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Basing on data of taggipg, age-composition and rate of growth of haddock from the New England, Nova Scotia and Newfoundland areas Needlex (1930) came to the conclusion on the existing of great local groups of haddgck in each of these areas. Then further inveatigations (Vladykov,1935; Schroeder,1942; Schuck and Arnold, 1951; Martin,1953; MLark and Vladykov,1960; MoCracken,1963,1965 et al) confirmad and made more preciae this division and degree of mixing of haddock from the New Ingland and Nova Scotia.

Having examined 1230 haddock caught in 1934-1936 on the Saint Pierre Bank, Clark and Yladykov (1960) pointed out that the Newfoundland group of haddack was charaoterized with a amall number of vertebrae (50-56, pverage $-52.90^{\mathrm{x}}$ ). According to Marr's terminology (1957) they have described the mentioned populations as "stonk". As data on haddock from the Grand Bank were not available they atated that the problem of the existence of local groups of haddock in the Newfoundland area was not decided finally.

Thompson (1939) painted that there was a limited area on the Grand Bank where haddoak with an average number of vertebrae 52.5-52.9 form a separate stock. Basing on the difference in the rate of growth and abundance of year-classes Templeman (1953) divided the Grand Bank haddock and haddock from the Saint Pierre

[^0]Bank as well into two separatd stocks of haddock which had no considerable differences in the number of vertebrae. Simuitaneous Iy a very small stock of haddook with a distinctiy different otru ture of otoliths was found but on the Flemish Cap Bank. As on the Grand Bank very big haddock wifch growth rate was typical of the Saint Pierre Bank haddock whe caught in gmall numbers they concluded that in the south of the Green Bank an ingignificant mixing of these stocks took place. According to several samples of vertebrae in haddock caught off the south-western coast of Newfoundland they found out a briall local popilation of haddock differing from haddock on the Grand and Saint Pierre Banks by a satiler number of vertebres.

Further studying of the derudture of dtolithe, rate of growt: and abundance of year-classes (Beverton and Hodder, 1962; Hodder, 1966) proved the belonging of the Crand Bank haddock and haddock from the Saint Pierre Bank to different atocks. It was noted that haddock from these banks can Hix being at a stage of egg, larvae and young fish but in all seasont this prodess is greatly limited by low temperature of water in deeps separating these banks. However Hodder (1966) reported that in 1949 a great amount of larvae drifted with the current from the Grand Bank to the Saint Pierre Bank.

To make clear an up-to-date tructure of the population of the population of the sedwloundiand haddock we have analyeed the variability in the number of vertebrae.

## Material and Methoda

The first sample of tértebrae of the Newfoundland haddock available was taken by K.Pb Yanulof (PINRO) in 1961 (Appendix I). Since 1964 they have started a regular bample gathering. The author of this paper made the analyais of fiah caught directly on board research ressels of PIKRO and we also, as K.P.Yanulov did, took into account the last vertebre with an uroatyle plate. All the laterial was combined according to areas: $1 /$ the Flemish Cap

Bank / $3 \mathrm{M} /$, 2/north-eastern / $3 \mathrm{~L} /$, 3/ south-eastern / $3 \mathrm{~N} /$ and 4/south-western / 30 / slopes of the Greind Bank, 5/ the Green Bank $/ 3 P /, 6 /$ south-western / $3 \mathrm{P} /$ and $7 /$ north-western / $3 \mathrm{P} /$ slopes of the Saint Pierre Bank (fig.I). Total amount of 2467 specimens (Appendix $I$ ) were examined. Data collected were mathematically treated according to the method by Snedecor (1957).

Results of Investigations

In our samples the number of vertebrae in haddock varied between 50 and 57, the average number of vertebrae $\bar{x}$ being $53.57-$ 54.60. The value of $\bar{x}$ obtained for hadduck from area 30 (53.82) almost completely coincides with that obtained by K.P. Yanulov (53.78) and for the areas of the Saint Pierre Bank (53.86 and 53.88) - with the average number of vertebrae reported by clark and Vladykov (1960) - 52.90. In the Grand Bank haddock $\bar{x}$ in our samples fluctuates almost in the same limits as it was reported by Thompson (1939). Data on the number of vertobrae in haddock from various areas are presented in Table I.

Insignificant values of standard error of the sample $S \bar{x}$ indicate that a sufficient quantity of haddock was examined in each area, with the exception of the area 3L. Insignificant magnitudes and fluctuations of standard deviations $s$ and variation coefficients $C$ between areas indicate a siight variability in the number of vertebrae in haddock from the Newfoundland area. The greatest variation coefficient was obtained for haddock from the Green Bank where occurs mixing of stocks.

According to the average number of vertebrae reliable but ingignificant differences $t$ (Table 2) were fuund between haddock from the Flemiah Cap Banks and other ureas and also between haddock from the area $3 N$ and Prom the Saint Plerre Bank.

More essential differences were found between $\vec{x}$ in different samples from one area (Appendix: I), especially in the area 30 ( t to 5.4). To find out the reasons of these differences they analysed the difference between the number of vertebrae in haddock belonging to the 1960-1968 year-classes (Table 3).

According to $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ the 1968 year-class of haddock in the area 30 greatly differed from the 1961, 1962, 1964 and 1966 year-classes ( $t=5.9-4.9-5.0-3.9$ ). Actual differences according to $\bar{x}$ were also obtained between the 1966 year-class and 1962, 1964 year-classes ( $t=2.5-2.1$ ) and between the 1962 year-class and 1964, 1965 year-classes ( $t=3.2-2.0$ ). of haddock on the Green Bank. On the south-western slope of the Saint Pierre Bank the 1962 year-class differs from those of 1964, 1966, 1967 ( $t=4.1$ 2.8 - 2.3) and the 1964 year-class from that of 1966 ( $t=2.2$ ).

Thus the greatest differences in the average number of verteb; rae between year-classes were obtained for the area 30 , as well as the greatest differences in the average number of the vertebrae in various samples. Apparently the prevalence of this or that yearclass in the sample and condition significant pluctuations in the average number of vertebrae in samples of haddock from area. Obviously the number of vertebrae as a criterion of belonging of fish to this or that population should be considered with the allowance for variation of this character according to yearclasses. It was proved that in one area fluctuation of average number of vertebrae between year-claases are due to the variations in temperature of water near the surface in the spawning period in various years (Clark and Vladykov, 1960).

Thus, the differences in the average number of vertebrae in haddock belonging to various year-classes in one area are more considerable than those in the average number of vertebrae of haddock in the areas mentioned. It make impossible to divide haddock from the Newfoundlend area into stocks according to the number of vertebrae.

## Conclusions

1. Number of vertebrae in haddock from the Newfoundland area fluctuates between 50 and 57 insignificantly varying between areas. Average number of vertebrae in separate samples fluctuates from 53.57 to 54.60 , as to the differences between areas reliable but insignificant differences in the average number of vertebrae
were found between haddock Irom the Flemish Cap Bark and other areas as well as between haddock from the south－western slope of the Grand Bank（ $3 N$ ）and the Saint Pierre Bank．

2．Congiderable differences were found in the average number of vertebrae in various samples of haddock from one area，espe－ cially on the south－western slope of the Grand Bank（30）．

3．Still greater differences in the average number of ver－ tebrae are observed in haddock from ont area between year－classes． It is the prevalence of this or that year－ilass in the sample that condition gignificant fluctuations of the average number of vertebrae in samples taken in one aroa．

4．In haddock belonging to various year－caasses in one area the differences in the average number of vertebrae are much greater than in haddock from varioun areas．Due to this fact it is impossible to divide haddock Prom the Newfoundland area into stocks according to the number of vertebrae with a reasonable reliability．
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Table 1. Number of vertebrae in haddock from the
Newroundland area


| I | Flemish Cap Bank /3m/ 52-56 | 54,04+0,09 | 0,83 | I, 5483 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | north-eastern slope of $52-56$ the Grand Bank /3L/ | $53,70 \pm 0,14$ | 0,92 | I, 7 I 47 |
| 3 | south-eastern slope of 52-56 the Grand Bank / 3N/ | $53,72 \pm 0,06$ | 0,75 | I,40 I88 |
| 4 | south-western slope of $5 \mathrm{I}-57$ the Grand Bank /30/ | $53,82 \pm 0,03$ | 0,88 | I,66 7I4 |
| 5 | Green Bank /3P/ 50-57 | $53,83 \pm 0,05$ | 0,94 | I,75330 |
| 6 | south-western slope of $50-56$ the Saint Pierre Bank /3P/ | $53,89 \pm 0,04$ | 0,85 | 1,60 546 |
| 7 | north-western slope of 5I - 56 the Saint Pierre Benk /3P/ | $53,86 \pm 0,03$ | 0,79 | I,50 559 |

Table 2
Mean error of the difference in the average number of vertebrae in haddock from the Nevfoundland area $/ t /$
$\left.\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}\text { Conventional } & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \\ \text { number of areas } & \vdots & & \vdots & 3 & \vdots & 4 & \vdots & 5 & \vdots & 6 & \vdots\end{array}\right] 7$
Average number of vertebrae in maddock of the 1960-1y68 year-classes in the
Newfoundlana area according to the data of analyses made in 1961,
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Area and date
x/ The analysis was made by Yanulov K.F.


[^0]:    x/ Clark and Vladykov (1960), Thompen (1939) did not take into account the laat vertebra with a displaced center and uroatyle plate.

