International Commission for



the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries

Serial No. 4037 (D.c.3) ICNAF Res. Doc. 76/XII/141

NINTH SPECIAL COMMISSION MEETING - DECEMBER 1976

Analyses of mackers! by-catch in the international fishery in ICNAF Subarea 5 and Statistical Area 6 determined from individual trawl hauls

by

Fredric M. Serchuk

National Marine Fisheries Service Northeast Fisheries Center Woods Hole Laboratory Woods Hole, Massachusetts 02543 USA

Abstract

Mackerel by-catch in the international fishery operating in ICNAF Subarea 6 and Statistical Area 6 during 1974-1976 was assessed from 137 individual trawl haul catches observed by USA officials during courtesy vessel boardings and inspections conducted under the ICNAF Scheme of Joint International Enforcement. By-catch ratios were determined for each haul and were also derived for country, area, time, and gear-type categories. Few differences in mackerel by-catch ratios were evident between years or between countries during any month for a particular fishing gear (pelagic or bottom trawl). Equally, by-catch ratio differences between areas fished during similar time periods with similar gear were slight. A consistent distinction in by-catch was found between fishing gear; bottom trawls generally had much higher by-catch ratios than pelagic ones. Herring, alewife, squid, hake and dogfish were the most frequent by-catch species. The USSR pelagic mackerel fishery possessed the lowest by-catch ratio of any of the countries analyzed although the data were not sufficient to statistically examine country by-catch differences. By-catch values determined from the trawl haul analyses were considerably smaller than the corresponding ratios derived from the ICNAF Statistical Bulletin. Possible reasons for this discrepancy are enumerated.

Introduction

Since 1973, the regulation of all major directed fisheries in ICNAF Subarea 5 and Statistical Area 6 has consisted of a system of national quotas. Derivation of these national quotas from fishing effort and nominal catch data has required that the effect of incidental catch or by-catch be considered in the management of mixed species fisheries. Accordingly, accurate assessment of by-catch ratios in the principal directed fisheries is basic to this objective.

The data base generally used to estimate the proportions of by-catch and directed catch is the summary information tabulated in Table 5 of the ICNAF Statistical Bulletin. These records were employed in the linear programming simulation analyses of Palmer et al. (1976) and Brown et al. (1973, 1975). Inadequacies in data reporting on logbooks and to ICNAF (ICNAF 1974, 1975a, 1975b, 1976; Lopez-Veiga and Vazquez, 1974; Brown et al. 1975), however, may cause by-catch ratios calculated from the Bulletin values to be in error. The lack of reporting or underestimates of by-catch reported on logbooks will cause by-catch ratios to be underestimated while combination of data from more than one directed fishery under a mixed category will result in an overestimation of by-catch. Hence, a more detailed assessment of by-catch appears desireable.

The present paper summarizes mackerel by-catch data from 1974-1976 based on the composition of trawl catches observed by USA officials during courtesy vessel boardings and inspections conducted under the ICNAF Scheme of Joint International Enforcement. Observations were made on fishing vessels from ten different countries and were conducted during various times throughout the year. The purpose of this paper is to indicate the spatial and temporal trends occurring in mackerel by-catch and relate these to specific country-gear mackerel fisheries. Additionally, a comparison of by-catch ratios between the Bulletin and boarding data is performed.

Methods and Materials

Information on the species composition and biomass of trawl hauls by fishing vessels was obtained from USA inspection and boarding reports. Only those trawl hauls which contained mackerel were selected for analysis. For the 1974-1976 period, 137 mackerel-containing hauls were evaluated. Data from these hauls were identified by several factors: country, vessel type, gear, area fished, year, and month (Table 1). Determination of last haulback biomass and composition was generally assessed independently by the USA officials (NMFS, Northeast Region, Law Enforcement Division, Boarding Form Instructions Letter, dated 30 September 1974), although, in some cases, the vessel's trawl logbook was used to obtain this information.

Each trawl haul was characterized by the species which comprised a simple plurality of the weight of the catch, and this species was designated the "main species" sought. For each haul, the biomass of each species was expressed as a proportion of the catch of the main species. This ratio "r", which may vary from zero to unity for any species, is a measure of the by-catch expressed as a fraction of the main species caught.

In some cases, two species of equal biomass predominated a trawl catch. When this occurred, both species were listed as main species, and by-catch ratios were determined using the weight of only one of the species.

Overall species by-catch ratios (country/month/area/gear-type values) for hauls in which mackerel was the main species were obtained by summing the catch biomass over the appropriate hauls and computing "r" as described above. This procedure is equivalent to computing a weighted mean and was used because of the large variation in the weight caught within similar trawl hauls. Hauls for which only percent composition of the catch (rather than biomass) was recorded were omitted from the overall by-catch calculation since they lacked weighting factors.

Results and Discussion

By-catch ratios of the trawl hauls examined by USA boarding and inspection officials are presented by ICNAF Convention and Statistical Areas in Tables 2-6. Tables 7-11 summarize these findings by months for all years for which data were available. Generally, there was little difference in the species composition and by-catch ratios during any month, between these years or between countries, for a particular fishing gear (pelagic or bottom) in any area. Differences that occurred were usually associated with hauls having a relatively small total catch (\leq 2 tons). The similarity in type and magnitude of monthly by-catch between years corroborates the findings of Brennan (1975) which showed no consistent yearly differences in a country's annual by-catch ratio.

For all areas combined, mackerel was the main species landed in 81.4% (70/86) of the pelagic hauls and 45.1% (23/51) of the bottom hauls analyzed. By-catch values for pelagic mackerel catches were similar for all countries between areas during December-April when almost all species ratios were much less than 0.06. For these months, 88% (59/67) of the total pelagic hauls examined were predominated by mackerel (suggesting a highly directed fishery during this period), with 64% of these representing mackerel-only catches.

Although by-catch ratios of species caught in bottom hauls containing mackerel as the main finfish were of the same magnitude as the pelagic hauls taken in winter and early spring (most values <0.06), only 48.6% (17/35) of these efforts resulted in mackerel-dominated catches. Hence, the by-catch values derived from the bottom trawl hauls for mackerel are misleading since this type of fishery can hardly be categorized as "directed".

Overall by-catch ratios for Subarea 5 and Statistical Area 6 (Table 12) further illustrated the difference in incidental catch between pelagic and bottom gear. In both areas, by-catch ratios were usually higher for bottom hauls than for pelagic ones. This distinction was evident in almost all countries which fished with both trawl types.

Herring, alewife, squid, hake, and dogfish were the groups most often taken as by-catch in the mackerel-dominated hauls. Overall monthly by-catch ratios for these species ranged as high as 1.00 for herring and alewife (Bulgaria, April, 5Ze, pelagic trawl and GDR, November, 5Ze, pelagic trawl), 0.670 for squid (Japan, March, 6B, bottom trawl), 0.337 for silver hake (USSR, May, 5Ze, bottom trawl), and 0.069 for dogfish (Cuba, March, 5Ze, bottom trawl). Usually these same groups appeared as main species in the hauls in which mackerel were present but did not comprise a plurality of the biomass (Table 13). In most of these cases, it is significant to note that mackerel was the largest by-catch species. These findings parallel the by-catch observations tabulated in Appendix Table 1 of Palmer et al. (1976) where mackerel is listed as the dominant by-catch species in the directed fisheries for silver hake, herring, and squid.

Due to the variability of the presence of individual species caught in hauls in which mackerel was the main species, the limited number of hauls analyzed in any country/month/area category, and the lack of a statistically valid sampling design, it is difficult to assess the statistical significance of the computed by-catch ratios, and thus the observed differences should not be interpreted literally. However, a general indication of the magnitude of mackerel by-catch was achieved by examining the distribution of the composite by-catch by country and area over all hauls (Tables 14-17). In the country analyses (Tables 14 and 15), the USSR exhibited the most directed pelagic fishery for mackerel (94.9% of the hauls were predominated by mackerel), followed by Bulgaria (88.9%), GDR (83.3%), and Poland (65.0%). The USSR pelagic fishery also possessed the lowest by-catch ratio with 94.6% of the directed hauls containing 3% or less of by-catch biomass. Corresponding values at the 3% level for the other major countries in the pelagic mackerel fishery were: GDR (70.0%), Bulgaria (62.5%), and Poland (30.8%). Overall, 75.7% of the directed mackerel pelagic hauls contained a by-catch of less than 3%.

Bottom trawling efforts for mackerel were much less productive in securing mackerel as the main species than were the pelagic hauls, and resulted in a larger by-catch (Table 15). Although the USSR again displayed the lowest by-catch (61.5% of directed mackerel hauls had less than 3% by-catch biomass), only 39.1% of the total directed hauls had a by-catch biomass of this amount. Clearly, bottom trawling for mackerel is relatively unselective.

Pelagic trawling for mackerel was most species-selective in Division 6A where all hauls analyzed had mackerel as the main species (Table 16). However, the lowest proportion of by-catch was achieved in Subdivision 5Zw; here all mackerel-directed pelagic hauls contained less than 3% by-catch, with all but one haul (95%) possessing no species other than mackerel. A by-catch of less than 3% was obtained in 74.2% of the pelagic mackerel hauls made over all fishery areas.

As expected, by-catch in directed mackerel bottom hauls in all areas fished was large (Table 17). By-catch frequencies were lowest in Subdivision 5Ze (66.7% of the hauls here contained less than 3% by-catch biomass) but only 56% of the total hauls made in this area had mackerel as the main species. For all areas combined, less than 46% of the bottom hauls were mackerel-dominated and only 39.1% of these had a by-catch of less than 3%.

Comparison of the overall country by-catch ratios (all gear and areas) with those derived from the ICNAF Statistical Bulletin (listed in Palmer et al., 1976) shows that the trawl haul values are considerably smaller than the Bulletin values for each of the four major nations fishing mackerel (Table 18). For most of the by-catch species, the trawl haul ratios are an order of magnitude less than the Bulletin ratios. This pronounced difference between the data sets is probably real and may be due to the following reasons: 1) exclusion of trawl hauls which were directed for mackerel but which failed to catch mackerel as the main species. This situation would cause the trawl haul ratios to be biased downward; 2) comparison of the 1974-1976 trawl haul data with the 1972-1974 Bulletin data will reveal differences since the older Bulletin values reflect the mixed fishery status prevalent in those years. More recent data (now unavailable) should more closely approximate the trawl haul values; 3) the Bulletin values for 1972-1974 reflect a greater effort with bottom gear than is currently employed. Hence, these ratios are overestimates of the present incidental catch; and 4) trawl haul data derived from logbooks may be underestimates if discards have been excluded or small quantities not recorded. Comparison of the trawl logbook catch with the production logbook values observed by international inspectors suggests that this latter situation is not infrequent.

Although the present analyses indicate that by-catch ratios are generally minimal in the pelagic mackerel fishery, continued future monitoring of the by-catch is needed. This is required because pelagic trawls can be fished near the bottom and thus achieve the higher by-catch observed in bottom trawls. Even pelagic hauls were noted to occasionally result in high by-catch values (up to 1.00) for critical species. Hence, efforts to more rigorously determine the directed fishery of a country's fleet and more accurate reporting and monitoring of fishery by-catch will be beneficial in deriving more representative future by-catch values.

Literature Cited

- Brennan, J. A. 1975. By-catch trends of selected fisheries operating in ICNAF Subareas 5 and 6. Int. Comm. Northw. Atlant. Fish., Res. Doc/ 75/70, Serial No. 3554.
- Brown, B. E., J. A. Brennan, E. G. Heyerdahl, and R. C. Hennemuth. 1973. Effect of by-catch on the management of mixed species fisheries in Subarea 5 and Statistical Area 6. Int. Comm. Northw. Atlant. Fish., Redbook 1973, Part III: 217-231.
 - ______, and J. E. Palmer. 1975. Linear programming simulations of the effect of by-catch on national catches in ICNAF Subarea 5 and Statistical Area 6. Int. Comm. Northw. Atlant. Fish., Res. Doc. 75/68 (Revised), Serial No. 3552.
- ICNAF. 1974. Memorandum of the USA concerning Subarea 5-Statistical Area 6 problems. Int. Comm. Northw. Atlant. Fish., Comm. Doc. 74/41, Serial No. 3418.
- ——— 1975a. Report of Standing Committee on Research and Statistics May-June 1975. Int. Comm. Northw. Atlant. Fish., Redbook 1975: 11-22.
- ------- 1975b. Canadian views on the by-catch problem. Int. Comm. Northw. Atlant. Fish., Comm. Doc. 75/13, Serial No. 3464.
- ——. 1976. Report of Standing Committee on Research and Statistics -May-June 1976. App. I. Report of Assessments Subcommittee. Int. Comm. Northw. Atlant. Fish., Redbook 1976: 67-117.
- Lopez-Veiga, E. C., and A. Vazquez. 1974. Some observations on board two Spanish trawlers. Int. Comm. Northw. Atlant. Fish., Res. Doc. 74/88, Serial No. 3324.
- Palmer, J. E., B. E. Brown, and J. A. Brennan. 1976. Linear programming simulations of the effects of by-catch on national quotas in ICNAF Subarea 5 and Statistical Area 6. Int. Comm. Northw. Atlant. Fish., Res. Doc. 76/VI/103, Serial No. 3926.

Total number of				
trawls analyzed	Month	Area	Vessel type	Gear
65	Jan - 8 Feb -20 Mar -19 Apr - 8 May - 3 Jun - 3 Oct - 3 Dec - 1	5Ze-36 5Zw-18 6A - 9 6B - 2	Stern-62 Side - 3	Bottom - 26 Pelagic- 39
25	Jan - 5 Feb - 1 Mar - 2 Apr - 5 May - 4 Nov - 8	5Ze-10 5Zw- 1 6A - 4 6B - 5 6C - 2 5Y - 3	Stern-21 Side - 4	Bottom - 5 Pelagic -20
10	Jan - 2 Feb - 1 Mar - 2 Apr - 1 May - 2 Dec - 2	5Ze- 2 5Zw- 6 6A - 1 6B - 1	Stern-10	Bottom - 1 Pelagic - 9
2	May - 1 Nov - 1	6A - 2	Stern- 2	Bottom - 2
15	Jan - 6 Feb - 4 Mar - 1 Apr - 1 May - 2 Nov - 1	5Ze- 2 5Zw- 2 6A - 3 6B - 7 6C - 1	Stern-10 Side - 5	Bottom - 3 Pelagic- 12
5	Nov - 3 Nov - 2	6A - 1 6B - 2 6C - 1 6D - 1	Stern -5	Bottom - 3 Pelagic- 2
7	Feb - 2 Mar - 4 May - 1	5Zw - 2 6A - 3 6B - 2	Stern- 5 Side - 2	Bottom - 7
1	0ct - 1	5Ze - 1	Stern - 1	Pelagic - 1
5	Mar - 4 May - 1	5Ze – 4 5Zw – 1	Stern - 5	Bottom - 3 Pelagic - 2
2	Apr - 2	6B - 2	Stern - 2	Bottom - 1 Pelagic - 1
137	Jan -21 Feb -28 Mar -35 Apr -17 May -14 Jun - 3 Oct - 4 Nov -12	5Ze -55 5Zw -30 6A -23 6B -21 6C - 4 6D - 1 5Y - 3	Stern-123 Side- 14	Bottom -51 Pelagic-86
	65 25 10 2 15 5 7 1 5 7 1 5 2	65 Jan - 8 Feb -20 Mar -19 Apr - 8 May - 3 Jun - 3 Oct - 3 Dec - 1 25 Jan - 5 Feb - 1 Mar - 2 Apr - 5 May - 4 Nov - 8 10 Jan - 2 Feb - 1 Mar - 2 Apr - 5 May - 4 Nov - 8 10 Jan - 2 Feb - 1 Mar - 2 Apr - 1 May - 2 Dec - 2 2 May - 1 Nov - 1 15 Jan - 6 Feb - 4 Mar - 1 Apr - 1 May - 2 Nov - 1 5 Nov - 3 Nov - 2 7 Feb - 2 Mar - 4 May - 1 1 Oct - 1 5 Mar - 4 May - 1 2 Apr - 2 137 <t< td=""><td>$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$</td><td>$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$</td></t<>	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Table 1. Summary of trawl hauls containing mackerel obtained from USA courtesy vessel boarding and inspection reports, 1974-1976.

- 5 -

<u>/Yr _</u>	Nation	Vessel type	Gear	Main species	Mackerel catch (tons)	by-catch <u>ratio</u>	Sqd	Hake	Dog	Her	<u>Lo1</u>	<u>m_</u>	<u>F10</u>	Cod	Ale	<u>But</u>	<u>5 H I</u>	<u>R H</u>	Scup	<u> 05P</u>
ın 75	USSR	Stern	Pel	Mac	15	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_
eb 75	USSR	Stern	Pel	Mac Mac	8 3	1.00 1.00	-	:	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Pol	Stern	Pel	Sqd	0.06	0.60	1.00	0.40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
eb 76	USSR	Stern	Pe1	Mac	3	1.00	-	:	-	-	:	-	-	:	-	2	:	-	-	-
				Mac Mac	2 0.16	1.00	-	0.13	0.20	-	· -	0.31	-	:	-	-	-	-	-	-
				Mac Mac	15 20	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Stern	Bot	Hak	1.5	0.33	-	1.00	:	-	-	-	0.111	0.111	:	-	-	0.044	-	-
				Mac Mac	25 23	1.00 1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
				Мас	9.4 10	1.00 1.00	-	-	0.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
				Mac Mac	20	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
lar 75	USSR	Stern	Pel	Mac	0.5	1.00	-	:	-	1	-	-	-	-	Ξ·	-	-	-	-	-
				Mac Mac	2 4	1.00	-	0.01	-	-	-	-	-	0.01 0.001	-	-	-	-	-	-
				Mac	5	1.00	-	-	-	-	- 20	0.03		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Stern	Bot	Mac	3	1.00	-	-	-	-	0.30	0.05	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
lar 76	USSR	Stern	Pe1	Mac Mac	7 50	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0
		Stern	8ot	Мас	25	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
		Stern	001	Mac Mac	30 20	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.005	; -	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Side	Bot	Sqd	0.05	0.50	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.70	-	-	0.2
	Cuba	Stern	Pel	Mac	3	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	U UDU	Stern	Bot	Mac	3	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	:	Ξ	-	:	:
		Stern	000	Mac Mac	9 2.5	1.00 1.00	-	0.08	0.11 3 -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Apr 75	Bul	Stern	Pe1	Mac & He	er 1.4	1.00	0.57	-	-	1.00) -	-	-	-	-	0.29	- 1.00	-	-	-
Арт 76	USSR	Stern	Bot	S Н S Н	0.04 0.08	0.01 0.01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Ξ	-	-	1,00	-	-	-
	Po]	Stern	Pel	Mac	10	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	5 - -	-	-	-	-	-	2	0.0
	r v i			Mac Ill	16 0.06	1.00 <0.01	-	-	-	-	-	1.0	a –	-	-	<0.01	-	-	-	-
May 75	USSR	Side	Bot	Mac	120	1.00	-	-	-	-	0.07	7 0.0	0.13	-	-	0.17	0.33	0.13 0.05	-	0.0 0.0
1443 / -		Stern	Bot	Mac & S	1	1.00	-		-	-	-	1.0		_	<0.01	<0.01			-	-
	Pal	Stern	Bot	111	0.1	<0.01	-	-	-	1.0			-	-	_	-	-	-	•	-
	Bu 1	Stern	Pel	Mac & H		1.00	-	-	-	1.0	•	1.0	o _	_	-	0.06	; _	-	-	-
Hay 7	5 GDR	Stern	Pel	111	1.2	0.06	-	-	-	-	-	1.0	v -	_		_	1.00	-	-	0.
Jun 70	5 USSR	Stern	Bot	SH	<0.04 0.015	<0.01 0.003	0.0	- D3 -	Ξ	-	:	2	-	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	-
				S H Hak	0.015	0.01	0.0 0.3	9 1.0	- 00	-	-	-	0.01		-	-	-	-		0.
Oct 7	4 USSR	Stern	Bot	RH	0.5	0.07	-	:	-	0.0 0.0	7 -	-	-	0.07	-	0.04	0.07	- (-	-
				S H S H	0.5 0.2	0.08 0.15	-	0.3		-	-	-	0.28	3 0.03) -	-	1.00) -	-	-
0ct 7	5 Rom	Sterr	ı Pel	Her	0.2	0.06	0.0	6 -	-	1.0	- 0	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nov 7		Sterr			Ale 13.5	1.00	-	-	-	0.2		-	-	-	1.0	0 -	-	-	-	•
	Pol	Sterr		Her	0:3	0.05	-	-	-	1.0	- 90	-	-	0.0	5 -	2	-	-	-	
				Mac Mac	20 24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.2	5 -	-	:	-	
				Mac	2.4	-	-	0. _	13 -	0.1	13 - 25 -	-	-	0.1	7 -		-	-	-	0
				Mac	Ų.a	-									_	_	-	-	-	

Table 2. By-catch ratios of species caught in individual trawl hau's containing mackerel in ICNAF Subdiv. 5Ze. Catch data obtained from USA courtesy boarding and inspection reports, 1974-1976.

- 6 -

Mo/Yr	Nation	Vessel type	Gear	Main speci <u>es</u>	Mackerel catch (tons)	Mackerel by-catch ratio	Sqd	Hake	Dbg	Her	Lol	<u>111</u>	Flo	Çod	Ale	But	SH	RH	Scp	Lob	Shad	OSP
Jan 75	USSR	Stern	Pel	Hac Mac Mac Mac	0.5 40 10 19	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00							-			- - -			-	-		
	Bul	Stern	Pe1	Mac	10	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Feb 75	USSR	Stern	Pel	Мас	10	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Bul	Stern	Pe1	Mac	20	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	GDR	Stern	Pel	Mac	15	1.00		-	-	-	-	.•	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Feb 76	Spa	Stern Side	Bot Bot	Lo1 Lo1	0.2	0.09 0.06	:	0.03	-	:	1.00 1.00	0.16	0.03	-	-	-	-	:	-	-	-	2
Mar 75	USSR	Stern	Pel	Mac Mac Mac Mac Mac Mac Mac Mac	100% 6 3 15 18 3 15 5	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	-	-	-	•	•											-
	Bull	Stern	Pel	SH	0.45	0.19	-	-	-	0.03	0.01	-	-	-	-	0.03	1.00	-	-	-	-	-
Apr 75	USSR	Stern	Pe1	Her Her	0.4 0.8	0.27 0.50	0.06	-	0.20 0.75	$1.00 \\ 1.00$	0.07	-	:	:	:	0.06	0.13	0.40	:	Ξ	-	:
		Stern	Bot	Dog Mac	3% 2	0.03 1.00	-	:	1.00 0.01	0.01	-	:	2	• =	-	:	0.01 0.01	:	Ξ	0.01	Ξ	Ξ
	Po)	\$1de	Bot	Mac	2	1.00	-	-	-	-	0.02	-	-	-	-	0. 0 1	0.01	•	-	0.01	-	-
May 75	USSR	Stern	Bot	Hak	<0.4*	0.20*	-	1.00	-	0.30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.20
	Bu'l	Stern	Bot	Mac	5.2	1.00	0.15	0.08	-	0.15	-	-	<0.02	-	-	-	-	-	<0.01	-	-	-
May 76	GDR	Stern	Pel	Mac	30	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-
	Cuba	Stern	Pel	Mac	30	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- '	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dec 74	Bu 1	Stern	Pe]	Mac Mac	4.9 6	1.00 1.00	:	:	-	:	Ξ	-	-	-	-	Ξ	2	-	2	-	0.02	:

- 7 -

Table 3.	By-catch ratio of species caught in individual trawl hauls containing mackerel in ICNAF Subdiv. 52w.
	Catch data obtained from USA courtesy vessel boarding and inspection reports, 1974-1976.

*Combined in total by-catch.

Table 4. By-catch ratios of species caught in individual trawl hauls containing mackarel in Division 6A. Catch data obtained from USA courtesy vessel boarding and inspection reports, 1974-1976.

Mo/Yr	Nation	Vessel type	Gear	Main species	Mackerel catch (tons)	Mackerel by-catch ratio	Sqd	Hake	Dog	Her	Lol	m	Flo	Çod	Ale	But	<u>s H</u>	Scup	Men	OPS
Jan 75	Pol	Stern	Pel	Mac Mac	69 42	1.00 1.00	:	-	2	-	Ξ	:	-	-	0.10	:	-	-	-	-
lan 76	GDR	Side	Pe1	Mac	0.7	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.06	0.06	-	-	-	-	. •
	USSR	Stern	Pel	Nac Mac	20 22	1.00 1.00	:	-	2	-	-	:	:	2	-	-	-	:	:	-
Feb 76	USSR	Stern	Pel	Mac Mac Mac Mac Mac	9.9 30 30 31 2	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00		-	-	-				-	-		0.01	-		
				Mac	1	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-
4ar 75	GDR Bul	Stern Stern	Pel Pel	Mac Mac	20 34.9	1.00 1.00	-	- 0.001	- 0.001	_ ·	-	-	-	- 0.001	- ·	-	-	-	-	-
	Јар	Stern	Bot	Lol	0.03	0.27	-	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	-	-	0.09	-	-	-	-
	Spa	Stern	Bot	Mac But Sqd	1 10% 0.9*	1.00 0.25 0; 43*	0.30 0.88 1.00	0.01 0.13	0.13	-	-	-	0.02 0.13 -	- ` -	-	1.00	-	-	-	0.02 0.43
Apr 75	USSR	Stern	Bot	Mac	2.4	1.00	0.04	0.04	-	0.13	- ´	-	0.04	-	-	-	-	-	0.04	-
Apr 76	GOR	Stern	Pel	Mac	19.8	1.00	0.01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nay 75	Po1	Side Stern	Bot Pel	Scup Mac	D.3 5	0.21 1.00	2	:	:	0.20	-	:	-	:	:	0.20	:	1.00 0.20	-	-
May 76	Ire	Stern	Bot	111	0.4	0.04	-	<0.01	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	-	<0.01	-	-	-	-
Nov 74	Ire	Stern	Bot	Loi & But	211	0.04*	-	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	-	0.0

*Combined in total by-catch.

Ho/Yr	Nation_	Vessel type	Gear	Main species	Mackerel catch (tons)	Mackerel by-catch ratio	Sqd	Hake	Dog	Her	Loi	m_	F1 <u>0</u>	Cod	Ale	But	S H	Lob	0 <u>S</u> P
Jan 75	Po1	Stern	Pel	Mac & Cod	0.1	1.00	-	-	0.50	-	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	0.83	-	-
lan 76	USSR	Stern	Pel	Mac	1	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	GDR	Side	Pel	Ale	0.2	0.67	-	-	-	0.10	-	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	-	-
		Stern	Pel	Mac Mac	31.5 29.7	1.00 1.00	2	:	0.005	:	:	-	:	2	0.11 0.005	:	Ξ	:	:
		Side	Bot	Ale Mac	1.2 2.3	0.25 1.00	2	Ξ	0.01	0.01	2	:	-	:	1.00 0.09	-	:	2	:
	Pol	Stern	Pet	Mac Mac	5 25	1.00	-	:	:	-	-	-	:	:	2	Ξ	-	:	:
	Bul	Stern	Pel	Nac & Ale	10	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	-	-
Feb 76	GDR	Stern	Pel	Nac	6.7	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.04	•	-	-	-
		Side	Bot	Ale	10%*	0.11*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	-	0.1
Mar 75	Spa	Side	Bot	Loi	0.03*	0.01*	-	-	-	-	1.00	0.43	-	-	-	- `	-	-	0.0
	Јар	Stern	Bot	Mac Lol	0.3 0.01*	1.00 0.01*	0.67	0.33	0.33		1.00	0.13	0.33	-	2	0.67 0.13	:	Ξ	0.0
Apr 75	Po]	Side	Bot	Mac	0.8	1,00	-	•	-	0.50	-	-	-	-	0.50	-	-	-	Q.5
	USSR	Side	Bot	Lol	5%	0.06	-	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	-	-	0.03	-	-	0.0
Apr 76	Ita	Stern	Bot	III & Lol	0.1	0.02	-	•	-	-	1.00	1.00	•	-	-	-	0.02	-	-
		Stern	Pel	m	0.2	0.03	-	-	-	-	0.01	1.00	-	-	-	0.03	-	0.01	-
May 75	Pol	Side	Bot	Mac & Her	30%	1.00	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	•	•	0.50	-	-	-	0.8
May 76	Spa	Stern	8ot	m	0.1	0.02	-	-	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	-	-	0.02	-	-

Table 5.	By-catch ratio of species caught in individual trawl hauls containing mackerel in Division 68.
	Catch data obtained from USA courtesy vessel boarding and inspection reports, 1974-1976.

Table 6. By-catch ratios of species caught in individual trawl hauls containing mackerel in Div. 6C, 6D, and 5Y. Catch data obtained from USA courtesy vessel boarding and inspection reports, 1974-1976.

Mo/Yr	Nation	Vessel type	Gear	Main species	Mackerel catch (tons)	Mackerel by-catch ratio	Sqd	Hake	Dog	Her	Lol	Flo	Ale	But	SH	RH	Lob	OSP
DIV 6C																		
Feb 76	GDR	Stern	Pel	Mac	39.6	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.01	-	-	-	-	-
Mar 75	Po1	Stern	Pel	Ale Mac	1% 90%	0.01	-	:	0.01 0.01	0.02	-	:	1.00 0.07	:	-	-	-	0.02
Nov 74	Jap	Stern	Pel	Sqd	0.025	0.025	1.00	0.025	0.025	0.40	-	0.025	-	0.20	-	-	-	0.20
DIV 6D																		
Nov 74	Jap	Stern	Pel	Lo1	0.6	0.33	-	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	-	-	0.17	0.05	0.09
DIV 5V													•					
Nov 74	Pol	Stern	Pe1	Her	0.03	0.11	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nov 75	Pol	Stern	Pel	Her Her	1.1 4%	0.06 0.04	:	:	-	1.00 1.00	:	:	0.06	:	0.01	2	Ξ	-

Table 7. Monthly by-catch ratios of species caught in trawl hauls in which mackerel was the main species landed (ICNAF Subdivision 5Ze).

옾	Nation	Gear	No. of ¹ mackerel trawis	No. of mackerel only trawls	Total mackerel caught (tons)	Squid	Hake	50 <u>0</u>	놂	Lol	Ξ	Flo	Pog	Ale	But	S S	я Н	0SP
Jan	USSR	Pe1	1	1	15	ı	ŀ	B	ŀ	,	ı	•	I	•	•	ı		•
Feb	USSR	Pel	٢	ŝ	51.15	•	0.0004	0.059	ı	ŧ	0.001		ı	ı		ı	ı	ı
		Bot	ŝ	4	87.4	1	·	0.006	ı	٠	٠	1		۲	•		۰	٠
Mar	USSR	Pel	g	ę	68.5	ł	0.0003	ı	I	I	•	ı	0.0004	ı	•	•	·	0.015
		Bot	4	2	78	·	r	ı	ı	0.012	0.001	0.001	•	ı	ı	·	·	·
	Cuba	Pel	1	1	en	·	,	•	ı	ı	ł	,	•	ı	•	•	ı	ı
		Bot	m	1	14.5	,	0.014	0.069	ı		•	ı	t	I	ı	ļ	١	ı
Apr	Pol	Pel	8	0	26	ı	•	·	ı	ł	0.019	ı	•	ı	ı	•	•	0.019
	Bul	Pel	1	o	1.4	0.571	ł	,	1.00	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	0.286	•	۰.	•
¥a V	Bul	Pel	1	0	0.5	i		ı	1.00	•	ı	I	•	, 1	٠	ţ	I	ı
	USSR	Bot	2	0	120.6	١	1		•	0.0002	0.0003	0.133	•	• •	0.0008	0.337	0.133	0.066
Nov	Pol	Pel	4	1	46.7	ı	0.006	•	0.008	ı	ı	ı	0.001	0.128	r	ı	ı	0.002
	GOR	Pel	1	0	13.5	•	ı	ŗ	0.222	ı	,	•	ı	1.000	ı	·	•	١
Dec	USSR	Pel	-	1	0.25	ı	T	·	ı	•	ı	ı		ı	•	•	I	۲
Totals	USSR	Pel Bot All Gr	r 15 11 26	10 6 16	134.90 286 420.90		0.0003 0.0001	0.022 0.002 0.008		0.003 0.0022	0.0004 0.0005 0.0005	0.056 0.038	0.0002 _ 0.0001		0.0003 0.0002	- 0.142 0.096	- 0.056 0.038	0.007 0.028 0.021
	Pol	Pel	9	1	72.7	ł	0.004	1	0.005	•	0.007	ı	0.0007	0.083	I	ı	I	0.008
	Bul	Pel	0	0	1.9	0.421	ł	ı	1.000	ı	ł	ı	ı	I	0.211	4	1	ı
	GDR	Pel	1	0	13.5	ı	•		0.222		,	ı	ı	1.000	•.	1	ı	۲
	Cuba Cuba Cuba	Pel Bot All Gr	M4		3 14.5 17.5		- 0.014 0.011	- 0.069 0.057			111					• • •		
Grand Totals	All Nat	Pel	25	12	226	0.004	0.002	0.002	0.023	ı	0.002	ı	0.0003	0.086	0.002	•	ı	0.007
	All Nat	Bot	14	7	300.5	ı	0.0007	0.005	ı	0.003	0.0005 0.054	0.054	ı		0.0003	0.135	0.053	0.027
	All Nat	Aller	r 39	19	526.5	0,002	0.001	0.009	0.010	0.002	0.001	0.031	0.0001	0.037	0.0009	220.0	0.030	0.018

- 9 -

.

52M).
S no
vtst
fbd
F Su
(ICNA
paput
ž
tes
spec
el was the main species
ē
is ti
1 Ma
ackere.
Š
i in which me
t v
of species caught in trawl hauls in wh
haul
[M
ţ
t t
ų Śn
S S
ecte
s pe
s of
atio
ਦ ਜ਼
cat
-yd
thly
Mont
°°
Table
Ta

Month	Nation	Gear	mac un trawls ¹	mac only trawls	caught (tons)	Sqd	Kak	. Pod	Her	ित	111	Fld	But	SН	Scp	Lob	Shad
Jan	USSR	Pel	4	4	69.5	ı	ł	ı	ı	•	ı	ı	ı	•	ı	•	•
	Bul	Pel	1	1	10.0	ı	ı	ı	•	•	•	ı	ı	ı	ł	·	ı
feb	USSR	Pel	1	1	10.0	ł	ı	1	ı	•	•	ı	ı	•	·	·	•
	Bul	Pel	#1	1	20.0	ŗ	ı	t	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ŧ	ı
	GDR	Pel	1	1	15.0	•	ı	ı	3	•	•	ı	•	٠	•	•	•
Nar	USSR	Peŀ		co	65.0	ı	ı	1	ł	•	•	J	•	ı	•	•	•
Apr	USSR	Bot	4	0	2.0	•	۰	0.010	ı		•		ı	0.010	ı	0.010	•
	Pol	Bot	7	o	2.0	ı	ı	1	ı	0.020	ı	•	0.010	0.010	•	0.010	•
May	GDR	Pel	1	1	30.0	.•	ł	•	ŧ	ı	ı	ı	I	ı	ı		•
	Cuba	Pel	-	1	30.0	ı	ı	ŀ	١	I	I	ı	ı	ı	ł	•	ı
	Bul	Bot	1	0	5.2	0.154	0.077	ı	0.154	ı	ı	0.019	ł	ł	0.019	۱.	•
Dec	Bul	Pel	2	ı	10.9			•	•	ı	٠	ł	ł	ı	ı	•	0.009
Totals	USSR USSR USSR	Pel Bot Ail gr	13 14	13 13	144.5 2.0 146.5			- 0.010 0.0001	• • •					0.010 0.001		0.010 0.0001	
	Pol		1	¢	2.0	ı	ı	•	1	0.020	ı	ı		0.010		0.010	
	Bul Bul	Pel Bot All gr	44 ⊷ ND	~~ ~	40.9 5.2 46.1	0.154 0.017	0.077 0.077		0.154 0.009		1 ¹ 1	0.019 0.002			0.019 0.002		0.002 0.002
	GDR	Pel	2	2	45.0	ı	·	t	1	ı	ı	•	ı	ı	١	•	٠
	Cuba	Pel		7	30.0	,	•	ı	•	ı	ı	·	ı	I	ı	1	I
Grand Tot	All nat All nat	Pel Bot	3 3	ရွဝ	260.4 9.2	- 0.087	- 0.043	0.002	- 0.087	0.004		0.011	0.002	- 0.004	0.011	0.004	0.004
	All nat	All gr	23	9 [269.6	0.003	0.001	0.00007	0.003	0.0001	·	0.0004	0.0007	0.001	0.0004	0.001	0.004

F 11

- 10 -

Month	Nation	Gear	No. of 1 mac trawls	No. of mac only trawls	Tot mac caught (tons)	Sqd	Hak	ğ	Her	Fld	200	Ale	B	동	Şcp	Shad	OSP
Jan	USSR	Pel	8	8	44.0	ı	ı	,	·	ŧ	•	ı				•	
	Pol	[əd	2	1	111.0	•	ı	ı	ı			0.036	ı	•			ı
	GDR	Pel	-	0	0.7	ŀ	·		·	1	0.057	0.057	ı	ŀ			ı
Feb	USSR	Pel	9	ŝ	103.9	ı	ŝ	·	•	ı		1		0.001	,	ı	ı
Mar	GDR	Pel	-	7	20.0	•	ı	ı			ı						
	Bul	Pel	٦	0	34.9	r 	0.0009	0.0009	ı	ı	0.009		ı	4	•	•	ı
	Spa	Bot	н	0	1.0 .	0.300	0.010	ı	ł	0.020	ı		ı			ŀ	0.020
Apr	50R	Pel	-	0	19.8	010.0	ſ	1	ı	!	1	ı	ł	ı	I	• ;	F
	USSR	Bot	1	0	2.4	0.042	0.042	ı	0.125	0.042	•		ı	ı	ŧ	Men 0.042	ı
May	Pol	Pel	1	0	5.0	I	I	ł	0.200	•	•	•	0.200	ı	0.200	a	ı
Totals	USSR USSR USSR	Pel Bot All gr	8-16	r0r	147.9 2.4 150.3	0.042 0.007	_ 0.042 0.0007		- 0.125 0.002	0.042 0.007		• • •		0.0007 0.0007		0.042 0.0007	• • •
	Pol	Pel	e	-	116.0	t	•	•	0.009	ı	,	0.034	600.0	•	600.0	•	•
	Bul	Pel	-	0	34.9	•	0.0009	0.0009	ı	ı	0.000	ł	ł	ŧ	,	ı	ı
	GDR	Pel	'n	I	40.5	0.005	ı	•	•	4	0.001	0.001	ı	F	ŧ		4
	Spa	Bot	-	0	1.0	0.300	010.0	ı	ı	0.020	•	•		ı	ı	ı	0.020
Grand Totals	All	Pel	15	Ø	339.3	0.0006	60000.0	60000.0	0.003	ı	0.0002	0.012	0.003	0.0003	0.003	- 8	ı
	All	Bot	7	0	3.4	0.118	0.032	ı	0.088	0.035		, ,	•	ı	•	0.029	0.006
	A11 Nat	All ar	1	Ġ	342.7	0,002	0.0004	90000-0	0.004	0.0004	0000	0.012	0,003	5000 U	600 Q	0000 U	

 $^{-1}$ Hauls in which mackerel comprised a plurality of the catch biomass.

F 12

- 11 -

.

Table 9. Monthly by-catch ratios of species caught in total hauls in which mackerel was the main species landed (Division 6A).

Month	Nation	Gear	No. of mackerel trawls ¹	No. of mack only trawls	mack caught (tons)	Sad	Hak :	pod	Her	FId	Cod	Ale	But	н s	OSP
Jan	Pol	Pel	ŝ	2	30.1		•	0.002	ı	·	0.003	ı	1	0.003	ı
	USSR	Pel	1	1	1.0	•	I	ı	1	ı	ı		١	•	·
	GDR	Pel Bot	75	00	61.2 2.3			0.002	н 1	۰.	• •	0.060	1 I	۰.	۰.
	Bulg	Pel	1	0	10.0	ı	ł	ı	ı	ı	•	1 000	۰	ı	ı
Feb	GDR	Pel	1	0	6.7	·	,	ı	•	ı	ı	0.045	ı	•	ł
Mar	Jap	Bot	1	0	0.3	0.667	0.333	0.333	,	0.333	ı	•	0.667	ı	•
Apr	Pol	Bot	1	0	0.8		ı	•	0.500		1	0.500	1	•	0.500
May	Pol	Bot	1	ð	1 06	ı	I	ı	1.000	ı	4	0.500	ı	ı	0.833
Total	USSR	Pel	1	1	1.0	•	I	ı	1	I	·,	,	ı	ı	4
	Pol Pol	Pel Bot All gr	ο Ω M D	~~~	30.1 0.8 30.9		11	0.002 - 0.002	0.500 0.013		0.003 0.003	- 0.500 0.013	• • •	0.003 0.003	0.500
	Bul	Pel	1	ò	10.0	ı	ı	ı	·	۰	ı	1.000	ı	ı	ı
	608 608 808	Pel Bot All gr	₩ -14	0 00	67.9 2.3 70.2		111	0.002 0.002		1 F 1	114	0.058 0.087 0.059		111	
	Jap	Bot	1	0	0.3	0.667	0.333	0.333	ı	0.333	•	·	0.667	ı	ı
Grand Totals	All nat All nat	Pel Bot	C) 4	~ 0	109.0 3.4	0,059	_ 0.029	0.002 0.029	_ 0.118	- 0.029	0.0009 -	0.128 0.176	0.059	0.008	0.118
	All nat	All gr	12	ę	112.4	0,002	0.0009	0.003	0.004	0.0009	0.0009	0.129	0,002	0.0007	0.004

Table 10. Monthly by-catch ratios of species caught in trawl hauls in which mackerel was the main species landed

- 12 -

Month	Nation	Gear	No. of 1 mackerel trawls	No. of mac only trawls	Total mac caught (tons)	Dog	Her	Alewife
DIV 6C							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Feb	GDR	Pel	1	0	39.6	· _	-	0.010
Mar	Po1	Pel	1	0	90%	0.011	0.022	0.067
Grand Totals	All Nat	Pe1	· 2	0	39.6	-	-	0.010
DIV 6D - None								

Table 11. Monthly by-catch ratios of species caught in trawl hauls in which mackerel was the main species landed (Div. 6C, 6D and 5Y).

DIV 5Y - None

¹Hauls in which mackerel comprised a plurality of the catch biomass.

	Nation	Gear	mac trewis	No. sac only trawls	Tot mac caught (tons)	Sqd	Nake	Dog	Herr	Loi	_m	Fla	Cod	Ale	But	5H	RH	Scp	Lob	Shad	OSP
AREA 5					(with a f								•								
MAEA 3	USSR	Tel	28	23	279.40	-	0.0001	0.011	•	:	0.0002	0.056	0.00009	-	0.0003	0.141	ō.056	:	a.00007	2	0.004
	USSR USSR	Bot All Gr	12 40	6 29	288.00 567.40	:	0.00007	0.002	:	0.003	Q.0005 Q.0003	0.038	0.00004	-	0.00002	0.072	9.028	- -	0.00004	2	0.016
			6	_	72.7		0.004	_	0.005	-	0.007	-	8.0007	0.083	-	-	-	•	-	-	0.008
	Pol Pol	Pel Bot	ĩ	1	Z.0	:	•	-	•	0,020		-	•	•	0.010	0.010	:	:	0.010	-	-
	Pol	A11 Gr	7	1	74.7	-	0-004	-	0.005	0.0005	0.007	-	0.0007	0.060		0.0000	-	-	Q.0003	•	0.008
	Qu)	Pe]	6	3	42.8	0.019		-	0.044	-	-	0.019		:	0.009	:	:	0.019	-	- '	:
	lu) Iui	Bot All Er	+	3	5.2 48.0	0.017 0.033	0.77 0.006	1	0.009	:	-	0,002	-	-	0.008	-	•	0.002	-	-	-
	EDR	Pel	3	2	58.5		-	-	0.051	-	-	-	-	0.231	-	-	•	-	•	•	-
			-	-		• -	_	-		_		_		_	-	-	-	2	•	_	:
	Cuba	Pel Bat	2	2	33.0 14.5	:	0.014	0.069	:	:	1	-	-	2	-	-	-	-		-	-
	Cube	All Gr	ē	3	47.5	-	0.004	0.021	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	•	•	-	. •	•	-
nd Tot	All Nat	Pe1	45	31	486.40		9.0007	9,006	0.011	•	0.001	-	0,0002	0.040	0.0008		- 9.052	a.0003	-	-	0.003
	All Nat	lot.	17	7	309.70	0.003	0.002	0.005	0.003	0.003	0.0005	0.052	-	-	0.0004	0.131		•	0.0001	-	0.026
	All Nat	All Gr	62	38	796.10	0.002	0.001	0.006	0.008	g.001	0.0009	0.020	0.00009	0.024	0.0007	0.051	0.020	0.0001	0.00005	-	0.012
AT AREA	6									-											
	USSR USSR	Pel Bot	9	8	148.90	0.042	0.042	-	0.125	-	2	g.042	2	:	1	0.0007	-	1	:	0.042	2
	USSR	All Gr	10	ě	151.30	0.0007	0.0007	-	0.002	-	-	0.0007	•	-	-	0.0007	-	-	8.00003	0.0007	-
	Po1	Pel	7	3.	145.10	-	-	0.0003	0.007	-	-	-	· 0.0007	0.027	0.007	0.0005	-	0.007	-	-	-
	Pal	lot	2	ō	0.8	2	-	0.0003	0.500	-	-	2	9.0007	0.500	0.007	õ.0006	-	0.007	÷.,	-	0.500
	P01 ·	All Gr	•	3	146.90	-	-		0.010	-	-			8.223				_			
	9vi	Pel	2	0	44.90	-	0.0007	0.0007	-	-	-	-	0.0007		•	-	•	-	-	-	:
	60R	Pei	?	1	148.00	0.001	-	0.001	-	-	-	•	0.0003	0.030 0.087	2	-	2	:	-	:	:
	60R 60R	Bot All Gr	1 8	0	2.3 150.30	0.001	2	0.001	-	2	1	-	0.0003	0.031	-	•	-	-	•	- .	-
			1	0			0.010		_		_	0.020	-	. -	-	-	-	-	-		0.0 20
	Spa	Bot	-	-	1.00			-	-	•	-	-	•	_	9.657		_	_	;		
	Jap	lot.	1	٥	6.30	0.657	0,333	0,333	-	•	-	0.333	-	-		•	-	-	-	-	-
and Tot	All Nat		25	12	487.90	0.0004	0.00006	0.0005	0.002	-	-	-	0.0003	0.038	0.002	9.0004		0.002	:	0.015	0.062
	All Net	Bat	6	0	6.8	0.088	0.031	0.015	0.103	-	-	0.032	-		0.002	-		0.002			
	AT1 Hat	A11 Gr	31	12	494.7	0.00Z	0.0005	0.0007	0.003	-	-	0.0004	0.0003	0.038	0.002	0.0004	•	0.002	-	0.0002	0.000
IAREA 5	-																-		1		
STATIST.											-								1		
	USSR	Pel	37	31	428.30	d.0003	0.00009	0.007	0.001	0.003	0.0001	- 0.056	0.00005	2	0.0003	0.0002	- 0.065	1	0.00007	0.00003	0.002
	USSR USSR	Bot All Gr	13 50	5 37	290.40 718.70	0.0003	0.0003	0.002	0.0004	0.001	0.0003	0.023	0.00003		0.0001	0.057	0.022	-		0.00601	0.013
	Pol	Pe1	13	4	218.80		0.001	0.0002	0.006	_	0.002	-	0.0007	0.046	0.005	0.0004		0.005	•	-	0.003
	Pol	Bot	3	õ	2.8	-	-	+	0.143	0.014	-	-	0.0007	0.143 0.047	0.007 0.005	0.007 0.0005	:	0.005	0.007	-	0.143 0.004
	Pol	All Gr	16	4	221.60	•	0.001	0.0002	0.008	0.0002	0.002	-				0.0000		_		-	0.004
	Bul Bul	Pel Bot	ţ.	3	87.7 5-2	0.009	0.0003	0.0003	0.022	•	- .	0.019	0.0003	0.114	0.005	:	Ę	0.019	:	:	2
	jul	All Gr	ĝ	3	92.9	0.009	0.005	0.0003	0.029	-	-	0.001	0.0003	0.108	0.004	-	-	0.001		-	-
	COR	Pe1	10	3	206.5	0.001	-	0.0007	0.015	-	-	-	0.0002	0.087	•	-	-	-	· •	-	-
	GDR	Bot	1	ě	2.3	-	-	0.0007	0.014	-		:	0.0002	0.087 0.067	:	:	1	: .	: I	:	:
	GDR	A11 Gr		3	208.8	0.001	-	0.000/	9.014	-	-			_	_	_	_		1 -		
	Cuba Cuba	Pel Bot	2	2	33.0 14.5	:	0.014	0.069	:	.=	:	:	2	-	-	-	-	:	:	-	:
	Cuba	All Gr	5	ŝ	47.5	-	0.004	0.021	-	-	-	•	•	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
	504	act	1	0	1.0	0.300	0.010	-	-	-	-	0.020	-	•	-	•	-	-	` -	-	0.020
	•			0		0.667	0,333	0.333		-	_	0.333	-	•	0.667	-		-	-	-	
	Jap	lot	1	-	Q.3				-	-	-		0.0007	0.039	0.001	0.0002	-	0.001	_		0.002
nd Tat	All Nat		70 23	43	974.3 316.5	0.001	0.0004 0.003	0.003	9.006	0.003	0.0005	0.052	0.0003 -	0.002	0.001	0.128	0.051		0.0001	0.00003	0.002
	ALL MLL	90 L	64	•	470.3	4.004	01001	4.444	- 903 - 903					0.030	0.001	0.032		0.0009			

Table 12. Overall by-catch ratio of species caught in trawi haul in which mackers? was the main species landed. Data are presented for SAS, SAG and SAS and 6 combined.

1 Nauls in which mackers] comprised plurality of the catch biomass.

Location	Nation	Gear	Ma	species caught	Mac	Squid	Hake	Dog	Her	Lol	m	Flo	Cod	Ale	But	SH	RH	5-B	Lob	OSP
																		Scp	100	031
UBDIV SZe	USSR	Bot	Feb Mar	Hak Sqd	0.333	1.000	1.000	- 1	•	-	-	0.111	0.111	-	-	0.044	-	-	-	
			Aor	Sqa S H	0.010	1.000	-	-	-	:	-	:	:	-	-	1.000	-	-	-	0.20
			Jun	SH	0.004	0.088	-	-	-	_	-	0.003	-	-	-	1.000	-	-		
			Oct	SH	0.095	-	0.054	-	0.034	-	-	0.052	0.005	-	0.034	1.000	-	-	-	-
				RH	0.067	-	-	-	0.067	-	-	. -	0.067	-	-	0.067	1.000	-	-	-
	Pol	Pel	Feb	Sqd	0.600	1.000	0.400	- 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	•	•	-	-	-
			Apr	ញ	0.005	-	-	•		-	1.000	-		•	0.005	-	+	-	-	-
		. .	Nov	Her	0.047	•	-	•	1.000	-	-	•	0.047	-	-	-	•	-	-	-
		Bot	May	m	0.001	-	-	-	-	-	1.000	-	-	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	-	-	-
	GDR	Pe1	May	m	0.056	-	-	-	-	-	1.000	-	-	•	0.056	•	-	-	-	-
	Rom	Pel	0ct	Her	0.006	0.006	-	-	1.000	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VBDIV SZW	USSR	Pel	Apr	Her	0.387	0.065	-	0.484	1.000	-	-	-	-	-	0.032	0.065	0.194	-	-	-
		Bot	Apr Hay	Dog Hak	0.030	-	1.000	1.000	0.010 0.300	-	-	:	-	:	-	0.010	-	Ξ	-	0.200
	Bu 1	Pel	Har	SH	0.188	-	-	-	0.025	0.013	-	-	-	-	0.025	1.000	-	-	-	-
	Spa	Bot	Feb	Lol	0.074	-	0.019	-	-	1.000	0.093	0.019	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		-		•							•									
IV 6A	Po1	Bot	Kay	Scp	0.214	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.000	-	1.000
	Spa	Bot	Mar	But Sqd	0.250	0.875 1.000	0.125	0.125	-	-	-	0.125	-	:	1.000	-	-	2	2	0.429
	Ire	Bot	Hay	ni 👘	0.035	-	0.009	-	-		1.000	-	-	-	0.009	-	-	-	-	-
	_	- .	Nov	Lol & But	-	-	-	-	•	1.000	-	•	-	-	1.000	-	-	-	-	0.040
	Jap	Bot	Ner	Lol	0.273	-	•	-	-	1.000	-	-	-	-	0.091	-	-	-	-	-
IV 68	USSR	Bot	Apr	Lol	0.060	-	-	-	•	1.000	-	-	-	-	0.030	-	-	-	-	0.020
	GDR	Pe1	Jan	Ale	0.667	-	-	-	0.100	-	+	-	-	1.000	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Bot	Jan Feb	Ale Ale	0.250	-	-	0.010	0.010	-	-	•	0.037	1.000	-	-	-	-	-	0.110
			reu	A16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.03/	1.000	-	-	-	-	-	0.110
	Spa	Bot	Har Nay	L01 I11	0.021	-	-	-	-	1.000	0.429	:	-	-	-	0.021	-	-	-	0.014
	Jap	Bot	Mar	Lol	-	-	-	-	-	1.000	0.125	-	-	-	0.125	-	-	-	-	0.013
	Ita	Pe1	J or	113	0.027	-	-	-	-	0.013	1.000	-	-	-	0.027	-	-		0.013	-
			-						•											
		Bot	Apr	Lo1 & I11	0.023	-	-	-	-	1.000	1.000	-	-	-	-	0.023	-	*	-	-
DIV 6C	Pol	Pel	Mar	Ate	0.010	-	•	0.010	-	-	-	-	-	1.000	-	-	-	-	-	0.020
	Jap	Pel	Nov	Sqd	-	1.000	-	-	0.400	-	-	-	-	-	0.200	-	-	-	-	0.220
DIV 6D	Jap	Pe1	Nov	اما	0.333	-		-	-	1.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.167	-	0.050	0.08
	•																			
DIV 5Y	Po1	Pel	Nov	Her	0.055	-	-	-	1.000	-	-	-	-	0.055	-	-	-	-	-	-

- 15 -

Table 13. Monthly by-catch ratios of species caught in trawl hauls in which mackerel was landed but was not the main species. Data are presented by area, country and gear-type.

			No. of Trawls				% of total	
By-catch % of total weight of lost trawl	USSR	Poland	Bulgaria	GDR	All other countries	Total	"mackerel" trawls	Cumulative %
0	31	4	3 2	3	2	43	61.4	61.4
<3	4		2	4		10	14.3	75.7
5		2		1		3	4.3	80.0
10		2		1		3	4.3	84.3
15 20		•				-	-	-
25	1	2				3	4.3	88.6
30	1					-	-	-
35	Ŧ					1	1.4	90.0
40		2				-		
45		2				2	2.9	92.9
50			2			-		
55			2	1		2	2.9	95.8
60				T		T	1.4	97.2
65			1				1.4	98.6
70		1	•		•	1	1.4	100.0
75		-				1	1.4	-
80						_	-	-
85							_	-
- 90						-	-	_
95						-	-	-
Total	37	13	8	10	2	70		
Tows in which mackerel								
was not main species	2	7	1	2	4	16		
Grand total	39	20	9	12	6	86		
% of total hauls in which mackerel was main species	94.9	65.0	88.9	83.3	33.3	81.4		

Table 14. Frequency distribution of by-catch in pelagic trawls in which mackerel was the main species landed. Data derived from USA surveillance boarding reports, 1974-1976. All geographical areas in ICNAF Subarea 5 and Statistical Area 6 combined.

Table 15. Frequency distribution of by-catch in bottom trawls in which mackerel was the main species landed. Data derived from USA surveillance boarding reports, 1974-1976. All geographical areas in ICNAF Subarea 5 and Statistical Area 6 combined.

		N	o. of Trawls				% of total	Cumulative
By-catch % of total weight of lost trawl	USSR	Poland	Bulgaria	GDR	All other countries	Total	"mackerel" trawls	%
0 <3 5 10 15 20 25 30 35	6 2 1				1	7	30.4	30.4
<3	2					2	8.7	39.1
5	1				· 1	2 2	8.7	47.8
10				1	ī	z	8.7	56.5
15				-	-			-
20						_	_	-
25	2				1	а	13.0	69.5
30			1		•	ĭ	4.3	
35		1	-			i	4.3	73.8 78.1
40	1					i	4.3	82.4
45						-	4.3	02.4
50 55						_	-	-
55					,	_	-	-
60	1	1				2 ·	8.7	91.1
65		-				2	0.7	
70		1			1	2	8.7	
75					•	F.	0.7	99.8
80								
85								
90								
95								
Total	13	3	1	1	5	23		
Tows in which mackerel								
was not main species	10	-		_				
was not main species	13	2	-	2	11	28		
Grand Total	26	5	1	з	16	51		
% of total hauls in which mackerel								
was main species	50.0	60.0	100	33.3	31.3	45.1		

		No. of	trawls			% of total	
By-catch % of total weight of lost trawl	Subdiv. 5Ze	Subdiv. 5Zw	D1v. 6A	Div. 6B	Total	mackerel trawls	Cumulative
0	7				7	30.4	30.4
0 <u><3</u> 5 10	1	1				8.7	39.1
-5	Ź	1			2 3 3	13.0	52.1
10	1		1	1	3	13.0	65.1
15					-	-	-
20					-	-	-
25	1		1		2 1	8.7	73.8
30		1	•		1	4.3	78.1
35					-	-	-
40	1				1	· 4.3	82.4
45					-	-	-
50					-	-	-
55					-	-	-
60	1			1	2.	8.7	91.1
65					-	-	-
70				2	2	8.7	99.8
80							
85			•				
90							
. 95							
Total	14	3	2	4	23		•
Tows in which mackerel							
was not main species	11	4	6	7	28		
-		_			î.		
Grand Total	25	. 7	8	11	51		
% of total hauls in which mackerel							
was main species	56.0	42.9	25	36.3	45.1		

•

Table 17. Frequency distribution of by-catch in bottom trawls in which mackerel was the main species landed. Data derived from USA surveillance boarding reports, 1974-1976, all countries combined.

.

- 17 -

		No	. of trav	vis				% of total	Cumulative
By-catch % of total weight of lost trawl	Subdiv. 5Ze	Subdiv. 5Zw	Div. 6A	D1v. 6B	Div. 6C	Divs. 6D,5Y		mackerel trawls	%
0	12 3 2	19 1	9 3 2	3 1 1 1	1		43 9 3 4	61.4 12.9 4.3 5.7	61.4 74.2 78.5 84.2
<3 5 10 15 20 25 30	2 1 1		-			·	- 2 1 1	2.9 1.4 1.4	87.1 88.5 89.9
35 40 45 50 55	1	·	1 .	1			2 2 1	2.9 1.4	92.8 - 95.7 97.1
60 65 70 75	1			1			- 1 1	1.4 1.4	98.5 99.9
80 85 90 95									
Total	25	20	15	8	2	0	70		
Tows in which mackere was not main species	ו 5	3	0	2	2	4	16		
Grand Total	30	23	15	10	4	5	86		
% of total hauls in which mackerel was main species	83.3	87.0	100	80	50	0	81.4		

Table		Frequency distribution of by-catch in pelagic trawls in which mackerel was the main species landed. Data derived from USA surveillance boarding reports, 1974-1976, all countries combined.
-------	--	---

Table 18. Comparison of by-catch ratios of species caught in trawl hauls containing mackerel (SA 5 and 6) with by-catch ratios derived from the ICNAF Statistical Bulletin (listed in Palmer et al. 1976).

l haul		0.003 0.010 0 0.00003	0.170 0.147 0.116 0.057	0.069 0.094 0.011	0.239 0.241 0.127	0.007 0.011 0.001	0.090 0.301 0.026	0.011 0.017 0.010	0.270
l haul	1973 1974 data	0.010	0.147 0.116	0.094 0.011	0.241	0.011	0.301		
l haul	1974 data	0	0.116	0.011					
l haul	data	-			0.127	0.001		0.010	0.036
l haul		0.00003	0.057					••••	
			0.03/	0.022	0.0792	0.023	0.0004	0.0014	0.0181
	1072	0.001	0	0	0.001	- 0	0.149	0.011	0.051
	1972	0.003	0.001	ŏ	0.004	ŏ	0.075	0.027	0.056
	1973			ŏ	0.005	ŏ	0.072	0.044	0.043
	1974	0.003	0.002	U	0.005	v	0.072	01011	
		5 0007	0.0005	0	0.0015	0	0.008	0.0022	0.0612
1 haul	data	0.0007	0.0005	U	0.0015	Ŭ	0.000		
	1070	0.002	0.150	0.064	0.214	0.024	0.100 .	0.021	0.313
	1972	0.003		0.011	0.059	0.003	0.039	0.013	0.026
	1973	0.001	0.048			0.005	0.065	0.026	0.035
	1974	0	0.050	0.016	0.066	U	0.005	0.020	0.000
.		0.0000	0	0	0.005	0.001	0.029	0.009	0.113
n haul	data	0.0003	U	U	0.005	0.001	0.023		
	1079	0	0	0.001	0 001	n	0.037	0	0.018
			-			ň			0.010
				-		0			0.012
	1974	0	0.001	U	0.001	U	0.040	0	0.01E
		0.0002	n	n	n	٥	0.014	0.001	0.088
		1972 1973 1974 1 haul data	1972 0 1973 0 1974 0	1972 0 0 1973 0 0.001 1974 0 0.001	1972 0 0 0.001 1973 0 0.001 0 1974 0 0.001 0	1972 0 0 0.001 0.001 1973 0 0.001 0 0.001 1974 0 0.001 0 0.001	1972 0 0 0.001 0.001 0 1973 0 0.001 0 0.001 0 1974 0 0.001 0 0.001 0	1972 0 0 0.001 0.001 0 0.037 1973 0 0.001 0 0.001 0 0.031 1974 0 0.001 0 0.001 0 0.040	1972 0 0 0.001 0.001 0 0.037 0 1973 0 0.001 0 0.001 0 0.031 0 1974 0 0.001 0 0.001 0 0.040 0