

International Commission

for the

Northwest Atlantic Fisheries



1970

RESTRICTED

ICES/ICNAF Salmon Doc. 70/13

<u>Serial No.2370</u> (B.g.14)

(also ICNAF Res.Doc.70/41)

<u>ANNUAL MEETING - JUNE 1970</u>

Recent Trends in Scottish Salmon and Grilse Catches

by W.R. Munro

D.A.F.S. Pitlochry

Because of the early date of the first meeting of the Joint Working Party in 1970, full statistics for the 1969 Scottish salmon and grilse catches are not yet available. A report on these, in the usual form, will be submitted later and will also include minor alterations to the 1968 figures submitted in last year's report (ICES/ICNAF Salmon Doc. 69/13), due to some late returns. In the meantime, estimates of the likely level of Scottish catches in 1969 are submitted in Table 1, together with the actual catches in previous years since 1952.

If these estimates for the 1969 catches prove accurate, the combined catch of salmon and grilse will be the second highest recorded since 1952 and the grilse catch will be the largest, possibly almost 20% better than the previous best, recorded in 1967. The salmon catch, on the other hand, will be the second lowest recorded since 1952 and may be about 20% below the average for the period 1952-68.

The main purpose of this report is to draw attention to some of the trends in the Scottish salmon and grilse catches during the period 1952-68; the analyses which follow being largely based on the figures submitted in last year's report (ICES/ICNAF Salmon Doc. 69/13), except that the 1968 values shown in that report have been amended where appropriate.

I Trends in Salmon Catches

a) Annual Catches

Table 1 shows that Scottish salmon catches have varied widely over the period under review and, in order to minimise the effects of these annual fluctuations, 5-year rolling averages have been prepared for the catch of salmon by all methods. These averages, which are given in Table 2 and are shown graphically in Fig. 1, indicate that, following a downward trend during the late fifties and early sixties, there was, in general, an upward trend during the main period of the development of the Greenland inshore fishery but that this was followed by a downward movement in recent years.

It should perhaps be mentioned that the upward trend during the midsixties could have been exaggerated and the more recent downward trend somewhat masked if, as seems probable, a proportion of the steadily increasing grilse catches over the period (Table 1) have been included in the salmon catches, for the reasons suggested by Went in a recent paper to the Anacat Committee of I.C.E.S. (CM 1969/M:2).

b) <u>Seasonal Catches</u>

There has been considerable comment in Scotland in recent years on the obvious decrease in the numbers of salmon entering Scottish rivers in the spring, particularly by commercial fishermen because of the high price which these fish command on the market. Commercial catch figures are the most appropriate to use in any attempt to discern changes in the seasonal pattern because the majority of the fish in them are taken soon after they approach the coast and enter freshwater, whereas rod-caught fish may, perhaps, be taken some time after they have entered the river. Annual 'spring' and 'summer' commercial catches are, therefore, given in Table 3 graphically in Fig. 2.

It is quite clear that there has indeed been a long-term and continuing trend towards smaller spring catches throughout this period so that spring catches, which represented 50% or more of the catch during the early part of the period, now account for only 20-30% of the catch (Table 3). It is equally clear, however, that there has been at least as great a tendency towards an increase in summer catches, so that the overall picture seems to be one of a change in the loss of some fraction of the stock. It is, however, perhaps worth recalling that the inclusion of large grilse in the salmon catches, as mentioned above, would have in 'summer' catches.

Ferhaps the most important point to note about these trends in 'spring' and 'summer' salmon catches is that, in both cases, they were in existence considerably before the Greenland salmon fishery could have had any effect on home water catches and the onset of these changes in the seasonal pattern of salmon catches cannot, therefore, be directly attributed to the development of the Greenland

II Trends in Grilse Catches

a) Annual Catches

There has been a marked tendency towards increasingly large grilse catches during the period 1952-69 (Table 1) and this very marked and continuing trend is strikingly indicated in Table 2, which gives 5-year rolling averages for the annual Scottish grilse catch, and in Fig. 3 where the latter are shown graph-

The effect of this steady increase in grilse catches has been to increase the proportion of grilse in the total catch from 30-40% in the early fifties to 50% or more in the second half of the sixties (Table 5) and here again, there is the possibility that the full extent of this increase may have been masked by the inclusion of some larger grilse in the salmon figures.

b) Seasonal Catches

Went, in his report to ICES (CM 1969/M:2) drew attention to a tendency towards later runs of grilse in Ireland in recent years. Table 6 shows the Scottish commercial grilse catch for each month in each year, expressed as a percentage of the total commercial catch of grilse for that year, for the period 1952-68.

From this table it is clear that in Scotland also, throughout this period, there has been an increasing tendency for a smaller proportion of the total to be recorded in May and June and a high proportion in August and September, while July has remained the peak month for grilse catches throughout. Yet again, the full extent of this change may be masked by the inclusion of large grilse in the salmon figuresand this would particularly affect the value for August and September in Table 6 because of the tendency for a higher proportion of the grilse which remain longer in the sea to be above the weight limit usually accepted for the commercial division of the catch into salmon and grilse.

Although details of commercial catches are not yet available for 1969 there is little doubt, from reports and comments received from commercial fishermen, that this tendency was continued into 1969 and indeed, the extent to which this occurred may have increased considerably, as reports were of exceptionally heavy late runs of unusually large grilse.

c) Changes in Average Weight

Went also suggested that, as a result of the later entry of grilse in Ireland, there had been an increase in the average weight of these fish in mecent years. Table 7, which gives the average weight of Scottish salmon and grilse in each year from 1952-68, does not provide any evidence for more than a very modest increase in the average weight of grilse over this period but this is not, perhaps, surprising if increasing numbers of the larger grilse have been included in the salmon catch. However, the inclusion of the larger grilse in the salmon catch, most of which would presumably be less than 10 lb. in weight, might have been expected to have the effect of depressing the average weight for salmon. The values for salmon, however, do not give any indication that such a decrease has occurred but this could be explained by a compensatory effect on the average weight of salmon due to the increased numbers of summer fish in the catches in recent years (Table 3) and which would normally be heavier than spring fish of the same see age.

It is hoped to present a more detailed analysis of the extent to which the inclusion of grilse in the salmon catch may have affected catch figures in a report on the results of commercial catch sampling in three major Scottish salmon rivers during 1969.

Year	No. of Salmon	No. of Grilse	Total
1952	236,285	151,157	387,442
1953	211,935	141,782	353,717
1954	256,401	117,916	374, 317
1955	252,109	136,015	388,124
1956	200,425	117,275	317,700
1957	217.572	196,974	414,546
1958	224,820	202,703	427,523
1959	270,006	115,962	385,968
1960	201,753	184,631	386, 384
1961	179,926	156,257	336,183
1962	213.436	280,826	494,262
1963	267.280	166,922	434,202
1964	269,566	286,462	556,028
1965	219,999	213,826	433,825
1966	227.707	220,920	448,627
1967	261.534	342,597	604,131
1968	213,993	213,879	427,872
1969 ^a	195,000	405,000	600,000
Average 1952-68	230,867	190,947	421,815

Table 1 Annual Scottish Catches 1952-1969

a Estimated values based on returns received to 11th November.

Table 2 5-Year Rolling Averages for Scottish Salmon and Grilse Catches 1952-69

Period	Salmon	<u>Grilse</u>
1952-56	231,431	132,829
1953-57	227,688	141,992
1954-58	230,265	154,176
1955-59	232,986	153,785
1956-60	222,915	163,509
1957-61	218,815	171,305
1958-62	217,988	188,075
1959-63	226,480	180,919
1960-64	226, 392	215.019
1961-65	230.041	220,858
1962-66	239,598	233.791
1063-67	249.217	246,145
1061 - 68	238,559	255,536
196 5-69	224,446	279,244

a Includes estimated catches for 1969.

Table 3 Commercial Catches, 1952-68 - Salmon only

Year	February	-May ('Spring')	June-Sept	ember ('Summer')	' <u>Spring' Catch</u> as percentage					
	Number	% of Average	Number	% of Average	of Annual Total					
1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962	128,219 102,067 132,138 99,166 74,709 79,599 77,986 119,127 67,589 60,993 48,305	162 129 167 125 95 101 99 151 86 77 61	72,683 65,625 70,755 106,249 76,342 75,225 84,811 103,328 80,893 69,879 102,973	75 68 73 110 79 78 88 107 84 72 107	63.8 60.9 65.1 34.7 49.4 51.4 47.9 53.6 45.5 46.6 31.9					
1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 Average	100,260 60,082 56,785 52,935 40,848 42,883 79,041	127 76 72 67 52 54	97,023 142,148 98,758 111,220 157,358 125,435 	101 147 102 115 163 130	50.8 29.7 26.5 32.2 20.6 25.5					

- 4 -

Table 4	Commercial Catches - 5-Year R	olling Averages 1952-68 - Salmon only
Period	February-May ('Spring')	June-September ('Summer')
101100		
1952-56	107,259	78,330
1953-57	97,535	78,839
1954-58	92,719	82,676
1955-59	90,117	89,191
1956-60	83,802	84,119
1957-61	81,058	82,827
1958-62	74,800	88,576
1959-63	79,254	90,819
1960-64	67,445	98,583
1961-65	65,285	102,156
1962-66	63,673	110,424
1963-67	62,182	121,179
1964-68	50,706	126,963
	e 13. e tot og a Damaanka et	of Total Catch, 1952-1969
Table 5	Grilse Catch as a Percentage	
	Year	Percentage
	1050	39.0
	1972	40.1
	1951	31.5
	1055	35.0
	1956	36.9
	1957	47.5
	1958	47.4
	1959	30.0
	1960	47.8
	1961	46.5
	1962	56.3
	1963	38.4
	1964	51.5
	1965	49.3
	1966	49.2
	1967	56.7
	1968	50.0
	1969 a	67.5
	~ /~/	^a estimated
	Average 1952-68	45.3

Table 6	<u>Monthly C</u> 1952-1968	ommercial Cat - Grilse onl	oh as a Percer Y	ntage of Annual	Commercial Catch,
Year	May	June	July	August	September
1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962	0.7 0.5 0.2 0.1 0.3 0.4 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.2 0.2	27.2 13.4 8.5 11.8 12.8 17.3 7.4 5.2 7.0 7.7 7.6	65.1 71.3 74.0 67.8 69.0 62.2 67.4 60.3 64.4 64.1 65.5	6.8 14.6 17.0 19.9 17.7 20.0 24.6 33.4 27.2 27.0 26.0	0.1 0.3 0.4 0.2 0.2 0.3 0.8 1.0 0.9 0.7
1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 Average	0.1 0.5 0.2 0.1 0.1 0.4	3.5 5.0 6.1 2.2 8.1 7.1	57.8 51.6 69.6 54.2 60.1 60.1	37.4 40.1 23.2 42.0 30.6 30.5	1.1 1.9 0.9 1.4 1.1 1.5
1952-68	0.3	8.8	62.8	27.2	0.9

Table 7 Average Weights (1b.) of Scottish Salmon and Grilse, 1952-1968

Year	Salmon	Grilse
1952	11.0	4.8
1953	10.4	5.3
1954	10.3	5.4
1955	9.8	5.0
1956	10.4	5.0
1957	9.7	5.1
1958	10.3	5.1
1959	10.2	5.2
1960	10.5	5.7
1961	10.1	5.3
1962	10.5	5.7
1963	10.6	5.4
1964	9.9	5.4
1965	10.7	5.7
1966	10.3	5.5
1967	10.5	5.7
1968	10.5	5.6
Average 1952-68	10.3	5.4



.

. FIG ÷. ::‡ 11 1 li : NUMBER OF 11 SALMON X LCCO 田朝田 ----21 i H 22.1 詽 11H H 開創的 Ħ į#1; - -- H ÉĦ Q ₩Ħ H Hii 1:1: Ô Hi Q. H 9 -1------## Ż -----333 111 ÷ .: ġ, Щ -HÌ ÷ Į∰ Шų III 11 日井井 捕捕

7 -

		 	:		<u> </u>	91-111 91-111 1 9	÷			†																	ti	+	:			11				:		
				:									i III										i sii		i Hi									<u></u> 			i i i	
												A,			Щ.		4		i.	Į.		6		1														
	: . 								-			胛		ЩH,	<u>, i</u> ,	i i																						•
		:												16-00-0 62841				ud			-++†																	
																																						· · · ·
				ŅU	M) - 1 1 - 1 1 - 1																	f H													:.: :::::
	·:	::. .:	: G		Ŀð	3 9 		, , , ,																														
				29(
																																	2					<u></u>
																																1				++	ii.	
																											H		i i i			1						
		••••																										Π.		r ri	1		1			11		
===																															1							
				5																										\checkmark								
						4133 - 1 - 1			<u>ii</u>																													
			••••																									Ł										
			-																								ď										<u>" . </u> !	
																										1									++			
	171		11.1			<u>т т</u>																							H				111					
																																					ri i	
																							i							i.								
: 																						1																
				0																		ł																
<u></u>																																			ļ			
				9(Ŧ																	
																		Ĺ																				
																																			+		1.	++++
					1.			1.11																-														ti i
														1444 1444																								
						1.5.) 																													ļ			41-'
							:#: =::::																															
				5(X																											14.1
										/																												
																																	ац.					
=																																						
																																			1			
_																																		İΠ		1EI		
-							Ŧ																															
																													5 - 11 7 - 112	H								
							i i i Hirr																															
•••• <u>•</u>				1:-14	hiE	<u>1913</u>	HE	μur	L!IT	1931	<u>[]</u>]]	HH	ΠI				HII		Hil	IIIII	411			1111		ЩЩ	414	1111	UH B			1111		11111		1111	Ш	11

,