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On the $12 t h$ cruise of FIV WALTHEl $H E R W I G$ (Nov./Dec. 1965) rather extensive trawl mesh selection experiments were carried out on the southern slope of Store Hellefiske Bank (northern edge of Holsteinaborg Deep, ICNAF Div. 1 B).

It was not possible to prepare a final report on these trials in due time. Since, however, the Greenland Cod Working Group has intimated that selection factors for Store Hellefiske Bank cod are urgently needed, no effort has been apared to complete at least this provisional paper.

Four codends of about the same wet knot breaking strength ( $115-124 \mathrm{~kg}$ ) and mesh size ( $114-125 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) were used. They were made from polyamide continuous, polyethylene monofilament, polypropylene continuous and polypropylene monofilament.

During all of the trials 34 successful hauls were made; 23,710 cod were caught in the codend and 9,466 cod in the cover. The total leagth of each fish was measured to the nearest centimeter. The relative length composition of the total catch is shown in Fig. 1.

The catches, ranging from about 0.7 to 6.4 metric tons per $65-75$ minutes fishing time, were rather heterogeneously composed. Cod were only predominant in the catches made with the polyamide and polyethylene codends. The catches of the two polypropylene codends, however, contained on an average more by-catch (wolffishes, holothurians, American plaice, skates and Greenland halibut) than cod.

The selection curves shown in Fig. 2 for each codend, are based on smoothed percentages of retained fish (three-point moving averages). The curves are fitted by eye.

The selection factors obtained from combined hauls are as follows (compare the attached compilation of selection data):
3.51 for polyamide continuous ( 8 hauls)
3.38 for polyethylene monofilament ( 10 hauls)
3.28 for polypropylene continuous ( 8 hauls)
3.22 for polypropylene monofilament ( 8 hauls).

Previous German trials carried out during August 1957 in ICNAF Divisions 1 D, 1 E and 1 F (Southwest Greenland) have given markedly higher selection factors, namely 3.7 for mauila, 3.9 and 4.0 for two polyamide codends and 3.9 for polyester (v.Brandt, 1957; ICES, 1964). It must, however, be taken into account that the 1957 trials have been conducted by Filv ANTON DOILN , an $850 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{p}$. side trawler with an average towing speed of 4 knots, whereas the 1965 trials were couducted by a large 2000 h.p. stern trawler with a towing speed of about 4.5 lmots. Moreover, in 1957, the catches have been smaller and the cod caught thinner than in 1965. The relationship between maximun body girth (G) and total length (L), obtained from 984 measureuents in 1957, has been described by the regression equation $G=0.42 L+2.46 \mathrm{~cm}$ (Messtorff, 1958), whereas the regression $G=0.56 \mathrm{~L}-2.49 \mathrm{~cm}$ was obtained from 1490 measurements in 1965 (Fig. 3 ). These equations imply that, in 1957 , cod of the $50 \%$ retention leugths ( $47-53 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) have been thinuer than cod of the same lengths in 1965 by $8+10 \%$ 。

Norwegian trials carried out during April 1964 in ICNAF Divisions 1 C and 1 D gave the following selection factors: 3.4 for manila, 3.3 for polypropylene and 3.2 for polyothylene (Bratberg, 1965). The value for polypropylene tallies with the recent German findings, while the value for polyethylene is somewhat smaller.

The four selection factors obtained from the WALTMER HEMWIG trials didn't differ very much from each other. In comparison to the selection factor determined for the polyamide codend, the corresponding factors for the polypropylene continuous and polypropylene monofilament codends were found to be lower by $6.6 \%$ and $8.3 \%$, reapectively. These differences are in line with previous results showing the selectivity of polypropylene similar to that of manila.

In this connection it is noteworthy that, contrary to expeotation, no significant difference was found between the selectivity of the both types of polypropylene codends used. The polypropylene monofilament codend made from relatively stiff twine should have, at least theoreta. cally, yielded a markedly lower selection factor than the polypropylene"
continuous codend made from relatively flexible twine.

Finally, it has to be mentioned that the selection factor for the polyethylene codend was found to be ouly $3.7 \%$ lower than that for the polyamide codend. This result which shows polyethylene to have selective properties similar to polyamide rather than to manila/polypropylene, is in contrast to the above-mentioned Norwegian results (Bratberg, 1965) on the one hand, and in conformity with Canadian results obtained during 0ctober 1960 in ICNAF Div. 4 T (ICNAF Sec., 1962; Parrish, 1963) on the other hand.

Thus, further information on the selectivity of polyethylene codends is urgently needed.
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Compilation of selection data for grouped hauls

Compilation of selection data for grouped hauls (continued)

|  | Polyamide | Polyethylene | Polypr | lene |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | continuous | monofilament | continuous | mon |
| 25-75\% selection range (cm) | 11.4 | 9.3 | 10.3 | 8.2 |
| codend | 1395 | 2044 | 1274 | 850 |
| No, of cod in sel. range cover | 1651 | 1867 | 1218 | 850 |
| Total no. of cod codend | 4967 | 10229 | 4909 | 3605 |
| Total no. of cod <br> cover (baskets ${ }^{1}$ ) | $16 \mathrm{l} / 2{ }^{2765}(\underline{1} 130 \mathrm{~kg}$ ) | $\left.243 / 4{ }^{3023}=1695 \mathrm{~kg}\right)$ | $\left.163 / 4{ }^{2015}=1147 \mathrm{~kg}\right)$ | $11)\left(\begin{array}{c}1663 \\ = \\ \end{array}\right.$ |
|  | $\left.\begin{array}{rrrr}16 & 1 / 2 & (=1130 & \mathrm{kg}\end{array}\right)$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 11 / 2( =754 \mathrm{~kg}) \\ &=103 \mathrm{~kg}\end{aligned}$ |
|  | $71 / 2$ | $63 / 4$ | $141 / 4$ | $51 / 4$ |
|  | + |  |  |  |
|  | $61 / 4$ | $63 / 4$ | $71 / 2$ | $123 / 4$ |
|  | 3 | ${ }^{2} 11 / 4$ | ${ }^{1} 31 / 4$ | 5 $3 / 4 / 4-471 / 2$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 1 / 4-73 & 3 / 4 \\ 1 & 1 / 4-14 & 1 / 4\end{array}$ | $161 / 2-88$ $21 / 2-73 / 4$ | $16-67-51 / 2$ | 16 $\begin{aligned} & 1 / 4-471 / 2 \\ & 1 / 2-15\end{aligned}$ |
| $50 \%$ retention length (man) | 440 | 387 | 399 | 391 |
| Selection factor | 3.51 | 3.38 | 3.28 | 3.22 |

[^0]


Fig. 2: Cod selection curves for combined hauls


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) Large plastic baskets were used. The average net weight of one basket filled with cod was 68.5 kg .
    $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ and 1 upus.
    ${ }^{3}$ ) Holothurians, Hipnoglossoides platessoides, Raja spp. and Reinhardtius hippoglossoides.

