## **International Commission for**



the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries

Serial No. 4065 (D.c.3) ICNAF Res. Doc. 76/XII/169

NINTH SPECIAL COMMISSION MEETING - DECEMBER 1976

Re-assessment of the mackerel stock in the ICNAF Area

by

V.I. Isakov, L. Ivanov, P. Kolarov, W. Mahnke, A. Paciorkowski, V.A. Rikhter, S. Ucinski, B. Vaske

## Introduction

Experts from Bulgaria, GDR, Poland, and USSR met in Varna, Bulgaria on 2D-26 September 1976 to analyze the state of the mackerel stock in the northwestern Atlantic on the basis of new data available from commercial and survey catches in 1976.

Comparison of stock size estimates from the June assessment and estimated age composition of 1976 catches showed that stock sizes in both options for fully recruited ages (3+) were on the same level or even lower than 1976 catches. This implies that assumed fishing mortalities in 1975 were overestimated. In this connection, the reassessment of the state of the mackarel stock with the use of new, although incomplete, data from the 1976 fishery as well as the spring groundfish survey data appeared to be needed.

## Catches

The mackerel catches of Bulgaria, GDA, Polend, and USSR from January to July 1976 totalled 167,931 tons (Table 1). Total mackerel catch in 1976 has been estimated at 252,244 tons, assuming that the TAC in 1976 would not be reached due to the influence of the second-tier quota system or taking into account, in the case of Canada, the catch statistics for the last few years. The catches of other countries were assumed to be at the level of their quotas.

## Age Composition

The observed catches in numbers by age-groups of Bulgaria, GDR, Poland, and USSR in the first half of the year were calculated using age composition and mean weights of commercial samples of these countries, applying the monthly weighting procedure.

The estimated catches of the above-mentioned countries in the second part of the year were partitioned by age-groups, using their age composition from the first part of 1976 and assuming a 40% share of ege 1 on the basis of the fact that the mean length of this age-group will be higher than the size limit and, therefore, the fish be available for the fishery.

The estimated catches of other countries (mostly in Subarea 5 and Statistical Area 6) were partitioned into age-groups in numbers according to the total age composition of Bulgaria, GDR, Poland, and USSR in Subarea 5 and Statistical Area 6 (Table 2).

The USSR estimated catch (17,000 tons) and the Canadian quota (15,300 tons) in Subareas 3 + 4 were partitioned into age-groups, using USSR age composition

data for the second quarter and assuming a 10% share of age 1 on the basis of age composition in Subareas 3 + 4 reported in former years. The other age-groups were pro-rated on the same basis.

Three-year-old fish from the 1973 year-class composed the largest part of estimated overall catches totalling at 34.6% in numbers. Two-year-old mackerel of the 1974 year-class was also very abundant in catches constituting 32.9% of the total. Age-groups 4 and older comprised 25.2% in the catches. The value did not indicate any sharp decrease of abundance of these ages in the stock. The small share (1.4%) of age-group 1 in the total age composition during the first half of the year (the mean length of this age-group at this time is lower than the size limit) and its estimated share for the whole year (7.3%) is directly related to the newly-introduced size limit.

According to the information available from Bulgarian, GDR, Polish, and USSR fisheries, every effort was made to avoid active fishing for fish below 25 cm (total length) i.e., age 1 mackarel mainly, and this goal has been achieved successfully. Moreover, all countries concerned introduced more restrictive regulations prohibiting processing on board and landings of mackarel below the size limit. The important role, in this respect, has been played too by the Joint Enforcement Scheme in the ICNAF Convention Area.

## Estimate of Fishing Mortality

Catch per unit of effort (CPLE) from the directed mackerel fishery of Distant Water Fleets was taken as a first measure of fishing mortality.

The CPUE of Bulgaria, GDR, Poland, and USSR did not show the same clear trend. The CPUE of Bulgarian freezer-trawlers in 1976 was 50% higher than in 1975. GDR factory trawler catch rate increased by 4%, Polish B-18 trawlers decreased by 12%, and USSR RTM-A trawlers declined by 4% (Table 3).

The CPUE of all above-mentioned countries did not indicate any substantial decrease of stock biomass in 1976. Using regression analysis for GDR data on CPUE (4% increase) and data on CPUE of DWF (presented by G.H. Winters at the April Assessments Meeting) against fishing mortalities from VPA (Option 2 from the June 1976 Annual Meeting), the fishing mortality was estimated at the level of F = 0.47. F = 0.55 was estimated using the 12% decrease of B-18 CPUE.

The next estimate of F was obtained using <u>Albetross IV</u> spring survey data (presented by E.D. Anderson at the June 1976 Annual Meeting) and the 1976 abundance index of 0.137 calculated from the US computer printout sent to GOR.

The abundance indices were smoothed in a different way from that by E.D. Anderson at the June 1976 Annual Meeting, assuming a steady decline in stock abundance throughout 1968-1976 (Table 4).

Computations of regression of these two sets of data resulted in the following equation:

y = 0.042 + 0.000 000 208 x

The instantaneous fishing mortality coefficient in 1976, calculated with the use of this regression equation, was found to be 0.425.

Taking into account the fishing mortality estimates computed from a decrease of CPUE in the commercial fishery and the need to be on the conservative side for the purpose of actual VPA, the value of F of 0.6 was chosen.

The close agreement of fishing mortalities estimated from this regression line in 1974 and 1975 with corresponding values obtained from the VPA presented here should be noted (see Tables 4 and 6).

# - 3 -

## Recruitment

Stock sizes of two recruiting year-classes, 1974 and 1975 at age 1, were determined as follows:

- Assuming a certain level of partial recruitment (P.R.) of these yearclasses in 1976;
- (2) By the method proposed by E.D. Anderson (Res.Doc. 76/VI/29) on the basis of R/V <u>Albatross IV</u> spring survey data.

With reference to (1) above:

### 1974 year-class

It was found from the actual VPA (based on the newest catch at age date from the commercial fishery) that the 1976 fishing mortality of age-group 2 is not likely to be greater than 75% of F of fully recruited ages. The P.R. of age 2 mackerel at the level of about 50% had been observed in 1968 and 1969 (Table 6), i.e., in the period when the age composition of the stock was similar to the present one. The lowest 12% P.R. value of this age-group took place in 1970. In spite of the fact that fishing mortality in 1972 was about four times greater than that of the 1968-1969 period and that the 1970 year-class was the second poorest observed from 1968 to 1975, the P.R. of this age-group did not exceed 22%. The P.R. increased repidly in 1973 and 1974, in the former case perhaps mainly due to an increase of fishing effort and in the latter case, due to the occurrence of the poor 1972 year-class (the poorest observed since 1968). In 1975 the P.R. of age 2 mackerel, computed from the VPA assuming 100% P.R. at age 3 in 1976, decreased by about 10% to the level of 85% (i.e., 15% less than it was assumed in Option 1 at the June 4976 Annual Meeting).

Taking into account other data indicating a high abundance of the 1974 yearclass (commercial and survey data) and the substantial decrease of nominal as well as effective fishing effort in 1976, one can easily predict a further decline of P.R. of age 2 in 1976 to 75% at least, or even a much lower value. For the purpose of catch prediction, a P.R. of 60% was used. The resulting stock size of this year-class at age 1 was found to be <u>2,053.2 millions</u>. However, high catches at age 1 and 2 in relation to catches of other year-classes indicate an even greater abundance of this year-class.

#### 1975 year-class

As 1976 catches of ege 1 mackerel were affected by the new regulation on size limit, a conclusion on the 1975 year-class abundance from actual catches or a percentage thereof cannot be drawn here, although this was possible at the April Assessments Meeting when an estimation of the 1974 year-class at age 1 was presented by A. Paciorkowski. Bearing in mind, however, every effort made by DWF towards searching for shoals of large-sized mackerel, one can assume a substantial decrease of P.R. at age 1 in 1976. The essumed decrease of P.R. to 5% in relation to P.R. values from preceding years (Table 6) seemed to be a reasonable estimate of actual relative fishing mortality. The resulting abundance of 1975 year-class at age 1  $- \frac{2,765.4 \text{ millions}}{2,765.4 \text{ millions}}$  - is only 5% higher than the long-term average for the 1969-1974 period.

## With reference to (2) above:

In spite of the reservations expressed earlier in connection with the usage of groundfish survey data for recruitment prediction of pelagic schooling species encountered in clusters, the method proposed by E.D. Anderson (1976) was applied as an indicative one and for comparison purposes.

The least square linear regression of  $\log_{e}$  (number/tow + 1) for age-group 1 against corresponding year-class sizes at age 1 from the actual VPA (Table 6) from 1968 to 1974 was calculated (Table 5). The resulting regression equation is:

 $y = 1,278 + 1,351 \times$ 

1974 year-class

The estimated size of the 1974 year-class at age 1 of <u>2.587.2 millions</u> (Table 5) is only 6% and 19% greater than in Options 2 and 1 from the June 1976 Annual Meeting, respectively. This abundance is also within the range of estimates by method B from the April Assessments Meeting.

The 45% P.R., calculated from the estimated stock size of this year-class (assuming  $F_{3+} = 0.6$ ) is not improbable, taking into account a substantial decrease of effort in a directed mackerel fishery in 1976 and the probable great abundance of this year-class. Moreover, from the length composition of age 2 in the first quarter of 1976, it can be found that about 8-10% of this age-group is b elow the size limit. The decrease of the actual estimate of the P.R. compared with values from the June 1976 Annual Meeting assessment can be at least partially attributed to the size limit regulation.

#### <u>1975 year-class</u>

The size of the 1975 year-class estimated (Table 5) at 1.492.8 millions agrees well with the previous estimate from Option 2 from the June 1976 Annual Meeting. Much lower partial recruitment (10%) of this age-group is an obvious result of the newly-introduced size limit as was discussed earlier.

---

For the purpose of catch prediction, two options of recruitment level of agegroup 1 in 1977 and 1978 were used. The first, which was applied together with estimates based on P.R. assumptions, was the average abundance of age 1 of the actual VPA for the period 1969-1974. The second option, applied in conjunction with groundfish survey estimates, was assumed to be the same as that for the 1975 year-class at age 1, i.e., at the level of the second lowest observed year-class in this fishery from 1968 (21% of the size of the 1967 year-class).

The estimated and assumed values of recruiting year-classes at age 1 are as follows:

Option		Year-cl	ass at age 1	
	1974	1975	1976	1977
1	2,053.2	2,765.4	2,624,0	2,624.0
2	2,587,2	1,492.8	1,492.8	1,492,8

#### Catch Prediction

Two options of total allowable catches in 1977 were determined (Table ?), both based on estimated  $F_{2}$  in 1976 at 0.6.

#### Option 1

Sizes of two recruiting age-groups 1 and 2 in 1976 were estimated, assuming a 5% P.R. for age 1 and a 60% P.R. for age 2, as discussed earlier. The resulting sizes of the 1974 and 1975 year-classes at age 1 were, in the former case, 22% below the long-term average and, in the latter case, 5% greater than the average (for absolute numbers, see page 5). The size of the 1976 year-class, which is not playing an important role in the 1977 catches, was assumed to be at the average level.

The TAC in 1977, estimated with the use of these stock sizes and  $F_{0.1} = 0.35$ , would be at the level of 180,000 tons, i.e., 70,000 tons below the estimated 1976 catch. Attention should be drawn to the small forseen share of 1-year-old fish in 1977, lower than 2.3% by weight.

The spawning stock size in 1977 would be reduced to about 600,000 tons, i.e., by about 5% in relation to the 1976 stock, but in 1978 the stock would increase to about 740,000 tons. This size of spawning stock would be greater by approximately 100,000 tons than in 1976.

#### Option 2

Stock sizes of the 1974 and 1975 year-classes at age 1 were determined with the method proposed by E.D. Anderson (1976) on the basis of spring survey data from R/V <u>Albetross IV</u> (Table 5 and page 5). The resulting relative size of the 1974 year-class is 2% below the long-term average.

The predicted allowable catch in 1977 at  $F_{0,1} = 0.35$  would be about 160,000 tons, i.e., 90,000 tons lower than the estimated catch in 1976. The foreseen catch in 1978 would be at the same level. It should be noted that the share of 1-year-old mackerel in both years will be smaller than 3% by weight.

The predicted biomass of the spawning stock would decrease from about 670,000 tons in 1976 to about 595,000 in 1977. However, in the next year the spawning stock will be maintained at the 1977 level. The decling in 1977 is directly related to the assumptions on low abundance of incoming year-classes (1975 and 1976) which constitute only about 57% of the average recruitment from 1969 to 1974, i.e., excluded from the average of the most abundant 1967 year-class.

----

Bearing in mind that there is a substantial probability that the size of the 1974 year-class is greater than was estimated in Option 1 or even in Option 2 (which can be derived from the magnitude of ege 1 and 2 catches in 1975 and 1976, respectively, in relation to catches of other year-classes at the same ages) as well as the very likely situation that the P.R. value of the 1975 year-class in 1976 catches is close to 5% (which is implied by the successful exploitation pattern of DWF in response to the new regulation on size limit), it should be emphasized that the estimated TAC from Option 1 should be regarded as a better approximation of the present productivity of the stock.

## **Beferences**

- Anderson, E.D. 1976. Recruitment estimates for the mackerel stock in ICNAF Subareas 3, 4, and 5 and Statistical Area 6 based on US research vessel spring trawl surveys, 1968-1975, with implications assessment. Intl. Comm. for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Research Document 76/VI/29. (mimeographed)
- Paciorkowski, A., and B. Vaska. 1976. Alternative assessment of mackerel stock in IDNAF Area. Intl. Comm. for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Research Document 76/VI/107.

\_\_\_\_\_\_ 1976. Report of the <u>ad hoc</u> Working Group on Mackerel. Intl. Comm. for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries <u>Redbook</u> 1976, Part C, Appendix VII.

for assessment purposes.	
for	
imated	
1976 esti	
catches 1976	
Mackerel	•
Table 1.	•

Country	Subares	ື ຍ ບ	Catch	Estim.	Catch	Total	National	Total Cat
	8	Period	Tons	Period	Tons	Catch	Quota	minus Quota
Bulgaria	5 + 6	III - I	12616	III - II	1784	14400	16300	1900
6 D R	5 + 6	I - VI	32122	IIX - IX	4710	36832	48900	12068
Poland	5 + 6	IIA - I	36141	IIX - IX	20000	56160	78300	22140
USRR	5 + 6	III - I	87052	IIX - IX	ł	87052	88000	<b>8</b> #6
	3 + 4 .	VI - I	t	IIX - A	17000	17000	17000	700
Other countries	3 - 6	r	1	IIX - I	4080 <b>0</b>	40800	60800	20000
/Canada/	5 + 6	1	t	IIX - I	/00++1/	/4400/	/4400/	/-/
	3 + 4	1	1	IIX - I	/153007	/15300/	/35300/	/20000/
Total	3 - 6	I - VII 167931	167931	I - XII	75303	252244	310000	57756

Ecanada included

Ectch on the level of last 2 years

•

aum 19 tons from SA3 included

•

						l			T	Ī	Numbers	Catob	
1975 19	1974 197	1972	1971	1970	1969	1968	1967	1966	1965 1	1964	/105/	/tons/	indivi- dual veight /kg/
	2 3	4	5	6	7	8	9	9	11 1	12			
			SA 5 +	<del>ر</del> و						   			
<b>160</b> 476 10209 30336	476 213 336 13585	57 5619	38 2428	18 1165	<b>19</b> 1192	10 623	382 382	150 2	82.7	тт	63771	14400	•226
) <u> </u>			93 10478	98 10981	68 7652		1723	7 <del>9</del> 1 7 <del>9</del> 1	1 r 1	1 1 1	112484	36832	
			65 13 <u>9</u> 21	42 9124	75.00	37 7989	22 4781	1171	1		215531	56160	
			46 18683	18 7489	<b>28</b> 11280	22 9103	4 1773	181	11		4-08578	87052	•231
			5981	3778	3568		151	3			104938	25500	
_	43 320408	61511	54494	32537	31192	27054	9813	2933	8	T	905302	219944	.243
		•	54 3 + 4										
		7706	12217	2364	639	6353	1842	76	564	T	79436	32300	-407
			SA 3-6						-				
		2	65	35	8	オ	4	m	۲				
	04 340483	69217	63708	24901	31831	33407	11655	3009	646	•	984738	252244	•256
	26497 - 237 26497 - 637 6 539 2245 - 4482 63938 - 3643 63938 - 3643 7939 - 196 7939 - 196 7939 - 196	63754 63754 148221 364343 364343 364343 364343 329 329004	296 252 2754 54193 363 454 24 363 454 2 364 343 1854 24 364 343 320406 6 364 343 320406 6 364 343 320408 6 329 346 329 346	252     77     65       252     252     77     65       363     454     59     46       363     454     59     46       363     454     24     79     18683       363     3744     24     79     46       364343     37448     24     79     46       35259     37448     7136     5981       364343     320408     61511     54491       35259     37448     7736     54491       364343     320408     61511     51491       329     346     7706     12217       329,004     340483     69217     63708	252     77     65       252     252     77     65       363     454     59     46       363     454     59     46       363     454     24     79     18683       363     3744     24     79     46       364343     37448     24     79     46       35259     37448     7136     5981       364343     320408     61511     54491       35259     37448     7736     54491       364343     320408     61511     51491       329     346     7706     12217       329,004     340483     69217     63708	252     77     65     42       63754     54193     16601     13921     9124       363     454     59     46     18       363     454     24179     18683     7489       363     454     24179     18683     7489       364     454     24179     18683     7489       364343     27148     7136     5981     3778       364343     320408     61511     51491     3257       364343     320408     61511     51491     3257       3294004     340483     69217     65708     34901	896     252     77     65     42     35       451     54193     16601     13921     9124     7500       363     454     59     46     18     28       363     454     28179     18683     7499     11280       364343     28179     18683     7469     11280       364343     28179     18683     7469     11280       364343     27148     7136     51491     3778     3568       364343     320408     61511     51491     3278     3568       364343     20075     7706     12217     2354     639       329,004     340483     69217     63708     34901     31831	252     77     65     42     35     37       63754     54193     16601     13921     9124     75.00     7989       363     454     24179     18683     7489     11280     9103       363     454     24179     18683     7489     11280     9103       364343     24179     18683     7489     11280     9103       35259     27148     7136     5981     3778     3568     3148       364343     32148     7136     51491     32577     31192     27054       364343     320406     61511     51491     32537     31192     27054       364343     320406     61511     51491     32537     31192     27054       364343     320406     61511     51491     32537     31192     27054       329     34401     32537     31192     27054     639     6353       329     34401     22078     3540     639     6353     54       3284004     340483     69217     63708     34901     334901     33407	252     77     65     42     35     37     22       53754     54193     16601     133221     9124     7500     7989     47812       363     454     59     454     79     18683     7489     11280     9103     1773       363     454     28     28     738     28     22     4       363     454     28     18683     7489     11280     9103     1773       35259     27448     7136     5981     3778     3554     9813     1773       364343     320406     61511     54491     32537     31192     27054     9813       364343     320406     61517     5491     3778     3556     3746     1773       364343     320406     12217     53537     31192     27054     9813       364344     7766     12217     2354     639     6353     1842       329     34661     20075     7706     12217     2364     639     6353     1842       329     34661     20075     7706     12217     2364     639     6353     1842       329     34661     20075     7706     12277     2364	<b>252</b> 77 <b>65</b> 42       35       37       22       5 <b>63754</b> 54193       16601       13921       9124       7500       7989       4781       1171 <b>160</b> 456       13921       9124       7500       7989       4781       1171 <b>161</b> 456       1863       7489       11280       9103       1773       181 <b>363</b> 454       24       18683       7489       11280       9103       1773       181 <b>364343</b> 24179       18683       7489       11280       9103       1773       181 <b>364343</b> 24179       54491       3778       3778       3749       12263       27054       9813       2933 <b>364343</b> 27148       7136       5778       3778       3749       2705       2933       2933 <b>364345</b> 27148       773       18661       2705       9842       76 <b>36435</b> 766       5364       6353       789       5353       7842       76 <b>324004</b> 2406       12217       2364       659       54       12	<b>296</b> $252$ $77$ $65$ $42$ $35$ $37$ $22$ $5$ <b>507</b> $54$ $54$ $59$ $4781$ $1171$ $-$ <b>363</b> $454$ $59$ $46$ $18$ $28$ $22$ $44$ $+$ $-$ <b>363</b> $454$ $59$ $466$ $18$ $1280$ $9103$ $1773$ $181$ $-$ <b>364</b> $7136$ $51491$ $3778$ $3578$ $37192$ $27054$ $9813$ $2933$ $82$ $-$ <b>364.343</b> $21466$ $51491$ $3778$ $3778$ $37192$ $27054$ $9813$ $2933$ $82$ $-$ <b>364.343</b> $21466$ $5744$ $53728$ $37268$ $37492$ $76$ $5933$ $82$ $                         -$ <th><b>296 252 77 65 42 35 37 22 5 637</b>54       <b>54 79 46 18 28 28 5 1171 - - 763 454 59 46 18 28 22 4 + -</b><th><b>206</b> <math>252</math> <math>77</math> <math>65</math> <math>42</math> <math>35</math> <math>37</math> <math>22</math> <math>5</math> <math>717</math> <math> 215531</math>         -       <math>454</math> <math>59</math> <math>454</math> <math>59</math> <math>466</math> <math>13322</math> <math>9124</math> <math>7500</math> <math>7989</math> <math>4781</math> <math>1171</math> <math>  215531</math>         -       <math>454</math> <math>59</math> <math>18683</math> <math>7489</math> <math>11280</math> <math>9103</math> <math>1773</math> <math>181</math> <math>  215532</math> <math>   -</math>&lt;</th></th>	<b>296 252 77 65 42 35 37 22 5 637</b> 54 <b>54 79 46 18 28 28 5 1171 - - 763 454 59 46 18 28 22 4 + -</b> <th><b>206</b> <math>252</math> <math>77</math> <math>65</math> <math>42</math> <math>35</math> <math>37</math> <math>22</math> <math>5</math> <math>717</math> <math> 215531</math>         -       <math>454</math> <math>59</math> <math>454</math> <math>59</math> <math>466</math> <math>13322</math> <math>9124</math> <math>7500</math> <math>7989</math> <math>4781</math> <math>1171</math> <math>  215531</math>         -       <math>454</math> <math>59</math> <math>18683</math> <math>7489</math> <math>11280</math> <math>9103</math> <math>1773</math> <math>181</math> <math>  215532</math> <math>   -</math>&lt;</th>	<b>206</b> $252$ $77$ $65$ $42$ $35$ $37$ $22$ $5$ $717$ $ 215531$ - $454$ $59$ $454$ $59$ $466$ $13322$ $9124$ $7500$ $7989$ $4781$ $1171$ $  215531$ - $454$ $59$ $18683$ $7489$ $11280$ $9103$ $1773$ $181$ $  215532$ $   -$ <

Age composition of commercial mackerel catches in SA 3-b, 1976 (estimated). Table 2. Table 3. Catch per unit of effort DWF, January-May (tons/day fished).

ŧ

Yaar	Bulgaria	GDR	Poland	d bu	ASSU
	/freezing- -travlers/	/factory travlers/	B-29	<b>B-1</b> 8	RTH - A
1975	30•6	5.44	36•1	33,0	4.27 t/hour fished
1976	45.9	46.1	33.3	29.2	4.10 t/hour fished
Ratio of					
1976/1975	1-50	1.04	0.92	0,88	0.96

- 7 -

Year	Spring survey , abundance index strata 1-25, 61-76	International catch St 3-6 <sup>3/</sup>	Fishing effort index	F from Option 2 of June 1976 assessment
1968	•406	80 810	199 039	•093
1969	•351 <sup>1/</sup>	131 810	375 584	.077
1970	•296	230 603	779 064	•239
1971	•276	373 033	1 351 569	• 355
1972	•227	409 724	1 804 952	•376
1973	•191 <sup>1/</sup>	419 306	2 195 319	•508
1974	•154	339 580	2 205 065	•501 <sup>4/</sup>
1975	· 145 <sup>1/</sup>	290 800	2 005 517	•459 4/
1976	•137 <sup>2/</sup>	252 244 <sup>4/</sup>	1 841 197	.425 4/

Table 4. Estimation of F from survey abundance index.

1/Mean values

2/Index calculated from US computer out-prints

<sup>3/</sup>Catch data from summ. Doc. 76/VI/41.

4/estimated

Year	Survey abundance index of age 1 loge /no/tow +1/	Stock-sizes of age 1 from VPA
1968	4.126	7 194.0
19 <b>69</b>	0.0881/	3 447.4
1970	1.068	3 195.2
1971	0.64?	1 620.3
1972	1.552	1 961.7
1973	0.470	1 171.8
1974	0.500	3 824.1
1975	0.969	2 587.23/
1976	0.159 <sup>2/</sup>	1 492.8 <sup>3/</sup>

Table 5. Estimation of recruitment from survey abundance index.

<sup>1/</sup>not used <sup>2/</sup>from WP 76/VI/117 <sup>3/</sup>estimated

_	Year-clas	<b>s 1</b> 968	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976 <sup>x</sup>
	1959	.01	/.08	/		<u> </u>		-+		
	1960	•11	.30	-82	•54	1.46	/ .45/			
	1961	.02	•08	1.21	1,78	0.32	0.29	.60		
	1962	.08	•08	.48	.42	1,29	0.77	.60		
Þ	1963	.10	.07	.22	•30	•86	1.11	.60		
<del>н</del>	1964	•08	•06	•18	.29	•33	•59	•35	•60	
-	1965	•11	.07	•18	.35	•57	•55	•77	1.07	.60
لک در	1966	•05	•07	.21	.50	•56	•46	•68	•79	-60
4	1967	•02	.04	.14	•32	•48	•55	.75	.80	•60
0	1968		.05	.02	.08	.24	• 35	.46	.41	•60
8	<b>1969</b>			.05	•16	•27	•47	.45	•48	.60
60	1970				.07	•08	•44	•39	.43	
4	1971	Í				•03	•35	•54		•60
4	1972		<b>.</b>	[			•10	•47	•38 •43	•60
A	. 1973			1	ł			•••		•60
4	1974			1					• 39	•60
	1975						1		.1?	.27
	Wtd, F	.096	.077	.173	.265	• 359	•454	•498	.456	.06
	P.R.age	2 52	52		60	22	77	• <del>+</del> 98 94	85	•600
	P.R.age	1 21	65		26	8	22	10	37	45
			1			-				10
	1959	18.2	13,4							
	1960	87.2	57.9	31.8	12.7	5.5	0.9		}	
	1961	41.9	44,9	30.7	6,8	0.8	0.4	0.2		
	1962	139•4	95,3	65,2	29.9	14,5	3.0	1.0		
	1963	175.3	117.5	81,2	48.3	26.5	8.3	2.0	1	
	1964	216.4	148.0	103.3	63.9	35,4	18.9	7-8	4.0	
	1965	657.2	436.4	301.6	186.7	97.4	40.8	17.4	6.0	4.5
	1966	2320.0	1635.	1130.2	678-1	304.5	128.8	60.3	22.6	1•5 7•6
	1967	7194.0	5223.0	3718.6	2394.8	1288.4	590.1	252.0	88.2	29.4
	1968		3447.4	2430.4	1764.5	1206.9	703.6	367.3	171.9	84.6
	1969			3195.2	2252.6	1421.4	804.5	372.5		
	1970				1620.	1119.6	765.8	365.3	175.8	80.5 88.4
	1971				Í	1961.7	1410.5	736.3	318.1	1
	1972		ļ			· · ·	1171.8	785.1	363.5	161.3 175.2
	1973				1			3824.1	1717.0	862.0
	1974						ł		2587.2××	1617.9
	1975						1			1492.8 ××
	<u>Age 1+</u>				·				i	172.0
'	Total /10	6,								
		-	11161.5	11088+2	9581.0	7757.9	SCAR A	(mo		
	Wt /10 <sup>3</sup> t/				<b>J</b> JU 140	(12(+7	5647.4	6791.3	4635.7	3816.2
		1991.4	2633.1	2111.6	1976.7	4760 0	44.75 0			
				-11140	121001	1769.8	1435.0	1275.0	1073-8	952.9
	Spawning s									
	Total /10 <sup>b</sup> /									
		2495.6	5102.6	6677•8	6312.0	5098+8	3770.4	2574.6	2192.0	1934.4
	Wt /10 <sup>3</sup> tons			Í			ļ			
1		869.8	1640.7	1662.0	1680.4	1518+5	1219.8	872.8	685.5	669.7

Table 6. Fishing mortalities and stock sizes from VPA.

ı.

	19	76		19	<u>77</u> 7		19	778	
Mackerel SA 3-4	Spawning stock (*000 tons)	F 3+	Catch (*000 tons)	Spawning stock ('000 tons)		Catch ('000 tons)	Spawning stock ("000 tons)		Catch ('000 tons)
OPTION 1									
$F_1 = F_{3+} \times 0.05$	<i>525</i> 0	0.0	200 0	<b>6</b> 54 -					
F <sub>2</sub> = F <sub>34</sub> × 0.60	635,9	0.6	252,2	604.1	0,35	183,7	743,0	0,35	218.5
Recruitment									
1975 y.c. = 2,765.4 1976 y.c. = 2,624.0									
OPTION 2									
F <sub>1</sub> = F <sub>3+</sub> × 0,10		0.0				_			
F <sub>2</sub> = F <sub>3∓</sub> × 0.45	669.7	0,6	252.2	595.5	0.35	157,6	592,3	0,35	157.0
Recruitment					•				
1975 and 1976 y.c. =	1,492,8								

Table 7. Catch predictions.

•

C 11

- 10 -