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Spanish understand that the "second tier TAC" which is applied to subarea 5 and statistical area 6 means a second reduction witoh respect the total amount allowed to every country and must represent the same proportional reduction for each country.

We think that reduction must be applied in the following way:

To add all the individual quotas by species, except "squid" allocated to each country. (not including the coastal states).

To look at which is the corresponding reduction to the totel amount of all these partial additions when we distribute 650.000 Tns. less the quantities allocated to coastal states i.e. $230.000+22.000=398.000$.

This percentage of reduction must be deducted of the partials amounts of species allocated to each country (except squid).

By no means can be made as has been made deducting the squid species allocated to each country for 1.976 of the total second tier TAC which correspond to the countries in 1.975.

In the Spanish proposal Comm. Doc $75 / 35$ was quite clear then the squid must not be included among the other species to find the second tier TAC (in the manner has been made it is included) and so was recognised by the Chairman of Panel 5 as it is said in pag. 14 proceeding 11.
i) squid was not to be included in the second tier TAC.
ii) the second tier TAC would remajn at 650.000 metric tons.
iii) TACs be set and allocations made for lolligo and illex seperately but outside second tier.
iv) by cat catches from squid fisheries be included in second tier.

Considerins that the spanish allocations were 6.645 Tons. of cod, 600 Tons. of pollock 300 Tons. of haddock, that is to say 7.545 mons.
in total, the second tiex TAC for Spain cannot be reducted to 500 Tons. which represent a $93.37 \%$ of reduction.

An approximated calculation show us the following. Addition of the TACs (Table 1 pag. 8 proceeding 11 apend. 1).
899.000 Tons.

To deduct

| Loligo . . . . . | 44.000 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Illex . . . . . | 30.000 |
| Mackerel (Sub $3+4)$ | 56.000 |
| Pollock (Sub 4XWX) | 27.500 |
| Canada . . . . . | 22.000 |
| USA . . . . . 230.000 |  |

409.500
489.500
$\begin{array}{ccc}\text { Second tier TAC. } & 650.000 \\ \left.\begin{array}{cc}\text { Quotas Canada + USA } & 252.000 \\ \text { " "Others" (Cuba)22.350 } & 274.350 \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \end{array}\right)\end{array}$
375.650 \% $489.500=76.74 \%$ that is to say $23.26 \%$ of reduction.

$$
\begin{aligned}
7.545 \times 76.74 \%= & 5.790 \text { Tons } 。 ~
\end{aligned}
$$

that should be the amount correspondig to Spain.
For all the reasons expresed above Spain is obliged to object the proposal (11) par. b) for the conservation finfinsh in sub. 5 and statistical area 6 .

