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#### Abstract

In the period from 1965 to 1968 , substantial changes took place in the structure and abundance of red hake stocks such as an increase in the number of older fish, a decrease in growth rate and a decline in abundance. This paper analyses these changes and assesses the stock and fishing intensity in different years. A conclusion is reached about the main role of recruitment in the formation of red hake abundance and about the absence of overfishing. The optimum size of the annual catch can be determined depending on the stock size, which may be assessed by trawl surveys.


## MATERIAL AND METHODS

A systematic study of red hake, Urophycis chuss W., was started by AtlantNIRO in 1965. The first stage of the work consisted of a study of the distribution and identification of local stocks. Differences between red hake from the various areas were established by the biometric method and by the comparative analysis of length, length in relation to width, and weight of otoliths from specimens with equal body length. The technique of analysis and the results are described in a previous report submitted to the 1968 Annual Meeting of ICNAF (Richter, 1968). These investigations showed that there are at least two stocks in the Northwest Atlantic; the first stock inhabits the southern and southwestern parts of Georges Bank, the second stock extends southwesterly from Cape Cod (Fig. 1).

Since 1966, the analysis of data has been made with regard to this stock division whenever possible. Both stocks appeared to be most similar in the
type of dynamics of population abundance and were fished by similar vessels and gear which allows the biomass of the stocks to be considered a "unit stock" (Gu1land, 1965).

Basic work consisted of collecting samples for age length from 1965 to
1968 (Table 1).
Table 1. Numbers of age estimations and length measurements from red hake, 1965-1968.

| Years |  | Stock I |  |  | Stuck 11 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Age estimations(no) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age estimations } \begin{array}{l} \text { Iength neeasure- } \\ \text { (no). } \end{array} . \begin{array}{l} \text { ments (no) } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 1965 | $!$ | 501 |  | 1733 | $!$ | 85 |  | 1743 |
| 1966 |  | 289 | , | 1623 |  | 298 |  | 1325 |
| 196\%'? |  | - |  | - |  | 978 |  | 3000 |
| 1958 | ! | 868 | ! | 2894 | ! | 771 |  | 3210 |
| Total | $!$ | 1658 | $!$ | 6250 | $!$ | 2132 |  | 9278 |

Ages were determined from otoliths. Annual zones were most apparent on a section which was first polished and burned. The surface of a section was cleaned by a drop of enlightening liquid. The results of the age determinations were used to produce length-age keys for the conversion of length composition into age composition.

This procedure was carried out each year and thus provided more reliable data on the age structure of red hake stocks.

The rate of loss (instantaneous mortality coefficient) was estimated by "integral" methods as well as by difference of natural logarithms of abundance (Beverton and Holt, 1956). The estimates obtained for March and October-December 1965 were used to trace changes of total mortality $(\bar{Z})$ at the beginning and at the end of the first year of intensive fishing, and, thus, to obtain separate coefficients of fishing ( $F$ ) and natural ( $M$ ) mortality. Later, when the calculation of approximate fishing effort (f) for each year of fishing was made, the method of direct relationship between $\bar{Z}$ and $f$ to estimate $M$ (Beverton and Holt, 1954) was used.

Separate estimates of $F$ and $M$ and the commercial statistics for 1965 gave an estimate of the absolute size of the commercial stock of red hake at the beginning of the year. Early in 1968 and 1969 , stock size was calculated on the basis of the data collected during joint USSR-USA groundfish trawl surveys. Methods of conducting these surveys are described in detail by Grosslein (1968).

Absolute stock size was calculated with the aid of catchability factors suggested by Edwards (1968).

ICNAF Statistical Bulletins were the main source of information on red hake catches by years, seasons and vessel types. The collection of data on fishing effort and catch per effort was difficult because a considerable portion of the catches consisted of species other: than red hake. Data on the percentage of catches by species overcame this diff:cuity. Moreover, the distribution of commercial concentrations of red hake in the winter-spring period, particularly in the years characterized by high abundance (1965-1966), was in good agreement with the habitat of the two stocks. This act permitted the estimation of catch per 1 hour haul and the fishing effort for each stock separately. The calculations were made on the basis of commercial zatches taken by BMRT- and RTM-type vessels from January to April inclusive. Fron 1965 to 1968 , the bulk of red hake catches was taken by large trawlers in the months mentioned.

## AGE-LENGTH COMPOSITION AND GROWTH RATE

Table 2 shows the most important characteristics of length distribu-
tion in the two stocks of red hake.
Table 2. Some characteristics of length distribution in the two stocks of red hake.


From the above data, it is difficult to find any trend in the changes of length composition. This is due partly to the different number of specimens measured. Length composition in the first stock indicates some increase in mean length in 1968 as compared to previous years. The differences between average lengths of fish in the second stock can be explained by the varying number of young fish (length $<27 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) in catches. The maximum length of red hake did not change significantly from 1965 to 1968. According to data collected in MarchFebruary 1968, the mean length of red hake in the first stock appeared to be larger than that in the second one. dowever, this can be explained by the different quantity of young fish in these stock 3 ( 2.5 and $12.3 \%$ respectively). The results of the joint USSR-USA groundfish trawi surveys (Table 3) offer the most rellable Information on possible changes in the length composition of red hake in 1968 as compared to 1967.

Table 3. Size composition (\%) of red hake from the second stock (Data from joint USSR-USA groundfish trawl surveys conducted in autumn 1967-1968).


These data show a slight decrease in mean length in auturm 1968 compared to the same season of the previous year.

The catches from the experimental trawls with small meshes in contrast to the samples from the commercial catches are characterized by a larger number of young fish. Groundfish trawl surveys give a true idea of linear frequency distribution of red hake in the area under survey.

Comparative analysis of age composition of red hake from comercial catches shows that both stacks increased in average age from 1965 to 1968 (Fig.2). The proportion of 2 -year-old specimens decreased. The modal group appeared to be shifted by a year to the right. It is difficult to believe that age structure is influenced by the fishery in such a way. The increase of older fish during this period along with the decrease of catches in 1967-1968 can only be explained bn the basis of weak year-classes entering the commercial fishery.

Considerable change occurred in age composition from 1965 to 1968. There was an increase of older fish $\varepsilon$ long with the lack of a noticeable size increment due to a slower rate of grawth in both stocks (Fig. 3).


#### Abstract

It is known that in most cases the reverse relationship is observed between stock size and growth race. In chis case, however, the relationship appeared to be direct with a constant size composition in spite of an increase in the proportion of older Eish. Sinilar cases are described by G.V.Nikolsky (1965) who has noted that "simultaneous reduction of population abundance and delay of growth rate can take place in climatic conditions becoming unfavourable for the population". The Northwesi Aclantic is characterized by cooling over almost the complete habitat for red hake. The lowest water temperature was observed in 1964-1966 (Sigaev, 1969). Thus, the climatic conditions caused the slower growth rate and appeafed to je che zeason for weak year-classes.


STATE OF FISHERY
From 1965 to 1968, the bulk of catches was taken by Soviet fiskermen in the winter-spring months (January-April), with the distribution of commercial concentrations overlapping the habitsts of the two stocks. This made it possible to calculate the approximate catch from each of the two stocks of red hake by proportional dividing total annual catches by the catches taken by vessels of BMRT- and RTM-type in winter-spring period (Table 4).

Table 4. Approximate estimate of total catches for each of the two stocks of red hake (in thousand tons) from 1965 to 1968.

| Years! | Stock I | Stock II | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1965 ! | 54.8 | 29.6 | 84.4 |
| $!$ |  |  |  |
| 1955! | 39.9 | 74.5 | 114.4 |
| 1967 ! |  |  |  |
| $1967!$ | 27.3 | 31.0 | 58.3 |
| 1968 ! |  |  |  |
| 1968 | 2.8 | 17.9 | 20.7 |
| $!$ |  |  |  |
| Total!! | 124.8 | 153.0 | 277.8 |
| $!$ |  |  |  |

The larger total catch from the second stock suggests that this stock is somewhat larger than the firat scock.

The data on fishing affort and catch per unit effort are presented in Table 5.

Table 5. Fishing effort and catch per unit effort according to fishery statistics collected annually by large trawlers from January to April inclusive.

| Years | Stock. I |  |  | Stock II |  |  |  | I'otal catch per naul inour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jumber of fishing days |  | f Catch ¢per haul \$hour i (tons) | Number of! fishing days | Number of hauling hours | $!\begin{aligned} & \text { C } \\ & \text { h } \\ & ! \\ & !\end{aligned}$ | latch per |  |  |
| 965. |  | $!$ | 1 | ! | 1 6480 | ! |  |  |  |
| 1965! | 1630 | 121817 | 41.32 | 468 | 6480 | $!$ | 2.44 |  | 1.6 |
| 1966! | 794 | ! 12172 | 12.39 | 2124 | 32133 | $!$ | 1.69 |  | 1.89 |
| 1967! | 612 | - 9480 | $!0.96$ | 706 | 11040 | $!$ | 0.96 |  | 0.96 |
| 1958! | 95 | ! 1371 | $\begin{array}{lll} 1 & 1 & - \\ 1 & 0.62 & ! \end{array}$ | 1686 ! | - 9690 | $!$ | 0.56 | 1 | 0.56 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cotal! | 3131 | ! 44840 | $!$ | 3984 | 59343 | $!$ |  |  |  |

Table 5 shows that fishing effort varied annually as the catches. If in 1965 when taking catches from the irst stock, more considerable fishing effort was used later on, the centre of fishing appeared to be shifted on the second stock, that is in the direction of west. The most remarkable difference in fishing effort was noted in 1968. In the first stock, the catch per unit effort reached its maximum in 1966, probably due to the reduction of fishing effort. In the second stock, the maximum catch per unit effort was reached in 1965, while the decrease of catch in 1966 was due to the sharp rise in fishing effort. On the whole, despite the total increase of fishing effort in 1966, summary catch per unit effort was somewhat larger as a result of an increase in abundance of red hake as compared with 1965. In 1967-1968, however, the reduction of effort on both stocks was followed by an abrapt drop in catch per unit effort which can be attributed only to a decrease in the size of the commercial stock.

## ESTIMATES OF ABUNDANCE OF THE 1963-1967 YEAR-CLASSES

Considering age composition of red hake in various years we could not but touch this problem. However, we succeeded in obtaining more reliable information on the relative abundance of year-classes from data relating to catch per unit effort in pieces, and conversior of these catches into age composition (Table 6).
Table 6. Relative abundance of 2 -year-old red hake in the two stocks in the years from 1965 to 1968.

| Stocks | $!$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

It is obvious from the data that the abundance of 2-year-old specimens was highest in 1965 and 1966. In the following two years the abundance of 2-year-olds decreased sharply. This deinonstrates the high abundance of the 1963and 1964 year-classes and the low abundance of the 1965 year-class and, in particular, the 1966 year-class. This year-class variation agrees with the results of investigations of water temperatures in che area under survey (Sigaev, 1958).

Evidence of the abundance $c \hat{i}$ the $196 \hat{6}$ and 1967 year-classes is also provided by the results of jotat surveys. Tabie 7 gives the data on the catches of 2-year-old fish in the autumn of 1967 and 1968.

Table 7. Average catch (in numbers) of 2-yaar-old fish per 30 min. haul. (Data from Albatross IV surveys curing 1967 and 1968).

| Stocks | 1 | 1967 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | $!$ | - | 1968 |
| II | $\vdots$ | 3.3 | 0.5 |

## MORTALITY RATE

The estimates of total mortality rate were made by calculating the difference of the natural logarithms of the abundance of adjacent age-groups, and are also shown as relative percert reductions in Table 8.

Table 8. Estimates of total mortality rate of red hake from two stocks during the period from 1965 to 1968 .


Total mortaility rate wes miximus in 1965 . In the first stock the rate decreased to a minimum in 1968. The:vaiue or the fishing effort given in Table 5 shows that fishing intensity on the :irst etock was very low that year, and $\overline{\mathrm{Z}}=$ $0.65 \mathrm{was}_{2}$ in fact, the natural wortaidty rate. The estimate of $M$ obtained graphically (Fig. 4) gave a similar igure (0.62). Total mortality race in the second stock was relativeìy stable fiom 2965.

An attempt was made to ob:ain separcte values of $F$ and $M$ from data on length composition of the stock early and late in 1965. At the beginning and at
the end of the year $\overline{\mathrm{Z}}$ wea 0.9 and 4.3 , respectively. The value of 0.9 for $M$ was adopted because in previous years red hake fishing was conducted by uS fishermen exclusively and at a low intensity, as ta now evident. Then the difference between the initial and final values of $\bar{Z}$ gave an $F$ value of 0.4 .

One of the reasons for the ifferences in estimates of natural mortality rate ( 0.9 and 0.62 ), according to the 1965 and 1968 data, is probably later maturing which can result from slower grow ch. If this is true, the appearance of numerous and early maturing year-clasa is to increase natural mortality rate. Change of natural mortality rate must result in variation of optimum level of fishing intensity.

ESTIMATE OF ABGOLUTE STOCK AND FISHING INTENSITY
The total amount of red hake of the two stocks combined was calculated for early 1965 from data on total catch in this year and from the corresponding value of the fishing mortality factor in percent (approximately 33\%)* as approximately 250 thousand tons. The assessment of stock size (mean value for 1963-1965) obtained using catchability factors (Edwards, 1968) gave the same amount. Results obtained using the two different methods agree closely and suggest that estimated assessment of stock size approximates the actual stock size.

The results of the joint USSR-USA groundfish trawl surveys and catchability factors given in the above-mentioned paper (Edwards, 1968) were used to assess the stock of red hake late in 1967-68, that is the stock which was exploited in 19681969 and, therefore, can be attributed to the beginning of these years. The second survey in contrast to the first covered the whole habitat of red hake and this allowed to obtain stock assessmeiut for each stock separately early in 1969 (Table 9).

Table 9. Assessment of red hake stocke (iai thousand tons) from the results of joint USSR-USA surveys, 1967-1968.

| Years | 1967/1968 |  | 1968/1969 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stock | I | II | I | II |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Stock } \\ \text { size } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | 35.6 | 16.9 | 56.5 |

The increase in scock size late in 1968 compared to the same period in the previous year probably occurred $3 s$ a result of the appearance of the relatively strong 1967 year-class. Although the amount of the first stock was unknown late

[^0]in 1967, it can be suggested by analozy with the second stock that the former was approximately 8-9, thousand tons. Thus, the total amount of both stocks late in 1967 and 1968 was about 44 and 73 thousand tons, respectively. As for abundance In 1966-1967, the increase of total cicch per unit effort in 1966 as well as the intensification of fishing effort (ses Table 5) indicate higher abundance than in 1965. In 1966 the stock was assessed on the basis of assumed proportionality of total catch per unit effort and of stöck size in 1965-1966.

The decline in catch per unit effort in 1967 was followed by a decrease in fishing effort. Had the level of fishing effort remained the same, there would have been, without doubt, a much sharper decrease in catch per effort. The final estimate of 1967 stock size was obtained on the basis of assumed proportionality of stock and catch per effort in 1965 and 1967 and subsequent reduction of the estimate received parallel with fishing effort decrease.

The results of stock assessinent compared with actual catches give a pleture of fishing intensity in the period from 1965 to 1968 (Table 10).

Table 10. Stocks size and catches (in thousand cons) and fishing intensity from 1965 to 1968.

| cears | 1905 | 1966 | 1967 | 1958 | 1969 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -tock size | 250 | 295 | 105 | 44 | '13 |
| Ontches | 84.4 | 114.4 | 58.3 | 20.7 | - |
| Fishing intensity (\%) | 33 | 39 | 56 | 46 | - |

Stock assessmenta and estiriates or fishing intensity are rather approsimate, of course, but they wef! Ect che general trend in the changes in abundance and the influance of the f: shery. The intensity of axploitation of each of the two stocks was defined b! the 1960 data (Table 11).

Table 11. Fishing intensity cetemiled for ach of the two stocks of red hake In 1968.

| Stock | I | II |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¿tock size (00n's tons) | 8-9 | 35.6 |
| Catches (n00's tons) | 2.8 | 17.9 |
| Gishing intensity (\%) | 31-35 | 50 |

Naturally, a great difference in the fishing effort resulted in considerable variation in the intensity of e:rploitation of the two stocks in 1968. The question is to what extent the given values of fishing intensity are close to optimum ones. Because o? lack of data we can cite Baranov (1918) who considered fishing intensity to $b_{z}$ in agreement with nacural mortality rate, according to our data the latter fluctuating within the range from 0.9 to 0.62 (59-46\%).

The approximate value of opitmum fishing intensity obtained by modelling stocks and catches at various fishing intensity was $50 \%$ with a high level of stock, $45 \%$ with an intermediate level and $40 \%$ with a low level. As we can see, in 1965-1966 fishing intensity was below the pptimum level, in 1967 it probably exceeded the optimum level and in subeequent years it was at about the oprimum level. Table 11 shows that the intencity of exploitation of the first stock is well below the optimum. Graham (1967) estimated that the maximum sustainable yield had to be 100 thousand tons. Tris figure seemed to be in accordance with the truth for the period of high abuncance, for example in 1965-1966.

## SUMMARY

The fishery for red hake is nc restricted in ICNAF Statistical Subarea 6. In 1969, the Annual Meetirg of $1 C N A F$ adopted a recommendation to restrict fishing in Subarea 5 from Jar uary to yiarch inclusive as in this period dense winter concentrations of red hake were observed to be formed. The restriction was introduced as a resulc of nateriai evidence of the pernicious influence of the fishery upon the state of stockis. Mieanwhile, the results of investigations cited in this paper perait conclasions (1) on the main role of recruitment in the formation of red hake abundance and (2) on the lack of overfishing resulting in excessive fishing intensity.

If stock abundance is low foz any reasoin, the destiny of brood from a poor parent stock becomes troublescme, and in this case the resiriction of fishing intensity is useful. No infornationis available, however, confirming direct dependence of recruitment on stock. Moreover, the brood from a rich parent stock (stock of 1965-1966) appeared to be weak (reciuitment of 1967-1968) and the less abundant 1967 stock contributed a more abundant year-class than the maximum 1966 stock did.

From the above, it can be concluded that a recommendation for full restriction of the red hake fishery in the period from January to March is not well-grounded. The appearance of stroag or weak year-classes depends primarily
on environmental conditions, and the restriction of fishing is not sufficient
to increase the comercial stock. The increase in stock size from a strong year-class during the restriction period of 3 years in Subarea 5 cannot be completely utilized because high natur, mortalicy in the following 2 years will
result in a sharp decrease in abundance of this year-class.
Since foint USSR-USA groundiish trawl surveys conducted since 1967 make it possible to assess the absolute stock size of red hake in the beginning of a year, this makes it possible to determine the optimum size of the annual catch.
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Fig. 1. Chart showing habitat of two stocks of red hake.
W Stock I; $/ / /$ Stock II; N゚※た Approximate overlapping zone


Fig. 2. Mean age of commercial part of two stocks of red hake in various years.


Fig. 3. Mean length of age-groupis of two stocks of red hake in various years.


Fig. 4. Assessment of natural mortalicy rate of red hake from the first stock by the graphic method (f - number of fishing days; Z - total mortality rate).


[^0]:    *Although $M$ and $F$ calculated by data for 1965 concern only the first stock, it was decided to spread them over the whole stock of red hake in the area of investigation (non "Matarials and Methods")

