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In ICES/ICNAF Salmon Document 68/1 details were given of the length, weight and age composition of the commercial catches taken on the River North Esk from 1962-1966. This report presents a first and preliminary attempt to provide information on these oharacteristios for the stocks of two other important Scottish selmon rivers, the Tay and the Tweed, based on samples of scales collected during 1968 from the net and coble catches made during the comercial netting season, which extends from 5th February to 20th August on the River Tay and from 15th February to 14 th September on the River Tweed.

The commercial catches of these two rivers were each sampled on four occasions and details of sampling dates and numbers sampled are given in Table 1. Although, in each case, the numbers saapled during the first visit are small, in fact, they represent a greater proportion of the month's catch than do the numbers sampled towards the ond of the season because of the relatively much larger number of fish entering the rivers then.

Sampling was restricted by the amount of effort which could be devoted to it and the numbers of fish examined were relatively small in relation to total catches, representing only about $1 \%$ of the latter in each case. On days when the complete catoh could not be sampled care was taken to ensure that a random sample of the avallable fish was exanined but the overall value of the samples, as being truly representative of the total catch, is naturally limited by the small number of occasions on which samples were taken. Despite this limitation it is felt that the data are capable of providing a reasonable first approximation to the actual composition of the relevant stocks and it is hoped to improve on its acouracy this year by increasing the freguency of sampling.

Tables 2 and 3 show the percentage age composition in the samples. While these, in general, probably give a reasonable indication of the age composition for the months sampled, as they stand they are of little value in giving a true picture of the overall age composition and an attempt has therefore been made to derive the latter by weighting the peroentages in the samples in relation to the monthly catch figures for the appropriate fishery.

For the River Tay, the March samples was taken as being representative of the age composition during February and March and the percentage age composition for Maroh was used to calculate the numbers in each age class in the combined catch for these two months. The April sample datu was used similarly with the catch for April and May. The July sample, which was taken early in the month, was considered to be more representative of the age composition for June and was therefore uaed to oalculate the numbers in each ago olass in June while the August sample mas used to provide the corresponding values for July and August. The numbers in each age class in each period were then totalied and expressed as peroentages of the total oatch (Table 4).

The River Tweed samples were similarly traated using the March age composition With the combined oatch for February and March; the April age composition with the catoh for April and liay; the June flgures with the catch for that month and the August valuee with the combined catohes for July, August and September. The results are eiven in Trable 5.

For both rivars, these weighted valuea suggest a rather higher proportion of grilse, about $63 ; 0$, than do the oatch returns based on the fishernen's classification into grilse and salmon in whioh grilse socounted for $47.5 \%$ and $50.8 \%$ of the total catch for the Rivers 'ray and Tweed, respectively. Although
this alfference may be in pert a reflection of the limitations of the sampling programme, it could also be partly due to the fact that, beoause weight is the most important criterion used by the fishermen, some of the larger grilse woula be included as salmon. For example, in the August samples, over $13 ; i \%$ of the grilse from the River Tay and $10 \%$ from the River Tweed were over 8 lbs. ( 3.6 kg .) in woight and would therefore have been classed as salmon by the fishermon.

The average length and average weight of the fish in each age class were also oaloulated and details of these, for the total samples, are given in Tables 6-9.

Tabla 1
Sampling Detains

| River Tay |  |  | River Treed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dates |  | No. in Sasple | Dates | No. in Sample |
| 21, 22 | Maroh | 34 | 19, 20 March | 48 |
| 17, 18, 19 | April | 197 | 24, 25 April | 94 |
| 2, 4, | July | 104 | 26, 27 June | 130 |
| 6 | August | 100 | 8 August | 133 |
|  | Totels | 435 |  | 405 |

Table 2.
River Tay - Percentage Age Composition in Semples

| Age Class | March | April | July | Aurust |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1.1+$ | - | - | - | 1.0 |
| $2.1+$ | - | - | 10.6 | 58.0 |
| $3.1+$ | - | - | 11.5 | 15.0 |
| 2.2 | 50.0 | 54.8 | 1.9 | - |
| 3.2 | 23.5 | 21.3 | 1.0 | - |
| 1.2+ | - | - | 59.6 | 2.0 |
| 2.2+ | - | 4.1 | 59.6 | 20.0 |
| 3.2+ | 2.9 | 2.5 | 9.6 | 3.0 |
| 2.3 | 11.8 | 7.1 | 1.0 | - |
| 3.3 | 11.8 | 4.6 | - | - |
| $2.3+$ | - | 0.5 | - | 1.0 |
| $3.3+$ | - | 0.5 | - | - |
| Previous spawners | - | 4.6 | 4.8 | - |
| No. in sample | 34 | 197 | 104 | 100 |
| Table 2 His | Hiver Twoed - L rcentage Age Composition in Samples |  |  |  |
| Ace Closs | March | April | June | August |
| 1.14 | - | - | 1.5 | 3.0 |
| $\therefore 11$ | - | - | 13.9 | 55.6 |
| int | - | - | 3.4 | 16.5 |
| 4.1. | - | - | - | 0.8 |
| \%, | 58.3 | 69.1 | $\bigcirc$ | - |
| $\bigcirc 2$ | 37.5 | 19.1 | 0.8 | - |
| 4.2 | - | 1.1 | - | - |
| 1.2+ | - | - | 1.5 | - |
| $2.2+$ | - | 6.4 | 70.0 | 28.1 |
| $3.2+$ | - | 1.1 | 6.9 | 3.0 |
| : .9 | 4.2 | 2.1 | - | - |
| Frev: ${ }^{\text {ase }}$ shawners | - | 1.1 | 1.5 | - |
| :'n, 1. simple | 48 | 94 | 130 | 133 |


| Table 4 | River T'ay - Estimated Feroentage ing Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Smolt |  |  | Sea |  |  | Previous |  |
| A89 | $1+$ | 2 | $2+$ | 3 | $3+$ | Spawners | Oversil |
| 1 | 0.8 | - | 1.7 | - | - | - | 2.5 |
| 2 | 49.0 | 5.2 | 21.1 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 76.9 |
| 3 | 13.3 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 20.6 |
| Overall | 63.1 | 7.4 | 26.2 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 0.6 |  |

Table 5
Hiver Tweed - Estimates Percentage Age Composition

| $\frac{\text { Smolt }}{\text { Age }}$ | $1+$ | 2 | Sea Age |  | $3+$ | $\frac{\text { Previous }}{\text { Spawners }}$ | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2+ | 3 |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2.5 | - | 0.1 | - | - | - | 2.6 |
| 2 | 46.1 | 8.1 | 21.9 | 0.4 | - | 0.1 | 76.6 |
| 3 | 13.7 | 3.4 | 2.9 | - | - | 0.1 | 20.1 |
| 4 | 0.6 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.7 |
| Overall | 62.9 | 11.6 | 24.9 | 0.4 | - | 0.2 |  |

Table 6
River May - Average Fork Length (cme)


| Table 7 | River Tay - Average thole, hound eicht (kf.) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Smolt |  |  | Sea Age |  |  | Frevious |
| Age | $1+$ | 2 | $2+$ | 3 | $3+$ | Spawners |
| 1 | $3.4(1)^{\text {a }}$ | - | 8.8 (2) | - | - | - |
| 2 | 3.1 (69) | 3.7 (127) | 5.7 (90) | 8.6 (19) | 10.0 (2) | 7.6 (11) |
| 3 | 2.5 (27) | 4.0 ( 51) | 5.4 (19) | 7.9 (13) | 8.5 (1) | 6.3 ( 3) |
| Overall | ?.O(97) | 3.8 (178) | 5.7 (111) | 8.3 (32) | 9.5 (3) | 7.3 (14) |

[^0]
[^0]:    a i.ures $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{n}}$ brackets denote the number of insh involved.

