SCIENTIFIC COUNCIL - JUNE 1990<br>Report of the Workshop on Silver Hake Database<br>by<br>H. Lassen<br>Greenland Fisheries Research Institute, Tagensvej 135, 1 DK-2200 Copenhagen, Denmark

The workshop on silver hake assessment data and analysis was held at the Greenland Fisheries Research Institute, Copenhagen, Denmark during 8-12 January 1990 with H. Lassen as Chairman. Representatives attended from Canada, Denmark (Greenland), EEC, and USSR. The Assistant Executive Secretary was in attendance. The list of participants is given in Appendix I.

The Chairman welcomed everyone, and requested the Assistant Executive Secretary to act as general rapporteur while scientists dealing with specific topics were requested to prepare those reports. It was noted that no new SCR Documents would be presented at this meeting, although previously issued SCR and SCS Documents and new data tabulations the scientists had brought to the meeting would be reviewed.

With respect to the agenda, the six topics identified at the September 1989 Meeting were first reviewed and the Chalrman noted that the outline prepared in September 1989 (Appendix II - Agenda) for the workshop was complete and suitable. In general it was noted that the silver hake fishing regime changed in 1977 when the Canadian new management plan came Into effect. It was therefore decided that only the time series from 1977 would be considered.

Each topic was then discussed.
a) Sampling the Commercial Fisheries for Length and Ageing Material

It was agreed that the Canadian and USSR methods of sampling would be completely described for the purpose of this workshop.

The Canadian sampling scheme by the International Observer Program (IOP) had been described by Kulka and Waldron (1983) and the USSR scheme had been described by Noskov and Rikhter (1985). It was noted that the Canadian and USSR sampling schemes were very similar. However a discrepancy had been observed between the Canadian data, and the Cuban and USSR data at fish lengths below 20 cm for 1988. The Canadian data seemed to have a higher proportion of the age 1 year-class compared to the USSR and Cuban samples, and that needed to be resolved.

Historic and current data from Canada and USSR were tabulated to reconcile the discrepancies noted above (Table 1). Data from 1984-89 focusing on fish less than 28 cm showed no significant difference between the data sets except in the 1988 where the Canadian IOP data showed a wider distribution in the smaller size groups. It was recognized that because of the larger sample size of the IOP data, they would exhibit such details. Figure 1 indicates the comparability of both data sets for length below 28 cm , except for 1988.

The group was confident that the $I O P$ length sample database represented an extensive sampling of the commercial fishery. This was the preferred database for estimating length composition of the commercial catches.

It was noted that Canada and USSR were both sampling blological data onboard the vessels with similar objectives. The group therefore felt that when observers from both parties were onboard the same vessel, the parties should explore the possibility of cooperatively collecting the blological data, rather than duplicating their efforts.

Details of sampling methods for ageing material by Canada (Kulka and Waldron, 1983) and USSR (Noskov and Rikhter, 1985) were reviewed. Similar methods were being employed. The ageing effort by Canada and USSR, with each party ageing approximately 1,500 otoliths annually, was also comparabie and was considered adequate.

## b) <br> Ageing Methods

The results of Canadian and USSR otoliths exchange reported by Hunt (1989) showed high levels of agreement within and between age readings from both sources. Previous studies had shown much lower levels of agreement.

The group felt that combining age data from both Canada and USSR could be advantageous. However, the agreement obtained recently by the otolith exchange program, was not reflected in the Canadian and USSR age-length keys (ALK) which showed marked differences (as discussed under item c)). It was therefore concluded that the data should not yet be combined.

The group agreed that the causes of these discrepancies need to be identifled. In spite of the successful otolith exchange, the group still felt that the ageing methods were a significant part of the problem and if required the Canadian and USSR age readers should meet in an attempt to develop more appropriate methods of improving inter-reader and intra-reader agreement.

Methods Used in the Construction of Yearly Catch Compositions
The group reviewed the ralsing procedures used by Canada and USSR to achieve an estimated age composition of the total annual catches. Both use a single season ALK. As discussed under item (a), the group felt that the ALK should be applied to the IOP length composition estimate.

The method by which length frequency and age data from Canada and USSR could be aggregated, and how those data could then generate catch-at-age matrices, in time for the assessment meetings, was considered.

Three age compositions of the 1989 commercial catches were constructed, based on the length composition of the catch originating from the IOP samples. For the first the Canadian ALK was used while for the second dataset USSR ALK was used. The third data set was based on a combined Canada-USSR ALK (Table 2). The estimated number caught for age groups 2 and 4 differed markedly and it was obvious that a combined ALK would not give a reasonable estimate. If the age composition was based on a single ALK, the outcome of an analytical assessment would be very different depending on which dataset it was based. The group was not able at this time to resolve why these ALKs differed, but noted that while the problem remained, a reliable analytical assessment of the silver hake stock was not possible. The solution of this problem was therefore most urgent.
d) Research Vessel Survey Data

Two research vessel survey data series currently being used for assessment purposes were described. These were (1) the Canadian groundfish surveys conducted in July of each year since 1970, and (2) the Canadian-USSR juvenile sliver hake surveys conducted in October/November each year since 1981 on a consistent basis.

The Canadian groundfish series consisted of a multispecies stratified-random bottom trawl survey covering the entire area. This represented an unbiased dataset with coefficients of varlation of mean abundance of silver hake comparable to those obtained for other species estimated by this survey. High interannual variabllity was suggested by Waldron et al. (1989) the reason for which was not understood. The group noted that high varlability could make it difficult to use this data series for callbrating an SPA. It was proposed that adding extra stations to the survey, which could be achieved without modifying the database, may help. The stratification of the survey was reviewed and it was noted that strata along the shelf break could be subdivided, for the purpose of estimating silver hake abundance, to increase the number of stations in the sllver hake high density areas.

The Canada-USSR juvenile silver hake survey serles is a pelagic survey targeting silver hake age 0 . This is randomly stratified using an IGYPT net and is conducted in strata 60 to 78 , which is the core area of Juvenile silver hake distribution. The Scientific Council made a decision in 1986, which it reiterated in 1987, as to how the survey index of abundance should be calculated. This forms the basis of the Canadian database. The accepted protocol was discussed by Koeller et al. (1986) and the resulting mean abundance estimates are given in Table 3. Survey results presented by Waldron et al. (1989) suggest the survey method was acceptable and there were no observed difficulties in the generated data.

## Commercial Catch Rate Data Series

The group noted that two sets of data were currently being collected. The USSR data are taken from daily summaries when the fishery was directed to silver hake. The other data series originates from the IOP which has a $100 \%$ coverage of the silver hake fishery on a set-by-set basis. IOP takes its data from all vessels, particularly Cuban, Japanese and USSR, fishing silver hake. This latter dataset therefore has the USSR data as a subset. When national catch and effort data are reported to the NAFO Statistical database, these contributions are compiled on a monthly basis. These constituted the monthly catch rate data and have been used in preference to the IOP dataset for assessment purposes.
The catch rate series has previously been standardized using multiplicative models. However, the group noted that the inclusion of data for each additional year would cause varlation within the estimation accuracy, and therefore a fixed time series of standardized catch rates could not be constructied using the accepted procedure. The group investigated this standardization procedure and met with simple computer problems which will have to be resolved before the June 1990 Meeting. The database on catch-effort is given in Table 4.

The catch and effort (hrs) serles is composed of both NAFO statistics and IOP data as described above. The categories used are: data source (NAFO or IOP), month, year, fishing regime (fishing method), and country (Cuba and USSR). During the period 1977-79, 4 Soviet vessels were licensed to fish silver hake with 40 mm trawl codends and operate in areas landward of the small mesh gear line. The group agreed that those data should be included in the model in accordance with previous STACFIS assessments.
f)

Assessment Methods
As a result of the problems identified in the database, the group realized that an analytical assessment would not be likely in June 1990.

The assessment would therefore have to be based on those elements of the database which were undisputed. Those seemed to be:

```
- Total catches and effort
- Length compositions of total catches
```

- $\quad$ Survey results when those results do not
involve ageing e.g. biomass
Canadian-USSR fuvenile survey results

Investigation into the ALKs of Canada and USSR may indicate that ageing of 0 and 1 groups are undisputed and the research surveys may therefore provide indices of abundance of certain age groups as follows:
0 group - from Canada-USSR Juvenile survey
1 group - from Canada-USSR Juvenile survey,
and Canadian groundfish survey
$2+$ group - from Canadian groundfish survey

This breakdown may also be made using decomposition of the length compositions from the surveys.

Based on this information, either additive or multiplicative models, relating catch to recruitment indices and effort may be developed. Although such models may have some predictive power, they would not provide anything about where the exploitation level ought to be. This latter question will then have to be addressed based on past experience e.g. defining a catch level which may be sustained for the time series avallable.

Status quo and SHOT approaches may also have some merits.
Redfish in the Flemish Cap is managed using a real-time general production model. A similar approach may be feasible for the silver hake.
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Table 1. Comparison of Canadian and USSR commercial sampling of silver hake. Lengths given as percent by numbers (after adjustment to catch). Canadian data cut off at 28 cm .

| Length | 1984 |  | 1985 |  | 1986 |  | 1987 |  | 1988 |  | 1989 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | USSR | Canada | USSR | Canada | USSR | Canada | USSR | Canada | USSR | Canada | USSR |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.01 |  |  |  |
| 13 | 0.01 |  | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.02 |  | 0.01 |  |
| 14 | 0.01 |  | 0.02 |  | 0.01 |  |  |  | 0.06 |  | 0.06 |  |
| 15 | 0.06 |  | 0.04 |  | 0.10 |  | 0.05 |  | 0.10 |  | 0.15 | 0.20 |
| 16 | 0.35 |  | 0.06 |  | 0.42 | 0.30 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.21 |  | 0.29 | 0.40 |
| 17 | 0.91 |  | 0.15 | 0.10 | 1.08 | 0.60 | 0.26 | 0.30 | 0.29 |  | 0.38 | 0.50 |
| 18 | 1.68 | 0.30 | 0.27 | 0.20 | 1.79 | 1.10 | 0.44 | 0.30 | 0.16 |  | 0.41 | 0.50 |
| 19 | 2.47 | 0.60 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 2.02 | 1.20 | 0.34 | 0.30 | 0.17 |  | 0.47 | 0.40 |
| 20 | 3.10 | 1.10 | 0.61 | 0.70 | 2.12 | 1.60 | 0.26 | 0.20 | 0.21 |  | 0.65 | 0.50 |
| 21 | 2.71 | 1.50 | 0.83 | 0.80 | 1.57 | 1.40 | 0.16 | 0.10 | 0.20 |  | 0.99 | 1.30 |
| 22 | 2.13 | 1.60 | 0.92 | 1.00 | 1.11 | 1.00 | 0.39 | 0.20 | 0.13 |  | 1.17 | 1.70 |
| 23 | 1.48 | 1.30 | 0.97 | 1.10 | 0.71 | 0.80 | 2.28 | 1.20 | 0.16 | 0.10 | 1.00 | 1.70 |
| 24 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.13 | 1.20 | 0.48 | 0.60 | 7.42 | 5.50 | 0.94 | 0.50 | 1.68 | 1.60 |
| 25 | 1.45 | 0.70 | 2.32 | 1.80 | 0.78 | 0.80 | 14.57 | 12.20 | 2.54 | 1.80 | 3.09 | 3.00 |
| 26 | 3.64 | 0.60 | 5.52 | 4.20 | 2.22 | 2.00 | 17.25 | 15.20 | 5.03 | 4.90 | 4.43 | 4.80 |
| 27 | 7.95 | 1.90 | 9.65 | 8.80 | 5.57 | 4.50 | 14.11 | 13.20 | 10.16 | 10.90 | 5.02 | 5.20 |
| 28 | 12.31 | 5.10 | 11.95 | 11.40 | 9.93 | 8.20 | 9.49 | 9.00 | 16.05 | 17.50 | 8.12 | 7.30 |
| 29 |  | 10.00 |  | 11.00 | 14.73 | 13.10 | 6.79 | 7.20 | 18.79 | 19.80 | 13.18 | 11.60 |
| 30 |  | 16.20 |  | 11.30 | 16.36 | 16.90 | 6.15 | 7.30 | 16.79 | 16.20 | 16.53 | 15.20 |
| 31 |  | 16.30 |  | 11.20 | 13.90 | 14.80 | 5.95 | 6.90 | 11.52 | 11.80 | 15.21 | 15.00 |
| 32 |  | 14.00 |  | 9.80 | 10.52 | 12.80 | 4.76 | 6.70 | 7.05 | 7.00 | 11.53 | 12.20 |
| 33 |  | 9.20 |  | 8.30 | 6.63 | 8.70 | 3.57 | 4.90 | 3.90 | 4.00 | 6.84 | 7.50 |
| 34 |  | 6.30 |  | 5.90 | 3.74 | 4.50 | 2.44 | 3.40 | 2.35 | 2.70 | 3.62 | 4.00 |
| 35 |  | 4.40 |  | 4.00 | 1.66 | 2.40 | 1.35 | 2.20 | 1.26 | 1.30 | 1.87 | 2.20 |
| 36 |  | 2.80 |  | 2.50 | 0.93 | 1.40 | 0.65 | 1.30 | 0.69 | 0.70 | 1.12 | 1.20 |
| 37 |  | 2.10 |  | 1.50 | 0.57 | 0.60 |  | 0.80 | 0.42 | 0.30 | 0.72 | 0.80 |
| 38 |  | 1.30 |  | 0.90 | 0.31 | 0.40 |  | 0.50 | 0.23 | 0.10 | 0.46 | 0.40 |
| 39 |  | 0.60 |  | 0.70 | 0.20 | 0.20 |  | 0.30 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.34 | 0.30 |
| 40 |  | 0.50 |  | 0.40 | 0.15 | 0.10 |  | 0.20 | 0.07 |  | 0.19 | 0.20 |
| 41 |  | 0.30 |  | 0.30 |  |  |  | 0.10 |  |  | 0.11 | 0.10 |
| 42 |  | 0.20 |  | 0.20 |  |  |  | 0.10 |  |  | 0.10 | 0.10 |
| 43 |  | 0.10 |  | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.07 | 0.10 |
| 44 |  |  |  | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.06 |  |
| 45 |  |  |  | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.04 |  |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.02 |  |

Table 2. Catch-at-age ('000) for 1989 calculated from the International Observer Program (IOP) length composition applied to the age-length key (ALK) from USSR, ALK from Canada and from combining these two ALKS.

| Age | USSR | CANADA | CAN + USSR |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 20,311 | 25,721 | 23,132 |
| 2 | 153,800 | 92,039 | 128,293 |
| 3 | 189,630 | 169,903 | 175,231 |
| 4 | 88,856 | 153,666 | 113,614 |
| 5 | 17,700 | 21,289 | 20,735 |
| 6 | 3,800 | 9,975 | 11,037 |
| 7 | 1,129 | 1,669 | 2,291 |
| 8 | 323 | 1,274 | 1,213 |
| 9 | 70 | 41 | 69 |
| 10 | 0 | 22 | 6 |
| 11 | 0 | 35 | 5 |

Table 3. Stratified mean catch/tow for the joint Canada/USSR Juvenile silver hake survey. Strata 60-78 only.

|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Stratifled mean <br> catch/tow | 579.0 | 8.0 | 232.2 | 43.4 | 284.8 | 198.0 | 102.0 | 204.8 | 131.5 |
| Standard error <br> of mean | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.19 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.14 |
| Number of Sets | 77 | 61 | 64 | 71 | 82 | 74 | 105 | 116 |  |

Table 4. Commercial silver hake catch and effort used in the multiplicative model.

| Catch | Effort | Source | Month | Year | Area | Regime | Country |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 10 | 1 | 8 | 77 | 450 | 2 | 1 |
| 3295 | 1841 | 1 | 4 | 77 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 3721 | 1933 | 1 | 5 | 77 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 1796 | 889 | 1 | 6 | 77 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 8261 | 4117 | 1 | 7 | 77 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 1704 | 435 | 1 | 8 | 77 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 470 | 332 | 1. | 9 | 77 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 2423 | 1083 | 1 | 4 | 77 | 470 | - 2 | 1 |
| 1576 | 875 | 1 | 5 | 77 | 470 | 2 | 1 |
| 236 | 82 | 1 | 6 | 77 | 470 | 2 | 1 |
| 1051 | 524 | 1 | 7 | 77 | 470 | 2 | 1 |
| 232 | 186 | 1 | 4 | 78 | 450 | 2 | 1 |
| 2995 | 1406 | 1 | 5 | 78 | 450 | 2 | 1 |
| 326 | 203 | 1 | 6 | 78 | 450 | 2 | 1 |
| 1591 | 1087 | 1 | 4 | 78 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 5219 | 4812 | 1 | 5 | 78 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 6169 | 5196 | 1 | 6 | 78 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 7847 | 5626 | 1 | 7 | 78 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 4183 | 2031 | 1 | 8 | 78 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 330 | 247 | 1 | 4 | 78 | 470 | 2 | 1 |
| 133 | 88 | 1 | 5 | 78 | 470 | 2 | 1 |
| 1064 | 649 | 1 | 6 | 78 | 470 | 2 | 1 |
| 27 | 38 | 1 | 7 | 78 | 470 | 2 | 1 |
| 13 | 10 | 1 | 8 | 79 | 450 | 2 | 1 |
| 2103 | 1244 | 1 | 4 | 79 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 8847 | 4874 | 1 | 5 | 79 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 8390 | 4985 | 1 | 6 | 79 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 7470 | 3948 | 1 | 7 | 79 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 2014 | 1338 | 1 | 8 | 79 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 713 | 411 | 1 | 9 | 79 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 65 | 31 | 1 | 4 | 79 | 470 | 2 | 1 |
| 739 | 436 | 1 | 5 | 79 | 470 | 2 | 1 |
| 98 | 65 | 1 | 6 | 79 | 470 | 2 | 1 |
| 1531 | 1176 | 1 | 4 | 80 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 9033 | 7902 | 1 | 5 | 80 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 11333 | 9056 | 1 | 6 | 80 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 9018 | 7083 | 1 | 7 | 80 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 3665 | 5683 | 1 | 8 | 80 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 168 | 118 | 1 | 5 | 80 | 470 | 2 | 1 |
| 1639 | 906 | 1 | 6 | 80 | 470 | 2 | 1 |
| 4494 | 2725 | 1 | 7 | 80 | 470 | 2 | 1 |
| 66 | 113 | 1 | 8 | 80 | 470 | 2 | 1 |
| 117 | 80 | 1 | 6 | 81 | 450 | 2 | 1 |
| 363 | 275 | 1 | 7 | 81 | 450 | 2 | 1 |
| 601 | 490 | 1 | 4 | 81 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 13317 | 6091 | 1 | 5 | 81 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 11804 | 8717 | 1 | 6 | 81 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 9940 | 7100 | 1 | 7 | 81 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 763 | 543 | 1 | 8 | 81 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 220 | 192 | 1 | 6 | 81 | 470 | 2 | 1 |
| - 17 | 14 | 1 | 8 | 81 | 470 | 2 | 1 |
| 2165 | 386 | 1 | 4 | 82 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 16644 | 3895 | 1 | 5 | 82 | 460 | 2 | 1 |

Table 4. (continued)

| Catch | Effort | Source | Month | Year | Area | Regime | Country |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20985 | 5441 | 1 | 6 | 82 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 6653 | 2348 | 1 | 7 | 82 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 5134 | 1726 | 1 | 4 | 83 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 13127 | 6030 | 1 | 5 | 83 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 7110 | 4935 | 1 | 6 | 83 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 229 | 267 | 1 | 7 | 83 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 15732 | 3794 | 1 | 5 | 84 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 17276 | 7077 | 1 | 6 | 84 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 21453 | 8735 | 1 | 7 | 84 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 2838 | 904 | 1 | 8 | 84 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 8400 | 3702 | 1 | 5 | 85 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 26230 | 10541 | 1 | 6 | 85 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 15956 | 13623 | 1 | 7 | 85 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 5751 | 2069 | 1 | 8 | 85 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 435 | 184 | 2 | 7 | 77 | 460 | 1 | 1 |
| 50 | 41 | 2 | 7 | 77 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 771 | 712 | 2 | 8 | 77 | 460 | 1 | 1 |
| 16 | 72 | 2 | 8 | 77 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 18 | 16 | 2 | 8 | 77 | 470 | 1 | 1 |
| 225 | 128 | 2 | 9 | 77 | 460 | 1 | 1 |
| 527 | 408 | 2 | 4 | 78 | 460 | 1 | 1 |
| 1233 | 776 | 2 | 5 | 78 | 460 | 1 | 1 |
| 17 | 17 | 2 | 5 | 78 | 470 | 1 | 1 |
| 16 | 19 | 2 | 5 | 78 | 470 | 2 | 1 |
| 1375 | 493 | 2 | 6 | 78 | 450 | 1 | 1 |
| 2136 | 1557 | 2 | 6 | 78 | 460 | 1 | 1 |
| 116 | 63 | 2 | 6 | 78 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 72 | 40 | 2 | 6 | 78 | 470 | 1 | 1 |
| 774 | 310 | 2 | 7 | 78 | 450 | 1 | 1 |
| 2745 | 1645 | 2 | 7 | 78 | 460 | 1 | 1 |
| 47 | 32 | 2 | 7 | 78 | 470 | 1 | 1 |
| 74 | 29 | 2 | 8 | 78 | 450 | 1 | 1 |
| 3195 | 1316 | 2 | 8 | 78 | 460 | 1 | 1 |
| 110 | 102 | 2 | 9 | 78 | 460 | 1 | 1 |
| 1510 | 690 | 2 | 5 | 79 | 460 | 1 | 1 |
| 1684 | 847 | 2 | 5 | 79 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 105 | 81 | 2 | 5 | 79 | 470 | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | 15 | 2 | 5 | 79 | 470 | 2 | 1 |
| 3174 | 1604 | 2 | 6 | 79 | 460 | 1 | 1 |
| 2097 | 1188 | 2 | 6 | 79 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 41 | 46 | 2 | 6 | 79 | 470 | 1 | 1 |
| 83 | 87 | 2 | 6 | 79 | 470 | 2 | 1 |
| 2239 | 899 | 2 | 7 | 79 | 460 | 1 | 1 |
| 1017 | 455 | 2 | 7 | 79 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 392 | 259 | 2 | 8 | 79 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 9423 | 2240 | 2 | 5 | 86 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 11905 | 3292 | 2 | 6 | 86 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 5531 | 1803 | 2 | 7 | 86 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 63 | 16 | 2 | 6 | 86 | 470 | 2 | 1 |
| 1049 | 175 | 2 | 7 | 86 | 470 | 2 | 1 |
| 2300 | 638 | 1 | 5 | 82 | 460 | 2 | 2 |
| 3437 | 1491 | 1 | 6 | 82 | 460 | 2 | 2 |
| 5469 | 2542 | 1 | 7 | 82 | 460 | 2 | 2 |
| 1565 | 515 | 1 | 4 | 83 | 460 | 2 | 2 |

Table 4. (continued)

| Catch | Effort | Source | Month | Year | Area | Regime | Country |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3003 | 2124 | 1 | 5 | 83 | 460 | 2 | 2 |
| 2564 | 2640 | 1 | 6 | 83 | 460 | 2 | 2 |
| 286 | 150 | 1 | 7 | 83 | 460 | 2 | 2 |
| 2614 | 724 | 1 | 4 | 84 | 460 | 2 | 2 |
| 6254 | 2364 | 1 | 5 | 84 | 460 | 2 | 2 |
| 5415 | 2351 | 1 | 6 | 84 | 460 | 2 | 2 |
| 213 | 192 | 1 | 7 | 84 | 460 | 2 | 2 |
| 2889 | 863 | 1 | 4 | 85 | 460 | 2 | 2 |
| 6098 | 3035 | 1 | 5 | 85 | 460 | 2 | 2 |
| 7014 | 2797 | 1 | 6 | 85 | 460 | 2 | 2 |
| 1682 | 831 | 1 | 7 | 85 | 460 | 2 | 2 |
| 869 | 744. | 2 | 8 | 86 | 460 | 2 | 2 |
| 2682 | 489 | 2 | 4 | 86 | 460 | 2 | 2 |
| 2482 | 850 | 2 | 5 | 86 | 460 | 2 | 2 |
| 950 | 503 | 2 | - 6 | 86 | 460 | 2 | 2 |
| 342 | 353 | 2 | 9 | 86 | 460 | 2 | 2 |
| 5216 | 742 | 2 | 4 | 87 | 460 | 2 | 2 |
| 9411 | 1658 | 2 | 5 | 87 | 460 | 2 | 2 |
| 4641 | 2407 | 2 | 6 | 87 | 460 | 2 | 2 |
| 237 | 121 | 2 | 7 | 87 | 460 | 2 | 2 |
| 3173 | 597 | 2 | 5 | 87 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 15895 | 6758 | 2 | 6 | 87 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 18291 | 7438 | 2 | 7 | 87 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 24 | 11 | 2 | 7 | 87 | 470 | 2 | 1 |
| 247 | 139 | 2 | 8 | 87 | 470 | 2 | 1 |
| 20663 | 4789 | 2 | 4 | 88 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 782 | 274 | 2 | 4 | 88 | 460 | 2 | 2 |
| 18870 | 6050 | 2 | 5 | 88 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 2279 | 1079 | 2 | 5 | 88 | 460 | 2 | 2 |
| 12328 | 5864 | 2 | 6 | 88 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 4184 | 2384 | 2 | 6 | 88 | 460 | 2 | 2 |
| 3254 | 976 | 2 | 6 | 88 | 470 | 2 | 1 |
| 93 | 56 | 2 | 6 | 88 | 470 | 2 | 2 |
| 1233 | 1462 | 2 | 7 | 88 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 1796 | 973 | 2 | 7 | 88 | 460 | 2 | 2 |
| 650 | 60 | 2 | 3 | 89 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 30044 | 5110 | 2 | 4 | 89 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 19098 | 5255 | 2 | 5 | 89 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 9749 | 4245 | 2 | 6 | 89 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 4667 | 1552 | 2 | 7 | 89 | 460 | 2 | 1 |
| 4347 | 823 | 2 | 4 | 89 | 460 | 2 | 2 |
| 6844 | 2207 | 2 | 5 | 89 | 460 | 2 | 2 |
| 2999 | 1536 | 2 | 6 | 89 | 460 | 2 | 2 |
| 457 | 244 | 2 | 7 | 89 | 460 | 2 | 2 |
| 182 | 39 | 2 | 4 | 89 | 470 | 2 | 1 |
| 171 | 35 | 2 | 5 | 89 | 470 | 2 | 1 |
| 6128 | 1683 | 2 | 6 | 89 | 470 | 2 | 1 |




Fig. 1. Comparison of Canadian and USSR silver hake length data given as percent by number for lengths below 28 cm .
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## AGENDA

The Scientific Council recommended at its June 1989 meeting that a workshop be held on "silver hake assessment data and analysis" and that the workshop should be held in early 1990.

The workshop was scheduled for 8-12 January 1990, at the Greenland Fisheries Research Institute, Tagensvej 135, 1, DK-2200, Copenhagen, Denmark, with Hans Lassen (STACFIS Chairman) as Chairman.

The objective of the workshop would be to review data available for silver hake assessment, resolve the apparent discrepancles and establish an agreed database.

Six topics were identified:
a) Sampling for Length and Ageing Material

Discrepancies between length frequencies collected by several countries have been noted. Therefore sampling methods for all countries should be reviewed (e. g. sample size, randomization scheme, equipment used and measurements taken).
b) Ageing Methods

Results of the otolith exchange programs between Canada and USSR were reported in June 1989, and a blas was still apparent in the data. The workshop should therefore attempt to resolve those problems.
c) Methods Used in the Construction of Yearly Catch Compositions

Procedures for aggregating age and length data should be reviewed, particularly stratification schemes. The database at present only went back to 1977. While data for the $1962-76$ period were avallable, they had not been analyzed yet.
d) Research Vessel Survey Data

Stratification schemes, sampling and raising procedures should be reviewed. The ageing and length measurement problems referred to above would also influence the survey results.
e) Commercial Catch Rate Data Series

There was a break in the nature of the data serles so two periods needed to be addressed: 1970-85 and 1986-89.
f) Assessment Methods

The methods which were currently employed to assess silver hake should be reviewed and new methods should be evaluated before they were used in assessments.

## Output of the Workshop

For items a-e listed above, the agreed database and how it was constructed should be fully documented. Further, effects on the assessment as a consequence of any changes in the database should be documented.

For item $f$, validation of the methods should be sought.
Standard methods of sampling, stratification, length measurement etc. should be established wherever possible.

