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## REPORT OF SCIENTIFIC COUNCIL MEETING

## 1-12 September 2011

Chair: Ricardo Alpoim
Rapporteur: Neil Campbell

## I. PLENARY SESSION

Noting the concerns expressed by the Chair of Fisheries Commission at the NAFO Annual Meeting, September 2010, regarding the timing of availability for advice on shrimp stocks, the Scientific Council met by correspondence via SharePoint and WebEx video conference during 1-12 September 2011 to address the Fisheries Commission requests and update advice on NAFO Div. 3LN and Div. 3M shrimp stocks for 2012. Representatives and participants attended from Canada, European Union (Estonia, Portugal and Spain) and Norway. The Scientific Council Coordinator was in attendance. Although only two NAFO stocks were under discussion, ICES members of NIPAG were invited by the Chair to participate in this meeting. No applications were received from observers to attend this meeting.

The provisional agenda was circulated to Contracting Parties by email on 20 July 2011 and posted on the SharePoint site. The report for this meeting was developed throughout the course of the meeting and was available on the SharePoint report area for comment.

The SharePoint site for this meeting was opened on 1 September 2011. Access to the SharePoint site, and hence participation in the meeting, was given to members of Scientific Council Executive and Members nominated by Contracting Parties. The Chair asked Representatives to post any comments on the agenda by 5 September. Participants were also asked to upload relevant documents to the SharePoint site and to discuss these documents on the discussion area. The opening session of the WebEx meeting of Council was called to order on at 0900 ADT on 9 September 2011. The report was adopted on 13 September 2011

The Chair welcomed all participants to this meeting by correspondence, noted this was the second time Scientific Council had convened in this manner and expressed his hopes that this form of meeting does not jeopardize the discussion and agreement that Scientific Council has in its' meetings of a more traditional form.

The Agenda, List of Research (SCR) and Summary (SCS) Documents, and the List of Representatives, Advisers and Experts, are given in Appendices III, IV and V, respectively.

## II. FISHERIES SCIENCE

The Council adopted the Report of the Standing Committee on Fisheries Science (STACFIS) as presented by the Chair, Joanne Morgan. The full report of STACFIS is in Appendix I.

## III. SPECIAL REQUESTS FROM THE FISHERIES COMMISSION

## 1. From September 2010

## a) Update on Advice for Northern Shrimp In Div. 3M (Item 1)

The Fisheries Commission with the concurrence of the Coastal State as regards to the stocks below which occur within its jurisdiction ("Fisheries Commission") requests that the Scientific Council provide advice in advance of the 2011 Annual Meeting, for the management of Northern shrimp in Div. 3M, 3LNO in 2012.

Noting that Scientific Council will meet in October of 2010 for 2012 TAC advice, Fisheries Commission requests the Scientific Council to update its advice on shrimp stocks in 2011 for 2012 TAC.

## Northern Shrimp in Div. 3M

Background: The shrimp fishery in Div. 3M began in 1993. Initial catch rates were favourable and, shortly thereafter, vessels from several nations joined. The number of vessels participating in the fishery has decreased by more than $60 \%$ since 2004 to 13 vessels.

Fishery and catches: The stock is under effort regulation. The effort allocations were reduced to $50 \%$ in 2010 and there was no directed fishing in 2011 (moratorium for 2011). Catches are expected to decline close to zero in 2011. Recent catches were as follows:

|  | Catch ('000 t) |  | TAC ('000 t) | Effort $^{2}$ <br> (days) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | STACFIS | 21 A | Recom- <br> mended | Agreed |
| 2006 | 18 | 15.2 | 48 | 10555 |
| 2007 | 21 | 17.6 | 48 | 10555 |
| 2008 | 13 | 13.4 | $17-32$ | 10555 |
| 2009 | 5 | 5.3 | $18-27$ | 10555 |
| 2010 | 2 | 1.9 | ndf | 5277 |
| 2011 | $0^{1}$ |  | ndf | 0 |

${ }^{1}$ Preliminary to September, 2011
${ }^{2}$ Effort regulated
ndf - no directed fishery


Data: Catch, effort and biological data were available from several Contracting Parties. Time series of size and sex composition data were available mainly from two countries between 1993 and 2005 and survey indices were available from EU research surveys (1988-2011). Catch data were updated for 2010. Reliable catch and effort data were not available for 2010 and there is no directed fishing for 2011. Therefore the standardized CPUE series was not updated to 2010

Assessment: No analytical assessment is available and fishing mortality is unknown. Evaluation of stock
status is based upon interpretation of commercial fishery and research survey data.

Recruitment: All year-classes after the 2002 cohort (i.e. age 2 in 2004) have been weak.


SSB: The survey index of female biomass increased from 1997 to 1998 and fluctuated without trend between 1998 and 2007. Since 2007 the survey index decreased and in 2011 it was the lowest in the survey series, well below $B_{\text {lim }}$.


Exploitation rate: From 2005 to 2008 exploitation rates (nominal catch divided by the EU survey biomass index of the same year) remained stable at relatively low values and increased in 2009 due to low levels of the stock estimated from the EU survey that year. The low catches recorded in 2010 caused the decline of the exploitation rate to the lowest levels (0.5).


State of the Stock: In 2009 the female biomass was below $B_{\text {lim }}$, increasing slightly above $B_{\text {lim }}$ in 2010 and it was again well below $B_{\text {lim }}$ in 2011. Due to the continued poor recruitment, there are serious concerns that the stock will remain at low levels.


Reference Points: Scientific Council considers that the point at which a valid index of stock size has declined by $85 \%$ from the maximum observed index level provides a proxy for $B_{\text {lim }}$, for Div. 3M shrimp, 2600 t of female survey biomass. The female biomass index was below $B_{\text {lim }}$ in 2009, it was slightly above it in 2010 and it is again well below $B_{\text {lim }}$ in 2011. It is not possible to calculate a limit reference point for fishing mortality.

Recommendations: The 2011 survey biomass index indicates the stock is below the $B_{\text {lim }}$ proxy and remains in a state of impaired recruitment. To favor future recruitment and stock recovery, Scientific Council recommends that the fishing mortality for 2012 be set as close to zero as possible.

Special comments: Scientific Council notes that there are indications of factors other than fishery that may be involved in the current decline of the stock.

The next assessment will be in October 2011.

Sources of Information: SCR Doc. 11/045

## b) Update on Advice for Northern Shrimp in Div. 3LNO (Item 1)

The Fisheries Commission with the concurrence of the Coastal State as regards to the stocks below which occur within its jurisdiction ("Fisheries Commission") requests that the Scientific Council provide advice in advance of the 2011 Annual Meeting, for the management of Northern shrimp in Div. 3M, 3LNO in 2012.

Noting that Scientific Council will meet in October of 2010 for 2012 TAC advice, Fisheries Commission requests the Scientific Council to update its advice on shrimp stocks in 2011 for 2012 TAC.

Fisheries Commission further requests that SC provide advice in accordance to Annex 1 .

## Northern Shrimp in Div. 3LNO

Background: Most of this stock is located in Div. 3L and exploratory fishing began there in 1993. The stock came under TAC regulation in 2000, and fishing has been restricted to Div. 3L.

Fishery and catches: Several countries participated in the fishery in 2011. The use of a sorting grid to reduce bycatches of fish is mandatory for all fleets in the fishery. Recent catches from the stock are as follows:

|  | Catch ('000 t) |  | TAC ('000 t) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | NIPAG | 21 A | Recom- <br> mended | Agreed |
| 2007 | 24 | 21 | 22 | $22^{1}$ |
| 2008 | 28 | 25 | 25 | $25^{1}$ |
| 2009 | 28 | 26 | 25 | $30^{1}$ |
| 2010 | 21 | 20 | See | $30^{1}$ |
| 2011 | $11^{3}$ |  | $<17^{4}$ | $19^{1}$ |
| 2012 |  |  |  | $17^{5}$ |

${ }^{1}$ Denmark (in respect of Faroes and Greenland) did not agree to the quotas of 144 t (2003-2005), 245 t (2006-2007), 278 t (2008), or 334 t (2009) and set their own quota of 1344 t (2003-2005), 2274 t (2006-2008) and 3106 t (2009). The 2010 autonomous quota for Greenland was set at 532 t , while the Faroes did not set an autonomous quota for 2010. In 2011, Denmark (in respect of Greenland and Faroes) set an autonomous quota of 1985 t . These increases are not included in the table.
${ }^{2}$ The recent exploitation rates of about $14 \%$ may be too high. Scientific Council therefore urges caution in the exploitation of the stock and considers that exploitation rates should not be raised, but kept below recent levels.
${ }^{3}$ STACFIS estimated catches to September 2011.
${ }^{4}$ In September 2010 SC considered that TAC options at $14 \%$ exploitation rate or higher to be associated with a relatively high risk of continued stock decline.
${ }^{5}$ This TAC will be reviewed based on available SC advice. (FC Doc. 11/1)


Data: Effort data were not updated. Biomass indices were available from research surveys conducted in Div. 3LNO during spring (1999 to 2011) and autumn (1996 to 2010). The Canadian survey in autumn 2004 was incomplete.

Assessment: Analytical assessment methods have not been established for this stock. Evaluation of the status of the stock is based upon interpretation of commercial fishery and research survey data.

Recruitment: Recruitment indices from 2006 - 2008 were among the highest in the spring and autumn time series. The spring index decreased to near the mean in 2009 remaining near that level in 2010. The autumn recruitment index also declined in 2009. These indices were not updated.


Biomass: Spring and autumn biomass indices generally increased, to record levels by 2007, but decreased substantially by 2010. The spring biomass indices declined further in 2011.


Fishing mortality: The index of exploitation has remained below 0.15 until 2009 but has since increased.


State of the Stock: Biomass levels peaked in 2007, but have since decreased substantially through to spring 2011. The female biomass index is estimated to be above $B_{\text {lim }}$. However, the decreased levels of biomass over the past seven consecutive Canadian surveys are a reason for concern.

Precautionary Approach Reference Points: Scientific Council considers that the point at which a valid index of stock size has declined by $85 \%$ from the maximum observed index level provides a proxy for $B_{\text {lim }}$ (approximately 19000 t ) for northern shrimp in Div. 3LNO (SCS Doc. 04/12). Currently, the female biomass index is estimated to be above but nearing $B_{\text {lim }}$. It is not possible to calculate a limit reference point for fishing mortality. A safe zone has not been determined in the precautionary approach for this stock.


Recommendation: Based on the average fishable biomass the following table shows catch levels at various exploitation rates in 2012:

| $5.00 \%$ | 3346 t |
| :---: | :---: |
| $10.00 \%$ | 6691 t |
| $14.00 \%$ | 9367 t |
| $25.41 \%$ | 17000 t |
| $28.69 \%$ | 19200 t |
| $44.84 \%$ | 30000 t |

Exploitation rates over the period 2006-2009 have been near $14 \%$ and were followed by stock decline. Scientific Council considers TAC options involving exploitation rates of $14 \%$ or higher to be associated with a relatively high risk of continued stock decline. TACs lower than that will tend to reduce this risk in proportion to the reduction in the exploitation rate. Scientific Council recommended that the TAC for 2012 be less than 9350 t . Scientific Council is not able to quantify the absolute magnitude of the risk.

Special Comment: The next assessment will be in October 2011.

Sources of Information: SCR Doc. 11/46

## c) Update on PA Reference Points for shrimp in Div. 3LNO (Item 3)

With respect to Northern shrimp (Pandalus borealis) in Div. 3LNO, noting the NAFO Framework for Precautionary Approach and recognizing the desire to demonstrate NAFO's commitment to applying the precautionary approach, Fisheries Commission requests the Scientific Council to:
a) identify $F_{m s y}$
b) identify Bmsy
c) provide advice on the appropriate selection of an upper reference point for biomass (e.g. Bbuf)

Scientific Council responded:
This request was also addressed to Scientific Council in 2009 (NAFO Sci. Coun. Rep., 2009, p 232). Scientific Council has been working to provide values for these reference points. Appropriate models have not yet been developed to a point where they have been accepted as a basis for the determination of reference points. Scientific Council is still unable to provide appropriate reference pointes to address this request. This request is therefore deferred to the October 2011 meeting of Scientific Council for further consideration.
d) Updates on Distribution of shrimp in Div. 3LNO (Item 4)

The Scientific Council is requested to provide updated information on the proportion of the 3LNO shrimp stock that occurs in $3 N O$.

Over the entire history of the Canadian surveys, at least $90 \%$ of the shrimp biomass has been recorded in Div. 3L.
Table 1. Shrimp biomass distribution in Canadian autumn surveys, 1996 - 2010.

| Season | Year | Division | Biomass estimate (t) | \% biomass in 3L |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Autumn | 1996 | 3L | 23056 | 92.71 |
| Autumn | 1997 | 3L | 43695 | 98.64 |
| Autumn | 1998 | 3L | 56381 | 92.26 |
| Autumn | 1999 | 3L | 54871 | 99.27 |
| Autumn | 2000 | 3L | 106519 | 98.88 |
| Autumn | 2001 | 3L | 215153 | 99.21 |
| Autumn | 2002 | 3L | 189077 | 97.97 |
| Autumn | 2003 | 3 L | 186459 | 97.01 |
| Autumn | 2004 | 3L | $? ? ?$ | $9 ? ?$ |
| Autumn | 2005 | 3L | 222704 | 99.37 |
| Autumn | 2006 | 3L | 215153 | 99.21 |
| Autumn | 2007 | 3L | 273346 | 98.48 |
| Autumn | 2008 | 3L | 247874 | 98.76 |
| Autumn | 2009 | 3L | 117594 | 98.65 |
| Autumn | 2010 | 3L | 74503 | 99.20 |

Table 2. Shrimp biomass distribution in Canadian spring surveys, 1996-2011.

| Season | Year | Division | Biomass estimate (t) | \% biomass in 3L |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spring | 1999 | 3L | 47823 | 96.15 |
| Spring | 2000 | 3L | 109439 | 95.94 |
| Spring | 2001 | 3L | 83262 | 97.07 |
| Spring | 2002 | 3L | 128971 | 95.74 |
| Spring | 2003 | 3L | 166525 | 97.52 |
| Spring | 2004 | 3L | 92626 | 98.40 |
| Spring | 2005 | 3L | 134106 | 99.85 |
| Spring | 2006 | 3L | 180620 | $? ? ?$ |
| Spring | 2007 | 3L | 284018 | 97.75 |
| Spring | 2008 | 3L | 224114 | 99.73 |
| Spring | 2009 | 3L | 110949 | 97.96 |
| Spring | 2010 | 3L | 130683 | 99.31 |
| Spring | 2011 | 3L | 69469 | 99.42 |

e) Updates on Effect of 5000 t catch on shrimp abundance in Div. 3M (item 5)

With respect to $3 M$ shrimp, the Scientific Council estimated in 2009 a proxy for $B_{\text {lim }}$ as $85 \%$ decline from the maximum observed index levels, this is 2600 t of female biomass. In 2009 the Scientific Council estimated biomass to be below $B_{l i m}$ and recommended fishing mortality to be set as close to zero as possible.

In 2009 estimated catches reached 5000 t. The Fisheries Commission decided on a $50 \%$ effort reduction in 2010 and provisional estimated catches up to September 2010 reached 1000 t. In its 2010 advice, the Scientific Council estimated biomass to be above $B_{\text {lim }}$, but reiterated its previous advice to set fishing mortality as close to zero as possible. The Fisheries Commission requests the Scientific Council to evaluate if the current level of catches is compatible with stock recovery, given that improvements in biomass levels were observed through current level of catches.

Scientific Council responded:
The biomass estimated in 2011 was the lowest recorded in the EU survey series and well below $B_{\text {lim }}$. All year classes after 2002 (i.e. age 2 in 2004) have been weak and there is no signs of change in this situation.


Fig. 1. Total and female biomass indexes of shrimp in EU summer survey series 1989-2011.
Catches in 2010 were around 1766 t and there was no rebuilding of the stock. In 2011 it is again in the collapsed zone. At this time here are therefore no indications that the level of catches for 2010 and zero catches for 2011 will promote stock recovery


Fig. 2. Catch plotted against female biomass index from EU survey. Line denoting $B_{\text {lim }}$ is drawn where biomass is $85 \%$ lower than the maximum point in 2002. Due to moratorium on shrimp fishery the expected catch in 2011 is 0 t .

This unflattering situation advises the maintenance of a moratorium on the shrimp fishery in Div. 3M until stock recovery signs appear.

## IV. ADOPTION OF REPORT

The draft report of this meeting was circulated by email to participants and adopted. The report was adopted in full on 13 September 2011.

## V. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned by the Chair of Scientific Council after the report was adopted. Participants and the NAFO Secretariat were thanked for their contributions.

## APPENDIX I. REPORT OF THE STANDING COMMITTEE ON FISHERIES SCIENCE (STACFIS)

Chair: Joanne Morgan

Rapporteur: Various

The Committee met by correspondence during 1-12 September 2011 via SharePoint and with a WebEx conference to consider the various items on its Agenda on 9 September 2011. Representatives attended from Canada, Denmark (with respect to Greenland), European Union (Estonia, Portugal and Spain) and Norway. The Scientific Council Coordinator was in attendance.

## 1. Opening

The Chair, Joanne Morgan, opened the meeting by welcoming participants. The provisional agenda was reviewed and adopted, and a plan of work developed for the meeting.

## 2. Interim Monitoring Updates

STACFIS was asked to update the assessments of Northern shrimp in Div. 3M and Northern shrimp in Div. 3LNO that had been reviewed at the meeting of NIPAG in October 2010.
a) Northern Shrimp (Pandalus borealis) in Div. 3M
(SCR 11/45)

## Interim Monitoring Report

## a) Introduction

The fishery on this stock is managed by effort regulation. Full assessments of this stock are based on the review of series of indices of survey biomass, CPUE, recruitment potential (numbers at age 2), and catch. All year classes after 2002 have been weak. The indices of female biomass in the July 2009-2011 surveys indicated a sharp decline and that the stock was below $B_{\text {lim }}$ in 2009 and 2011 i.e., had entered the collapse zone defined by the NAFO PA framework. Scientific Council recommended in October 2010 that the fishing mortality be set as close to zero as possible in 2011 and 2012. In 2011 there was no directed fishing on shrimp in Div. 3M stock.

Updated catches in 2010 were 1766 t. In 2011 there were no catches due to the moratorium.

|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recommended TAC | 45000 | 45000 | 45000 | 48000 | 48000 | $17000-32000^{1}$ | $18000-27000^{2}$ | 0 | 0 |
| STATLANT 21 | 62761 | 45842 | 27651 | 15191 | 17642 | 11671 | 5374 | 1975 | $0^{3}$ |
| NIPAG | 63970 | 45757 | 27479 | 18162 | 20741 | 12889 | 5429 | 1766 |  |

${ }^{1}$ SC recommended that exploitation level for 2008 should not exceed the 2005 and 2006 levels ( 17000 to 32000 t ).
${ }^{2}$ SC recommended that exploitation level for 2009 should not exceed levels that have occurred since 2005 (18 000 to 27000 t).
${ }^{3}$ Preliminary catches from circular letters, to September 2011.


Fig. 1.1. Northern Shrimp in Div. 3M: Trends in catch (t) and TAC recommended over the period 1993-2011.

## b) Data

The interim monitoring report was based on updates of total and female survey biomass, and recruitment indices with 2011 values. Surveys use a Lofoten trawl with $35-\mathrm{mm}$ codend mesh, but fitted with a juvenile bag with $10-\mathrm{mm}$ mesh.

## c) Results

CPUE data. CPUE data was not updated at this time.

Research survey data. The survey index of female and total biomass increased from 1997 to 1998 and fluctuated without trend between 1998 and 2007. Since 2007 the survey indexes decreased and in 2011 they were the lowest in the survey series, well below $B_{\text {lim }}$.


Fig. 1.2. Northern Shrimp in Division 3M: EU Survey index of female biomass, 1988-2011.
Recruitment: All year classes after the 2002 cohort (i.e. age 2 in 2004) have been weak.


Fig. 1.3. Northern Shrimp in Division 3M: Abundance indexes at age 2 obtained in EU Flemish Cap surveys from Lofoten gear (black line) and Juvenile bag (dotted line). Each series was standardized to its mean.

Exploitation Rate: From 2005 to 2008 exploitation rates (nominal catch divided by the EU survey biomass index of the same year) remained stable at relatively low values and increased in 2009 due to low levels of the stock estimated from the UE survey that year. The low catches recorded in 2010 caused the decline of the exploitation rate to the lowest levels (0.5).


Figure 1.4. Exploitation rates as nominal catch divided by the EU survey biomass index of the same year.
Reference Points: Scientific Council considers that the point at which a valid index of stock size has declined by $85 \%$ from the maximum observed index level provides a proxy for $B_{l i m}$, for Div. 3M shrimp, 2600 t of female survey biomass. The female biomass index was below $B_{\text {lim }}$ in 2009, it was slightly above it in 2010 and it is again well below $B_{l i m}$ in 2011. It is not possible to calculate a limit reference point for fishing mortality.


Fig. 1.5. Northern Shrimp in Division 3M: Catch plotted against female biomass index from EU survey. Line denoting $B_{\text {lim }}$ is drawn where biomass is $85 \%$ lower than the maximum point in 2002. Due to moratorium on shrimp fishery the expected catch in 2011 is 0 t .

Ecosystem considerations: The decline of shrimp biomass in recent years is likely associated with the increase of the cod stock experienced in the last years.


Fig. 1.6. Northern Shrimp in Division 3M: EU survey cod biomass (gross solid line) and total shrimp biomass (dashed line) in the years 1988-2011 on Flemish Cap.

STACFIS concluded that the information available does not change the perception of a significant decline in stock biomass and the stock is below $B_{\text {lim }}$. Due to continued low recruitment there are serious concerns that the stock will remain at low levels.
b) Northern Shrimp (Pandalus borealis) in Div. 3LNO
(SCR Doc. 11/46)

## a) Introduction

This shrimp stock is distributed around the edge of the Grand Bank mainly in Div. 3L. The fishery began in 1993 and came under TAC control in 2000 with a 6000 t TAC and fishing restricted to Div. 3L. Annual TACs were raised several times between 2000 and 2009 reaching a level of 30000 t for 2009 and 2010 before decreasing to 19200 t in 2011 and 17000 t in 2012. A total catch of 11041 t was taken up to September 2011 (Fig. 2.1).

Recent catches and TACs ( t ) for shrimp in Div. 3LNO (total) are as follows:

|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAC as set by FC | 13000 | 13000 | 13000 | 22000 | 22000 | 2500 | 30000 | 30000 | 19200 | $0^{3}$ |
| STAT- <br> LANT 21 | 11917 | 12051 | 13574 | 21284 | 21120 | 24758 | 25621 | 19726 |  |  |
| NIPAG | 13069 | 13452 | 14389 | 25831 | 23859 | 27691 | 28544 | 20612 | $11041^{2}$ |  |
| Denmark (in respect of Faroes and Greenland) did not agree to the quotas of 144 t (2003-2005), 245 t (2006-2007), 278 t (2008), or 334 t (2009) and set their own quota of $1344 \mathrm{t}(2003-2005), 2274 \mathrm{t}$ (2006-2008) and 3106 t (2009). The 2010 autonomous quota for Greenland was set at 532 t , while the Faroes did not set an autonomous quota for 2010. In 2011, Denmark (in respect of Greenland and Faroes) set an autonomous quota of 1985 t . These increases are not included in the table. <br> ${ }^{2}$ STACFIS estimated catches to September 2011. <br> ${ }^{3}$ For 2012 TAC will be reduced to 17000 t . This TAC will be reviewed based on available SC advice. (FC Doc. 11/1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Since this stock came under TAC regulation, Canada has been allocated $83 \%$ of the TAC. This allocation is split between a small-vessel (less than 500 GT and less than 65 ft ) and a large-vessel fleet. By September 2011, the small- and large-vessel fleets had taken 6388 t and 2423 t of shrimp respectively in Div. 3L. In all years, most of the Canadian catch occurred along the northeast slope in Div. 3L. The annual quota within the NAFO Regulatory Area (NRA) is $17 \%$ of the total TAC.

The use of a sorting grid to reduce bycatches of fish is mandatory for all fleets in the fishery. The sorting grid cannot have a bar spacing greater than 22 mm .


Fig. 2.1. Northern Shrimp in Div. 3LNO: catches (to September 2011) and TAC as set by Fisheries Commission.

## b) Input Data

## i) Commercial fishery data

Effort and CPUE. No updated information at this time.
Catch composition. No updated information at this time.

## ii) Research survey data

Canadian multi-species trawl survey. Canada has conducted stratified-random surveys in Div. 3LNO, using a Campelen 1800 shrimp trawl, from which shrimp data is available for spring (1999-2011) and autumn (1996-2010). The autumn survey in 2004 was incomplete and therefore of limited use for the assessment.

Spanish multi-species trawl survey. No updated information at this time.
Biomass. In Canadian surveys, over $90 \%$ of the biomass was found in Div. 3L, distributed mainly along the northeast slope in depths from 185 to 550 m . There was an overall increase in the both spring and autumn indices to 2007 after which they decreased by about $75 \%$ to 2011 (Fig. 2.2). Confidence intervals from the spring surveys are usually broader than from the autumn surveys.


Fig. 2.2. Northern Shrimp in Div. 3LNO: biomass index estimates from Canadian spring and autumn multi-species surveys (with $95 \%$ confidence intervals).

Stock composition. No updated information at this time.
Female Biomass (SSB) indices. The autumn Div. 3LNO female biomass index showed an increasing trend to 2007 but decreased $72 \%$ by 2010. The spring SSB index decreased by $82 \%$ between 2007 and 2011 (Fig. 2.3).


Fig. 2.3. Northern Shrimp in Div. 3LNO: Female biomass indices from Canadian spring and autumn multi-species surveys (with 95\% confidence intervals).

Recruitment indices. No updated information at this time.
Fishable biomass and exploitation indices. There had been an increasing trend in Canadian spring and autumn survey fishable biomass indices (shrimp $>17 \mathrm{~mm}$ carapace length) until 2007. The autumn fishable biomass showed an increasing trend until 2007 then decreased by $76 \%$ through to 2010 . Similarly, the spring fishable biomass index increased to 2007 but has since decreased by 79 \% through to 2011 (Fig. 2.4).


Fig. 2.4. Shrimp in Div. 3LNO: fishable biomass index. Bars indicate $95 \%$ confidence limits.
An index of exploitation was derived by dividing the catch in a given year by the fishable biomass index from the previous autumn survey. The catch series was updated in the 2011 analysis. The exploitation index has been below 0.15 until 2010 when it increased to 0.22 . By September 2011, the 2011 exploitation rate index was 0.19 . Based upon the autumn 2010 fishable biomass of 57900 t , if the entire 19200 t quota was to be taken, the exploitation rate index would increase to 0.33 (Fig. 2.5).


Fig. 2.5. Shrimp in Div. 3LNO: exploitation rates calculated as year's catch divided by the previous year's autumn fishable biomass index. The 2011 exploitation rate index is based upon incomplete catch data. Bars indicate $95 \%$ confidence limits.

## c) Assessment Results

Recruitment. Recruitment indices from 2006-2008 were among the highest in the spring and autumn time series. The spring index decreased to near the mean in 2009, remaining near that level in 2010. The autumn recruitment index also declined in 2009. There was no updated information at this time.

Biomass. Spring and autumn biomass indices generally increased, to record levels by 2007, but decreased substantially by 2010. The spring biomass indices declined further in 2011.

Exploitation. The index of exploitation has remained below 0.15 until 2009 but has since increased.
State of the Stock. Biomass levels peaked in 2007, but have since decreased substantially through to spring 2011. The female biomass index is estimated to be above $B_{\text {lim }}$. However, the decreased levels of biomass over the past seven consecutive Canadian surveys are a reason for concern.

Inverse variance weighted average fishable biomass was calculated from the four most recent Canadian research surveys into 3LNO.

Variance weighting factor $=$ fishable biomass $/(\text { measure of variance })^{2} \div \Sigma$ fishable biomass $/(\text { measure of variance })^{2}$

| Survey | Fishable biomass <br> (t) | Fishable biomass - <br> lower 95\% C.I.= <br> measure of <br> variance | Fishable <br> biomass/(measure of <br> variance $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | $1 /$ measure of $_{\text {variance }^{2}}$ | Variance <br> weighting <br> factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Autumn 2009 | 95042 | 31301 | $9.70035 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.02 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 0.150 |
| Spring 2010 | 113366 | 47108 | $5.10845 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.51 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 0.067 |
| Autumn 2010 | 57891 | 15464 | 0.000242071 | $4.18 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 0.617 |
| Spring 2011 | 56280 | 29852 | $6.31567 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.12 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 0.166 |
| Grand total |  |  | $4.53315 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $6.775 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 1.00 |

Inverse variance weighted average fishable biomass $\quad=\quad 4.53315 \mathrm{E}-04 / 6.775 \mathrm{E}-09$
$=66911 \mathrm{t}$

The inverse variance weighted average fishable biomass of the four most recent surveys is calculated to be 66911 t . Based on this value, the following table shows exploitation rates at various catch levels in 2012:

TACs options at various percent exploitation rates (catch/fishable biomass)

| Inverse variance weighted average fishable biomass | $5.00 \%$ | $10.00 \%$ | $14.00 \%$ | $25.41 \%$ | $28.69 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 9 9 | 3346 | 6691 | 9367 | 17000 | 19200 |

At TACs of 17000 t and above, the exploitation rate is estimated to be $25 \%$ or higher, which is well beyond the range of exploitation rates previously seen in this fishery. Given recent declines in stock biomass, catches at this level are likely to result in further declines.

Exploitation rates over the period 2006 - 2008 had been near $14 \%$ and were followed by stock decline.

## d) Precautionary Approach Reference Points

Scientific Council considers that the point at which a valid index of stock size has declined by $85 \%$ from the maximum observed index level provides a proxy for $B_{\text {lim }}$ (approximately 19000 t ) for northern shrimp in Div. 3LNO (SCS Doc. 04/12). Currently, the female biomass index is estimated to be above but nearing $B_{\text {lim }}$ (Fig. 2.6). It is not possible to calculate a limit reference point for fishing mortality. A safe zone has not been determined in the precautionary approach for this stock.


Fig. 2.6. Shrimp in Div. 3LNO: Catch against female biomass index from Canadian autumn survey. Line denoting $\mathrm{B}_{\text {lim }}$ (approximately $19,000 \mathrm{t}$ ) is drawn where female biomass is $85 \%$ lower than the maximum point in 2007.

## e) Research Recommendations

STACFIS recommended that the biomass of Northern shrimp in Div. $3 L N O$ be examined in relation to biomass of other species in the same area.

