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## Introduction

At the ICNAF Assessment Subcommittee meeting in January, 197') it was concluded that the catch per effort in the Georges Bank ierring fishery was declining for the Kolish, German and USSK fishing vessels. The decline in abundance vas attributed to the passing of the strong year classes, 1960 and 1961 , through tne fishery and to the poor recruitment since the entry of these two year classes into the fishery. Estimates of decline in stock abundance from egg studies conducted by the USSR were more severe than the decrease estimated from catcll per effort data and it was agreed that other techniques should be investigated to obtain estimates of mortality for assessment purposes. The longest series (1961-1968) of catch per effort data available for Georges Bank herring are those of the USSK, however, in 1965 and 1966 the USSN diverted part of their effort to other species (Table 1). I have tried to resolve this change in effort in 1965 and 1966 to have a conplete series of catch and effort data for the estimation of mortality. I have also estimated mortality using the virtual population tecinique (Gulland, 1965). These estimates are only preliminary because of the limitations of the data and should not be considered as final. lopefully, the estimates can be improved as better information becomes available.

## Analysis of USSR Catch and Effort Statistics

Detailed catch and effort statistics for the USSi fishery in ICNAF Subarea $5 Z$ were taken from the ICNAF Statistical julletin. In 1965 and 1966, the herring catch was listed as "mixed" or was incidental to catches of other species. When herring were caught with other species of fish, I estimated the effort expended on herring from the percent of herring in the total catch. The effort data were adjusted in this manner for five years during the period 1961 to 1967. The USSR effort, by vessel tomnage class and gear, for Georges Bank herring is given in Table 2. Apparently, tise percentage catch of herring was not related to abundance as the percentages for large trawlers (greater than 1800 tons) from 1963 through 1967 except for 1965 varied only from 21-32 percent even though the abundance of herring changed considerably on Georges Bank during this period. The same situation held for the small trawlers. This provides some assurance that the by-catch of herring in tons was a function of effort and not of abundance and that the percentage by-catch of herring can be used to estimate effort. The true effectiveness of effort on herring is not inown when that effort is directed toward anotner species and it may be quite different than when effort is directed specifically toward herring. The estimated effort for herring swold be more realistic, however, than using the total effort expended for all species of fish on Georges Bank. ivr example, the effort used in determinir: catch per effort by the USSk presented in the Report of the Interim Vezting of the Assessment Subcumittet ([CNAI Comm. Doc. 70/3) is the total number of hours
fished by a given vessel regardless of the composition of catcin as lon; as tiat catch contained herring. If all the effort in 1965 and 1 bin were credited to herring, fishing effort would be overestimatec and the catch per effort for herring would be underestimated. For example, in 1966, medium LSSR trawlers fished 61,000 hours in a mixed fisiery taking 68,626 tons of several species of fish. Herring made up only $10.4 \%$ of tine catch. Assuming the entire 61,000 hours were fished for herring produces a catch per effort of 0.12 . Using $10.4 \%$ of the effort produces a more realistic catch per effort of 1.12 .

The effort by otter trawling from medium trawlers rose steadily through 1964, declined in 1965 and 1966 and then increased greatly when the fishery concentrated mainly on the two strong year classes of 1960 and 1961. The number of drift nets increased greatly in 1962. This coupled with a slight increase in large trawler (greater than 1800 tons) effort produced a large increase in effort over that of 1961 and this will be reflected in the estimates of mortality. The fishing effort iy the large trawlers fluctuated little except in 1965 and 1966 . The effort for 1965 is probably an underestimate as it is based on a percentage catcin of herring that was mucil lower than for tine other years.

The catch of herring by large trawlers in 1966 was twice the catcil in any other year even though effort was directed to other species. The catcin of medium trawlers, i.e. 151 to 590 metric tons, was oniy about $\dot{6}, 00$ metric tons in 1965 and $1 \% 6$ compared with an average of 65,000 metric tons for the other years from 1962 to 1968 . The nedium trawlers caugit more herring tian an; otier tonnage class vessel in every year excejt 1965 and $190 \%$. Since tite 1960 and 1961 year classen were plentiful at this time the lov catch for the medium travlers is further indication that tie ussik diverted effort to otier snecies anc the catch per effort for herring in $1: 165$ and 1065 for tae medium travlers may underestimate the true abundance (Table 3). The decline in aundance as indicated by the catcin per effort data is rapid after loni.

The catch per effort data in lable 3 were used to obtaln estimates of fissing power for each tonnac: class. The fisiing power ratios for eacin year were weighted by the annual catch to ointain an avera; value from 1961 througi 1967. Lsing the tonnage class of 151 to 507 tons as a standard, the fisining power factors were: for 1800 metric tons and up, 2.67 , for 900 to 1901 metric tons, 0.60 , for 501 to $90 \%$ tons, 0.83 , and for drift nets, 0.09. Tisese values were used to ottain total adjusted fishing effort by the USSK fleet on the Georges i3anh herring (Table 4). The total international fisining effort by all countries on Georges bank herring was estimated by dividing the international catch in tons by the liSSK catch per adjusted fishing effort (Table 4). The international effort and the USSR effort were tine same until 1965 when nations otner than the USSR also began to [ish for nerring.

Using the mean veights of the dominant year classes in the annual catch, an average number of fish caurht der year was obtained from the weight of the total catch. The numbers of herring caught for each year were then divided into year classes using age composition data (Noskov, A.S. and G. P. Zaknarow, 1963; Draganin, P., 1966; Bogdanov, A. S., personal communication). The mean lengths and weignts of herring from Georges Bank are given in Tables 5 and 6 . The mean weights were obtained from the lengtil data and a regression line of weight on length provided by Draganik and Zukowski (ICNAF Doc. 67/53). Two types of curves are presented in that document, for full herring and spent herring. The conversion from length to weight was made using the curve for full herring. Estimates of catch per effort of the USSR fishery are given in Table 7. These were used to estimate total mortalities ( $Z$ ) for each year class (Table 8 ). The mortalities are arranged both by age and year to show the increases in $Z$. If fishing mortality is a function of fishing effort, the mortalities can be expected to vary due to the increase in effort in 1962, the decline of effort in 1965 and the increase after 1466 . The mean $Z$ for ages 5 and up decreased from 1962 through 1966 and then increased sharply. These values are average values of year classes of unequal abundance with do not cover the sante age span and thus are limited in usefulness. liecruitment before 1 : 6 , nerally sas completed by age 3 . After 1 yó recruitment was completed much later. Tinis change in age of recruitnent can be
seen as a steady decline of 7 at age 5 with time from tike $10 j 8$ year class through the 1962 year class. Tais is also reflected in áse 6 anci is probably the result of the 2 strong year classes passing through the fishery. The increase in $Z$ with age is very striking especially after age 6 and may explain why Georges Bank inerring do not iave the long life span found in some other herring stocks.

## Virtual Population Techniques

Although the comparative strengtis of the strong year classes, 1960 and 1961, are not known, herring populations have shown variation in year class strength of over fivefold. Sucin variation in year class strength would probably cause the catchability coefficient (a) to ve far from constant. Just the presence of a strong year class may reduce the availability of weak year classes wnicin occur during the same year. Because of the possibility of variation in availability and because such a large catch of herring from Georges bank has come from the two strong year classes, 1960 and 1961 , the virtual population technioue (Gulland, 1965) was applied to the total international catch of aerring from Georges Bank. The virtual population technique is carefully laid out by Gulland and the reader is referred to his work for details. Virtual populations are simply those fish present at the begiming of a year which are caught in that year and in subsequent years. The virtual populations are given in Table 9. The relative strengths of the 1960 and 1961 vear classes are obvious even though effort is not considered. Apparent total mortality rates $Z^{\prime}$ were estimated as ratios of virtual populations at the beginning and end of each year for ages 2 through 8 (Table 10). Recruitment, as also shown by the catch-effort data, occurs at age 5 as indicated by the jump in mean mortality from 0.12 to 0.44 . Since the cumulative catch is used to obtain the virtual populations there can be no negative values as were present vith the catcn-effort data.

The virtual population technique requires that a constant value of natural mortality be known as well as the exploitation ratio (i) = $F / F+y_{1}$ ) for age $n+1$ of a series of data of $n$ ages. To determine a first estimate of $M$, the apparent total mortality rates were plotted against the total international fishing effort for each age. Since this technique requires that $q$ be constant, only data after 1964 were used when the 1960 and 1961 year classes provided the bulk of the catch. Using values after 1964 reduced the number of data points but nroduced reasonably straight regression lines with correlation coefficients of 0.90 to 0.95 for ages 5 through 7. Estimates of $M$, however, varied from 0.04 to 0.69 . A first estimate of $M$ used in the virtual population technique was, therefore, arbitrarily chosen as 0.30 and values of i were determined from the estimates of $Z$ given by the catch per effort ratios. These values were 0.75 age $6,0.80$ age $7,0.85$ age 8 , and 0.88 age 9 . The corrected estimates of $Z$ as estimated from the virtual population technique (Table 11) were generally greater for ages 5 and 6 than those produced by the catch per effort ratios ('Table 8). Values of $Z$ at ages 7 and 8, however, were similar to the values obtained from the catch-effort data. Both sets of total mortality rates (catcn-effort and virtual population) show an increase with age. The increase is especially great from age 7 to age 8 . Older herring are very difficult to age. Because of this difficulty, age readings usually have been categorized as ages 1 through 7 and "age 8 and up." If some age 9 or age 10 herring have been classified as age 8 , the mortality at age 8 could be overestimated. The mortality rates of the older herring therefore are not as reliable as the estimates of mortality for the younger herring.

Using the corrected values of $Z$ in a regression against total international effort produced values of M for age 4 of 0.31 (0.27-0.36 confidence interval); for age 5 of 0.31 ( $0.19-0.43$ ); for age 6 of 0.44 (0.33-0.54) ; and for age 7 of 0.88 ( $0.67-1.09$ ) : The correlation coefficients of the regression lines varied between 0.87 and 0.96 . The confidence intervals about the values of $M 1 . e .$, the $Y$ intercept, were quite wide due to the limited number of data points. however, $q$ was reasonably constant from age 5 througii age 7 varying from $0.62 \times 10^{-3}$ to $0.67 \times 10^{-3}$. Only 3 points existed for age 8 and these were scattered. ixtrapolating the estimates of $M$ for ages $5-7$ prociuced a value of 1.11 for age 8 .

Maximum estimates of the rate of exploitation $\left(\mu=\frac{F}{F+} \cdot\left(1-e^{-z}\right)\right.$ ) and maximum values of fishing mortality (F) were calculated for eacu year and year class. Tine maximum rate of exploitation is equal to the catch divided by the virtual population. Fishing mortality equals $-\log _{e}(1-\mu)$. This, of course, assumes that there is no natural mortality. These data are given in Tables 12 and 13. The fishing mortality does not seem to have been excessive in the $1960^{\prime} s$ although it increased greatly from 1965-1968. Extrapolating this increase througin . 'b $^{\prime}$ gives reason for concern especially since tae catch of tac 1960 and 1461 year classes is nearly completed and recruitment of suisequent year classes has been poor. If effort continues at its present rite or incraases Without the appearance of a strong year class, we can anticipate a further decline in the spawning stoci of herring on exor, es bani..
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Table 1. Russian catches of haddock and hake in the ICNAF Area 5 (Metric tons)

| Year | Haddock | Silver Hake | Red Hake |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1961 | ------ | ------- | ------- |
| 1962 1/ | 1,134 | 41,900 | ----- |
| 1963 | 2,361 | 107,357 | 3,475 |
| 1964 | 5,483 | 167,308 | 3,588 |
| 1965 | 81,882 | 281,431 | ------ |
| 1966 | 48,409 | 121,373 | 82,889 |
| 1967 | 2,316 | 69,984 | 37,593 |
| Total | 141,585 | 789,353 | 127,545 |

[^0]Table 2.
Fishing effort on Georges Bank nerring by the USSk in number of nours or number of nets

| Year | - Tonnage class (Metric tons) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Otter trawl | Drift net | otter trawl | Otter traw1 | otter trawl |
| 1961 |  | 216, 386* |  |  | 15,381 |
| 1962 | 13,316 | 1,105,566* |  |  | 18,910 |
| 1963 | 32,780 | 106,079* |  |  | 13,682 |
| 1964 | 66,753 |  | 244 |  | 15,864 |
| 1965 | 5,956 |  | 303 | 12 | 9,469 |
| 1966 | 6,344 |  | 360 | 82 | 39,462 |
| 1967 | 111,989 |  | 208 | 370 | 11,622 |
| 1968 | 145,607 |  |  |  | 12,372 |
| 1969 | 234,634 |  |  |  | 17,453 |

*number of nets

Table 3.
Catch per effort on Georges Bank herring by the USS. in metric tons per hour or net


Table 4.
Adjusted fishing effort ( $10 \cap 0$ hours) by the USSN and total International effort on Georges bank herring in number of hours of fishing by a standard vessel of $151-500$ tons

| Year | USSR ADJUSTED FISHING EFFORT |  |  |  |  |  | Total USSR effort | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { International } \\ & \text { effort } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 151-500 Tonnage class (Metric tons) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Otter trawl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drift } \\ & \text { nets } \end{aligned}$ | Purse seine | Otter trawl | Otter trawl | Otter trawl |  |  |
| 1961 |  | 19.5 |  |  |  | 41.1 | 60.5 | 60.5 |
| 1962 | 13.3 | 99.5 |  |  |  | 50.5 | 163.3 | 163.3 |
| 1963 | 32.8 | 9.5 |  |  |  | 36.5 | 78.9 | 78.9 |
| 1964 | 66.8 |  |  | 0.2 |  | 42.4 | 109.3 | 109.3 |
| 1965 | 6.0 |  |  | 0.4 |  | 25.3 | 31.7 | 34.8 |
| 1966 | 6.3 |  |  | 0.3 | 0.1 | 105.4 | 112.1 | 130.5 |
| 1967 | 112.0 |  |  | 0.2 | 0.2 | 31.0 | 143.4 | 253.5 |
| 1968 | 145.6 |  |  |  |  | 33.0 | 178.6 | 511.0 |
| 1969 | 234.6 |  | * |  |  | 46.6 | 281.2 | 760.9 |

*purse seine effort not available

Table 5. Mean lengths (cm) of herring from Georges Bank in Aug.-Sept.

| Year | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1960 |  | $27.6^{1}$ | $25.6^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1961 | $30.5^{6}$ | $29.3^{1}$ | $27.8^{1}$ | $24.9^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1962 | $31.8^{6}$ | $30.6^{1}$ | $29.3^{1}$ | $27.8^{1}$ | $25.5^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1963 | $33.0^{6}$ | $31.4^{1}$ | $30.4^{1}$ | $29.4^{1}$ | $27.9^{1}$ | $24.3^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1964 |  | $33.0^{1}$ | $32.1^{1}$ | $30.6^{1}$ | $29.4^{1}$ | $27.3^{1}$ | $23.7^{1}$ | $20.7^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1965 | $34.8^{5}$ | $34.1^{6}$ | $33.3^{1}$ | $32.0^{1}$ | $30.8^{1}$ | $28.9^{1}$ | $27.1^{1}$ | $25.1^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1966 |  |  | $34.0^{2}$ | $33.0^{2}$ | $32.0^{2}$ | $30.6^{2}$ | $29.4^{2}$ | $28.0^{2}$ | $25.8^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1967 |  |  |  | $34.2^{3}$ | $33.0^{3}$ | $31.8^{3}$ | $30.4^{3}$ | $29.2^{3}$ | $27.7^{3}$ | $25.3^{3}$ | $20.6^{5}$ |  |  |
| 1968 |  |  |  |  | $34.1^{6}$ | $32.9^{4}$ | $31.7^{4}$ | $30.3^{4}$ | $29.1^{4}$ | $27.1^{4}$ | $25.5^{4}$ |  |  |
| 1969 |  |  |  |  |  | $34.1^{6}$ | $33.0^{6}$ | $31.8^{6}$ | $30.5^{6}$ | $29.3^{6}$ | $27.6^{6}$ | $25.1^{6}$ |  |

[^1]2 From Boyar, H. C. 1967
3 From Perkins, F. E. 1968

+ From Perkins, F. E. 1969
j From U.S. Research Cruises
Average value for age group
- $7-$

Table 6. Mean weights (grams) of herring from Georges Bank

| ear | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 961 | 265.0 | 232.5 | 195.2 | 133.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 962 | 300.8 | 268.0 | 232.5 | 195.2 | 144.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 963 | 342.0 | 290.4 | 262.0 | 235.0 | 197.6 | 122.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 964 |  | 342.0 | 309.6 | 268.0 | 235.0 | 183.2 | 111.9 | 78.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 965 | 423.0 | 391.5 | 355.5 | 306.0 | 274.0 | 222.5 | 178.4 | 136.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 966 |  |  | 387.0 | 342.0 | 306.0 | 268.0 | 235.0 | 200.0 | 150.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 967 |  |  |  | 396.0 | 342.0 | 300.8 | 262.0 | 230.0 | 192.8 | 140.7 | 77.2 |  |  |
| 968 |  |  |  |  | 391.5 | 338.4 | 298.2 | 259.0 | 227.5 | 178.4 | 144.5 |  |  |
| 969 |  |  |  |  |  | 391.5 | 342.0 | 300.8 | 265.0 | 232.5 | 190.4 | 136.9 |  |

Table 7. Catch per unit of adjusted effort by the USSR fishery on Ceorges Bank (millions of herring ner $10(\mu)$ nours of fishing by a standard vessel of 151-500 tons)

tal catch of all year classes divided by adjusted effort

Table 8. Estimates of $Z$ from ratios of catches per unit effort by vear class, year ard afe

| YEAR CLASS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 ar | 1955 |  | 1956 |  | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1764 | 1965 | Nean* |
| 361 | 0.23 |  | 0.46 |  | 0.23 | -0. 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9. 39 |
| 362 | 2.22 |  | 2.05 |  | -0.58 | -2.18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.5\% |
| 363 |  |  |  |  | 1.04 | 0.78 | -0.29 | 0.19 |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{1} .37$ |
| 364 |  |  |  |  | 0.33 | 1.06 | 0.63 | -0.19 | 0.59 | -0.41 |  |  |  | 0.75 |
| 365 |  |  |  |  |  | 1. 39 | 0.40 | 0.40 | -0.41 | 0.06 |  |  |  | 0.51 |
| 366 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.65 | 1.11 | 0.38 | 0.12 | -0.44 | -0.60 |  |  | 0.47 |
| 167 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.44 | 1.12 | 0.18 | -0.26 | -1.49 | -17.89 | -1.86 | 0.79 |
| 16.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.44 | 1.07 | 0.21 | -0.06 | -3.1: | -i). 30 | 1.02 |
| YEAR CLASS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ! | 1955 | 1956 |  | 1957 | 71958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 |  | Viean** |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.41 |  |  | -1.86 |  | -1.14 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  | -0.12 |  | 0.19 | 0.59 | 0.06 | $-0.60$ | -0.89 | -0.30 |  | -0.15 |
| , |  |  |  | 0.23 | -2.18 | -0. 29 | -0.19 | -0.41 | -0.44 | -1.49 | -3.12 |  |  | -0.99 |
| i |  | 0.46 |  | -0.58 | 30.78 | 0.63 | 0.40 | 0.12 | -0.26 | -0.06 |  |  |  | 0.19 |
| , | 0.23 | 2.05 |  | 1.04 | 1.06 | 0.40 | 0.38 | 0.18 | 0.21 |  |  |  |  | 0.69 |
| ' | 2.22 |  |  | 0.33 | 31.39 | 1.11 | 1.12 | 1.07 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.21 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  | 2.65 | 3.44 | 2.44 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.84 |

iweighted by catch in weight of each year class, ages 5 and up only

## unweighted mean

Table 9. Virtual populations of herring from Georges Bank (millions)

| At |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ginning |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| of age | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 |


| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 683.3 |  |  | 90.9 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 |  |  |  | 354.2 |  | 1,397.1 | 1,378.2 | 665.1 | 683.1 | 397.1 | 88.6 | 5.6 |
| 4 |  |  | 259.5 | 341.2 | 345.0 | 1,175.7 | 1,211.5 | 656.6 | 675.2 | 387.8 | 58.8 |  |
| 5 |  | 454.6 | 217.2 | 301.5 | 268.0 | 921.0 | 1,182.6 | 625.5 | 647.2 | 376.6 |  |  |
| 6 | 196.7 | 293.8 | 126.0 | 132.0 | 126.1 | 824.6 | 1,016.1 | 533.6 | 394.8 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 136.7 | 19.8 | 47.3 | 24.3 | 102.5 | 575.4 | 731.1 | 291.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 8.1 |  | 8.7 | 12.7 | 41.4 | 246.8 | 245.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  | 1.6 | 2.5 | 28.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 10. Apparent Total Mortality Rates ( $z^{\prime}$ )

| YEAR CLASS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ge | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | Sean |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.03 |  |  | 0.03 | 0.73 |
| 3 |  |  |  | 0.04 |  | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.41 | 0.11 |
| 4 |  |  | 0.18 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.03 |  | ก. 12 |
| 5 |  | 0.44 | 0.55 | 0.83 | 0.75 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.40 |  |  | $\bigcirc .44$ |
| 6 | 0.36 | 2.70 | 0.98 | 1.69 | 0.21 | 0.36 | 0.33 | 0.61 |  |  |  | 0.91 |
| 7 | 2.83 |  | 1.69 | 0.65 | 0.91 | 0.85 | 1.09 |  |  |  |  | 1.34 |
| 8 |  |  |  | 2.07 | 2.81 | 2.18 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.36 |

Table 11. Corrected estimates of $Z$ from virtual nopulations, assuming $M=0.3 n$.

| YEAR CLASS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | Yean |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.31 |  | 0.31 |
| 3 |  | 0.32 |  | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.33 |
| 4 | 0.40 | 0.38 | 0.45 | 0.43 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.38 |
| 5 | 0.70 | 0.95 | 0.78 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.66 | 0.61 |
| 6 | 1.10 | 1.61 | 0.45 | 0.55 | 0.54 | 0.77 |  | 0.84 |
| 7 | 1.72 | 0.83 | 1.08 | 1.01 | 1.22 |  |  | 3. .17 |
| 8 |  | 2.09 | 2.81 | 2.20 |  |  |  | 2.37 |

Table 12.
Table 12. Maximum rates of exploitation for Georges Bank herring

| $\underline{1 r}$ | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | YEAR CLASS |  |  | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | Weighted mean* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ;1 | 0.31 | 0.35 | 0.16 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.31 |
| 12 | 0.94 | 0.93 | 0.42 | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.81 |
| 13 | 0.84 | 0.86 | 0.62 | 0.56 | 0.22 | 0.16 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.45 |
| 14 |  |  | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.53 | 0.22 | 0.12 | 0.03 |  |  |  | 0.45 |
| 15 |  |  |  | 0.48 | 0.19 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.01 |  |  |  | 0.14 |
| 6 |  |  |  | 0.87 | 0.60 | 0.30 | 0.14 | 0.05 | 0.01 |  |  | 0.30 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  | 0.94 | 0.57 | 0.28 | 0.15 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.44 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.89 | 0.66 | 0.45 | 0.39 | 0.03 | 0.34 | 0.62 |

ighted by catch in tons of each year class

Table 13. Maximum values of $F$ for Georges Bank herring

| Ir | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | Weighted |
| :---: |
| mean* |

lighted by catch in tons of each year class


[^0]:    1/ Both red and white hake

[^1]:    1 From Boyar, H. C. 1968

