and Redfish 3M - 29 December 1984 and Redfish 3LN - 26 December 1984)
- Proposals IA.1/84
IA.2/84, IIIB/84,
IV.1/84, IV.2/84,
IV.3/84, Schedule
III/84. Proposals for Amendments to the Conservation and Enforcement Measures (FC Doc. 82/IX/13), i.e. Part I - Rule A.3(b) and A.3(c); Part III – Rule B.2; Part IV – Rule (v)(c), Rule 11 and Rule 12: and, Part V - Schedule III.
Proposed: 12 September 1984
In force: 5 February 1985
- Proposal (1/85) Proposal for International Regulation of the Fisheries for particular stocks, i.e. cod in Div. 3M, cod in Div. 3NO, redfish in 3M and 3LN, American plaice in 3M and 3LNO, yellowtail in 3LNO, witch in 3NO, capelin in 3NO, squid (*Illex*) in 3 and 4 for 1986.
Proposed: 13 September 1985
In force: Capelin 1 Jan 1986, Yellowtail and Witch 4 Jan 1986 and 23 Jan 1986, Squid 2 Feb 1986, Remainder 4 Jan 1986 and 29 Jan 1986
- Proposal (2/85) Proposal for information regarding 3L cod.
Proposed: 13 September 1985
In force: 4 Jan 1986 and 23 Jan 1986
- Proposal (3/85) Proposal for a moratorium on a directed fishery for 3L cod outside 200 miles, during 1986.
Proposed: 13 September 1985
In force: 4 Jan 1986 and 29 Jan 1986
- Proposal (1/86) Proposal for International Regulation of the Fisheries for particular stocks, i.e. cod in Div. 3M, cod in Div. 3NO, redfish in 3M and 3LN, American plaice in 3M and 3LNO, yellowtail in 3LNO, witch in 3NO, capelin in 3NO, squid (*Illex*) in 3 and 4 for 1987.
Proposed: 12 September 1986
In force: All on 31 December 1986, except for squid which became valid for the EEC only on 9 January 1987
- Proposal (2/86) Proposal for closing a directed fishery for 3L Cod outside 200 miles during 1987.
Proposed: 12 September 1986
In force: 31 December 1986
- Proposal (1/87) Proposal for International Regulation of the Fisheries for particular stocks, i.e. cod in Div. 3M, cod in Div. 3NO, redfish in 3M and 3LN, American plaice in 3M and 3LNO, yellowtail in 3LNO, witch in 3NO, capelin in 3NO, squid (*Illex*) in 3 and 4 for 1988.
Proposed: 18 September 1987
In force: Squid in 3+4, capelin in 3NO and cod in 3M - 18 November 1987. Remainder – 5 January 1988.
- Proposal (2/87) Proposal for closing a directed fishery for 3L Cod outside 200 miles during 1988.
Proposed: 18 September 1987
In force: 05 January 1988

- Proposal (1/88) Proposals IC.3/88, IV.1/88 to IV.14/88 and Annexes I/88 to VI/88 - Amendments to the Conservation and Enforcement Measures (FC Doc. 88/1).
- Proposed: 10 February 1988
In force: 10 June 1988
- Proposal (2/88) Proposal for International Regulation of the Fisheries for particular stocks, i.e. cod in Div. 3M, cod in Div. 3NO, redfish in 3M and 3LN, American plaice in 3M and 3LNO, yellowtail in 3LNO, witch in 3NO, capelin in 3NO, squid (*Illex*) in 3 and 4 for 1989.
- Proposed: 15 September 1988
In force: Cod in 3M, capelin in 3NO and squid in 3+4 - 25 December 1988. Remainder – 14 January 1989.
- Proposal (3/88) Proposal for closing a directed fishery for 3L Cod outside 200 miles during 1989.
- Proposed: 15 September 1988
In force: 14 January 1989
- Proposal (1/89) Proposal for International Regulation of the Fisheries for particular stocks, i.e. cod in Div. 3M, cod in Div. 3NO, redfish in 3M and 3LN, American plaice in 3M and 3LNO, yellowtail in 3LNO, witch in 3NO, capelin in 3NO, squid (*Illex*) in 3 and 4 for 1990.
- Proposed: 15 September 1989
In force: Cod in 3M, capelin in 3NO and Squid in 3+4 - 12 December 1989. Remainder – 15 January 1990.
- Proposal (2/89) Proposal for closing a directed fishery for 3L Cod outside 200 miles during 1990.
- Proposed: 15 September 1989
In force: 15 January 1990
- Proposal (3/89) Proposal for Changes to NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures Regarding Incidental Catch Limits - Part I.A.4/89
- Proposed: 14 September 1989
In force: 19 December 1989
- Proposal (4/89) Proposal to assess the effects of cod by-catches in the redfish and flatfish fisheries - Part I.C.4/89
- Proposed: 14 September 1989
In force: 19 December 1989
- Proposal (5/89) Proposal to secure advice on areal and seasonal concentrations of juvenile American plaice and yellowtail flounder in Div. 3LNO in the Regulatory Area - Part I.C.5/89
- Proposed: 15 September 1989
In force: 19 December 1989
- Proposal (1/90)
NAFO/FC Doc. 90/12 Proposal for International Regulation of the Fisheries for particular stocks, i.e. cod in Div. 3M, 3NO, redfish in 3M and 3LN, American plaice in 3M and 3LNO, yellowtail in 3LNO, witch 3NO, capelin 3NO, squid (*Illex*) 3+4 for 1991.
- Proposed: 14 September 1990
In force: 30 December 1990 (for all Contracting Parties except the European Community, which objected to the allocations of Redfish 3LN and Witch 3NO)

- Proposal (2/90)
NAFO/FC Doc. 90/12
- Proposal for closing a directed fishery for 3L Cod outside 200 miles during 1991.
- Proposed: 14 September 1990
In force: 30 December 1990 (for all Contracting Parties except the European Community, which objected to the Proposal)
- Proposal (3/90)
NAF/GC Doc. 90/10
and 90/9
- Proposal for establishment of the Standing Committee on Fishing Activity of Non-Contracting Parties in the Regulatory Area (STACFAC)
- Proposed: 14 September 1990
In force: 14 September 1990
- Proposal (4/90)
NAFO/FC Doc. 90/12
- Incidental catch limits to a maximum of 2,500 kg or 10%, whichever is greater, for each species listed in Schedule I for which no quota has been allocated to the Contracting Party-Part IA.4/90.
- Proposed: 14 September 1990
In force: 07 July 1991
- Proposal (5/90)
NAFO/FC Doc. 90/12
- Strengthening ropes, splitting straps and codend floats may be used on trawls within the Regulatory Area, as long as these attachments do not in any way restrict the mesh authorized in the Conservation and Enforcement Rules or obstruct the mesh opening-Part IIB.4/90.
- Proposal (1/91)
NAFO/FC Doc. 91/1
- Guidelines for the Coordination and Optimization of Inspection and Control in the Regulatory Area-Part IV/91.
- Adopted: 19 March 1991
Approved in principle: 21 June 1991
- Proposal (2/91)
NAFO/FC Doc. 91/1
- New Rules (1 and 2) "Marking" of small boats carried on board fishing vessels and fixed fishing gear-Part IID.1,2/91.
- Adopted: 19 March 1991
In force: 27 July 1991 (For all Contracting Parties except the USSR, which objected to this Proposal)
- Proposal (3/91)
NAFO/FC Doc. 91/1
- Change the heading of Part III "Notification" to "Vessel Requirements".
- Adopted: 19 March 1991
In force: 27 July 1991 (For all Contracting Parties except the USSR, which objected to this Proposal)
- Proposal (4/91)
NAFO/FC Doc. 91/1
- A new Rule for "Marking of Fishing Vessels"-Part IIIA/91.
- Adopted: 19 March 1991
In force: 27 July 1991 (For all Contracting Parties except the USSR, which objected to this Proposal)
- Proposal (5/91)
NAFO/FC Doc. 91/1
- New Rules 1,2 and 3 for "Documentation" that each Contracting Party shall ensure the fishing vessels over 10 meters in length shall carry on board documents issued by the competent authorities of the State in which it is registered. The vessels (over 17 meters in length) which freeze or salt fish shall keep on board up-to-date drawings or descriptions of their fish rooms-Part IIIB.1.2.3/91
- Adopted: 19 March 1991
In force: 27 July 1991 (For all Contracting Parties except the USSR, which objected to this Proposal)

Proposal (6/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/1	Change the sections of Notification of Research Vessels" and Notification of Fishing and Processing Vessels from "A" and "B" to "C" and "D", respectively. Adopted: 19 March 1991 In force: 27 July 1991 (For all Contracting Parties except the USSR, which objected to this Proposal)
Proposal (7/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/1	New Rules (1 and 2) for "Hail System" that the Contracting Parties shall ensure the vessel's reports to their competent authorities: each entry, each exit and movement between NAFO divisions with the date, time and geographical position of the vessel; this information shall immediately be entered in the logbook of the vessel. Within 24 hours of receipt of these reports, whenever possible, competent authorities of each Contracting Party shall transmit the information contained therein to other Contracting Parties with an inspection presence in the Regulatory Area. The information shall also be forwarded to the Executive Secretary as soon as possible-Part III E.1.2/91. Adopted: 19 March 1991 In force: 27 July 1991 (For all Contracting Parties except the USSR, which objected to this Proposal)
Proposal (8/83-91) NAFO/FC Doc. 83/IX/4 GF/91-268-09.07.91	"Schedule IV-Authorized Mesh Size of Nets" Adopted: September 1983 In force: 09 July 1991
Proposal (9/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7 Part IA.3c	Remove phrase: ..."small unavoidable" ... Adopted: 13 September 1991 In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia, which objected to this Proposal)
Proposal (10/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7 Part IA.4a,b	Change a word: ... "division" ... New phrase: ..."or an "others" quota has been fully utilized," Adopted: 13 September 1991 In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia, which objected to this Proposal)
Proposal (11/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7 Part IC.3C	New word: ... "year-to-date" ... Adopted: 13 September 1991 In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia, which objected to this Proposal)
Proposal (12/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7 Part III C.6	Amend: ... "Inspection and Surveillance" ... Adopted: 13 September 1991 In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia, which objected to this Proposal)
Proposal (13/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7 Part III E.1	Amend: ... "and Surveillance" ... Adopted: 13 September 1991 In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia, which objected to this Proposal)

- Proposal (14/91)
NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7
Part III E.2
- Amend: ... "the NAFO Executive Secretary. The NAFO Executive Secretary shall transmit the information to other Contracting Parties with an inspection presence in the Regulatory Area as soon as possible and shall ensure that all such transmissions to a Contracting Party are numbered sequentially."
- Adopted: 13 September 1991
In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia, which objected to this Proposal)
- Proposal 15/91
NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7
Part III E.3 (new)
- "Contracting Parties will ensure all hail reports transmitted by them will be sequentially numbered. The Executive Secretary will verify that all hail reports have been received by checking sequential numbering and verify any discrepancies with the Contracting Party concerned. The hail reports are to be treated in a confidential manner".
- Adopted: 13 September 1991
In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia, which objected to this Proposal)
- Proposal (16/91)
NAFO/FC Doc.91/7
- Part IV Amend: "... and Surveillance"
- (i) ... "and surveillance"; the Scheme of Joint International Part IV.1 Inspection "and Surveillance,"...
- (ii) ... "the type and call sign"; "or other aircraft"...
- (iii) ... "or aircraft" ...
- (v) ... "and aircraft" ...; "facsimile or other communication"...
- (vi) ... "and aircraft"; "and aircraft" ...
- (vii) ... "and aircraft" ...
- (viii) ... "aircraft's or"; "or its equivalent" ...; "or practicable,".
- Adopted: 13 September 1991
In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia, which objected to this Proposal)
- Proposal (17/91)
NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7
Part IV.4b
- "(i)" Amend: ... "which is identical" ...; ..., "but which may be half-scale".
- Adopted: 13 September 1991
In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia, which objected to this Proposal)
- Proposal (18/91)
NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7
Part IV.4 (new)
- "(ii)" Surveillance Aircraft assigned to the Scheme shall have their international radio call sign clearly displayed."
- Adopted: 13 September 1991
In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia, which objected to this Proposal)
- Proposal (19/91)
NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7
Part IV.6
- (i) Amend: ... "calendar monthly" ...
- (ii)(c) Amend: ... "by species" ...
- Adopted: 13 September 1991
In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia, which objected to this Proposal)
- Proposal (20/91)
NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7
Part IV.8
- (ii) Amend: ... "and forward to the Executive Secretary" ...
- Adopted: 13 September 1991
In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia, which objected to this Proposal)

Proposal (21/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7 Part IV.9	This is a new Part IV.9 the text of which is in FC Doc. 91/7. Adopted: 13 September 1991 In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia, which objected to this Proposal)
Proposal (22/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7 Part IV.12	Part IV.12 "13". ... "and other aircraft"; ... "and other aircraft" ... Adopted: 13 September 1991 In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia, which objected to this Proposal)
Proposal (23/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7 Part IV.13	"14" ... "(iv) the number of air hours flown on NAFO patrol, the number of sightings and (new) number of surveillance reports established with the date, time and position of the sightings in respect of these surveillance reports." "(v) the disposition of surveillance reports notified to it by a Contracting Party. The (new) surveillance reports shall be listed annually until follow-up action is concluded by the appropriate authorities. In cases where the follow-up action results in penal action any penalties imposed shall be described in specific terms." Adopted: 13 September 1991 In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia, which objected to this Proposal)
Proposal (24/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7 Part IV. Annex I	Remove reference "Duration of Assignment" Adopted: 13 September 1991 In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia, which objected to this Proposal)
Proposal (25/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7 Part IV. Annex V	Amend to "his/her, he/she" in all text. Amend reference to time to "UTC". In section <u>Authorized inspector(s)</u> , item 2 Amend: "inspector(s)" Adopted: 13 September 1991 In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia, which objected to this Proposal)
Proposal (26/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7 Part IV. Annex VI	Add new questions: "30. I have completed an inspection of catch on board your vessel. As a result of this inspection, I have estimated your total catch as t. 31. I have completed an inspection of your log records. Your log records indicate your total catch on board ist. 32. I have found that there is a difference between your recorded catch and my estimate of the catch on board your vessel. 33. I shall report this difference to your Contracting Party in my inspection report." Adopted: 13 September 1991 In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia, which objected to this Proposal)

<p>Proposal (27/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7 Part IV. Annex VII (new)</p>	<p>This is a new Annex VII (Surveillance Report) text of which is in FC Doc. 91/7.</p> <p>Adopted: 13 September 1991 In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia, which objected to this Proposal)</p>
<p>Proposal (28/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/7 Part V. Schedule II</p>	<p>Amend: "Fishing" ...</p> <p>Adopted: 13 September 1991 In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia, which objected to this Proposal)</p>
<p>Proposal (29/31) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/9 Part III.E</p>	<p>1b) "... and except as provided in (c),..." 1c) "When vessels conduct a fishery between divisions 3L and 3N, and 3N and 3O (new) which necessitates crossing the line between the divisions more than once during a period of 24 (twenty-four) consecutive hours, and provided that they remain within 10 miles of the line between the divisions the vessels concerned shall not report each change of division but shall instead report when first crossing the line between the divisions, and at intervals not exceeding 24 (twenty-four) hours thereafter, the date, the time and their geographical position. When such vessels leave the delimited area of 10 miles either side of the line between the divisions concerned, they shall again report the date, the time and their geographical position.</p> <p>Adopted: 13 September 1991 In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia, which objected to this Proposal)</p>
<p>Proposal (30/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/12 Part IV. Annex VIII (new)</p>	<p>The NAFO Inspection Seal (an identification mark; Part IV.6(v)) for use by inspectors assigned to the Scheme of Joint International Inspection and Surveillance.</p> <p>Adopted: 13 September 1991 In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia, which objected to this Proposal)</p>
<p>Proposal (31/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/13 Part III. Annex I (new)</p>	<p>Hail System Message Format to transmit the information to the NAFO Executive Secretary.</p> <p>Adopted: 13 September 1991 In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except Russia, which objected to this Proposal)</p>
<p>Proposal (32/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/14</p>	<p>"Closure of the 3L Cod fishery in the Regulatory Area during 1992"</p> <p>Adopted: 13 September 1991 In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except the European Economic Community which objected to the Proposal).</p>
<p>Proposal (33/91) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/14</p>	<p>Schedule I - Quota Table for 1992; minimum commercial cod size 40 cm in Div. 3M and 3NO</p> <p>Adopted: 13 September 1991 In force: 06 January 1992 (for all Contracting Parties except the European Economic Community with respect to the following stocks:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Redfish in Divisions 3LN - Witch in Divisions 3NO)

Proposal (1/92) NAFO/FC Doc. 91/12 (revised)	NAFO Inspection Seal for use by inspectors assigned to the Scheme of Joint International Inspection and Surveillance Adopted: 10 February 1992 In force: 10 April 1992
Proposal (2/92) GF/92-249 (Attachment)	Hail System message format. Adopted: 27 March 1992 In force: 28 May 1992
Proposal (3/92) NAFO/FC Doc. 92/6	Observations by inspectors of incidental by-catches in excess of prescribed limits. Adopted: 18 September 1992 In force: 22 November 1992
Proposal (4/92) NAFO/FC Doc. 92/7	Action by Contracting Parties to prevent infringements to the measures by their vessels. Adopted: 18 September 1992 In force: 22 November 1992
Proposal (5/92) NAFO/FC Doc. 92/8	Production logbooks and stowage plans Adopted: 18 September 1992 In force: 22 November 1992
Proposal (6/92) NAFO/FC Doc. 92/9	STACTIC Form A-Annual Return of Inspections, Catch Record Discrepancies and/or apparent infringements; STACTIC Form B-Annual Return of Disposition of Catch Record Discrepancies and/or apparent infringements Adopted: 18 September 1992 In force: 22 November 1992
Proposal (7/92) NAFO/FC Doc. 92/10	Mesh size of nets on board vessels operating in the Regulatory Area Adopted: 18 September 1992 In force: 22 November 1992
Proposal (8/92) NAFO/FC Doc. 92/11	Definition of an inspection party Adopted: 18 September 1992 In force: 22 November 1992
Proposal (9/92) NAFO/FC Doc. 92/12	New item E-Other Measures Adopted: 18 September 1992 In force: 22 November 1992
Proposal (10/92) NAFO/FC Doc. 92/13	Pilot project for a NAFO Observer Scheme (new Part VI of the Measures) Adopted: 18 September 1992 In force: 22 November 1992
Proposal (11/92) NAFO/FC Doc. 92/14	Minimum mesh sizes for groundfish fisheries in the Regulatory Area Adopted: 18 September 1992 In force: 22 November 1992

Proposal (12/92) NAFO/FC Doc. 92/15	Minimum fish size Adopted: 18 September 1992 In force: 22 November 1992
Proposal (13/92) NAFO/FC Doc. 92/16	Annual return of surveillance information in compliance with the hail system Adopted: 18 September 1992 In force: 22 November 1992
Proposal (14/92) NAFO/FC Doc. 92/19	Schedule I - Quota Table for 1993 Adopted: 18 September 1992 In force: 22 November 1992 (for 3LNO yellowtail, 3LNO A. plaice and 3NO capelin for all Contracting Parties; 31 December 1992 for all other stocks for all Contracting Parties, except the Russian Federation which objected to the proposal)
Proposal (1/93) NAFO/FC Doc. 93/6	Operation of the Hail System Adopted: 10 September 1993 In force: 16 November 1993
Proposal (2/93) NAFO/FC Doc. 93/7	Pilot Project for a NAFO Observer Scheme; to include modification by the Scientific Council for sampling of main species Adopted: 10 September 1993 In force: 16 November 1993
Proposal (3/93) NAFO/FC Doc. 93/8	Proposal on Cod 3L; no directed fishery Adopted: 10 September 1993 In force: 16 November 1993
Proposal (4/93) NAFO/FC Doc. 93/9	Management Measures for 3NO Cod; 50% observer coverage and 100% inspection Adopted: 10 September 1993 In force: 16 November 1993
Proposal (5/93) NAFO/FC Doc. 93/10	Management Measures for 3M and 3LNO Shrimp: mesh size 40 mm and sorting grids; no directed fishery in 3LNO Adopted: 10 September 1993 In force: 16 November 1993
Proposal (6/93) NAFO/FC Doc. 93/11	Inspection Procedure: 30 minutes delay for retrieving nets for inspection purposes Adopted: 10 September 1993 In force: 20 November 1993
Proposal (7/93) NAFO/FC Doc. 93/12	Management Measures - Minimum Fish Size; return undersized fish to the sea Adopted: 10 September 1993 In force: 20 November 1993

Proposal (8/93) NAFO/FC Doc. 93/18	Schedule I - Quota Table for 1994 Adopted: 10 September 1993 In force: 15 December 1993 (for all Contracting Parties except Latvia and Russian Federation which objected to the proposal relative to the allocation of "block" quota to Latvia, Lithuania, Estonia and Russian Federation).
Proposal (1/94) NAFO/FC Doc. 94/4	No directed fishery for the cod stock in Divisions 3N and 3O of the Regulatory Area in 1994 Adopted: 17 February 1994 In force: 24 April 1994
Proposal (2/94) NAFO/FC Doc. 94/8 (Revised)	Management Measures of 3L Cod - No directed fishery in 1995 Adopted: 23 September 1994 In force: 12 December 1994
Proposal (3/94) NAFO/FC Doc 94/8 (Revised)	Management Measures for 3M-3LNO Shrimp: Minimum mesh size of 40 mm and maximum spacing between the bars of 22 mm; for 3LNO-no directed fishery in 1995 Adopted: 23 September 1994 In force: 12 December 1994
Proposal (4/94) NAFO/FC Doc. 94/8 (Revised) NAFO/FC Doc. 94/9	Pilot Project for a NAFO Observer Scheme - Pilot Project to be extended to 31 December 1995. Adopted: 23 September 1994 In force: 12 December 1994
Proposal (1/95) NAFO/FC Doc. 94/13	Schedule I - Quota Table for 1995 Adopted: 23 September 1994 In force: 02 January 1995 (for all Contracting Parties except Latvia and Russian Federation which objected to the part of the proposal relative to the allocation of "block" quota to Latvia, Lithuania, Estonia and Russian Federation).
Proposal (2/95) NAFO/FC Doc. 95/1	Allocation of Greenland halibut in Subareas 2 and 3 for 1995. Adopted: 01 February 1995 In force: 12 May 1995 (for all Contracting Parties except Estonia, European Union, Latvia, Lithuania and Poland, which objected to this proposal)
Proposal (3/95) NAFO/FC Doc. 95/9	Minimum fish size (for Greenland halibut) Adopted: 15 September 1995 In force: 29 November 1995
Proposal (4/95) NAFO/FC Doc. 95/10	Processed length equivalents Adopted: 15 September 1995 In force: 29 November 1995
Proposal (5/95) NAFO/FC Doc. 95/11	Transmission of information from inspections Adopted: 15 September 1995 In force: 29 November 1995

Proposal (6/95) NAFO/FC Doc. 95/12	Inspections Adopted: 15 September 1995 In force: 29 November 1995
Proposal (7/95) NAFO/FC Doc. 95/13	Reporting of catch on board fishing vessels entering and exiting the Regulatory Area Adopted: 15 September 1995 In force: 29 November 1995
Proposal (8/95) NAFO/FC Doc. 95/14	Mesh Size Adopted: 15 September 1995 In force: 29 November 1995
Proposal (9/95) NAFO/FC Doc. 95/15	Port Inspections Adopted: 15 September 1995 In force: 29 November 1995
Proposal (10/95) NAFO/FC Doc. 95/16	Follow-up on apparent infringements Adopted: 15 September 1995 In force: 29 November 1995
Proposal (11/95) NAFO/FC Doc. 95/17	Pilot Project for Observers and Satellite Tracking Adopted: 15 September 1995 In force: 29 November 1995
Proposal (12/95) NAFO/FC Doc. 95/18	Effort plans and catch reporting Adopted: 15 September 1995 In force: 29 November 1995
Proposal (13/95) NAFO/FC Doc. 95/19	Infringements Adopted: 15 September 1995 In force: 29 November 1995
Proposal (14/95) NAFO/FC Doc. 95/23	No directed fishery for Cod 2J3KL in the Regulatory Area in 1996 Adopted: 15 September 1995 In force: 29 November 1995
Proposal (15/95) NAFO/FC Doc. 95/21	Management of shrimp fishery Adopted: 15 September 1995 In force: 02 January 1996 (for all Contracting Parties except Iceland and Russia which objected to the proposal)
Proposal (16/95) NAFO/FC Doc. 95/23	Schedule I - Quota Table for 1996 Adopted: 15 September 1995 In force: 02 January 1996 (for all Contracting Parties except Latvia and Russia which objected to the proposal relative to the allocation of "block" quota to Latvia, Lithuania, Estonia and Russia Federation).

Proposal (1/96) NAFO/FC Doc. 96/5	Include "target species" in hail reports Adopted: 13 September 1996 In force: 27 November 1996
Proposal (2/96) NAFO/FC Doc. 96/6	Observer duties to collect data on discards Adopted: 13 September 1996 In force: 27 November 1996
Proposal (3/96) NAFO/FC Doc. 96/7	No directed fishery for cod 2J3KL in Div. 3L, in the Regulatory Area in 1997 Adopted: 13 September 1996 In force: 27 November 1996
Proposal (4/96) NAFO/FC Doc. 96/8	No directed fishery for 3LNO shrimp in 1997 Adopted: 13 September 1996 In force: 27 November 1996
Proposal (5/96) NAFO/FC Doc. 96/10	Catch limits for 2J3KL cod stock in the NAFO Regulatory Area Adopted: 13 September 1996 In force: 27 November 1996
Proposal (6/96) NAFO/FC Doc. 96/9	Experimental redfish fishery for vessels with 90mm mesh in 1997 Adopted: 13 September 1996 In force: 05 December 1996 (on all Contracting Parties except Cuba, which objected to this proposal)
NAFO/FC Doc. 96/9 (final revision)	- 06 January 1997: modified by the Chairman of the Fisheries Commission by the deletion of the words "...for Russian vessels".
Proposal (7/96) NAFO/FC Doc. 96/5	3M Shrimp Management Adopted: 13 September 1996 In force: 30 December 1996 (on all Contracting Parties except Iceland, which objected to this proposal)
Proposal (8/96) NAFO/FC Doc. 96/13	Schedule I - Quota Table for 1997 Adopted: 13 September 1996 In force: 06 January 1997 (on all Contracting Parties except Russian Federation which objected to the part of the proposal relative to the allocation of "block" quota to Latvia, Lithuania, Estonia and Russian Federation; and Latvia which objected to the allocation of "block" quota and "Others": for fishing Greenland halibut).
Proposal (1/97) NAFO/FC Doc. 97/7	No directed fishery for Witch in Div. 3L, in the Regulatory Area in 1998 Adopted: 19 September 1997 In force: 25 November 1997
Proposal (2/97) NAFO/FC Doc. 97/9	No directed fishery for 3LNO shrimp in 1998 Adopted: 19 September 1997 In force: 25 November 1997

Proposal (3/97) NAFO/FC Doc. 97/10	No directed fishery for cod 2J3KL in Div. 3L, in the Regulatory Area in 1998 Adopted: 19 September 1997 In force: 25 November 1997
Proposal (4/97) NAFO/FC Doc. 97/11	Pilot Project for Observers and Satellite Tracking Adopted: 19 September 1997 In force: 25 November 1997
Proposal (5/97) NAFO/FC Doc. 97/12	Inspector's/Trainee's Document of Identity Adopted: 19 September 1997 In force: 01 December 1997
Proposal (6/97) NAFO/FC Doc. 97/8	3M Shrimp Management Adopted: 19 September 1997 In force: 31 December 1997 (on all Contracting Parties except Iceland, which objected to Part I.F.4 this proposal)
Proposal (7/97) NAFO/FC Doc. 97/14	Schedule I - Quota Table for 1998 Adopted: 19 September 1997 In force: 31 December 1997 (on all Contracting Parties except Russian Federation which objected to the part of the proposal relative to the allocation of "block" quota of Cod 3M, Redfish 3M and Squid 3+4 to Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania and Russian Federation and to the footnote 1 of the Quota Table; and Latvia which objected to the allocation of "block" quota of Cod 3M, Redfish 3M and Squid 3+4 and "Others": for fishing Greenland halibut).
Proposal (1/98) NAFO/FC Doc. 98/7	Program for Observers and Satellite Tracking Adopted: 18 September 1998 In force: 25 November 1998
Proposal (2/98) NAFO/FC Doc. 98/8	No transshipment of fish from Non-Contracting Party vessels Adopted: 18 September 1998 In force: 25 November 1998
Proposal (3/98) NAFO/FC Doc. 98/13	No directed fishery for cod 2J3KL in Div. 3L, in the Regulatory Area in 1999 Adopted: 18 September 1998 In force: 25 November 1998
Proposal (4/98) NAFO/FC Doc. 98/13	Management Measures for Shrimp in Div. 3LNO Adopted: 18 September 1998 In force: 25 November 1998
Proposal (5/98) NAFO/FC Doc. 98/13	No directed fishery for Witch in Div. 3L in the Regulatory in 1999 Adopted: 18 September 1998 In force: 25 November 1998

Proposal (6/98) NAFO/FC Doc. 98/10	Standardized Formats for the Electronic Transmissions of NAFO Hail and Satellite Tracking Reports
	Adopted: 18 September 1998 In force: 25 November 1998
Proposal (7/98) NAFO/FC Doc. 98/9	3M Shrimp Management
	Adopted: 18 September 1998 In force: 28 December 1998 (on all Contracting Parties except Iceland, which objected to Part I.F.4.a-d and g-h of this proposal)
Proposal (8/98) NAFO/FC Doc. 98/13	Schedule I - Quota Table for 1999
	Adopted: 18 September 1998 In force: 30 December 1998 (on all Contracting Parties except Russian Federation which objected to the part of the proposal relative to the allocation of "block" quota of Redfish 3M and Squid 3+4 to Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania and Russian Federation and to the footnote 1 of the Quota Table; and Latvia which objected to the allocation of "block" quota of Redfish 3M and Squid 3+4 and "Others" re fishing Greenland halibut; and Lithuania which objected to the allocation of "block" quota of Redfish 3M and Squid 3+4 , to footnote 1 of the Quota Table and "Others" re fishing for Greenland halibut).
Proposal (1/99) NAFO/FC Doc. 99/8	Management Measures for Cod in Div. 2J3KL for 2000 - No directed fishery for Cod in Div. 3L in the Regulatory Area
	Adopted: 17 September 1999 In force: 05 December 1999
Proposal (2/99) NAFO/FC Doc. 99/9	Management Measures for Shrimp in Div. 3L
	Adopted: 17 September 1999 In force: 05 December 1999
Proposal (3/99) NAFO/FC Doc. 99/10	Chartering Operations
	Adopted: 17 September 1999 In force: 05 December 1999
Proposal (4/99) NAFO/FC Doc. 99/11	Notification of vessels temporarily flying the flag of a Contracting Party (bare-boat charters)
	Adopted: 17 September 1999 In force: 05 December 1999
Proposal (5/99) NAFO/FC Doc. 99/12	Incidental Catch Limits
	Adopted: 17 September 1999 In force: 05 December 1999
Proposal (6/99) NAFO/FC Doc. 99/15	Schedule I - Quota Table for 2000
	Adopted: 17 September 1999 In force: 03 January 2000 (on all Contracting Parties except Latvia which objected to the part of the proposal relative to the allocation of the "block" quota of Redfish 3M and Squid 3+4 to Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania and Russian Federation and "Others" re fishing for Greenland halibut).
Proposal (7/99) NAFO/FC Doc. 99/7	3M Shrimp Management
	Adopted: 17 September 1999 In force: 10 January 2000 (on all Contracting Parties except Iceland, which objected to Part I.F.4.a-d, f and g-h of this proposal)

PART II

NAFO Resolutions

- Resolution (1) Adopted by the General Council of NAFO, re administrative and financial arrangements for NAFO (appointment of Executive Secretary and staff of Secretariat; authorizes Executive Secretary to receive NAFO contributions and pay all NAFO expenses)
- Proposed: 9 March 1979 (Proc. March 1979 Meeting, p. 11-12)
In force: 9 March 1979
- Resolution (2) Adopted by the Fisheries Commission of NAFO, re vessels of non-member countries operating in the Regulatory Area (requests President of NAFO to inform Mexico, Panama, and Venezuela of difficulties created by their vessels re conservation of stocks in the Regulatory Area)
- Proposed: 7 June 1979 (Proc. June 1979 Meeting, p. 107)
In force: 7 June 1979
- Resolution (3) Adopted by the Fisheries Commission of NAFO, re the establishment of a scientific observer scheme (to improve scientific knowledge of status of stocks in the Regulatory Area, requests Contracting Parties to participate on voluntary basis; arrangements for observers to be made bilaterally)
- Proposed: 7 June 1979 (Proc. June 1979 Meeting, p. 108)
In force: 7 June 1979
- Resolution (4) Adopted by the General Council of NAFO, re modification of the western boundary of Subarea 1 of the Convention Area (amends Annex III of the Convention; to be effective 1 January 1980)
- Proposed: 7 June 1979 (Proc. June 1979 Meeting, p. 71-72)
In force: 1 January 1980
- Resolution (1/81) Adopted by the Fisheries Commission of NAFO, expressing serious concern at the activities of Spanish vessels and inviting Spain to adhere to the Convention
- Proposed: 26 June 1981
In force: 26 June 1981
- Resolution (1/85) Adopted by the Fisheries Commission of NAFO, concerning reporting on catches and scientific samples.
- Proposed: 13 September 1985
In force: 13 September 1985
- Resolution (1/86) Adopted by the General Council of NAFO, re modification of statistical boundary between Subareas 4 and 5 of the Convention Area. (amends Annex III of the Convention)
- Proposed: 12 September 1987
In force: 9 October 1987, by unanimous decision of the General Council and assent from the observer from the U.S.A. on 18 September 1987
- Resolution (1/88) Adopted by the Fisheries Commission of NAFO, re reporting of provisional monthly catches by species and stock area.
- Proposed: 10 February 1988
In force: 10 February 1988

- Resolution (2/88) Adopted by the Fisheries Commission of NAFO, determining the date on which the Proposal establishing the modified Scheme of Joint International Enforcement to be entitled "Scheme of Joint International Inspection" shall become a measure binding on all Contracting Parties.
- Proposed: 10 February 1988
In force: 10 February 1988
- Resolution (3/88) Adopted by the Fisheries Commission of NAFO, urging the Contracting Parties to continue and improve the Annual Scientific Program, requesting the same Contracting Parties and the Executive Secretary to urge non-members of NAFO to cooperate with the Program and requesting the Scientific Council to evaluate at the 1989 Annual Meeting the progress of the Program.
- Proposed: 15 September 1988
In force: 15 September 1988
- Resolution (4/88) Adopted by the General Council of NAFO, calling on all Contracting Parties to avoid excessive or inappropriate use of the objection procedure.
- Proposed: 16 September 1988
In force: 16 September 1988
- Resolution (1/89) Adopted by the General Council of NAFO, calling on all Contracting Parties for compliance with the NAFO management framework in place since 1979, and compliance with NAFO decisions in order to provide for conservation and maintain the traditional spirit of cooperation and mutual understanding in the Organization.
- Proposed: 15 September 1989
In force: 15 September 1989
- Resolution (1/90)
NAFO/GC Doc. 90/8
and 90/10 Resolution adopted by the General Council of NAFO on non-NAFO fishing activities: Declares that all members of the international community whose nationals carry out fishing activities in the NAFO Regulatory Area should ensure that such activities do not have an adverse impact on the stocks or NAFO's ability to ensure conservation; Resolves for all Contracting Parties' communications through diplomatic channels with non-Contracting Parties whose vessels fish in the NAFO Regulatory Area and for adoption of all necessary measures (a harvest origin certificate, etc.) to prevent any fishing contrary to NAFO conservation measures.
- Proposed: 14 September 1990
In force: 14 September 1990
- Resolution (1/93)
NAFO/GC Doc. 93/5 Resolution adopted by the General Council of NAFO on non-Contracting Parties fishing activities in the NAFO Regulatory Area; introduces the landing declaration scheme and means of its implementation.
- Proposed: 10 September 1993
In force: 10 September 1993
- Resolution (1/94)
NAFO/GC Doc. 94/8 Resolution adopted by the General Council of NAFO re acceptance of UN "Agreement to Promote Compliance with International Conservation and Management Measures by Fishing Vessels on the High Seas".
- Proposed: 23 September 1994
In force: 23 September 1994

Resolution (1/95)	Resolution adopted by the Fisheries Commission of NAFO that Subareas 2 and 3 shall, as regards of the management of Greenland halibut, be geographically divided in two (2) parts: - 2+3K - 3LMNO Proposed: 9 June 1995 In force: 9 June 1995
Resolution (1/97) NAFO/GC Doc. 97/7	Resolution adopted by the General Council of NAFO relating to the non-participation of Bulgaria and Romania in NAFO Proposed: 19 September 1997 In force: 19 September 1997
Resolution (1/99) NAFO/GC Doc. 99/8	Resolution adopted by the General Council of NAFO to guide expectations of future new members with regard to fishing opportunities in the NAFO Regulatory Area Proposed: 17 September 1999 In force: 17 September 1999
Resolution (2/99) NAFO/FC Doc. 99/13	Resolution adopted by the Fisheries Commission of NAFO to guide implementation of the precautionary approach within NAFO Proposed: 17 September 1999 In force: 17 September 1999

PART III

Quota Tables
(all figures are in metric tons)Quota Table for 1979
(NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V., Schedule I)

Country	CAPELIN Div. 3LNO	SQUID (<i>Illex</i>) Subareas 3+4 ^{2,3}
Canada ⁴	10 000 ¹	86 500
Cuba ⁴	-	4 500
European Economic Community	-	5 000
Romania ⁴	-	1 000
USSR ⁴	-	10 000
Reserved for the Members of the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries which are non- Members of the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization (Bulgaria, Japan, Poland, Portugal and Spain)	-	13 000
Total	10 000	120 000

¹ Reserved for Canadian inshore fishery in Div. 3L.

² A minimum of 10% of each Party's allocation must be taken in Subarea 3.

³ The opening date for the squid (*Illex*) fishery is 1 July.

⁴ The amount(s) allocated to this Party include(s) the amount allocated or quota regulation of the same stock in the proposal adopted by the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries on 9 March 1979.

Quota Table for 1980
(NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I)

Contracting Party	COD		CAPELIN
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO	Div. 3LNO
Bulgaria	-	-	-
Canada	100	9 800	16 000 ¹
Cuba	480	850	-
European Economic Community	2 405	210	-
Faroe Islands	2 900	-	-
German Democratic Republic	-	-	-
Iceland	-	-	-
Japan	-	-	-
Norway	1 200	-	-
Poland	500	-	-
Portugal	3 500	1 100	-
Romania	-	-	-
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	1 270	4 340	-
Others	85	700	-
Special Reservation ²	560	9 000	-

¹ Reserved for the Canadian inshore fishery in Div. 3L.

² Reserved for Spain.

Quota Table¹ for 1980
(NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I)

Contracting Party	Squid (<i>Illex</i>) 3 + 4 ²	(Total Allowable Catch - 150,000 metric tons)
Bulgaria	500	
Cuba	2 250	
Faroe Islands	-	
German Democratic Republic	-	
Iceland	-	
Japan	2 250	
Norway	-	
Poland	1 000	
Portugal	500	
Romania	500	
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	5 000	
Special Reservation ³	2 250	

¹ Any quota listed above may be increased by a transfer from any other quota listed above, or by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article I, paragraph 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC is not exceeded. Such transfers shall be reported promptly to the Executive Secretary.

² The opening date for the squid (*Illex*) fishery is 1 July 1980.

³ Reserved for Spain.

Quota Table for 1980
(NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I)

Contracting Party	Cod	Cod	Redfish	Redfish	American Plaice	American Plaice	Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	Squid (<i>illex</i>)
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M	Div. 3LNO	Div. 3NLO	Div. 3NO	Div. 3LNO	Subareas 3+4
Canada ²	100	N	5 500	12 900	250	46 200	17 500	4 900	D	D
Cuba ²	480	O	1 550	1 600	-	-	-	-		
European Economic Community	2 405	D	-	-	-	700	400	-	E	E
Faroe Islands (Denmark)	1 775	I R	-	-	-	-	-	-	F	F
German Democratic Republic ²	-	E C	-	600	-	-	-	-	E	E
Norway ²	500	T	-	-	-	-	-	-	R	R
Portugal ²	2 590	E	600	600	250	-	-	-		
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics ²	1 270	D	10 350	9 200	1 000	-	-	2 030	R	R
Others ²	100	F I S H E R Y	2 000	100	500	100	100	70	E	E
Reserved for Members of the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries which are non-Members of the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization:									D	D
Poland	500		-	-	-	-	-	-		
Spain	560		-	-	-	-	-	-		
TOTAL	10 280¹	0	20 000	25 000	2 000	47 000	18 000	7 000		

¹ Allowable catch of cod in Division 3M by Contracting Parties are for the period 1 January-30 April 1980 only.

² The amount(s) allocated to this Party and to "Others" include(s) the amount(s) allocated for quota regulation of the same stock in the proposal adopted by the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries in Plenary Session on 5 June 1979.

Quota Table for 1981 (as amended on 26 June 1981)
(NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I)

Contracting Party	Cod	Cod	Redfish	Redfish	American Plaice	American Plaice	Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	Squid (<i>illex</i>) ^{1,2}
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M	Div. 3LNO	Div. 3NLO	Div. 3NO	Div. 3LNO	Subareas 3+4
Bulgaria	-	-	300	-	-	-	-	-	-	500
Canada	100	9 800	5 500	8 000	250	54 200	20 500	3 000	30 000 ⁴	-
Cuba	480	850	1 550	2 250	-	-	-	-	-	2 250
European Economic Community	2 405	210	1 200	-	-	700	400	-	-	-
Faroe Islands (Denmark)	2 900	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
German Democratic Republic	-	-	-	850	-	-	-	-	-	-
Iceland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Japan	-	-	400	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 250
Norway	1 200 ⁶	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Poland	500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 000
Portugal	3 500	1 100	600	850	250	-	-	-	-	500
Romania	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	500
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	1 270	4 340	10 350	12 900	1 000	-	-	1 950	-	5 000
Others	50	700	100	150	500	100	100	50	-	-
Special Reservation	345 ³	9 000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 250 ³
TOTAL	12 750	26 000⁵	20 000	25 000	2 000	55 000	21 000	5 000	30 000	150 000

¹ Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any other quota listed for squid or by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, para. 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible.

² The opening date for the squid (*Illex*) fishery is 1 July 1981.

³ Reserved for Spain on the understanding that Spain will act in conformity with all NAFO conservation and enforcement regulations, and will participate in the NAFO Scientific Observer Scheme.

⁴ Reserved for the Canadian fishery in Div. 3L.

⁵ TAC shall not be increased until such time as the Scientific Council reports that age 3+ annual mean biomass has reached 200 000 metric tons.

⁶ 465 tons of this allocation represent a supplementary allocation to ensure participation by two Norwegian vessels in this fishery. This supplementary allocation shall not be taken into account in allocations for future years.

Quota Table for 1982
(NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I)

Contracting Party	Cod	Cod	Redfish	Redfish	American Plaice	American Plaice	Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	Squid (<i>illex</i>)
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M	Div. 3LNO	Div. 3NLO	Div. 3NO	Div. 3LNO	Subareas 3+4
Bulgaria	-	-	300	-	-	-	-	-	-	500
Canada	100	9 800	5 500	10 000	250	54 200	22 470	3 000	30 000 ³	- ⁶
Cuba	480	850	1 550	2 250	-	-	-	-	-	2 250
European Economic Community	2 405	210	1 200	-	-	700	430	-	-	- ⁶
Faroe Islands (Denmark)	2 900	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
German Democratic Republic	-	-	-	850	-	-	-	-	-	-
Iceland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Japan	-	-	400	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 250
Norway	1 200 ⁷	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Poland	500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 000
Portugal	3 500	1 100	600	850	250	-	-	-	-	500
Romania	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	500
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	1 270	4 340	10 350	10 900	1 000	-	-	1 950	-	5 000
Others	50	700	100	150	500	100	100	50	-	-
TOTAL	12 405¹	17 000²	20 000	25 000	2 000	55 000	23 000	5 000	30 000	150 000^{4,5}

¹ The TAC will not be increased beyond 12 405 mt until the Scientific Council advises that age 3+ mean biomass has reached a level approximately equal to one-half the mean age 3+ equilibrium biomass associated with fishing at F_{max} and assuming long term average recruitment levels.

² TAC shall not be increased until such time as the Scientific Council reports that age 3+ annual mean biomass has reached 200 000 metric tons.

³ Reserved for the Canadian fishery in Div. 3L.

⁴ Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, para. 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible.

⁵ The opening date for the squid (*illex*) fishery is 1 July 1982.

⁶ The dashes which appear in the place of allocations to Canada and the EEC do not signify zero allocations. Allocations to these Contracting Parties, as yet undetermined, shall not exceed the difference between the total of allocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC.

⁷ 465 tons of this allocation represent a supplementary allocation, and they shall not be taken into account in allocations for future years.

Quota Table for 1983
(NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I)

Contracting Party	Cod	Cod	Redfish	Redfish	American Plaice	American Plaice	Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	Squid (<i>illex</i>)
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M	Div. 3LNO	Div. 3NLO	Div. 3NO	Div. 3LNO	Subareas 3+4
Bulgaria	-	-	300	-	-	-	-	-	-	500
Canada	100	10 800	2 000 ⁷	12 000	250	54 200	18 535	3 000	-	-. ⁶
Cuba	480	850 ³	1 550	2 250	-	-	-	-	-	2 250
European Economic Community	2 405	210	1 200	-	-	700	380	-	-	-. ⁶
Faroe Islands (Denmark)	2 900	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
German Democratic Republic	-	-	-	850	-	-	-	-	-	-
Iceland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Japan	-	-	400	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 250
Norway	1 200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Poland	500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 000
Portugal	3 500	1 100	600	850	250	-	-	-	-	500
Romania	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	500
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	1 270	3 340	13 850	8 900	1 000	-	-	1 950	-	5 000
Others	50	700	100	150	500	100	85	50	-	-
TOTAL	12 405¹	17 000²	20 000	25 000	2 000	55 000	19 000	5 000	0	150 000^{4,5}

¹ The TAC will not be increased beyond 12 405 mt until the Scientific Council advises that age 3+ mean biomass has reached a level approximately equal to one-half the mean age 3+ equilibrium biomass associated with fishing at F_{max} and assuming long term average recruitment levels.

² TAC shall not be increased until such time as the Scientific Council reports that age 3+ annual mean biomass has reached 200 000 metric tons.

³ This allocation is transferred to Canada for 1983.

⁴ Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, para. 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible.

⁵ The opening date for the squid (*illex*) fishery is 1 July.

⁶ The dashes which appear in the place of allocations to Canada and the EEC do not signify zero allocations. Allocations to these Contracting Parties, as yet undetermined, shall not exceed the difference between the total of allocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC.

⁷ Includes 850 mt transferred to Cuba for 1983.

Quota Table¹ for 1984^{5&7}
(NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I)

Column I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	XI
Contracting Party	Cod	Cod	Redfish	Redfish	American Plaice	American Plaice	Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	Squid (<i>ill</i> <i>ex</i>)
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M	Div. 3LNO	Div. 3NLO	Div. 3NO	Div. 3LNO	Subareas 3+4 ^{3&4}
1. Bulgaria	-	-	300	-	-	-	-	-	-	500
2. Canada	100	11 650	700	12 850	150	54 200	16 584	3 000	-	N.S. ⁶
3. Cuba	480	-	1 550	2 250	-	-	-	-	-	2 250
4. European Economic Community	2 405	210	1 200	-	-	700	340	-	-	N.S. ⁶
5. Faroe Islands (Denmark)	2 900	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6. German Democratic Republic	-	-	-	850	-	-	-	-	-	-
7. Iceland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8. Japan	-	-	400	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 250
9. Norway	1 200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10. Poland	500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 000
11. Portugal	3 500	1 100	1 900	-	350	-	-	-	-	500
12. Romania	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	500
13. Spain	560	9 000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 250
14. USSR	1 270	3 340	13 850	8 900	1 000	-	-	1 950	-	5 000
15. Others	50	700	100	150	500	100	76	50	-	-
16. Special Reservation ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17. Total Allowable Catch	12 965 ⁵	26 000 ⁷	20 000	25 000	2 000	55 000	17 000	5 000	0	150 000

¹ Quotas are in metric tons.

² There are no Special Reservations for 1984.

³ The opening date for the squid (*ill**ex*) fishery is 1 July.

⁴ Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, para. 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible.

⁵ The TAC will not be increased beyond 12 965 mt until the Scientific Council advises that age 3+ mean biomass has reached a level approximately equal to one-half the mean age 3+ equilibrium biomass associated with fishing at F_{max} and assuming long term average recruitment levels.

⁶ Not Specified because the allocations to these Contracting Parties are as yet undetermined, although their sum shall not exceed the difference between the total of allocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC.

⁷ TAC shall not be increased until such time as the Scientific Council reports that age 3+ annual mean biomass has reached 200 000 metric tons.

Quota Table¹ for 1985
(NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I)

Column I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	XI
Contracting Party	Cod	Cod	Redfish	Redfish	American Plaice	American Plaice	Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	Squid (<i>ill</i> <i>ex</i>)
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M	Div. 3LNO	Div. 3NLO	Div. 3NO	Div. 3LNO	Subareas 3+4 ^{3&4}
1. Bulgaria	-	-	300	-	-	-	-	-	-	500
2. Canada	100	15 955	500	10 650	150	48 290	14 630	3 000	-	N.S. ⁶
3. Cuba	480	-	1 750	2 450	-	-	-	-	-	2 250
4. European Economic Community	2 405	250	1 200	-	-	625	300	-	-	N.S. ⁶
5. Faroe Islands (Denmark)	2 900	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6. German Democratic Republic	-	-	-	850	-	-	-	-	-	-
7. Iceland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8. Japan	-	-	400	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 250
9. Norway	1 200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10. Poland	500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 000
11. Portugal	3 500	1 315	1 900	-	350	-	-	-	-	500
12. Romania	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	500
13. Spain	560	10 780	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 250
14. USSR	1 270	4 000	13 850	10 900	1 000	-	-	1 950	-	5 000
15. Others	50	700	100	150	500	85	70	50	-	-
16. Special Reservation ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17. Total Allowable Catch	12 965 ⁵	33 000	20 000	25 000	2 000	49 000	15 000	5 000	0	150 000

¹ Quotas are in metric tons.

² There are no Special Reservations for 1985.

³ The opening date for the squid (*ill**ex*) fishery is 1 July.

⁴ Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, para. 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible.

⁵ The TAC will not be increased beyond 12 965 mt until the Scientific Council advises that age 3+ mean biomass has reached a level approximately equal to one-half the mean age 3+ equilibrium biomass associated with fishing at F_{max} and assuming long term average recruitment levels.

⁶ Not Specified because the allocations to these Contracting Parties are as yet undetermined, although their sum shall not exceed the difference between the total of allocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC.

Quota Table¹ for 1986
(NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I)

Column I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	XI
Contracting Party	Cod	Cod	Redfish	Redfish	American Plaice	American Plaice	Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	Squid (<i>ill</i> ex)
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M	Div. 3LNO	Div. 3NLO	Div. 3NO	Div. 3LNO	Subareas 3+4 ^{3&4}
1. Bulgaria	-	-	300	-	-	-	-	-	-	500
2. Canada	100	15 955	500	10 650	150	54 200	14 630	3 000	-	N.S. ⁶
3. Cuba	480	-	1 750	2 450	-	-	-	-	-	2 250
4. European Economic Community	2 405	250	1 200	-	-	700	300	-	-	N.S. ⁶
5. Faroe Islands (Denmark)	2 900	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6. German Democratic Republic	-	-	-	850	-	-	-	-	-	-
7. Iceland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8. Japan	-	-	400	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 250
9. Norway	1 200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10. Poland	500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 000
11. Portugal	3 500	1 315	1 900	-	350	-	-	-	-	500
12. Romania	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	500
13. Spain	560	10 780	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 250
14. USSR	1 270	4 000	13 850	10 900	1 000	-	-	1 950	-	5 000
15. Others	50	700	100	150	500	100	70	50	-	-
16. Special Reservation ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17. Total Allowable Catch	12 965 ⁵	33 000	20 000	25 000	2 000	55 000	15 000	5 000	0	150 000 ⁷

¹ Quotas are in metric tons.

² There are no Special Reservations for 1986.

³ The opening date for the squid (*ill*ex) fishery is 1 July.

⁴ Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, para. 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible.

⁵ The TAC for Cod in Div. 3M will not be increased beyond 12 965 mt until the Scientific Council advises that age 3+ mean biomass has reached a level approximately equal to one-half the mean age 3+ equilibrium biomass associated with fishing at F_{max} and assuming long term average recruitment levels.

⁶ Not Specified because the allocations to these Contracting Parties are as yet undetermined, although their sum shall not exceed the difference between the total of allocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC.

⁷ Reference will be made to Scientific Council for 1987 re: distribution of squid stocks in Canadian jurisdiction and the NAFO Regulatory Area.

Quota Table¹ for 1987
(NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I)

Column I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	XI
Contracting Party	Cod	Cod	Redfish	Redfish	American Plaice	American Plaice	Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	Squid (<i>ill</i> <i>ex</i>)
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M	Div. 3LNO	Div. 3NLO	Div. 3NO	Div. 3LNO	Subareas 3+4 ^{3&4}
1. Bulgaria	-	-	300	-	-	-	-	-	-	500
2. Canada	100	15 955	500	10 650	150	47 300	14 630	3 000	400	N.S. ⁶
3. Cuba	480	-	1 750	2 450	-	-	-	-	250	2 250
4. European Economic Community	2 405	250	1 200	-	-	610	300	-	250	N.S. ⁶
5. Faroe Islands (Denmark)	2 900	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6. German Democratic Republic	-	-	-	850	-	-	-	-	-	-
7. Iceland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8. Japan	-	-	400	-	-	-	-	-	800	2 250
9. Norway	1 200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3 000	-
10. Poland	500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	300	1 000
11. Portugal	3 500	1 315	1 900	-	350	-	-	-	-	500
12. Romania	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	500
13. Spain	560	10 780	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 250
14. USSR	1 270	4 000	13 850	10 900	1 000	-	-	1 950	5 000	5 000
15. Others	50	700	100	150	500	90	70	50	0	-
16. Special Reservation ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17. Total Allowable Catch	12 965 ⁵	33 000	20 000	25 000	2 000	48 000	15 000	5 000	10 000	150 000 ⁷

¹ Quotas are in metric tons.

² There are no Special Reservations for 1987.

³ The opening date for the squid (*ill**ex*) fishery is 1 July.

⁴ Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, para. 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible.

⁵ The TAC for Cod in Div. 3M will not be increased beyond 12 965 mt until the Scientific Council advises that age 3+ mean biomass has reached a level approximately equal to one-half the mean age 3+ equilibrium biomass associated with fishing at F_{max} and assuming long term average recruitment levels.

⁶ Not Specified because the allocations to these Contracting Parties are as yet undetermined, although their sum shall not exceed the difference between the total of allocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC.

⁷ The TAC would remain at 150 000 tons subject to adjustment where warranted by scientific advice.

Quota Table¹ for 1988
(NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I)

Column I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	XI
Contracting Party	Cod	Cod	Redfish	Redfish	American Plaice	American Plaice	Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	Squid (<i>ill</i> ex)
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M	Div. 3LNO	Div. 3NLO	Div. 3NO	Div. 3LNO	Subareas 3+4 ^{3&4}
1. Bulgaria	-	-	300	-	-	-	-	-	-	500
2. Canada	-	19 070	500	10 650	150	39 415	14 630	3 000	400	N.S. ⁵
3. Cuba	-	-	1 750	2 450	-	-	-	-	375	2 250
4. European Economic Community	-	14 750	1 200	-	350	510	300	-	375	N.S. ⁵
5. Faroe Islands (Denmark)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6. German Democratic Republic	-	-	-	850	-	-	-	-	-	-
7. Iceland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8. Japan	-	-	400	-	-	-	-	-	1 400	2 250
9. Norway	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4 500	-
10. Poland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	450	1 000
11. Romania	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	500
12. USSR	-	4 780	13 850	10 900	1 000	-	-	1 950	7 500	5 000
13. Others	-	1 400	100	150	500	75	70	50	0	5 000
14. Special Reservation ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15. Total Allowable Catch	0	40 000	20 000	25 000	2 000	40 000	15 000	5 000	15 000	150 000 ⁶

¹ Quotas are in metric tons.

² There are no Special Reservations for 1988.

³ The opening date for the squid (*ill*ex) fishery is 1 July.

⁴ Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, para. 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible.

⁵ Not Specified because the allocations to these Contracting Parties are as yet undetermined, although their sum shall not exceed the difference between the total of allocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC.

⁶ The TAC would remain at 150 000 tons subject to adjustment where warranted by scientific advice.

Quota Table¹ for 1989
(NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I)

Column I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	XI
Contracting Party	Cod	Cod	Redfish	Redfish	American Plaice	American Plaice	Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	Squid (<i>ill</i> ex)
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M	Div. 3LNO	Div. 3NLO	Div. 3NO	Div. 3LNO	Subareas 3+4 ^{3&4}
1. Bulgaria	-	-	300	-	-	-	-	-	-	500
2. Canada	-	11 920	500	10 650	150	29 860	4 875	3 000	748	N.S. ⁵
3. Cuba	-	-	1 750	2 450	-	-	-	-	700	2 250
4. Denmark (in respect of Faroe Islands & Greenland)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5. European Economic Community	-	9 220	3 100	-	350	385	100	-	700	N.S. ⁵
6. German Democratic Republic	-	-	-	850	-	-	-	-	-	-
7. Iceland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8. Japan	-	-	400	-	-	-	-	-	2 612	2 250
9. Norway	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8 400	-
10. Poland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	840	1 000
11. USSR	-	2 985	13 850	10 900	1 000	-	-	1 950	14 000	5 000
12. Others	-	875	100	150	500	55	25	50	-	5 000
13. Special Reservation ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14. Total Allowable Catch	0	25 000	20 000	25 000	2 000	30 300	5 000	5 000	28 000	150 000 ⁶

¹ Quotas are in metric tons.

² There are no Special Reservations for 1989.

³ The opening date for the squid (*ill*ex) fishery is 1 July.

⁴ Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, para. 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible.

⁵ Not Specified because the allocations to these Contracting Parties are as yet undetermined, although their sum shall not exceed the difference between the total of allocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC.

⁶ The TAC would remain at 150 000 tons subject to adjustment where warranted by scientific advice.

Quota Table¹ for 1990
(NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I)

Column I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	XI
Contracting Party	Cod	Cod	Redfish	Redfish	American Plaice	American Plaice	Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	Squid (<i>ill</i> ex)
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M	Div. 3LNO	Div. 3NLO	Div. 3NO	Div. 3LNO	Subareas 3+4 ^{3&4}
1. Bulgaria	-	-	750	-	-	-	-	-	-	500
2. Canada	-	8 868	1 250	10 650	150	24 538	4 875	3 000	800	N.S. ⁵
3. Cuba	-	-	4 375	2 450	-	-	-	-	750	2 250
4. Denmark (in respect of Faroe Islands & Greenland)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5. European Economic Community	-	6 860	7 750	-	350	317	100	-	750	N.S. ⁵
6. German Democratic Republic	-	-	-	850	-	-	-	-	-	-
7. Iceland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8. Japan	-	-	1 000	-	-	-	-	-	2 800	2 250
9. Norway	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9 000	-
10. Poland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	900	1 000
11. USSR	-	2 221	34 625	10 900	1 000	-	-	1 950	15 000	5 000
12. Others	-	651	250	150	500	45	25	50	-	5 000
13. Special Reservation ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14. Total Allowable Catch	0	18 600	50 000	25 000	2 000	24 900	5 000	5 000	30 000	150 000 ⁶

¹ Quotas are in metric tons.

² There are no Special Reservations for 1990.

³ The opening date for the squid (*ill*ex) fishery is 1 July.

⁴ Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, para. 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible.

⁵ Not Specified because the allocations to these Contracting Parties are as yet undetermined, although their sum shall not exceed the difference between the total of allocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC.

⁶ The TAC would remain at 150 000 tons subject to adjustment where warranted by scientific advice.

Quota Table¹ for 1991
(NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I)

Column I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	XI
Contracting Party	Cod	Cod	Redfish	Redfish	American Plaice	American Plaice	Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	Squid (<i>ill</i> ex)
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M	Div. 3LNO	Div. 3NLO	Div. 3NO	Div. 3LNO	Subareas 3+4 ^{3&4}
1. Bulgaria	-	-	750	-	-	-	-	-	-	500
2. Canada	100	6 484	1 250	5 964	150	25 425	6 825	3 000	800	N.S. ⁵
3. Cuba	480	-	4 375	1 372	-	-	-	-	750	2 250
4. Denmark (in respect of Faroe Is. & Greenland)	2 900	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5. European Economic Community	6 465	5 016	7 750	-	350	328	140	-	750	N.S. ⁵
6. German Democratic Republic	-	-	-	476	-	-	-	-	-	-
7. Iceland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8. Japan	-	-	1 000	-	-	-	-	-	2 800	2 250
9. Norway	1 200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9 000	-
10. Poland	500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	900	1 000
11. USSR	1 270	1 624	34 625	6 104	1 000	-	-	1 950	15 000	5 000
12. Others	50	476	250	84	500	47	35	50	-	5 000
13. Special Reservation ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14. Total Allowable Catch	12 965	13 600	50 000	14 000	2 000	25 800	7 000	5 000	30 000	150 000 ⁶

¹ Quotas are in metric tons.

² There are no Special Reservations for 1991.

³ The opening date for the squid (*ill*ex) fishery is 1 July.

⁴ Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, para. 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible.

⁵ Not Specified because the allocations to these Contracting Parties are as yet undetermined, although their sum shall not exceed the difference between the total of allocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC.

⁶ The TAC would remain at 150 000 tons subject to adjustment where warranted by scientific advice.

Quota Table¹ for 1992
(NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I)

Column I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	XI
Contracting Party	Cod	Cod	Redfish	Redfish	American Plaice	American Plaice	Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	Squid (<i>ill</i> ex)
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M	Div. 3LNO	Div. 3NLO	Div. 3NO	Div. 3LNO	Subareas 3+4 ^{3&4}
1. Bulgaria	-	-	645	-	-	-	-	-	-	500
2. Canada	100	6 484	1 075	5 964	150	25 425	6 825	3 000	800	N.S. ⁵
3. Cuba	480	-	3 762	1 372	-	-	-	-	750	2 250
4. Denmark (in respect of Faroe Is. & Greenland)	2 900	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5. European Economic Community	6 465	5 016	6 665	476	350	328	140	-	750	N.S. ⁵
6. Iceland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7. Japan	-	-	860	-	-	-	-	-	2 800	2 250
8. Norway	1 200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9 000	-
9. Poland	500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	900	1 000
10. USSR	1 270	1 624	29 777	6 104	1 000	-	-	1 950	15 000	5 000
11. Others	50	476	216	84	500	47	35	50	-	5 000
12. Special Reservation ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13. Total Allowable Catch	12 965	13 600	43 000	14 000	2 000	25 800	7 000	5 000	30 000	150 000 ⁶

¹ Quotas are in metric tons.

² There are no Special Reservations for 1992.

³ The opening date for the squid (*ill*ex) fishery is 1 July.

⁴ Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, para. 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible.

⁵ Not Specified because the allocations to these Contracting Parties are as yet undetermined, although their sum shall not exceed the difference between the total of allocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC.

⁶ The TAC would remain at 150 000 tons subject to adjustment where warranted by scientific advice.

Quota Table¹ for 1993
(NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I)

Column I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	XI	
Contracting Party	Cod	Cod	Redfish	Redfish	American Plaice	American Plaice	Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	Squid (<i>ill</i> ex)	
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M	Div. 3LNO	Div. 3NLO	Div. 3NO	Div. 3LNO	Subareas 3+4 ^{3&4}	
1. Bulgaria	-	-	450	-	-	-	-	-	-	500	
2. Canada	100	4 863	750	5 964	150	10 347	6 825	3 000	-	N.S. ⁵	
3. Cuba	480	-	2 625	1 372	-	-	-	-	-	2 250	
4. Denmark (in respect of Faroe Is. & Greenland)	2 900	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
5. European Economic Community	6 465	3 762	4 650	476	350	133	140	-	-	N.S. ⁵	
6. Japan	-	-	600	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 250	
7. Norway	1 200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
8. Poland	500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 000	
9. Estonia	} ²	1 270	1 218	20 775	6 104	1 000	-	-	1 950	-	5 000
10. Latvia											
11. Lithuania											
12. Russia											
13. Others	50	357	150	84	500	20	35	50	-	5 000	
14. Total Allowable Catch	12 965	10 200	30 000	14 000	2 000	10 500	7 000	5 000	0	150 000 ⁶	

¹ Quotas are in metric tons.

² Quotas to be fished by vessels from Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania and the Russian Federation. The provisions of Part I, Section A.3 of the NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures shall apply.

³ The opening date for the squid (*ill*ex) fishery is 1 July.

⁴ Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, para. 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible.

⁵ Not Specified because the allocations to these Contracting Parties are as yet undetermined, although their sum shall not exceed the difference between the total of allocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC.

⁶ The TAC would remain at 150 000 tons subject to adjustment where warranted by scientific advice.

Quota Table for 1994
(NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I)

Column I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	XI
Contracting Party	Cod	Cod	Redfish	Redfish	American Plaice	American Plaice	Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	Squid (<i>ill</i> ex)
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M	Div. 3LNO	Div. 3NLO	Div. 3NO	Div. 3LNO	Subareas 3+4 ^{3&4}
1. Bulgaria	-	-	390	-	-	-	-	-	-	500
2. Canada	85	2 861	650	5 964	75	4 730	6 825	1 800	0	N.S. ⁴
3. Cuba	407	-	2 275	1 372	-	-	-	-	0	2 250
4. Denmark (in respect of Faroe Is. & Greenland)	2 461	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5. European Union	5 485	2 213	4 030	476	175	61	140	-	0	N.S. ⁴
6. Japan	-	-	520	-	-	-	-	-	0	2 250
7. Norway	1 018	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-
8. Poland	424	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	1 000
9. Estonia	1 078 ¹	716 ¹	18 005 ¹	6 104 ¹	500 ¹	-	-	1 170 ¹	0	5 000 ¹
10. Latvia										
11. Lithuania										
12. Russia	42	210	130	84	250	9	35	30	-	5 000
13. Others										
14. Total Allowable Catch	11 000	6 000	26 000	14 000	1 000*	4 800*	7 000*	3 000*	no fishing	150 000 ⁵

¹ Quotas to be fished by vessels from Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania and the Russian Federation. The provisions of Part I, Section A.3 of the NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures shall apply.

² The opening date for the squid (*ill*ex) fishery is 1 July.

³ Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, para. 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible.

⁴ Not Specified because the allocations to these Contracting Parties are as yet undetermined, although their sum shall not exceed the difference between the total of allocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC.

⁵ The TAC would remain at 150 000 tons subject to adjustment where warranted by scientific advice.

*Considering the advice contained in the Report of the Scientific Council and having regard to the poor state of the stock of Cod in Divisions 3NO; American plaice in Divisions 3LNO and 3M; Witch flounder in Division 3NO and Yellowtail flounder in 3LNO, no directed fishery shall be carried out under the TACs agreed for each of these stocks in 1994, which are suspended. The provisions of Part I, Section A.4b) of NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures shall apply.

Quota Table for 1995
(NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I)

Contracting Party	Cod		Redfish		American Plaice		Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	G. halibut ⁶	Squid (<i>illex</i>) ^{2,3}
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO*	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M*	Div. 3LNO*	Div. 3NLO*	Div. 3NO*	Div. 3LNO*	Subareas 2+3	Subareas 3+4
1. Bulgaria	-	-	390	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	500
2. Canada	85	0	650	5 964	0	0	0	0	0	16 300	N.S. ⁴
3. Cuba	407	-	2 275	1 372	-	-	-	-	0	-	2 250
4. Denmark (Faroe Islands and Greenland)	2 461	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5. European Union	5 485	0	4 030	476	0	0	0	-	0	3 400	N.S. ⁴
6. Iceland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7. Japan	-	-	520	-	-	-	-	-	0	2 600	2 250
8. Korea	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 000
9. Norway	1 018	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
10. Poland	424	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	1 000
11. Estonia	1 078 ¹	0	18 005 ¹	6 104 ¹	0	-	-	0	0	-	-
12. Latvia											
13. Lithuania											
14. Russia											
15. Others	42	0	130	84	0	0	0	0	-	3 200	3 000
Total Allowable Catch	11 000	*	26 000	14 000	*	*	*	*	*	27 000	150 000⁵

¹ Quotas to be fished by vessels from Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania and the Russian Federation. The provisions of Part I, Section A.3 of the NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures shall apply.

² The opening date for the squid (*illex*) fishery is 1 July.

³ Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, para. 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible.

⁴ Not Specified because the allocations to these Contracting Parties are as yet undetermined, although their sum shall not exceed the difference between the total of allocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC.

⁵ The TAC would remain at 150 000 tons subject to adjustment where warranted by scientific advice.

⁶ Effective as of 12 May 1995.

* No directed fishing - The provisions of Part I, Section A.4b) of NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures shall apply.

Quota Table for 1996
(NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I)

Contracting Party	Cod		Redfish		American Plaice		Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	G. halibut	Squid (<i>illex</i>) ^{2,3}
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO*	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M*	Div. 3LNO*	Div. 3NLO*	Div. 3NO*	Div. 3LNO*	Div. 3LMNO	Subareas 3+4
1. Bulgaria	-	-	390	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	500
2. Canada	85	0	650	4 686	0	0	0	0	0	3 000	N.S. ⁴
3. Cuba	407	-	2 275	1 078	-	-	-	-	0	-	2 250
4. Denmark (Faroe Islands and Greenland)	2 461	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5. European Union	5 485	0	4 030	374	0	0	0	-	0	11 070	N.S. ⁴
6. Iceland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7. Japan	-	-	520	-	-	-	-	-	0	2 050	2 250
8. Korea	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 000
9. Norway	1 018	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
10. Poland	424	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	1 000
11. Estonia	} ¹	0	18 005 ¹	4 796 ¹	0	-	-	0	0	-	-
12. Latvia											
13. Lithuania											
14. Russia											
15. Others	42	0	130	66	0	0	0	0	-	2 550 1 330 ⁶	3 000
Total Allowable Catch	11 000	*	26 000	11 000	*	*	*	*	*	20 000	150 000 ⁵

¹ Quotas to be fished by vessels from Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania and the Russian Federation. The provisions of Part I, Section A.3 of the NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures shall apply.

² The opening date for the squid (*illex*) fishery is 1 July.

³ Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, para. 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible.

⁴ Not Specified because the allocations to these Contracting Parties are as yet undetermined, although their sum shall not exceed the difference between the total of allocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC.

⁵ The TAC would remain at 150 000 tons subject to adjustment where warranted by scientific advice.

⁶ Of which no more than 40% (532t) may be fished before 1 May 1996 and no more than 80% (1064t) may be fished before 1 October 1996.

Each Contracting Party shall report catches by its vessels of G. halibut to the Executive Secretary every Tuesday for the week ending at 2400 hours on the previous Sunday (FC Doc. 95/18).

* No directed fishing - The provisions of Part I, Section A.4b) of NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures shall apply.

Quota Table for 1997
(NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I)

Contracting Party	Cod		Redfish		American Plaice		Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	G. halibut	Squid (<i>illux</i>) ^{2,3}
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO*	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M*	Div. 3LNO*	Div. 3NLO*	Div. 3NO*	Div. 3LNO*	Div. 3LMNO	Subareas 3+4
1. Bulgaria	-	-	- ⁷	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	500
2. Canada	47	0	650	4 686	0	0	0	0	0	3 000	N.S. ⁴
3. Cuba	222	-	2 275	1 078	-	-	-	-	0	-	2 250
4. Denmark (Faroe Islands and Greenland)	1 342	-	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5. European Union	2 992	0	4 030	374	0	0	0	-	0	11 070	N.S. ⁴
6. France (St. Pierre et Miquelon)	-	-	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 000
7. Iceland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8. Japan	-	-	520	-	-	-	-	-	0	2 050	2 250
9. Korea	-	-	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 000
10. Norway	555	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
11. Poland	231	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	1 000
12. Estonia	588 ¹	0	18 005 ¹	4 796 ¹	0	-	-	0	0	-	5 000 ¹
13. Latvia											
14. Lithuania											
15. Russia										2 550	
16. United States of America	-	-	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 000
17. Others	23	0	160	66	0	0	0	0	-	1 330 ⁶	3 000
Total Allowable Catch	6 000	*	26 000	11 000	*	*	*	*	*	20 000	150 000⁵

¹ Quotas to be fished by vessels from Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania and the Russian Federation. The provisions of Part I, Section A.3 of the NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures shall apply.

² The opening date for the squid (*illux*) fishery is 1 July.

³ Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, para. 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible.

⁴ Not Specified because the allocations to these Contracting Parties are as yet undetermined, although their sum shall not exceed the difference between the total of allocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC.

⁵ The TAC would remain at 150 000 tons subject to adjustment where warranted by scientific advice.

⁶ Of which no more than 40% (532t) may be fished before 1 May 1997 and no more than 80% (1064t) may be fished before 1 October 1997.

⁷ Quota of Bulgaria to be distributed among Denmark (Faroe Islands & Greenland), France (St. Pierre et Miquelon), Korea, the USA and others for 1997.

* No directed fishing - The provisions of Part I, Section A.4b) of NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures shall apply.

Quota Table for 1998
(NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I)

Contracting Party	Cod		Redfish		American Plaice		Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	G. halibut	Squid (<i>illex</i>) ^{2,3}
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO*	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M*	Div. 3LNO*	Div. 3NLO*	Div. 3NO*	Div. 3LNO*	Div. 3LMNO	Subareas 3+4
1. Bulgaria	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2. Canada	16	0	650	0	0	0	3 900 ⁸	0	0	3 000	N.S. ⁴
3. Cuba	74	-	2 275	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	2 250
4. Denmark (Faroe Islands and Greenland)	447	-	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5. European Union	997	0	4 030	0	0	0	80 ⁸	-	0	11 070	N.S. ⁴
6. France (St. Pierre et Miquelon)	-	-	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 000
7. Iceland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8. Japan	-	-	520	-	-	-	-	-	0	2 050	2 250
9. Korea	-	-	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 000
10. Norway	185	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
11. Poland	77	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	1 000
12. Estonia	196 ¹	0	18 005 ¹	0	0	-	-	0	0	-	5 000 ¹
13. Latvia											
14. Lithuania											
15. Russia											
16. United States of America	-	-	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 000
17. Others	8	0	160	0	0	0	20 ⁸	0	-	1 330 ⁶	3 500
Total Allowable Catch	2 000	*	20 000⁷	*	*	*	4 000⁹	*	*	20 000	150 000⁵

¹ Quotas to be fished by vessels from Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania and the Russian Federation. The provisions of Part I, Section A.3 of the NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures shall apply.

² The opening date for the squid (*illex*) fishery is 1 July.

³ Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, para. 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible.

⁴ Not Specified because the allocations to these Contracting Parties are as yet undetermined, although their sum shall not exceed the difference between the total of allocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC.

⁵ The TAC would remain at 150 000 tons subject to adjustment where warranted by scientific advice.

⁶ Of which no more than 40% (532t) may be fished before 1 May 1998 and no more than 80% (1064t) may be fished before 1 October 1998.

⁷ Each Contracting Party shall notify the Executive Secretary bi-weekly of catches taken by its vessels from this stock. The Executive Secretary shall notify without delay all Contracting Parties of the date on which, for this stock, accumulated reported catch taken by vessels of the Contracting Parties is estimated to equal 100 percent of the TAC for that stock. At that date each Contracting Party, to which a quota has been allocated or which vessels are engaged in fishing under the "Others" quota, shall prohibit fishing by its vessels for that stock.

⁸ Contracting Parties shall inform the NAFO Executive Secretary before 1 December 1997 of the measures to be taken to meet the advice of the NAFO Scientific Council.

⁹ The provisions of Part I, Section A.4b) of NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures shall apply (FC Doc. 96/1).

* No directed fishing - The provisions of Part I, Section A.4b) of NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures shall apply.

Quota Table for 1999
(NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, Part V, Schedule I)

Contracting Party	Cod		Redfish		American Plaice		Yellowtail	Witch	Capelin	G. halibut	Squid (<i>illex</i>) ^{2,3}
	Div. 3M	Div. 3NO*	Div. 3M	Div. 3LN	Div. 3M*	Div. 3LNO*	Div. 3NLO*	Div. 3NO*	Div. 3LNO*	Div. 3LMNO	Subareas 3+4
1. Canada	0	0	500	0	0	0	5 850 ⁷	0	0	3 667	N.S. ⁴
2. Cuba	0	-	1 750	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	1 125
3. Denmark (Faroe Islands and Greenland)	0	-	69	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4. European Union	0	0	3 100	0	0	0	120 ⁷	-	0	13 530	N.S. ⁴
5. France (St. Pierre et Miquelon)	-	-	69	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 000
6. Iceland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7. Japan	-	-	400	-	-	-	-	-	0	2 506	1 125
8. Korea	-	-	69	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 000
9. Norway	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
10. Poland	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	500
11. Estonia	} ¹	0	13 850 ¹	0	0	-	-	0	0	-	2 500 ¹
12. Latvia											
13. Lithuania											
14. Russia											
15. United States of America	-	-	69	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 000
16. Others	0	0	124	0	0	0	30 ⁷	0	-	1 624 ⁵	1 750
Total Allowable Catch	*	*	13 000 ⁶	*	*	*	6 000 ⁸	*	*	24 444	75 000

¹ Quotas to be fished by vessels from Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania and the Russian Federation. The provisions of Part I, Section A.3 of the NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures shall apply.

² The opening date for the squid (*illex*) fishery is 1 July.

³ Any quota listed for squid may be increased by a transfer from any "coastal state" as defined in Article 1, para. 3 of the NAFO Convention, provided that the TAC for squid is not exceeded. Transfers made to Contracting Parties conducting fisheries for squid in the Regulatory Area shall be reported to the Executive Secretary, and the report shall be made as promptly as possible.

⁴ Not Specified because the allocations to these Contracting Parties are as yet undetermined, although their sum shall not exceed the difference between the total of allocations to other Contracting Parties and the TAC.

⁵ Of which no more than 40% (650t) may be fished before 1 May 1999 and no more than 80% (1299t) may be fished before 1 October 1999.

⁶ Each Contracting Party shall notify the Executive Secretary bi-weekly of catches taken by its vessels from this stock. The Executive Secretary shall notify without delay all Contracting Parties of the date on which, for this stock, accumulated reported catch taken by vessels of the Contracting Parties is estimated to equal 100 percent of the TAC for that stock. At that date each Contracting Party, to which a quota has been allocated or which vessels are engaged in fishing under the "Others" quota, shall prohibit fishing by its vessels for that stock.

⁷ Contracting Parties shall inform the NAFO Executive Secretary before 1 December 1998 of the measures to be taken to meet the advice of the NAFO Scientific Council.

⁸ The provisions of Part I, Section A.4b) of NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures shall apply.

* No directed fishing - The provisions of Part I, Section A.5b) of NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures shall apply.

PART IV

NAFO Resolutions

- (1) Resolution Relating to Administrative and Financial Arrangements for NAFO, adopted by the General Council on 9 March 1979

The General Council,

Noting the need for administrative and financial arrangements for NAFO, and

Having considered the Report of the Working Group on Finance and Administration,

Adopts the following arrangements:

- (1) that the Executive Secretary of ICNAF be appointed with effect from today as the Executive Secretary of NAFO on the understanding that he will continue to act at the same time as Executive Secretary of ICNAF at least until 31 December 1979 and that as Executive Secretary of NAFO he receive no remuneration for 1979;
 - (2) that the Executive Secretary be authorized to appoint the staff of the ICNAF Secretariat as staff of NAFO's Secretariat on the understanding that this staff will continue to act at the same time as staff of ICNAF's Secretariat at least until 31 December 1979 and that as NAFO's Secretariat they receive no remuneration for 1979;
 - (3) that pending the adoption of financial regulations the Executive Secretary of NAFO be authorized to receive into a NAFO account all contributions in respect of NAFO and to pay any expenses of NAFO including an administrative fee for any services provided to NAFO by the ICNAF Secretariat;
 - (4) that all assets and liabilities of ICNAF as of 31 December 1979 shall be assumed by NAFO effective 1 January 1980;
 - (5) that the Executive Secretary of NAFO be instructed to prepare and circulate for consideration at the Annual Meeting of NAFO a budget estimate for the financial year 1980;
 - (6) that the President of the Organization be requested to approach the Government of Canada for the purpose of appointing the Auditor General of Canada, who is the present auditor for ICNAF, to be the external auditor for NAFO; and
 - (7) that the President of the Organization be requested to approach the Government of Canada for the purpose of obtaining the same privileges and immunities as granted to ICNAF for the new Organization (NAFO) in order that NAFO may enjoy the maximum benefits permissible under existing domestic laws and regulations.
- (2) Resolution Relating to Vessels of Non-Member Countries Operating in the Regulatory Area, adopted by the Fisheries Commission of the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization on 7 June 1979

The Fisheries Commission

Noting that, in 1978 and 1979, vessels flying the flags of Panama, Venezuela, and Mexico have fished in the Regulatory Area,

Noting that Panama, Venezuela, and Mexico are not Members of the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries or the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization, and that the vessels in question have operated outside the conservation regime established by the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries and the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization,

Noting that the fish stocks of the Regulatory Area are, at present, being fully utilized by Members of the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries and the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization,

Noting, in consequence, that fishing by states which are not Members of the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries or the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization represents a serious threat to conservation in the Regulatory Area,

Noting that the vessels in question have links with Members of the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries or the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization and, in particular, that at least some of these vessels are owned either wholly or in part by fishing interests in Member Countries, and that at least some of the catch of these vessels is landed in Member Countries,

- Resolves:
- (1) that all Members of the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization be called upon to take all practicable steps to prevent arrangements between their nationals and vessels flying the flags of States which are not Members of the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries or the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization, where such arrangements involve fishing by such vessels in the Regulatory Area, and
 - (2) that the President of the Organization be requested to inform Mexico, Panama, and Venezuela of the difficulties created by fishing vessels flying their flags with regard to the conservation of the stocks of the Regulatory Area.

(3) Resolution Concerning the Establishment of a Scientific Observer Scheme, adopted by the Fisheries Commission of the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization on 7 June 1979

The Fisheries Commission,

Noting the adoption by the Scientific Council of a report supporting the establishment of an international scientific observer program with respect to fisheries in the Convention Area,

Considering that it would be desirable to improve scientific knowledge of the status of fish stocks in the Regulatory Area, through the establishment of an international scientific observer program,

Hereby Resolves that Parties whose vessels have been conducting fisheries in the Regulatory Area, within the framework established by multilateral fisheries conventions applicable to this Area, be called upon to develop an international scientific observer program, commencing in 1979, on a voluntary basis. The following guidelines are recommended for the establishment of such a program through bilateral discussions:

1. Parties interested in participating in this program would develop mutually satisfactory arrangements on a bilateral basis in order to facilitate the implementation of this program.
2. At the request of the authorities of a Party participating in this program, in accordance with logistic arrangements made on a bilateral basis, the participating Party to which the request is addressed would arrange to have vessels under its jurisdiction that have been identified in these arrangements, and are operating in the Regulatory Area, accept on board scientific observers designated by the requesting Party.
3. Transportation of scientific observers to and from fishing vessels will be the responsibility of the observers' authorities, and may be effected by their inspection vessels designated under the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries or the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization Schemes of Joint International Enforcement, or directly between fishing vessels. Arrangements, including those concerning the transportation and boarding of observers, shall be organized so as to minimize interference with fishing operations, and, for example, in no case shall a fishing vessel be obliged to change its course pursuant to this program. Arrangements will be made for messages to be sent and received on behalf of the observer using the vessel's radio equipment and operator. Any expenses involved in such communication will be borne by the observers's authorities.
4. The masters of fishing vessels receiving scientific observers will ensure that such observers are granted full cooperation upon boarding, including accommodation and meals.
5. Scientific observers participating in the program will carry insurance satisfactory to the Parties concerned, either at their own expense, or as provided by their own authorities.
6. The participating Parties will establish, on a bilateral basis, the terms of reference for the scientific observers placed on board vessels pursuant to bilateral arrangements. The scientific observers will provide to the relevant masters, a copy of such records prepared by the observers, that the masters wish to retain.
7. The scientific observers will submit at the first opportunity, through their authorities to the authorities of the relevant fishing vessels, a copy of all scientific data records.

NOTE: The Fisheries Commission requests that the General Council transmit this Resolution to Parties to the International Convention for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries which are not Parties to the Convention on Future Multilateral Cooperation in the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries.

- (1/81) Resolution Expressing Serious Concern at the Activities of Spanish Vessels and Inviting Spain to Adhere to the Convention, adopted by the Fisheries Commission on 26 June 1981

Whereas the Fisheries Commission has provided special reservations for Spain in 1981 on the understanding that Spanish vessels would act in conformity with all NAFO conservation and enforcement regulations, and would participate in the NAFO Scientific Observer Scheme;

Whereas NAFO inspectors have reported recurrent violations by Spanish vessels of NAFO regulations by, inter alia, maintaining log records which do not correspond with the amounts of fish on board or refusing to allow full inspections of vessels to compare the amount of fish on board with log records of catches;

Whereas Spain has not implemented the NAFO Scientific Observer Scheme;

Whereas the number of Spanish vessels fishing in NAFO Divisions 3M and 3NO and the catches taken by vessels inspected would suggest that the special reservations allocated to Spain have been exhausted:

THE FISHERIES COMMISSION OF THE NORTHWEST ATLANTIC FISHERIES ORGANIZATION:

CALLS UPON the Government of Spain to ensure that Spanish vessels cease fishing for cod in NAFO Divisions 3M and 3NO immediately;

DRAWES THE ATTENTION of the Government of Spain to the fact that any future allocations for Spain will be dependent upon a formal commitment from Spain to conform with all NAFO conservation and enforcement regulations and to implement the NAFO Scientific Observer Scheme;

INVITES the Government of Spain to take steps to become party to the NAFO Convention as soon as possible in order to participate fully in the conservation and in the rational utilization of the stocks in the NAFO Regulatory Area.

- (1/85) Resolution Concerning Reporting on Catches and Scientific Sampling, adopted by the Fisheries Commission on 13 September 1985

THE FISHERIES COMMISSION calls on all its Members to follow the reporting requirements of NAFO Rules and Regulations (as contained in the provisions concerning management in NAFO document FC 82/IX/13 - Conservation and Enforcement Measures - Part I.C) and comply with the sampling requirements which have been established by the Scientific Council.

- (1/88) Resolution of the Fisheries Commission of the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization regarding reporting of provisional monthly catches by species and stock area, adopted by the Fisheries Commission on 10 February 1988

Whereas in 1982 the Fisheries Commission adopted Conservation and Enforcement Measures;

Whereas Part I, Section C, 3(a) of these Measures requires each Contracting Party to report to the Executive Secretary, within 30 days following the calendar month in which catches are made, provisional monthly catches by species and stock area;

Whereas the implementation of this obligation requires the Contracting Parties to have procedures through which they obtain regular catch reports from their fishing vessels during their fishing operations in the Regulatory Area to ensure proper in-season management of the fishery resources;

Recognizing that for Contracting Parties whose vessels fish in the Regulatory Area in January, the 1st report of the year is required within thirty days of the end of January;

The Contracting Parties represented at the meeting of the Fisheries Commission undertake to take the necessary measures to comply with the reporting requirements of the NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures.

- (2/88) Resolution determining the Date on which the Proposal establishing the Modified Scheme of Joint International Enforcement to be entitled "Scheme of Joint International Inspection" shall become a Measure Binding on all Contracting Parties, adopted by the Fisheries Commission on 10 February 1988

Whereas in Article XVIII of the International Convention on Future Multilateral Cooperation in the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries the Contracting Parties have agreed to maintain in force and to implement within the Regulatory Area a scheme of joint international enforcement;

Whereas the Fisheries Commission, pursuant to paragraph 5 of Article XI of the Convention, has adopted a proposal for modifications to the Scheme and to Part I of the NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures in accordance with the Annex* to this Resolution;

Whereas the Fisheries Commission has agreed that the modified scheme of joint international enforcement, now to be entitled "Scheme of Joint International Inspection", and the modification to Part I of the NAFO Conservation and Enforcement Measures, shall come into force only if they are binding in their entirety on all Contracting Parties;

Whereas the proposal adopted by the Fisheries Commission shall now be transmitted by the Executive Secretary to all Contracting Parties pursuant to paragraph 6 of Article XI of the Convention;

The Fisheries Commission hereby resolves, pursuant to paragraph 7 of Article XI of the Convention, that the proposal it has adopted shall become a measure binding on all Contracting Parties ninety days after the date of transmittal specified in the notification of the proposal by the Executive Secretary unless a Contracting Party presents to the Executive Secretary an objection to the proposal pursuant to paragraph 1 of Article XII of the Convention, in which case the proposal shall not become a measure binding on any Contracting Party.

*See NAFO/FC Doc. 88/1

- (3/88) Resolution of the Fisheries Commission of the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization urging the Contracting Parties to continue and improve the Annual Scientific Program, requesting the same Contracting Parties and the Executive Secretary to urge non-members of NAFO to cooperate with the Program and requesting the Scientific Council to evaluate at the 1989 Annual Meeting the progress of the Program

The Fisheries Commission,

Recalling its decision at the Ninth Annual Meeting to establish an Annual Scientific Observer Program in order to improve scientific knowledge on the status of the fish stocks in the Regulatory Area, and its request that the Scientific Council prepare a report for the 1988 Annual Meeting, and,

Noting the response of the Scientific Council, contained in particular in FC Doc. 88/05 but also in SCS Doc. 88/19 and SCS Doc. 88/20,

Accepts the Scientific Council recommendation that existing scientific surveys be continued and that any new research efforts be addressed towards completing scientific objectives currently in place;

Urges those Contracting Parties which have not recently conducted research surveys to resume such work;

Calls upon Contracting Parties to ensure the provision to NAFO of complete and accurate statistical reports regarding catches, discards and directed fishing efforts, so as to rectify the information deficiencies outlined in FC Doc. 88/05;

Calls also upon Contracting Parties to improve and extend biological sampling of stocks in the Regulatory Area by specialized personnel, either unilaterally or through bilateral cooperative program where appropriate, in order to rectify the information deficiencies outlined in FC Doc. 88/05;

Requests the Executive Secretary and Contracting Parties individually to contact non-members of NAFO, whose nationals fish in the Regulatory Area, to request them to provide NAFO with complete and accurate statistical reports and to cooperate fully with the NAFO Scientific Program; and,

Requests the Scientific Council to evaluate the progress of this program in a report at the 1989 Annual Meeting.

- (4/88) Resolution of the General Council of the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization calling on all Contracting Parties to avoid excessive or inappropriate use of the objection procedure, adopted by the General Council on 16 September 1988

The General Council,

Recalling the obligations inscribed in the Law of the Sea Convention of 1982, as regards international cooperation to provide for the conservation, and optimum utilization of the living resources of the sea;

Bearing in mind that the Convention on Future Multilateral Cooperation in the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries (NAFO) was born out of a desire to promote the conservation and optimum utilization of the living resources of the Northwest Atlantic area;

Recalling that the Convention provides that the object of the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization shall be to contribute through consultation and cooperation to the optimum utilization, rational management and conservation of the living resources of the NAFO Convention Area;

Noting that the Convention provides that the Fisheries Commission shall be responsible for the management and conservation of the fishery resources of the Regulatory Area, and that the Commission exercises this responsibility inter alia, by adopting proposals for the establishment of total allowable catch limits and the allocation to Contracting Parties of quotas in the Regulatory Area;

Noting the annual adoption by the Fisheries Commission of fisheries regulations specifically requiring that the Contracting Parties conduct their fisheries in the Regulatory Area in such a manner that catches shall not exceed the total allowable catch for each stock and the quotas for each stock set out in the annual Fisheries Commission regulations;

Considering that the objection procedure set out in Article XII of the NAFO Convention if applied on a continuing basis by any NAFO member against the regulatory fisheries measures adopted by the Commission, may lead to damage of the living marine resources of the Northwest Atlantic;

Calls on all Contracting Parties to avoid excessive or inappropriate use of the objection procedure against the regulatory measures adopted by the Fisheries Commission.

- (1/89) Resolution of the General Council of the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization calling on all Contracting Parties for compliance with the NAFO management framework in place since 1979, and compliance with NAFO decisions in order to provide for conservation and maintain the traditional spirit of cooperation and mutual understanding in the Organization, adopted by the General Council on 15 September 1989

The General Council,

Recalling the obligations inscribed in the Law of the Sea Convention of 1982 as regards international cooperation to provide for the conservation and optimum utilization of the living resources of the sea;

Recalling that the NAFO Convention provides that the object of the Organization shall be to contribute through consultation and cooperation to the optimum utilization, rational management and conservation of the fishery resources of the NAFO Convention Area;

Noting that implementation of the NAFO Convention from the outset has been based on the principles of conformity with scientific advice and relative stability in the proportionate shares of the total catches of NAFO-managed stocks, subject to changes through decisions taken by NAFO;

Noting the commitment of all NAFO Contracting Parties to the principles of conservation and rational management of living marine resources;

Calls for compliance with the NAFO management framework in place since 1979, and compliance with NAFO decisions in order to provide for conservation and maintain the traditional spirit of cooperation and mutual understanding in the Organization.

(1/90) Resolution of the General Council of the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization on Non-NAFO Fishing Activities, adopted by the General Council on 14 September 1990

Recalling Article 116 of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea stating that the right of all States for their nationals to engage in fishing on the high seas is subject to certain conditions:

Recalling Article 117 of that Convention requiring States to take or cooperate with other States in taking such measures for their respective nationals as may be necessary for the conservation of the living resources of the high seas, and Article 118 which provides that States shall cooperate with each other in the conservation and management of living resources in the areas of high seas;

Recalling Article 63.2 of that Convention providing that where the same stock or stocks of associated species occur both within the exclusive economic zone and in an area beyond and adjacent to the zone, the coastal State and the States fishing for such stocks in the adjacent area shall seek, either directly or through appropriate subregional or regional organizations, to agree upon the measures necessary for the conservation of these stocks in the adjacent area;

Recalling that according to Article 119.2 of that Convention available scientific information, catch and fishing effort statistics, and other data relevant to the conservation of fish stocks shall be contributed and exchanged on a regular basis through competent international organizations, whether subregional, regional or global, where appropriate and with participation by all States concerned;

Considering that the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization is the competent regional fisheries organization for the conservation and rational management of fish resources in the NAFO Regulatory Area;

Recalling Article XIX of the NAFO Convention in which the Contracting Parties agree to invite the attention of any State not a Party to the Convention to matters relating to fishing activities in the Regulatory Area of the nationals or vessels of that State which appear to affect adversely the attainment of the objectives of the Convention;

Recalling the resolution adopted by the Fisheries Commission of NAFO on June 7, 1979 calling on all Contracting Parties to take all practicable steps to prevent arrangements between their nationals and vessels flying the flags of States which are not members of NAFO, where such arrangements involve fishing in the Regulatory Area;

Noting that an increasing number of vessels of States not party to the Convention have been fishing in the Regulatory Area, representing a serious threat to the conservation and rational management of stocks;

Noting further that at least some of the catch of these vessels is landed or sold in States being Contracting Parties;

Declares that all members of the international community whose nationals carry out fishing activities in the NAFO Regulatory Area should ensure that such activities do not have an adverse impact on the stocks or NAFO's ability to ensure conservation; and

resolves that:

- (1) All Contracting Parties should communicate through diplomatic channels with non-Contracting Parties whose vessels fish in the NAFO Regulatory Area to request that they take all necessary measures to prevent any fishing contrary to NAFO conservation measures;
- (2) The Executive Secretary of NAFO draw to the attention of the non-Contracting Parties involved the activities of their vessels in the Regulatory Area, and the negative impact of such fishing on the conservation of fish stocks in the Regulatory Area;
- (3) The Executive Secretary and Contracting Parties individually contact non-Contracting Parties, whose vessels fish in the Regulatory Area, to request them to provide NAFO with complete and accurate statistical reports on their catches in the NAFO Regulatory Area to the end of 1990;
- (4) All Contracting Parties should take effective measures to reduce the benefits of any fishing activities undertaken by vessels from non-Contracting Parties in the NAFO Regulatory Area where such fisheries take place contrary to NAFO conservation measures, with the aim of causing them to withdraw from such activities;
- (5) In full respect of the international obligations of Contracting Parties, further measures should be developed for consideration by the General Council at its 1991 annual meeting, including the possibility of introducing a system under which all Contracting Parties would require that all fish and fish products of a species managed by NAFO, imported from non-Contracting Parties whose vessels fish in the NAFO Regulatory Area, be accompanied by a certificate indicating harvest origin outside that Area.

(1/93) Resolution of the General Council of NAFO (on the report of the Standing Committee on Fishing Activities of Non-Contracting Parties in the Regulatory Area, STACFAC), adopted by the General Council on 10 September 1994

The General Council,

- Recalling the Resolution on Non-NAFO Fishing activities adopted by the General Council at the 12th Annual Meeting of the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization, resolving i.a. that
 - in full respect of the international obligations of Contracting Parties, further measures should be developed for consideration by the General Council at its 1991 annual meeting;
- Recalling the Terms of Reference for the Standing Committee, established by the General Council, resolving i.a. that the Committee will
 - obtain and compile all available information on the fishing activities of non-Contracting Parties in the Regulatory Area, including details on the type, flag and name of vessels and reported or estimated catches by species and area;
 - obtain and compile all available information on landings, and transshipments of fish caught in the Regulatory Area by non-Contracting Parties, including details on the name and flag of the vessels; the quantities by species landed, transshipped; and the countries and ports through which the product was shipped;
 - examine and assess all such options open to NAFO Contracting Parties including measures to monitor landings of fish caught by non-Contracting Party vessels in the Regulatory Area;
- Recalling that with respect to the relevant provision of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, available catch and fishing effort statistics, and other data relevant to the conservation of fish stocks shall be contributed by flag states and exchanged on a regular basis through competent international organizations, whether subregional, regional or global, where appropriate and with participation of all States concerned;
- Considering that the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization is the competent regional fisheries organization for the conservation and rational management of fish resources in the NAFO Regulatory Area,
- Considering the need for all states exploiting these living marine resources to cooperate fully in conservation and management,
- Recalling that in conformity with Article XIX of the Convention States party to the NAFO Convention have drawn the attention of states not party to the NAFO Convention of their fishing activities undermining the effectiveness of NAFO conservation and management measures and that some of these states have withdrawn their vessels from the NAFO Regulatory Area, while vessels from other such States remain,
- Bearing in mind the statistical reports on catches of fish stocks in the NAFO Regulatory Area which are currently provided to NAFO by the Contracting Parties,
- Considering that certain states not party to the NAFO Convention have not provided statistical reports on catches by their vessels in the Regulatory Area,
- Having regard also to the adverse implications of high levels of unreported catches by non-Contracting Parties for the assessment of management advice and the critical state of many of the NAFO-regulated stocks, especially bearing in mind the substantial proportion of the total catches taken by vessels of states not party to the NAFO Convention,
- Considering the desirability of providing administrative arrangements to facilitate in an interim period the gathering of statistical information on landings by vessels flying the flag of these states and therefore Contracting Parties undertake to collect landing declarations,

- Recalling the GATT principles of non-discrimination, transparency and proportionality,

herewith, therefore, the General Council adopts, in principle, the proposed landing declaration scheme and notes the proposed means of implementation (Addendum) which will also take into account specific national legislation of the Contracting Parties to NAFO and the precise assistance required by the non-Contracting Parties.

The General Council requests that STACFAC review and monitor the implementation of this programme and if appropriate consider further means to achieve the withdrawal of all Non-Contracting Party vessels from the NAFO Regulatory Area at the earliest possible date.

The General Council decided to make further diplomatic demarches to Non-Contracting Parties urging them to withdraw their vessels from the NAFO Regulatory Area and to this end the General Council authorizes Canada, the EC, Japan and Russia to represent NAFO in a coordinated delegation, which where possible should include senior fisheries officials, to visit the capitals of the non-Contracting Parties concerned. This joint demarche should take place as soon as possible in order to effect the withdrawal of these vessels before the beginning of the 1994 fishing season.

Implementation of the Landing Declaration Scheme

1. The Landing Declaration is an interim measure pending withdrawal of non-Contracting Party vessels, designed to help non-Contracting Parties collect statistics of catches by their vessels in the NAFO Regulatory Area.
2. The Landing Declaration records catches of NAFO-regulated groundfish in the NAFO Regulatory Area and, when a non-Contracting Party vessel lands such species into a Contracting Party port, records whether and what quantity was caught in the NAFO Regulatory Area. The Landing Declarations are collected by the Contracting Party authorities on behalf of the non-Contracting Party in accordance with international obligations on the collection of statistics. In the event of non-delivery of a Landing Declaration, the non-Contracting Party is informed of such non-delivery and of the quantities of groundfish products landed by the vessel.
3. The Landing Declaration records the weight of each product landed as in the example attached. One copy is forwarded to the non-Contracting Party and one to the NAFO Secretariat. The main additional information provided by the Declaration is the area of harvest (NAFO Regulatory Area or not).
4. The non-Contracting Party is periodically informed of the results of NAFO surveillance of its vessels. If it appears that a vessel has been fishing in the Regulatory Area but has recorded its landings into a Contracting Party as not having originated in the Regulatory Area, the non-Contracting Party is informed of the apparent discrepancy with a view to investigation by the non-Contracting Party.
5. Landing Declaration forms are in principle made available to vessels by the non-Contracting Party but are freely available at Contracting Party ports.

Landing Declaration/Declaration de Debarquement (1)

1. Exporter (Name, full address, country) Exportateur (Nom, adresse complète, pays)	2. Number Numéro	000
DECLARATION IN REGARD TO Atlantic Cod (Gadus Morhua) Atlantic Redfish (sebastes spp) American Plaice (Hippoglossoides platessoides) Yellowtail Flounder (Limanda Ferruginea) Witch Flounder (Glyptocephalus cynoglossus) (2)		
3. Consignee (Name, full address, country) Destinataire (Nom, adresse complète, pays)	Issued with a view to obtaining statistical information on harvest origin (1)	
DECLARATION CONCERNANT Morue (Atlantique) (Gadus Morhua) Sébaste (Atlantique Nord) (Sebastes spp) Plie canadienne (Hippoglossoides platessoides) Limande à quene jaune (Limanda ferruginea) Plie grise (Glyptocephalus cynoglossus) (2)		
Délivrée en vue de l'obtention d'information statistique concernant l'origine de pêche (1)		
	4. Country of origin Pays d'origine	5. Country of destination Pays de destination
6. Place and date of catch/shipment/transshipment/ - name and flag of catch-/transport vessel(s) lieu et date de pêche/d'embarquement/-de transbordement/ - nom et pavillon du (des) navire(s) de pêche/de transport		
7. Marks and numbers-Number and kind of packages-DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF GOODS (3) Marques et numéros-nombre et nature des colis-DESIGNATION DETAILIEE DES MARCHANDISES (3) Total From NAFO Reg.Area	8. Quantity in tonnes <u>Quantité en tonnes</u>	

9. DECLARATION BY THE CAPTAIN

I the undersigned, declare that in accordance with the entries in the logbook the consignment described above contains Atlantic Cod (Gadus Morhua), Atlantic Redfish (Sebastes spp), American Plaice (Hippoglossoides Platessoides), Yellowtail Flounder (Limanda Ferruginea), Witch Flounder (Glyptocephalus cynoglossus) from the stocks of the North-West Atlantic Ocean fished in the Regulatory Area of the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization - NAFO. (2)

DECLARATION DU CAPITAINE

Yes No

Je soussigné déclare qu'en accord avec les inscriptions dans le livre de bord l'envoi décrit ci-dessus contient de la Morue Fraiche (Atlantique) (Gadus Morhua), Sébaste (Atlantique Nord) (sebastes spp), Plie canadienne (Hippoglossoides platessoides), Limande à quene jaune (Limanda ferruginea), Plie grise Glyptocephalus cynoglossus) provenant des stocks de l'océan de l'Atlantique Nord-Ouest et capturée dans la Zone de Réglementation de l'Organisation de Pêche de l'Atlantique du nord-Ouest - OPANO. (3)

10. CAPTAIN (Name, full address, country)
CAPITAINE (Nom, adresse complète, pays) At/A..... on le.....
(Signature)

- (1) This Landing Declaration for statistical purposes has to be presented to the competent authorities upon landing
Cette Déclaration Débarquement pour de statistique doit être présentée aux autorités compétentes lors du débarquement
- (2) Delete as appropriate
Biffer la mention inutile
- (3) - Fresh/Frozen (Harmonized System 0302-0303) Frais/Congelé (Système harmonisé 0302-0303)
- Fillets/Filets
- Meat/Chair
- Salted/Salé

- (1/94) Resolution of the General Council of NAFO (on Report by the Standing Committee on Fishing Activities of Non-Contracting Parties in the Regulatory Area, STACFAC) adopted by the General Council on 23 September 1994.

To the Parties whose vessels have been observed fishing in the NAFO Regulatory Area over the past year.

NAFO calls upon all its Contracting Parties and upon all those Non-Contracting Parties whose vessels have been observed fishing in the NAFO Regulatory Area over the past year to deposit as soon as possible their instruments of acceptance to the United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization's "Agreement to Promote Compliance with International Conservation and Management Measures by Fishing Vessels on the High Seas" and pending the entry into force of that Agreement, to apply its provisions to the area of High Seas known as the NAFO Regulatory Area with immediate effect.

- (1/95) Resolution of the Fisheries Commission of NAFO adopted on 9 June 1995

THE FISHERIES COMMISSION

Having considered the joint proposal by Canada and the European Community to NAFO for 1995 that:

- (a) The 27,000t TAC for 2+3 Greenland halibut be divided as follows:
- | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|---------------|
| - | 2+3K (Canadian 200 mile zone) | 7,000 tonnes |
| - | 3LMNO | 20,000 tonnes |
- (b) The 7,000t allocation for 2+3K (within Canadian 200 mile zone) for Greenland halibut be allocated to Canada;

Recalling Scientific Council reports which have cautioned about concentrating fishing effort on one part of the stock;

Noting that the catches of Greenland halibut in the NAFO Regulatory Area will take place entirely in 3LMNO;

Noting that Canada will limit its catch in 2+3K to 7,000t and in 3LMNO to 3,000t;

HAS AGREED to implement its decisions for 1995 with respect to 2+3 Greenland halibut by specifying that:

- (a) Sub-area 2+3 shall, as regards the management of Greenland halibut, be geographically divided as follows:
- | | |
|---|-------|
| - | 2+3K |
| - | 3LMNO |
- (b) The TAC for 3LMNO shall be 20,000t.

- (1/97) Resolution of the General Council of NAFO adopted on 19 September 1997 relating to the non-participation of Bulgaria and Romania in NAFO

The General Council

Recalling that the NAFO Convention provides that the object of the Organization shall be to contribute through consultation and co-operation to the optimum utilization, rational management and conservation of the fishery resources of the NAFO Convention Area;

Noting its concern about the long-standing non-participation of Bulgaria and Romania in NAFO, particularly the non-payment of their respective contributions;

Considering that such long-standing non-participation in NAFO and non-payment of their respective contributions disrupt the normal functioning of the Organization; and

Recalling that the Chairman of the General Council and the Executive Secretary have, on numerous occasions, written to Bulgaria and Romania expressing the Organization's concerns and asking for indications as to their intent concerning future participation in NAFO, with no response,

resolves that:

- 1) Each Contracting Party, and in particular the NAFO Convention depositary state, shall communicate through the appropriate diplomatic channels with Bulgaria and Romania;
 - (a) to convey the concerns over their non-participation in NAFO and the non-payment of their contributions to NAFO; and
 - (b) to urge them either to meet their obligations under the Convention or to exercise their rights under Article XXIV thereof, the latter in effect resulting in the suspension of the debt accumulated from the non-payment of contributions.
- 2) Each Contracting Party shall report to the General Council, at its next annual meeting, on the results of its diplomatic communications effected pursuant to paragraph 1 above.

(1/99) Resolution of the General Council of NAFO adopted on 17 September 1999 to guide the expectations of future new members with regard to fishing opportunities in the NAFO Regulatory Area

The Contracting Parties,

NOTING that in accordance with relevant principles of international law, the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization (NAFO) is the competent regional fishery management organization, and in accordance with the Convention on Future Multilateral Cooperation in the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries (hereafter, the "Convention"), it has implemented conservation and management measures for particular stocks in the Convention Area;

NOTING Article XI(4) of the Convention;

NOTING Article 11 of the UN Agreement for the Conservation and Management of Straddling Fish Stocks and Highly Migratory Fish Stocks; and

DESIRING to guide the expectations of future new members with regard to fishing opportunities in the NAFO Regulatory Area;

HAVE AGREED to the following guidance:

1. NAFO is an open organization. Non-members may join the Organization by depositing an instrument of accession in accordance with Article XXII of the Convention. In accordance with Article IV of the Convention, all Contracting Parties are members of the General Council.
2. Should any new member of NAFO obtain membership in the Fisheries Commission, in accordance with Article XIII(1) of the Convention, such new members should be aware that presently and for the foreseeable future, stocks managed by NAFO are fully allocated, and fishing opportunities for new members are likely to be limited, for instance, to new fisheries (stocks not currently allocated by TAC/quota or effort control), and the "Others" category under the NAFO Quota Allocation Table.

(2/99) Resolution of the Fisheries Commission of NAFO adopted on 17 September 1999 to guide implementation of the Precautionary Approach within NAFO

The Fisheries Commission,

NOTING that considerable work and progress have occurred toward implementation of the precautionary approach within the NAFO context;

NOTING Article 6 and Annex II of the Agreement for the Implementation of the Provisions of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea Relating to the Conservation and Management of Straddling Fish Stocks and Highly Migratory Fish Stocks;

NOTING the provisions of Article 7.5 of the FAO Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries;

NOTING the Roles and Responsibilities of Scientists and Managers outlined in Annex 3 to the Report of the Working Group on Precautionary Approach (NAFO/FC Doc. 98/2);

DESIRING to further harmonize terminology and application of the precautionary approach within relevant fisheries organizations;

FURTHER DESIRING to be precautionary in its management of stocks within the NAFO Regulatory Area;

RESOLVES to apply a precautionary approach widely for stocks under NAFO purview and to achieve this goal agree:

1. To determine precautionary reference points for stocks where sufficient information exists.
2. For all other stocks, to determine provisional precautionary reference point, whenever possible, and a precautionary approach otherwise.
3. To provide mechanisms to fill in data gaps.
4. To implement precautionary management strategies (harvest control rules), consistent with 1. and 2. above.
5. To consider additional supportive management measures to complement the application of the precautionary approach.
6. To define and adopt precautionary strategies for the re-opening of fisheries and for new and developing fisheries.
7. To harmonize terminology and concepts for the application of the precautionary approach within relevant fisheries organizations.