INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION FOR



THE NORTHWEST ATLANTIC FISHERIES

Serial No. 3041 (D.c. 9) ICNAF Res.Doc. 73/87

ANNUAL MEETING - JUNE 1973

Catch per unit effort relationship in Grand Bank American plaice (ICNAF Divs. 3L and 3N)

Ъy

## T. K. Pitt

Fisheries Research Board of Canada Biological Station, St. John's, Nfld.

## Introduction

Previous assessments of American plaice for ICNAF Divisions 3L and 3N (Pitt, 1970 and 1971) were based on the virtual population model as modified by Gulland (1965) and Jones (1961 and 1968). This model calculates fishing mortalities for fish of different year classes and age groups for the number of fish raught and does not require estimates of effort. This assessment is based on catch and effort data using the model developed by Schaefer (1954).

Commercial plaice fishery on the Grand Bank was to a considerable extent a Canadian fishery, since up to the mid 1960's, boats of the latter country landed 85-90% of the total catch from Divisions 3L and 3N. During the mid 1960's, however, European trawlers began taking plaice almost exclusively in Division 3N. Of the total landings Canada (N) has taken the greatest proportion (Table 1) and it is on these data that this document is based.

#### Materials and Methods

Most of the Newfoundland based trawlers have recorded fairly accurate log-sheets since the 1950's it the request of the St. John's Biological Station of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada. These logs recorded fishing location, catch and duration of the actual fishing time in each statistical unit area. The Crand Bank fishery has been entirely by otter trawler, which up to 1965 were primarily side trawlers ICNAF Tonnage Class 4); however, in recent years the latter type was gradually replaced by stern trawler (Tonnage Class 5). Effort by the Newfoundland fleet was standardized by plotting catch per hour of side trawler on comparable monthly data for stern trawlers in the same statistical area. A line of best fit wassing through the origin gave a slope of 0.8 (Fig. 1) which was used to convert Tonnage Class 4 to Class 5 ffort.

The total effort was determined by dividing total landings (Nominal Catch for ICNAF Statistical Full.) by the catch/effort of Canada (N) (Class 5 0.T.). Two categories of catch/effort were calculated: (1) Main species plaice where plaice was the species taken in the greatest proportion in a statistical area for a particular trip, and (2) catch and effort where any plaice was recorded in the catch although not necessarily caught in the greatest proportion.

The regression of catch/hour against a 5 year running average of standardized effort was plotted: (1) for main species plaice, and (2) plaice recorded in the catch (Fig. 2B and Table 1); that is, catch/hour in year i on the average of the effort in year i and in the preceding 4 years.

The nominal catches of plaice by European countries, particularly the USSR and Poland which up to 1970 reported flatfish as unspecified flounder, were broken down on the basis of 1970 proportions (Pitt, 1972).

#### Results and Discussion

The regression equation (Fig. 2B) for catch per hour with (1) plaice main species, and (2) plaice in catch were as follows:

|     | Intercept | Slope  | Correlation Coefficient |
|-----|-----------|--------|-------------------------|
| (1) | 1058.720  | -5.856 | -0.932                  |
| (2) | 967.126   | -5.075 | -0.936                  |

The equilibrium yield curves (Fig. 2A) derived from the catch per unit effort on effort relationships have a maximum between 45 and 50 thousand tons at 90 thousand standard hours. The plot of actual yields from the fishery for years 1956-1971 corresponded to the equilibrium yield points up to 1964, but beyond this the points were all above the curve. The increase in the amount of effort expended in catching plaice when it was not the main species (dotted lines with arrows, Fig. 2A) can be attributed to the increase in the effort for yellowtail which replaced American plaice as the main species with greater frequency in recent years.

An annual yield of 35-40 thousand tons for 3L and 15-20 thousand tons for 3N was suggested in a previous assessment (Pitt, 1972). The sustainable yield for 3L and 3N at the optimal level from yield per recruit curves is 60 thousand tons which was the quota established for 1973 including an estimate of 8 thousand tons for Division 30.

The quotas were set at the "optimum" yield rather than at the M.S.Y. The type of yield curve produced for plaice had a rather indefinite M.S.Y. with a gradual increase in yield per recruit to values of F of 2.0 and beyond. The independent assessment produced here while indicating a M.S.Y. below the previous assessment confirms that the quotas arrived at in 1972 were within the range of the M.S.Y.

### References

- Gulland, J. A. 1965. Estimates of mortality rates, Annexto Arctic Fisheries Work Group Report. ICES C. M. 1965 (3). (Mimeo).
- dones, R. 1961. The assessment of long-term effects of changes in gear selectivity and fishing effort. Mar. Res. No. 2, 1-19.

1968. Annex to report of the Northwestern Working Group. ICES C. M. 1968 (20). (Mimeo).

Titt, T. K. 1971. Assessment of American plaice stocks in 3L and 3N. Annu. Meet. int. Comm. Northw. Atlant. Fish. 1971, Res. Doc. No. 111, Serial No. 2596.

1972. Assessment of American plaice stocks in 3L and 3N, Annu. Meet. int. Comm. Northw. Atlant. Fish. 1972, Res. Doc. No. 14, Serial No. 2698.

Schaefer, M. B. 1954. Some aspects of the dynamics of populations important to the management of commercial marine fisheries. Bull. Inter. Amer. Trop. Tuna Comm., 1: 26-56.

| Year | Canada(N)<br>(tons) | Total<br>catch<br>(tons) | Main sp. plaice |               | Plaice in catch |               |
|------|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
|      |                     |                          | Hours<br>('000) | C.P.U.E. (Kg) | Hours<br>('000) | C.P.U.E. (Kg) |
| 1956 | 7,336               | 12,386                   | 10.1            | 1226          | 11.7            | 1059          |
| 1957 | 6,477.              | 11,664                   | 10.6            | 1100          | 12.6            | 926           |
| 1958 | 9,304               | 17,295                   | 15.5            | 1116          | 19.3            | 896           |
| 1959 | 11,688              | 17,208                   | 16.6            | 1037          | 19.5            | 882           |
| 1960 | 14,274              | 23,153                   | 21.7            | 1067          | 23 <b>. 7</b>   | 696           |
| 1961 | 11,166              | 15 <b>,</b> 970          | 16.9            | 945           | 19.2            | 831           |
| 1962 | 12,341              | 16,495                   | 21.0            | 785           | 23.7            | 696           |
| 1963 | 15,392              | 23,993                   | 26.2            | 916           | 28.7            | 836           |
| 1964 | 24,744              | 35,155                   | 36.9            | 953           | 41.1            | 855           |
| 1965 | 35,550              | 50,342                   | 54.7            | 920           | 58 <b>.9</b>    | 854           |
| 1966 | 36,566              | 52,345                   | 59.9            | 874           | 65.7            | 797           |
| 1967 | 43,290              | 61,435                   | 73.3            | 838           | 82.2            | 747           |
| 1968 | 41,224              | 57,280                   | 90.8            | 631           | 100.6           | 569           |
| 1969 | 57,843              | 64,217                   | 117.8           | 545           | 126.3           | 508           |
| 1970 | 46,977              | 58,886                   | 115.2           | 511           | 145.4           | 405           |
| 1971 | 42,668              | 60,115                   | 127.0           | 473           | 167.5           | 359           |

# Table 1. Catch and effort data for ICNAF Divisions 3L and 3N plaice 1956-71.

\_ \_ \_

.

- 3 -

B 4

.



Fig. 1. Plot of catch/effort side trawl (Canada(N) 0.T. 4)
on catch/effort stern trawler (Canada(N) 0.T. 5).

B 5



Fig. 2. A. Equilibrium yield curves for American plaice Divisions 3L and 3N (1) solid lines; main species plaice and (2) broken lines; plaice in the catch. Actual yield for the various years plotted, with arrows indicating position on X axis (effort) for "plaice recorded in the catch".

B. Catch/effort or effort for (1) main species plaice and (2) plaice in catch.