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Because of the early date of the first meeting of the Joint Working Party in 1970, full statistics for the 1969 Soottish salmon and grilse catches are not yet available. A report on these, in the usual form, will be submitted later and will also include minor alterations to the 1968 figures submitted in last year's report (ICES/ICNAF Salmon Doc. 69/13), due to some late returns. In the meantime, estimates of the likely level of Scottish catches in 1969 are submitted in Table 1 , together with the actual catches in previous years since 1952.

If these estimates for the 1969 catches prove accurate, the combined catch of salmon and grilse will be the second highest recorded since 1952 and the grilse catch will be the largest, possibly almost $20 \%$ better than the previous best, recorded in 1967. The salmon catoh, on the other hand, will be the second lowest recorded since 1952 and may be about $20 \%$ below the average for the period 1952-68.

The main purpose of this report is to draw attention to some of the trends in the Scottish salmon and grilse catches during the period 1952-68; the analyses which follow being largely based on the figures submitted in last year's report (ICES/ICNAF Salmon DOC. 69/13), except that the 1968 values shown in that report have been amended where appropriate.

## I Trends in Salmon Catchas

## a) Annual Catches

Table 1 shows that Scottish salmon catches have varied widely over the period under review and, in order to minimise the effects of these annual fluctuations, 5-year rolling averages have been prepared for the catch of salmon by all methods. These averages, which are given in Table 2 and are shown graphically in Fig. 1, indicate that, following a downward trend during the late fifties and early sixties, there was, in general, an upward trend during the main period of the development of the Greenland inshore fishery but that this was followed by a downward movement in recent years.

It should perhaps be mentioned that the upward trend during the midsixties could have been exaggerated and the more recent downward trend somewhat masked if, as seems probable, a proportion of the steadily increasing grilse catches over the period (Table 1) have been included in the salmon catches, for the reasons suggested by Went in a recent paper to the Anacat Committee of I.C.E.S. (CM 1969/M:2).

## b) Seasonal Catches

There hes been considerable comment in Scotland in recent years on the obvious decrease in the numbers of salmon entering Scottish rivers in the spring, particularly by commercial fishermen because of the high price which these fish command on the mariset.

Commercial catch figures are the most appropriate to use in any attempt to discern changes in the seasonal pattern because the majority of the fish in them ; re taken soon af'ter they approach the coast and enter freshwater, whereas rod-caught fish may, perhaps, be taken some time after they have entered the river. Annual 'spring' and 'summer' comercial catches are, therefore, given in Table 3 and 5-yer rolling averages for these in Table 4. The latter are also shown graphically in Fig. 2.

It is quite clear that there has indeed been a long-term and continuing trend towards smaller spring catches throughout this period so that spring catches, which represented $50 \%$ or more of the catch during the early part of the period, now account for only $20-30 \%$ of the catch (Table 3). It is equally clear, however, that there has been at least as great a tendency towards an increase in summer catches, so that the overall picture seems to be one of a change in the seasonal pattern of the arrival of the salmon in home waters rather than a complete loss of some fraction of the stock. It is, however, perhaps worth recalling that the inclusion of large grilse in the salmon oatches, as mentioned above, would have no effect on the 'spring' catches but could exaggerate the extent of the increase in 'summer' catches.

Ferhaps the most important point to note about these trends in 'spring' and 'summer' salmon catches is that, in both cases, they were in existence considerably before the Greenland salmon fishery could have had any effect on home water catches and the onset of these changes in the seasonal pattern of salmon catches cannot, therefore, be directly attributed to the development of the Greenland
fishery.

## II Tronds in Grilse Catchos

## a) Annual Catches

There has been a marked tendency towards increasingly large grilse catches during the period 1952-69 (Table 1) and this very marked and continuing trend is strikingly indicated in Table 2, which gives 5 -year rolling averages for the annual Scottish grilse catch, and in Fig. 3 where the latter are shown graph-

The effect of this steady increase in grilse catches has been to increase the proportion of grilse in the total catch from $30-40 \%$ in the early fifties to $50 \%$ or more in the second half of the sixties (Table 5) and here again, there is the possibility that the full extent of this increase may have been masked by the inclusion of some larger grilse in the salmon figures.
b) Seasonal Catches

Went, in his report to ICES (CM 1969/M:2) drew attention to a tendency towards later runs of grilse in Ireland in recent years. Table 6 shows the Scottish commercial grilse catch for each month in each year, expressed as a percentage of the total commercial catch of grilse for that year, for the period 1952-68.

From this table it is clear that in Sootland also, throughout this period, there has been an increasing tendency for a smaller proportion of the total to be recorded in Kay and June and a high proportion in August and September, while July has remained the peak month for grilse catches throughout. Yet again, the full extent of this change may be masked by the inclusion of large grilse in the salmon figuresand this would particularly affect the value for August and September in Table 6 because of the tendency for a higher proportion of the grilse which remain longer in the sea to be above the weight limit usually accepted for the commercial division of the catch into salmon and grilse.

Although details of commercial catches are not yet available for 1969 there is little doubt, from reports and coments received from commercial fishermen, that this tendency was continued into 1969 and indeed, the extent to which this occurred may have increased considerably, as reports were of exceptionally heavy late runs of unusually large grilse.
c) Changes in Average Weight

Went also suggested that, as a result of the later entry of grilse in Irelan
years.

Table 7, which gives the average weight of Scottish salmon and grilse in each ye: $r$ from 1952-68, does not provide any evidence for more than a very modest increase in the average weight of grilse over this period but this is not, perhaps, surprising if increasing numbers of the larger grilse have been included in the salmon catch. However, the inclusion of the larger grilse in the salmon catch, most of which would presumably be less then 10 lb . in weight, might have been expected to have the effect of depressing the average weight for salmon. The values for salmon, however, do not give any indication that such a decrease has occurred but this could be explatned by a compensatory effect on the average weight of salmon due to the increased numbers of summer fish in the catches in recent years (Table 3) and which would normally be heavier than spring fish of the same sea age.

It is hoped to present a more detailed analysis of the extent to which the inclusion of grilse in the salmon catch may have affected catch figures in a report on the results of commercial catch sampling in three major Scottish salmon rivers during 1969.

Table 1 Annual Scottish Catches 1952-1969

| Year | No. of Salmon | No. of Grilse | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1952 | 236,285 | 151,157 | 387,442 |
| 1953 | 211,935 | 141,782 | 353,717 |
| 1954 | 256,401 | 117,916 | 374,317 |
| 1955 | 252,109 | 136,015 | 388,124 |
| 1956 | 200,425 | 117,275 | 317,700 |
| 1957 | 217,572 | 196,974 | 414,546 |
| 1958 | 224,820 | 202,703 | 427,523 |
| 1959 | 270,006 | 115,962 | 385,968 |
| 1960 | 201,753 | 184,631 | 386,384 |
| 1961 | 179,926 | 156,257 | 336,183 |
| 1962 | 213,436 | 280,826 | 494,262 |
| 1963 | 267,280 | 166,922 | 434,202 |
| 1964 | 269,566 | 286,462 | 556,028 |
| 1965 | 219,999 | 213,826 | 433,825 |
| 1966 | 227,707 | 220,920 | 448,627 |
| 1967 | 261,534 | 342,597 | 604,131 |
| 1968 | 213,993 | 213,879 | 427,872 |
| 1969 a | 195,000 | 405,000 | 600,000 |
| Average 1952-68 | 230,867 | 190,947 | 421,815 |

a Estimated values based on returns received to lith November.

Table 2 5-Year Rolling Averages for Scottish Salmon and Grilse Catches 1952-69

| Period | Salmon | Grilse |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1952-56$ | 231,431 | 132,829 |
| $1953-57$ | 227,688 | 141,992 |
| $1954-58$ | 230,265 | 154,176 |
| $1955-59$ | 232,986 | 153,785 |
| $1956-60$ | 222,915 | 163,509 |
| $1957-61$ | 218,815 | 171,305 |
| $1958-62$ | 217,988 | 188,075 |
| $1959-63$ | 226,480 | 180,919 |
| $1960-64$ | 226,392 | 215,019 |
| $1961-65$ | 230,041 | 220,858 |
| 196266 | 239,598 | 233,791 |
| $1963-67$ | 249,217 | 246,145 |
| $1964-68$ | 238,559 | 255,536 |
| $1965-69$ | 224,446 | 279,244 |

[^0]| Year | February-liay ('Spring') |  | June-September ('Sumer') |  | 'Spring' Catch as percentage of Annual Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% of Average | Number | \% of Average |  |
| 1952 | 128,219 | 162 | 72,683 | 75 | 63.8 |
| 1953 | 102,067 | 129 | 65,625 | 68 | 60.9 65.1 |
| 1954 | 132,138 | 167 | 70,755 106,249 | 110 | 34.7 |
| 1955 | 99,166 | 125 95 | 76,342 | 79 | 49.4 |
| 1957 | 79,599 | 101 | 75,225 | 78 | 51.4 |
| 1958 | 77,986 | 99 | 84,811 | 88 | 47.9 |
| 1959 | 119,127 | 151 | 103,328 | 107 | 45.5 |
| 1960 | 67,589 | 86 | 80,893 | 74 | 46.6 |
| 1961 | 60,993 | 77 | 69,879 102,973 | 107 | 31.9 |
| 1962 | 48,305 100,260 | 127 | 102,973 | 101 | 50.8 |
| 1964 | 60,082 | 76 | 142,148 | 147 | 29.7 |
| 1965 | 56,785 | 72 | 98,758 | 102 | 26.5 32.2 |
| 1966 | 52,935 | 67 | 111,220 | 115 163 | 32.6 |
| 1967 1968 | 40,848 42,883 | 52 54 | 125,435 | 130 | 25.5 |
| 1968 | 42,683 |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 79,041 |  | 96,512 |  |  |
| 1952-68 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4

Period
$1952-56$
$1953-57$
$1954-58$
$1955-59$
$1956-60$
$1957-61$
$1958-62$
$1959-63$
$1960-64$
$1961-65$
$1962-66$
$1963-67$
$1964-68$

Commercial Catches - 5-Year Rolling Averages 1952-68-Salmon only

Table 5

February-Mey ('Spring')
107,259
97,535
92,719
90,117
83,802
81,058
74,800
79,254
67,445
65,285
63,673
62,182
50,706

June-September ('Summer')
78,330
78,839
82,676
89,191
84,119
82,827
88,376
90,819
98,583
102,156
110,424
121,179
126,983

Grilse Catch as a Percentage of Total Catch, 1952-1969

| Year | Percentage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1952 | 39.0 |  |
| 1953 | 40.1 |  |
| 1954 | 31.5 |  |
| 1955 | 35.0 |  |
| 1956 | 36.9 |  |
| 1957 | 47.5 |  |
| 1958 | 47.4 |  |
| 1959 | 30.0 |  |
| 1960 | 47.8 |  |
| 1961 | 46.5 |  |
| 1962 | 56.3 |  |
| 1963 | 38.4 |  |
| 1964 | 51.5 |  |
| 1965 | 49.3 |  |
| 1966 | 49.2 |  |
| 1967 | 56.7 |  |
| 1968 | 50.0 |  |
| 1969 a | 67.5 | estimat |
| Average 1952-68 | 45.3 |  |

Table 6 Monthly Comercial Catch as a Percentage of Annual Commercial Catch, 1952-1968 - Gxilse only

| Year | May | June | July | August | September |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1952 | 0.7 | 27.2 | 65.1 | 6.8 | 0.1 |
| 1953 | 0.5 | 13.4 | 71.3 | 14.6 | 0.3 |
| 1954 | 0.2 | 8.5 | 74.0 | 17.0 | 0.3 |
| 1955 | 0.1 | 11.8 | 67.8 | 19.9 | 0.4 |
| 1956 | 0.3 | 12.8 | 69.0 | 17.7 | 0.2 |
| 1957 | 0.4 | 17.3 | 62.2 | 20.0 | 0.2 |
| 1958 | 0.2 | 7.4 | 67.4 | 24.6 | 0.3 |
| 1959 | 0.3 | 5.2 | 60.3 | 33.4 | 0.8 |
| 1960 | 0.4 | 7.0 | 64.4 | 27.2 | 1.0 |
| 1961 | 0.2 | 7.7 | 64.1 | 27.0 | 0.9 |
| 1962 | 0.2 | 7.6 | 65.5 | 26.0 | 0.7 |
| 1963 | 0.1 | 3.5 | 57.8 | 37.4 | 1.1 |
| 1964 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 51.6 | 40.1 | 1.9 |
| 1965 | 0.2 | 6.1 | 69.6 | 23.2 | 0.9 |
| 1966 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 54.2 | 42.0 | 1.4 |
| 1967 | 0.1 | 8.1 | 60.1 | 30.6 | 1.1 |
| 1968 | 0.4 | 7.1 | 60.1 | 30.5 | 1.5 |
| Average 1952-68 | 0.3 | 8.8 | 62.8 | 27.2 | 0.9 |

Table 7 Average Weishts (Ib.) of Scottish Salmon and Grilse, 1952-1968

| Year | Salmon | Grilse |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1952 | 11.0 | 4.8 |
| 1953 | 10.4 | 5.3 |
| 1954 | 10.3 | 5.4 |
| 1955 | 9.8 | 5.0 |
| 1956 | 10.4 | 5.1 |
| 1957 | 9.7 | 5.1 |
| 1958 | 10.3 | 5.2 |
| 1959 | 10.2 | 5.7 |
| 1960 | 10.5 | 5.3 |
| 1961 | 10.1 | 5.4 |
| 1962 | 10.5 | 5.4 |
| 1963 | 10.6 | 5.7 |
| 1964 | 9.9 | 5.5 |
| 1965 | 10.7 | 5.7 |
| 1966 | 10.3 |  |
| 1967 | 10.5 | 5.4 |






[^0]:    a Includes estimated catches for 1969.

