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Haddock age and length data presented to ICNAF by the United States in 1966, as in previous years, are based on sampies obtained from U. S. Commercial landings. The same procedure:s have been used since the 1940 's. They were develnped out of a need for unbiased information with which to syamine the: propulation structure and provide an estimate of the effects of fishing. The resulting data, in part, supplied the necessary information to implement the haddock regulation and allow a basis for evaluating the status of the fishery.

Landings are normally divided into two size categories (scrod and large) and each are sampledseparately. Scrod haddock range from about .68 to 1.1 kilograms while large haddock range upward from 1.1 lilograms. A sandom selection of 100 fish from each size group are measured and the sample weighed. From these samples, stratified subsamples of 15 scrod and 20 large are used to obtain scales. Scales are taken from below the lateral line and behind the second anal fin. Validation of scale reading techniques are given by Kohler and Clank (1958), Clark (1958), and Jensen and Clark (1958).

The desired number of samples us set up in the sampling scheme is five per month from each market category and sampling area. Theoretically, this would mean that 50 samples from Subarea'jare expected each month. This is not always the caso, however. Some sampling areas are fished so seldom that it is next
to impossible to obtain a sample. Seasonal variations in fishing intensity as well as weather conditions sometimes have an adverse effect on the sampling program.

Two hundred twenty-seven age samples and 233 length samples were collected from Subarea 5 landings in 1966 (Tables 1, 2). Of the 22,032 fish measured in $1966,3,862$ were aged. Approximately 95 per cent of the samples came from sampling areas 52 and 53 in 1966. Ninety-three per cent of Subarea 5 landings also came from the same sampling areas.

The procedure used to estimate the length composition of the catch involved the use of length samples and length-weight tables (Clark and Dietsch, 1959). These tables are used to determine the average weight of fish in the samples by sampling area and month. Averages are then divided into the corresponding landed weight of large and scrod by area and month giving total estimated numbers landed. The percentage length composition of samples are then used to obtain length composition of landings by month and area.

Age composition of the catch is derived in a similar manner except large and scrod are combined. The estimated number landed is prorated by percentage age composition of the samples by month, and area. Sampling area calculations are then summarized to give length and age compositions of the catch by division.

Later, age compositions are used in combination with catch per day to give catch per day at age. This is done each quarte $i$ to allow a current look at the fishery within a year. Thus, for example, the 1966 age composition data show the 1963 year class as the dominant year class, and it is consistently so throughout the four quarters (Fig. 1). No evidence contrary to this was exhibited in sample data. Two year olds are decidedly less abundant than average and are not expected to contribute significantly to landings in 1967. Four year olds remained at about the same level of abundance while older fish represented but a small part of the catch.

Table 1. -- Haddock U. S. Age Sample Summary for 1966.

|  | Sampling Areas |  |  |  |  |  | divisio | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Sampling Areas |  |  |  |
|  | 51 | 52 | 53 | Total | 54 | 55 | Total |  |
| Quarter 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. Samples | 0 | 26 | 23 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| No. Readings | 0 | 404 | 369 | 773 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 773 |
| Quarter 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. Samples | 0 | 27 | 29 | 56 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 57 |
| No. Readings | 0 | 448 | 510 | 958 | 0 | 35 | 35 | 893 |
| Quarter 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. Samples | 0 | 28 | 33 | 61 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 65 |
| No. Readings | 0 | 524 | 567 | 1091 | 0 | 84 | 84 | 1175 |
| Quarter 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. Samples | 0 | 31 | 25 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 |
| No. Readings | 0 | 503 | 418 | 921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 921 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. Samples | 0 | 112 | 110 | 222 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 227 |
| No. Readings | 0 | 1879 | 1864 | 3743 | 0 | 119 | 119 | 3862 |

Table 2. - Haddock, U.S. Length Sample Summary for 1966.

|  | Subdivision 5Z Sampling Areas |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\mathrm{Su}}{\mathrm{mpling}}$ | Areas | $5 Y$ <br> Grand |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 51 | 52 | 53 | Total | 54 | 55 | Total | Total |
| Quarter 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. Samples | 0 | 26 | 23 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| No. Fish | 0 | 2483 | 2237 | 4720 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4720 |
| Quarter 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. Samples | 0 | 27 | 31 | 58 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 60 |
| No. Fish | 0 | 2698 | 3067 | 5765 | 0 | 150 | 150 | 5915 |
| Quarter 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. Samples | 0 | 31 | 33 | 64 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 68 |
| No. Fish | 0 | 2726 | 3230 | 5956 | 0 | 300 | 300 | 6256 |
| Quarter 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. Samples | 0 | 31 | 25 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 |
| No. Fish | 0 | 2666 | 2475 | 5141 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5141 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. Samples | 0 | 115 | 112 | 227 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 233 |
| No. Fish | 0 | 10573 | 11009 | 21582 | 0 | 450 | 450 | 22032 |

Figure 1.
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