NOT TO BE CITED WITHOUT PRIOR REFERENCE TO THE AUTHOR(S)



Fisheries Organization

Serial No. N270

5

NAFO SCR Doc. 81/II/6

SPECIAL MEETING OF SCIENTIFIC COUNCIL - FEBRUARY 1981

Ecology and Fishing of Cod Stocks in Divisions 3M and 3NO

by

M. G. Larrañeta Instituto de Investigaciones Pesqueras Muelle de Bouzas, Vigo, Spain

INTRODUCTION

Vazquez and Larrañeta (1980) have observed that both in cod stocks Div. 3M and 3NO the points of relationship between the catch-per-unit effort and the effort may be related to two regressions, the lines meeting on the right side (high values of effort) in the abscissa axis (Fig. 1 and 2).

Afterwards, Larrañeta (in press) has studied the theoretical basis of this graphic representation, coming to the conclusion that changes in the parameters of the stock-recruitment curve can produce changes in the catch-per-unit effort against effort regressions. This approach is applied to these fisheries.

STOCK-RECRUITMENT CURVES AND CATCH-PER-UNIT EFFORT REGRESSIONS

It is assumed that the Ricker (1958) model is the most representative one for stock-recruitment curves in marine fish populations. Said model is:

$$R = ASe^{-BS}$$

(1)

where S = parental stock R = recruitment A and B = parameters

The spawning stock will be $S = \sum S_i$, where S_i is the number of mature fishes at the age <u>i</u>. As a simplification, it is supposed that the spawning stock consists of only one age group.

Then,

where Z is the total mortality between recruitment and spawning ages.

In a steady-state population the spawning stock (S') and the recruitment (R') remain constant; so, if we replace S' by R' in (1) we have:

$$R' = AR'e^{-Z}e^{-BS'}$$

 $S = Re^{-Z}$

or

lnR' = lnA + lnR' - Z - BS'BS' = lnA - Z $S' = 1/B ln(Ae^{-Z}), (2)$ 7-0

In the total life span of a generation the fishing rate is F/Z, and the equilibrium catch will be

$$C' = R'(F/Z),$$

and the catch-per-unit effort

U' = R'/Z(3)

Therefore, if the Parameters A and B and the total mortality (Z) are known, it is possible to calculate the equilibrium parental stock (S') by (2), the recruitment (R') by (1), and the catch-per-unit effort (U') by (3).

Varying the Parameters A or B we can see what happens with the relationship between U' and the fishing effort (f).

Taking the example given by Larrañeta (in press), three curves are essayed, being their parameters as follows,

Curve	<u>A</u>	<u> </u>
1	10	.05
2	7	.05
3	10	.075

These curves are shown in Fig. 3.

Using the expressions (1), (2) and (3), the corresponding catch-per-unit effort curves are drawn (Fig.4).

Taking the Curve 1 as the starting one, Curves 2 and 3 are the new ones when varing Parameter A or Parameter B, respectively. When Parameter A varies the new curve level at F = 0 changes, and the former and the new curves diverge on the right. If Parameter A decreases Curve 2 has lower position than Curve 1.

On the other hand, Curves 1 and 3 have also a different level at F = 0, but they converge on the right, having the same point at U = 0.

Therefore, on plotting U against the fishing effort (f) if the annual points lie withing two historical series, with regression lines diverging or converging on the right, it will be a sign of change in factors associated to Parameter A or Parameter B of the recruitment/stock curve, respectively.

BIOLOGICAL MEANING OF PARAMETERS A AND B

In previous papers, Larrañeta (1979 and 1980) has analyzed the biological meaning of the parameters of the so called "generation curves". Referring to the Ricker model, the associated factors are the following:

a) With Parameter A

0-0-5 0

- (1) Abiotic environmental factors: temperature, salinity, light, etc
- (2) Ecological niche: relative importance of the principal predator, competitor and prey species
- (3) Genotype: physiological and constitutional features

b) With Parameter B

- (4) Average fecundity of the stock.
- (5) Patchiness of the food area.
- (6) Large-scale hydrographic events modifying the size and/or the food density of the nursery area (e.g. current changes)

Perhaps there is some confusion between factors (a-1)and (b-6), because a change in the current patern can produce not only a change in the abiotic environmental factors but also a change in the food supply, and then it would affect both parameters. The effect of factors (a-1) is assentially a physiological one (e.g. on metabolism rates), while a change of factors (b-6) has an ecological effect (e.g. on the available food).

In figures 1 and 2 the regression lines join themselves on the right side, what happens when changes in Parameter B and associated factors take place. There will be a variation of the parameters if there is a persistent change of the associated factors for several years. On the contrary, all the points would appear only scattered along one regression line, according to some random distribution.

FISHING CONSEQUENCES

From the curves belonging to Fig. 4 can be drawn the yield-effort curves (Fig. 5), as Y=f.U. Always comparing with Curve 1, when Parameter A decreases (Curve 2) the MSY is lower and is obtained also with less fishing effort. On the other hand,

- 3 -

when Parameter B increases (Curve 3) the MSY becomes also reduced, but the fishing effort (f_{MSY}) to crop the MSY remains unchanged.

* ----

Fishery management consequences are evident. If a change of factors associated to Parameter A takes place, in the sense of a reduction in the given parameter, then it is necessary to reduce the TAC and to take into account that the f_{MSY} is minor than previously. But if a change of factors associated to Parameter B takes place, in the sense of an increasing in the parameter, then it is also necessary to reduce the TAC, but the fishing effort adjustment will have the same features than previously.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

According to this analysis, changes on factors associated to Parameter B took place in the 1950's, 1960's and 1970's, apparently during periods of 5-10 year. Factors (b-6) seem to be the most related to these periods.

This is merely a hypothesis to be tested, but a double regression in the relationship between the catch-per-unit effort and the effort seems to be more likely than any other explanation given hitherto about this relationship for cod stocks in Divisions 3M and 3NO.

Taking into account the previous analysis and the figures 6 and 7, reported by Larrañeta and Vázquez (1980) and Vázquez and Larrañeta (1980), for the production models of the 3M and 3NO cod stocks, respectively, it may be possible to propose the following conclussions:

- (i) In the cod stock Div. 3M there has been an overfishing during the last years, with a reduction in natural productivity.
- (ii) In the cod stock Div. 3NO no sign of a real overfishing has been observed.
- (iii) The depletion periods in the cod stock Div. 3NO must be attributed to variations of the stock-recruitment relationship owing to changes of the Parameter B an related ecological factors.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am indebted to A. Vázquez for his comments on the paper and C.Alonso, M.T.Fernández, A.Ayala and C.Mouriño for their valuable help.

REFERENCES

1

0 -000

Larrañeta, M.G. 1979. Una crítica de las curvas de reproducción. Inv.Pesg., 43(3): 667-688.

Larrañeta, M.G. 1980. Efectos de la mortalidad por pesca en las curvas de generaciones. Inv.Pesq., 44(1): 85-94

Larrañeta, M.G. (in press). A use of the catch-per-unit effort in ecology. An.Centro Cienc.del Mar y Limnol. Univ. Nal. Autón. México.

Larrañeta, M.G. and A. Vázquez. 1980. NAFO W.P. 80/II/25.

Ricker, W.E. 1958. Handbook of computations for biological statistics of fish populations. Bull.Fish.Res. Board Can., 119: 300 pp.

Vázquez, A. and M.G.Larrañeta. 1980. Assessment of cod stock in Divisions 3NO. NAFO SCR Doc. 80/II/10: 11 pp.



19. 1. Regression lines between catch-per-unit effort (U) and effort (f) in the cod stock Div. 3M, according to Larrañeta and Vázquez (1980)

- 5 -





Fig. 3. Three Recruitment/Stock curves with parameters given in the text.



Fig. 4. Catch-per-unit-effort curves derived from curves of Fig. 3.

---- +



£. 5



