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This report presents the results of investigation on the fecundity OF herring, which spawn in September - October on Georges Bank. It adds further observations to the studies by Yudenov (1966) and Perkins and Anthony (1969). The problem dealt with may be of great importance for the determination of the relation between spawning potential and the recruitment from a given stock.

Material and method

The sampling for this report was made in August 1968 in the central part of Georges Bank. The fish were derived from bottom-trawl catches. The length distribution of female herring in the samples was similar to the length distribution of fish in the catches. Length and age of all fish in the samples were determined. During the sampling period the female gonads were in the stage oi maturity IV - V. The ovaries after being removed and marked were kept in the Frozen state. In the laboratory they were defrosted and placed in Gilson's fiuid. A few weeks later they were chopped into small bits. The eggs were separated from ovarian tissue and washed several times, then dried on filter paper at room temperature. Each dry sample, taken at random, was weighed and the number of eggs in it was determined. Having the number of eggs per unit weight it was possible to establish the number of eggs in the whole ovary. In this way the fecundity of 167 herring specimens was detemined. The length of these iish ranged from 26.0 to 35.5 cm and the age between 3 and 11 years. Detaiked data is given in Table 1.

Table 1. Number of examined fish, including length and age

| Year- | Length in half centimetres |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class 26 | 27 | 28 |  | 29 |  | 30 |  | 31 |  | 32 |  | 33 |  | 34 |  | 35 |  | Total |
| 1965 i | - - | - i |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1964 | 2 | - 3 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\leq$ |
| 1963 |  | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | i0 |
| 1962 |  | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 8 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\because$ |
| 1961 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | - | 7 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| 1960 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 15 | 21 | 10 | 6 | 2 |  |  |  | 38 |
| 1959 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 5 | - | I | 3 |  |  | 8 |
| 1958 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | ; |
| 1957 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | - |  | , |
| Age not |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | - |
| desemmined |  |  |  |  | 1 | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | i | - |  | $\sigma$ |
| Wacai i | - 2 | -7 | 5 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 19 | 10 | 22 | 33 | 18 | 8 | 5 | 9 | - | 3 | ie: |

xisunts
The fecundity of each of the examined females was determined or the Sasis of fireo samples. The differences between the extreme values for tie number o: riss , sishimished in this way, did not exceed 5\%. The individual fecundity variec


© When of individual fish during sampling and therefore the relationchip between the weight and the fecundity at that period could not give reliable gat?

The data on the relation between length of fish and the number of eso are given in Fig. 1. We note here considerable differences in the nomer of egss in fish of the same size. The standard deviation from the mean number of esps in fish, dividec into 1 cm length-groups, ranges from 20 to 29 thousancl.

For the equation

```
F = nL a (Baxter, 1959)
```

where
F - the fecundity
L - the length of herring
n and a - constants for a given population,
............ the relationship between fecundity and fish length, the bollowint
? We been found for Georges Bank herring: $n=5.76$ and $a=0.0001749$.
de: derived from the results of investigation and calculated by the method oE Lease squares.

It is more convenient, however, to use for calculations the logarithmic equation:
$\log F=4.2426+5.76 \log \mathrm{~L}$
The mean fecundity of herring determined on the basis of this equation for the length-classes from 26 to 35.5 cm is given in Table 2 .

Table : Mean number of eggs in the ovaries

| Length of herring <br> $(\mathrm{cm})$ | Mean number of eggs |
| :---: | :---: |
| 26.0 | 24,700 |
| 26.5 | 27,000 |
| 27.0 | 30,700 |
| 27.5 | 33,500 |
| 28.0 | 37,900 |
| 23.5 | 41,900 |
| 29.0 | 46,600 |
| 29.5 | 51,100 |
| 30.0 | 56,300 |
| 30.5 | 62,000 |
| 31.0 | 62,100 |
| 31.5 | 74,600 |
| 32.0 | 81,600 |
| 32.5 | 89,400 |
| 33.0 | 97,600 |
| 33.5 | 106,300 |
| 34.0 | 115,900 |
| 34.5 | 126,000 |
| 35.0 | 137,000 |
| 35.5 | 148,000 |

These results are lower than analogical ones obtained by Perkins and Anthony (1969). On the other hand the data obtained by these autiors are ir tur ay similar masnitude lower than the results obtained by Yudanov (1966). Te man incices obtained for the fecundity of Georges Bank herring are sightiv hicher then analogical ones obtained by Sosinski (1970) for herring from the forwegian Chanal, spawning in the winter-spring period.

The variation in the mean number of eggs in the ovaries related to age is given in Table 3. There is only one deviation from the distinct regularity of increase of mean fecundity along with age, namely the phenomenon observed in the 19 , 0 year-class in which the fecundity was lower while it was higher in the wnar 1961 year-class. The 1960 year-class was the most abundant in the sample. i:nrcuacing the division into classes of 5,000 eggs this age-group was distributed : ach one as shown in Fig. 2 .

Tr $\because$ an maner of eggs in herring in particular age groups

| Are- gropp <br> Mean number <br> of eggs | 26.3 | 42.2 | 58.5 | 69.7 | 96.7 | 90.4 | 97.7 | 98.5 | 109.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

This distribution shows all the features of a regular distribution with a large range of variations. Such distribution for other age groups is less regular in view of a small number of fish.
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Fig. 2. The percentage of 8 year old herring with varvins numbers of egss.

