### Northwest Atlantic



Fisheries Organization

Serial No. N1841

NAFO SCR Doc. 90/106

#### Concurrent Exploitation of Multiple Stocks: a Redfish Simulation Study

SCIENTIFIC COUNCIL MEETING - SEPTEMBER 1990

by

Lenore Fahrig and Bruce Atkinson

Science Branch, Dept. of Fisheries and Oceans Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Centre P. O. Box 5667, St. John's, Newfoundland, Canada AlC 5X1

#### ABSTRACT

The redfish fisheries in the Gulf of St. Lawrence and off the south coast of Newfoundland harvest two species, *Sebastes mentella* and *S. fasciatus*, which are fished and managed as though they were one. There is evidence that the two species have different distributions over the region and between winter and summer. The purpose of this work was to examine the consequences of uncertainty in the relative abundance and the recruitment synchrony of the two species, for different spatial and temporal patterns of fishing. We were interested in identifying fishing patterns that would be particularly risky in terms of the survival probability of the two species. A simulation model was constructed of the redfish population dynamics; the region was divided into upper and lower areas and the year was divided into summer and winter. Simulation experiments were conducted with the following main results:

(i) Fishing only in winter is generally less risky than fishing only in summer or in both seasons.

(ii) Spreading the catch over the whole region is generally less risky than restricting the catch to either the upper or lower area.

(iii) If fishing is carried out in both seasons or summer only, and the relative abundance of S. *mentella* is low, it is important to fish in the lower area or in both the upper and lower areas, but not in the upper area only.

(iv) If fishing is carried out in both seasons or summer only, and the relative abundance of S. *mentella* is high, fishing should be spread over the entire region.

#### INTRODUCTION

It is well recognised that problems may arise from the practice of harvesting and managing two or more fish populations (stocks) in combination (Hilborn 1985). The problems fall into two categories. First, if the stocks differ substantially in population parameters such as fecundity or growth rate, then the calculated optimal catch levels will be incorrect. Second, and potentially more serious, are the possible consequences when the stocks are isolated in space and/or time, such that interchange of fish between the stocks is rare. If two or more isolated stocks are managed in combination, the same overall harvesting level can lead to very different longterm population dynamics, depending on the spatial and temporal distribution of the fishing. In the extreme, if all the fishing is concentrated on a subset of the stocks, and some are fished to extinction, it may take many generations for these stocks to be replaced because of the low rate of interchange. The consequences for the longterm survival of the fishery are obvious.

The problems associated with concurrent exploitation of multiple stocks can be extreme if the "stocks" are actually separate species. In this case the ecological requirements of the two species are likely to be different, and it is less likely that one species can "replace" another if the first has been overfished.

Redfish are an important component of the fisheries in the Gulf of St. Lawrence and off southern Newfoundland (Figure 1). An overview of the species biology and the fishery is given in Atkinson (1987). There are three species, Sebastes marinus, S. mentella, and S. fasciatus, S. marinus is easily distinguished from the other two species, but it comprises an insignificant portion of the fishery. S. mentella and S. fasciatus, on the other hand, are both important components of the fishery. However, they are indistinguishable without disection and inspection of the gas bladder musculature. Therefore, they are managed and harvested as though they were one species. There is some evidence that the spatial and temporal distributions of these two species are not congruent (Ni 1982). Figure 2 summarizes the current understanding of the distributions of the two species in the Gulf of St. Lawrence and the waters off southern Newfoundland (NAFO divisions 3Psn4RSTV). For the purposes of this description we refer to 4ST and the northern portion of 4R as the "upper area" and 3Pns4V and the southern portion of 4R as the "lower area". It is generally believed that in the summer, S. mentella is found in deeper waters (below 250 m) in the whole region, as well as in shallower waters in the upper area. S. fasciatus, on the other hand, is found mainly in the lower area in both deep and shallow water, and to a much lesser extent in the shallow waters in the upper area. In the winter both species appear to move to the deeper waters in the lower area.

#### **Uncertainty Concerning the Redfish Populations**

Because the two redfish species are difficult to distinguish, there is much that remains unknown concerning the differences between their biologies and distributions. Perhaps the most basic area of uncertainty is that of the relative abundance of the two species in the region. Research surveys are conducted to aid in assessment of the populations (Atkinson and Power 1989, Laberge and Hurtubise 1989), but only a small number of the fish are identified to species. Information from the commercial fishery is also not available at the species level. There is therefore currently no estimate available of the relative abundances of the two species in the region.

A second potentially important area of uncertainty is that of recruitment synchrony. As with most fish populations, recruitment in redfish is highly variable from year to year. It is not known whether years of good recruitment are years in which both species have high recruitment (perhaps due environmental conditions), or whether the recruitment levels of the two species are independent over time.

The purpose of this work was to examine the consequences of different spatial and temporal patterns of fishing on the two redfish populations. We were particularly interested in investigating the potential consequences of the above two aspects of uncertainty (relative abundance and recruitment synchrony). It is not possible to build a predictive model of redfish dynamics, because of the lack of quantitative information available about the two species. However, it is possible to build an exploratory simulation model to examine the range of possible dynamics of the redfish fishery under combined management. Our approach was to build a simple model that incorporates the main components of our current understanding of the spatio-temporal dynamics of the species. Simulation experiments were then conducted to examine the possible impacts of (i) different levels of relative abundances of the two species and (ii) synchronous vs. asynchronous recruitment, on (i) long-term catches, (ii) species abundances and (iii) species risk levels (see Simulation Experiments section for definition). These simulations were conducted under a range of levels of variability in the parameter values, to compare the effects of the uncertainty to the effects of intrinsic variability in population parameters. The simulations were conducted for different spatial and temporal patterns of fishing to identify fishing patterns that might be most harmful to the redfish populations.

#### THE MODEL

The model is a stochastic simulation model. "Space" is divided into two regions that can be thought of as corresponding to the upper and lower areas. Each of these is divided into two depth zones corresponding to depths above and below 250 m. The time step is 0.5 year, to incorporate

the winter/summer differences in the spatial distributions of the redfish. The model does not explicitly follow age classes; this means that only numbers of fish can be calculated, not biomass, since weights would have to be applied separately to each age class.

#### Recruitment

Recruitment of redfish to the fishery occurs at about age 6. We do not assume any functional relationship between recruitment and total stock size. To simulate a realistic temporal pattern of recruitment, we use the 16 years of recorded recruitment for 3Ps redfish (D. Power, pers. comm.). Expected recruitment for a particular year in the simulation was chosen at random from this series. The recruitment routine in the model includes a parameter termed "synchrony" which determines whether or not recruitment in the two species is synchronous. For simulations in which recruitment is assumed to be synchronous, only one recruitment value is chosen for each year. For simulations in which recruitment is assumed to be asynchronous, separate recruitment values are chosen for each species each year. In both cases, the recruitment value selected for a species is then multiplied by the relative abundance of the species in the parent stock (i.e., the stock 6 years previous).

#### Movement

The two redfish species are assumed to be distributed as described in the Introduction (Figure 2), by depth, region and season. Fish move around in each season according to the rates given in Table 1 (with stochastic variability applied). There are no estimates for these values, but they correspond roughly with the understanding of the spatio-temporal distributions of the species.

#### Natural Mortality

The population models of the two species are not age-structured, but we do assume that the maximum lifespan of a redfish is about 50 years. This is done by calculating the survival rate per year such that only 1% of the recruited individuals (age 6) would be present 44 years later (age 50) if there were no fishing:

$$m = e^{\left(\frac{1}{44}\right)ln(0.01)}$$

where m is the annual mortality rate. This results in an average annual mortality rate of about 10%; stochastic variability is then applied to this rate.

#### Fishing

We allow an annual exploitation rate of 0.1. Depending on the simulation, fishing may be permitted in only the winter, only the summer, or both winter and summer. If fishing is permitted in winter and summer we assume that fishing will be concentrated first in the winter and then continue into the summer only if the quota has not been filled in the winter. Also depending on the simulation, fishing may be permitted in the upper area only, the lower area only, or both the upper and lower areas. The fishery is assumed to be able to find the fish in the highest concentrations and to exploit these areas first.

#### SIMULATION EXPERIMENTS

#### L Equal Relative Abundances, No Parameter Variability,

#### Synchronous Recruitment

The goal of the first simulation experiment was to examine the effects of different spatial and temporal patterns of fishing in the absence of parameter variability and under the assumption of equal relative abundances of the two species. Synchronous recruitment was also assumed. Each simulation was run for 100 years to get an idea of the long-term consequences of different fishing patterns. Output were analysed for the final 50 years. Table 1 gives a list of the parameter values used in the simulations.

#### Results

The simulation results are shown in Table 2. Output is expressed in terms of 5 variables: (i) mean annual catch, (ii, iii) mean abundances of *Sebastes mentella* and *S. fasciatus*, (iv, v) percent

of years in which the abundance of each species was less than 20% of the mean unexploited abundance, which we call the "risk level". The 20% rule has been used as a threshold below which recruitment declines might be expected to occur (Beddington and Cooke 1983). A sample time series is shown in Figure 3. The variability in the time series is due to the random selection of recruitment from the observed values for 3Ps (Table 1).

The results (Table 2) indicate that all spatial and temporal distributions of fishing produce approximately the same level of long-term catches, except when fishing is restricted to the upper area in winter. In this latter case there is no harvest because there are no fish there (Figure 2). Although the different spatial and temporal distributions of fishing result in similar catches, their impacts on the two species are markedly different. When fishing occurs in both winter and summer and fishing is allowed in either the whole region or the lower area only, most or all of the harvest occurs in winter because the fishing season begins in winter (see model description). In this case the two species are equally exploited (Figure 2), so their long-term abundances are identical. However, if fishing is restricted to the upper area and occurs either in both seasons or only in the summer (these are equivalent, see Figure 2) then the pressure is much greater on *Sebastes mentella* than on *S. fasciatus* (Figure 2), so *S. mentella* has a much lower long-term abundance. On the other hand, if fishing is restricted to the lower area in summer then the fishing pressure on *S. fasciatus* is greater than on *S. mentella* and the abundance of *S. fasciatus* is lower. The effect is not as great as in the upper area case because in the summer the relative amount of *S. mentella* in the upper area (0.88) is greater than that of *S. fasciatus* in the lower area (0.75) (Table 1).

#### II. Unequal Relative Abundances, Synchronous and Asynchronous Recruitment

#### and Parameter Variability

As described in the Introduction, two of the main aspects of uncertainty in the redfish populations are (i) the current relative abundances of the two species and (ii) whether recruitment levels in the two species are synchronous or asynchronous. In conducting simulations to examine the consequences of this uncertainty, we felt it was important to compare the potential impacts of the uncertainty to the impact of the inter-annual variability in population parameters. Since we do not know the actual level of this variability, we conducted simulations over a range of values. Therefore, the goal of the second simulation experiment was to examine the consequences of different spatial and temporal patterns of fishing, given (i) different values for the relative abundances of the two species, (ii) synchronous vs. asynchronous recruitment and (iii) different levels of inter-annual variability in the parameters controlling population dynamics and movement in the model. The parameter variability was applied by selecting the survival rates, recruitment rates, and between-area movement rates from Normal distributions; the variability was modified by modifying the coefficient of variation (CV). The same CV was used for all random variables within a simulation. The design of the simulation experiment is shown in Table 3. We conducted 1800 runs in total. This included 100 runs, each with different randomly chosen values of (i) relative abundance of Sebastes mentella (relative to S. fasciatus) ranging from 0 to 1, and (ii) CV ranging from 0 to 0.5, for each of the 18 combinations of temporal fishing pattern, spatial fishing pattern, and recruitment synchrony (Table 3). As in experiment I, each simulation was conducted for 100 years and the final 50 years' output were analysed. A sample time series is shown in Figure 4.

#### Analyses

The results of the simulations were analysed in terms of five output values (i) mean annual catch, (ii, iii) mean abundances of each of the two species and (iv, v) percent of years in which the abundances of each of the two species were less than 20% of the unexploited abundances (risk level). The goal of the analysis was to quantify the relative effects of (i) temporal fishing pattern, (ii) spatial fishing pattern, (iii) relative abundance, (iv) recruitment synchrony/asynchrony, and (v) parameter variability (CV) on the output values. A discussion of the general approach to analyses of this type of simulated data is in Fahrig (in press). The first step in the analysis was to replace the

two quantitative input parameters, relative abundance and CV, with new parameters that reflect the shapes of the underlying curves relating the input parameters to the output values. These new parameters were determined by conducting stepwise polynomial regressions (using procedure GLM in SAS (1985a)) for each of the output values on each of relative abundance and CV. The polynomial equations are shown in Table 4. The second step of the analysis was to conduct an analysis of variance (using procedure GLM in SAS (1985a)) in which the effects of the new parameters (i.e., the polynomial equations for relative abundance and CV) and the class variables recruitment synchrony/asynchrony, spatial fishing pattern, and temporal fishing pattern are included in the same analysis. A separate analysis was conducted for each of the output values.

#### Results

The results of the analyses are shown in Table 5. Temporal fishing pattern, spatial fishing pattern, CV, and relative abundances had significant effects on all five output values. Recruitment synchrony/asynchrony did not have a significant effect on any of the output values. The most important parameter affecting long-term catches in this system is the amount of variability in the parameters controlling the population dynamics and movement (CV). In general, the larger the CV, the lower the expected catch rate. CV was also extremely important in determining the risk levels for both species; the higher the CV, the higher the risk level. Although both spatial and temporal patterns of fishing affected the output values, in all cases the spatial distribution of fishing had a larger effect than the temporal distribution. In fact, for the risk level for *Sebastes mentella*, the spatial distribution of fishing had the largest impact of all independent variables. The largest factor affecting the long-term population levels of the two species was the relative abundance of that species at the start of the simulation.

The relationships between the output values mean catch and risk levels, and the input parameters CV and relative abundance were plotted as surface plots for all 9 spatio-temporal patterns of fishing (i.e., 27 plots; Figures 5-13). The following observations can be made from inspection of the plots:

(i) Mean annual catch is relatively insensitive to changes in relative abundance (e.g., Figures 6a, 7a, 10a, 11a).

(ii) In general catch decreases with increasing CV (e.g., Figures 7a, 8a, 10a, 13a). An exception to this is when fishing is permitted only in the upper area in the winter (Figure 9a). In this case, the only fish available to the fishery are due to random spill-over of fish from the lower area. The amount of spill-over generally increases with increasing variability in movement rates (i.e., increasing CV).

(iii) Risk levels for the two species increase with increasing CV (e.g., Figures 5b,c, 6c, 7b,c, 9b,c, 10b,c, 11b,c, 12c, 13b,c), with the following exception:

When fishing is predominantly on Sebastes mentella and the relative abundance of S. mentella is high, the risk level for S. mentella decreases with increasing CV. This is the case for fishing distributions (i) summer only, upper area only (Figure 12b) and (ii) winter and summer, upper area only (Figure 6b). Note that these are nearly the same since there are very few fish in the upper area in winter.

(iv) As the relative abundance of S. mentella increases, the risk level for S. mentella also increases (Figures 7b, 8b, 9b, 10b, 11b, 13b), with the following exception:

When fishing is predominantly on S. mentella, the risk level for S. mentella decreases with increasing relative abundance of S. mentella. This is the case for fishing distributions (i) summer only, upper area only (Figure 12b), (ii) winter and summer, upper area only (Figure 6b). Again, these are almost the same since there are very few fish in the upper area in winter.

(v) As the relative abundance of S. mentella increases, the risk level for S. fasciatus decreases (Figures 6c, 11c, 12c, 13c).

The final stage in the analysis was aimed at identifying fishing patterns that would result in

the least risk to the two species. To compare the 9 patterns we chose two scenerios, one with high relative abundance of *Sebastes fasciatus* (relative abundance of *S. mentella* is 0.1) and the other with high relative abundance of *S. mentella* (0.9). Using the gridded values output from the G3GRID procedure (SAS 1985b), we averaged the risk levels across CV values. The results are shown in Table 6. Note that the fifth pattern, when fishing is only in the winter in the upper area, is not a realistic option because in this case the catches are extremely low because there are almost no fish present.

The lowest risk fishing pattern is the one that has the lowest annual probability that at least one species goes below the 20% level. These values are shown in Table 7 for the two scenerios of high and low relative abundances of *S. mentella*. The results suggest:

(i) Fishing only in winter is generally less risky than fishing only in summer or in both seasons.

(ii) Spreading the catch over the whole region is generally less risky than restricting the catch to either the upper or lower area.

(iii) If fishing is carried out in both seasons or summer only, and the relative abundance of S. *mentella* is low, it is important to fish in the lower area or in both the upper and lower areas, but not in the upper area only.

(iv) If fishing is carried out in both seasons or summer only, and the relative abundance of S. *mentella* is high, fishing should be spread over the entire region.

#### DISCUSSION

The results of these simulations indicate that the spatio-temporal distribution of fishing in the Gulf of St. Lawrence and off the south coast of Newfoundland can have a large impact on the probability of survival of the two redfish species *Sebastes mentella* and *S. fasciatus*. This is because the distributions of the two species are not congruent over the region and between seasons. In fact, the spatial distribution of fishing had the largest effect on the risk level for *S. mentella*. This is because any simulations that restricted fishing to the upper area had a much larger impact on *S. mentella* than *S. fasciatus* since most of the fish there are *S. mentella* (Figure 2). The simulations also suggest that in our present state of uncertainty with respect to the relative abundances of the two species, the least risky fishing pattern would be to restrict fishing to the winter season when the bulk of both species is apparently in the lower area. Once we have better information on the relative abundances of the two species, simulations can be run to find the best fishing pattern for that relative abundance level.

Several assumptions of the model are critical to the results. The first is the assumption about the spatial and temporal distributions of the two species. The conclusions about the effects of different fishing patterns depend to a large extent on our assumptions about the distributions of the fish themselves. To test this assumption we need to have estimates of the relative abundances of the two species in both winter and summer over the whole region. The results also rely heavily on the assumption that the relative recruitments of the two species are proportional to the relative abundances of the parent stocks. This assumption can not be tested at present because we would require several years of estimates of the relative abundances of the two species in the recruiting population (age 6) as well as the whole adult population 6 years previous. Also, we did not assume any relationship between absolute stock size and recruitment. This means that the simulations are probably optimistic in terms of the long-term population sizes of the two species. If there is a positive relationship between stock size and recruitment then simulations in which there was a high risk of dipping below the 20% unexploited stock level should actually have even lower long-term abundances than those calculated.

There are also assumptions concerning the behaviour of the fishery that may be critical. First, the assumption that fishing is concentrated in the winter even if it is permitted in both seasons may affect the results. There is some indication that this may be a reasonable assumption for Division 3P (Atkinson and Power 1989). The assumption that the fishery concentrates in the areas with the greatest abundance of fish is also important, but we feel this is probably realistic.

The result that the expected catch rate decreases with increasing CV is consistent with the general observation that the most probable rate of growth of a population that is subject to fluctuations is lower than that of one not subject to fluctuations. For a recent review of this idea see Harwood and Hall (1990).

We initially expected that the simulations that assumed recruitment synchrony would produce lower catch rates than those in which we used asynchronous recruitment since synchronous recruitment means that the total recruitment of both species combined is more variable than if the two recruitment series were independent. This difference in variability did not show up as a significant effect on catch rates; the effect was small relative to the effect of variability in the parameters (CV).

The simulations presented here demonstrate the importance of spatial and temporal heterogeneity in species and stock distributions for management of a mixed stock (or mixed species) fishery. This problem has long been recognised for salmon fisheries in which the fishery on a single river is often a mixed stock fishery with a different stock from each of many tributaries (Gould and Stefanson 1985, Sprout and Kadowaki 1987). The scale of spatio-temporal subdivision we have chosen for the redfish study is much coarser than that of these salmon studies. However, it is likely that the stock structures of many marine species including redfish are more complex than presently recognized, possibly as complex as the structure of salmon. Development of general principles for management of mixed stock fisheries is therefore critical; these principles must be robust to the uncertainties regarding stock structure because such uncertainties are certain to persist.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Don Powers for compiling the recruitment data. We also thank the CAFSAC groundfish subcommittee for their useful comments on the model.

#### REFERENCES

- Atkinson, D.B. 1987. The redfish resources off Canada's east coast. Pp. 15-28 in Proceedings of the international rockfish symposium, Anchorage, Alaska, October 20-22, 1986. Alaska Sea Grant Report 87-2, University of Alaska.
- Atkinson, D.B. and D. Power. 1989. Redfish in NAFO Division 3P. Canadian Atlantic Fisheries Scientific Advisory Committee (CAFSAC) Research Document 89/48, 37 pp.
- Beddington, J.R. and J.G. 1983. The potential yield of fish stocks. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper 242: 47 pp.
- Fahrig, L. 1990. Simulation methods for developing general landscape-level hypotheses of singlespecies dynamics. Chapter 17 in M.G. Turner and R.H. Gardner (eds.). Quantitative methods in landscape ecology. Springer-Verlag, New York, in press.
- Gould, A.P. and A.P. Stefanson. 1985. Field examination of Nimpkish River sockeye timing and migration pathways in 1981 and 1982. Canadian Manuscript Report of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences No. 1797.
- Harwood, J. and A. Hall. 1990. Mass mortality in marine mammals: its implications for population dynamics and genetics. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 5: 254-257.
- Hilborn, R. 1985. Apparent stock recruitment relationships in mixed stock fisheries. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 42: 718-723.
- Laberge, E. and S. Hurtubise. 1989. Evaluation du stock de sebaste (Sebastes spp.) des divisions

(CAFSAC) Research Document 89/50, 47 pp.

- Ni, I-N. 1982. Meristic variation in beaked redfishes, Sebastes mentella and S. fasciatus, in the northwest Atlantic. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 39: 1664-1685.
- SAS Institute Inc. 1985a. SAS user's guide: statistics, version 5 edition. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, 956 pp.
- SAS Institute Inc. 1985b. SAS/GRAPH user's guide, version 5 edition. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, 596 pp.

Sprout, P.E. and R.K. Kadowaki. 1987. Managing the Skeena River sockeye salmon

(Oncorhynchus nerka) fishery - the process and the problems. Pp. 385-395 in H.D. Smith, L. Margolis and C.C. Wood (eds.). Sockeye salmon (Onchorhynchus nerka) population biology and future management. Canadian Special Publication of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 96.

Table 1. Values Used in Simulations			
Total Starting Population Size	400		
(Both Species, all Areas)			
Years in Simulation	100		
Annual Exploitation Rate	0.1		
Recruitment Values (Millions)	3, 3, 5, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 14,		
	17, 17, 32, 38, 40, 42, 49		
Lifespan of Both Species	50 years		

Movement Rates Between Areas: Movement into the Area Indicated

Age at Recruitment to the Fishery

	Summer		Winter	
:	S. mentella	S. fasciatus	S. mentella	S. fasciatus
Shallow Upper Area	0.35	0.10	0.00	0.00
Deep Upper Area	0.35	0.00	0.00	0.00
Shallow Lower Area	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.00
Deep Lower Area	0.30	0.40	1.00	1.00

6 years

Fishing Pattern	Mean Annual Catch	Mean Ab	Mean Abundance		Risk Level	
 	-	S. ment.	S. fasc.	S. ment.	S. fasc.	
Both Seasons, Whole Regio	on 33.09	161.30	161.30	0.00	0.00	
Both Seasons, Upper Area	37.23	0.24	370.72	1.00	0.00	
Both Seasons, Lower Area	32.51	158.51	158.51	0.02	0.02	
Winter, Whole Region	30.01	146.32	146.32	0.00	0.00	
Winter, Upper Area	0.00	300.08	300.08	0.00	0.00	
Winter, Lower Area	30.43	148.35	148.35	0.00	0.00	
Summer, Whole Region	32.70	210.39	108.46	0.00	0.16	
Summer, Upper Area	32.28	0.18	321.52	1.00	0.00	
Summer, Lower Area	29.90	216.74	74.78	0.00	0.56	

#### Table 2. Results of Simulation Experiment L

#### Table 3. Design of Simulation Experiment II

Fishing Season

1. Both Seasons (Winter and Summer)

2. Winter Only

3. Summer Only

Fishing Area

1. Whole Region (Upper and Lower)

2. Upper Area Only

3. Lower Area Only

Recruitment

1. Asynchronous

2. Synchronous

Relative Abundance

of Sebastes mentella

Random Number between 0 and 1 for 100 Runs

CV of Parameters

Recruitment, Mortality, Movement

Random Number between 0 and 0.5 for 100 Runs

### Table 4. Polynomial Equations Relating Independent and Dependent Variables:

Dependent Variable	Independent Variable	Polynomial Equation
Mean Annual Catch (H)	Rel. Abund. S. ment. (RA)	H = 22.6 + 2.27RA
	Parameter CV (CV)	H = 29.1 - 21.0CV
Mean Abundance of	Rel. Abund. S. ment. (RA)	$NSM = 166 - 282RA + 2.27RA^2$
S. mentella (NSM)	Parameter CV (CV)	$NSM = 23.0 + 450CV - 904CV^2$
· ·		+ 678 <i>CV</i> <sup>3</sup>
Mean Abundance of	Rel. Abund. S. ment. (RA)	<i>NSF</i> = 187 - 159 <i>RA</i>
S. fasciatus (NSF)	Parameter CV (CV)	$NSF = 228 - 383CV + 777CV^2$
		- 593 <i>CV</i>
Risk Level for	Rel. Abund. S. ment. (RA)	<i>RSM</i> = 0.243 + 0.895 <i>RA</i>
S. mentella (RSM)	Parameter CV (CV)	$RSM = 0.435 - 0.586CV + 2.48CV^2$
		- 2.00 <i>CV</i> <sup>3</sup>
Risk Level for	Rel. Abund. S. ment. (RA)	RSF = 0.131 + 0.972RA
S. fasciatus (RSF)	Parameter CV (CV)	$RSF = 0.428 + 0.445CV - 1.73RA^2$
- · · ·		+1.20RA <sup>3</sup>

Separate Equation for Each Pair of Variables

Table 5. Analyses of Variance of Results of Simulation Experiment II. SEAS = seasonal fishing pattern (winter, summer, or both seasons). AREA = regional fishing pattern (upper area, lower area, or whole Region). SYN = recruitment synchrony/asynchrony in the two species. RA = polynomial value relating the dependent variable to relative abundance of Sebastes mentella (see Table 4). CV = polynomial value relating the dependent variable to the CV value used for parameter variability (see Table 4).

1. Dependent Variable: Mean Annual Catch						
Source	Deg. Freedom	Type III SS	Mean Square	F Value	Prob. > F	
SEAS	2	11300.00	5630.00	146.6	0.0001	
AREA	. 2	19400. <del>0</del> 0	9676.03	251.7	0.0001	
SYN	1	8.68	8.68	0.2	0.6348	
RA	1	600.00	600.00	15.6	0.0001	
CV	1	17800.00	17800.00	462.8	0.0001	

2. Dependent Variable: Mean Abundance of Sebastes mentella

Source	Deg. Freedom	Type III SS	Mean Square	F Value	Ртоb. > <i>F</i>	
SEAS	2	496000.00	248000.00	41.5	0.0001	
AREA	2	3020000.00	1510000.00	253.0	0.0001	
SYN	1	2390.00	2390.00	0.4	0.5273	
RA	1	3940000.00	3940000.00	659.2	0.0001	
CV	1	651000.00	651000.00	109.0	0.0001	

3. Depender	3. Dependent Variable: Mean Abundance of Sebastes fasciatus						
Source	Deg. Freedom	Туре Ш SS	Mean Square	F Value	Prob. > F		
SEAS	2	257000.00	128000.00	22.9	0.0001		
AREA	2	6530000.00	3260000.00	582.0	0.0001		
SYN	1	5.58	5.58	0.0	0.9748		
RA	1	3640000.00	· 3640000.00	649.6	0.0001		
<u>CV</u>	1	1120000.00	1120000.00	200.7	0.0001		

- 11 -

## - 12 -Table 5 cont'd

4. Dependent Variable: Risk Level for Sebastes mentella						
Source	Deg. Freedom	Type III SS	Mean Square	F Value	Prob. > F	
SEAS	2	8.39	4.20	48.8	0.0001	
AREA	2	94.3	47.1	548.2	0.0001	
SYN	1	0.02	0.02	0.2	0.6527	
RA	1	4.28	4.28	49.8	0.0001	
CV	. 1	29.24	29.24	340.1	0.0001	

5 D		Dist. T	for Cabacter	fan a a tan a a
3. LICDEDAE	EDI VARIADIC:	KISK LÆVEL	ICT Sedasies	rascianus
				,

-					
Source	Deg. Freedom	Туре Ш SS	Mean Square	F Value	Prob. > F
SEAS	2	5.64	2.82	33.8	0.0001
AREA	2	42.42	21.21	253.9	0.0001
SYN	1	0.12	0.12	1.4	0.2379
RA	1	3.03	3.03	36.2	0.0001
CV	1	33.52	33.52	401.2	0.0001
	-				

# Table 6. Mean Values of Catch and Risk Levels at Low (0.1) and High (0.9) Relative Abundance Values of Sebastes mentella.

1. Low Relative Abundance of Sebastes mentella					
Fishing Season	Fishing Area	Mean Catch	Risk for S. m.	Risk for S. f.	
Winter and Summer	Whole Region	25.61	0.316	0.434	
Winter and Summer	Upper Area	24.36	0.997	0.318	
Winter and Summer	Lower Area	26.73	0.372	0.378	
Winter	Whole Region	23.91	0.479	0.305	
Winter	Upper Area	4.8	0.504	0.272	
Winter	Lower Area	24.84	0.102	0.461	
Summer	Whole Region	26.16	0.218	0.577	
Summer	Upper Area	25.07	0.990	0.150	
Summer	Lower Area	28.52	0.009	0.587	

Fishing Season	Fishing Area	Mean Catch	Risk for S. m.	Risk for S. f.
Winter and Summer	Whole Region	27.00	0.373	0.248
Winter and Summer	Upper Area	28.07	0.627	0.090
Winter and Summer	Lower Area	25.35	0.296	0.613
Winter	Whole Region	29.34	0.298	0.439
Winter	Upper Area	8.8	0.470	0.073
Winter	Lower Area	24.89	0.411	0.260
Summer	Whole Region	24.33	0.527	0.055
Summer	Upper Area	23.89	0.890	0.000
Summer	Lower Area	22.96	0.300	0.852

#### Table 6 coat'd

2. Low Relative Abundance of Sebastes fasciatus

 Table 7. Probability per Year That at Least One of the Two Species Falls Below 20% of the

 Mean Unexploited Abundance (PR)

	`		•
Fishing Season	Fishing Area	PR at Low S. ment.	PR at High S. ment.
		Rel. Abundance	Rel. Abundance
Winter and Summer	Whole Region	0.613	0.528
Winter and Summer	Upper Area	0.998	0.660
Winter and Summer	Lower Area	0.609	0.728
Winter	Whole Region	0.638	0.607
Winter	Upper Area	0.639	0.509
Winter	Lower Area	0.516	0.564
Summer	Whole Region	0.669	0.553
Summer	Upper Area	0.991	0.890
Summer	Lower Area	0.591	0.897

3PS 0D Š 4Vs (3Pn) 4R 4Vn 4S 4W SUOVA SCOTIA 5 Ć QUÉBEC P.E.I. 4 5 

Figure 1. Waters in the Gulf of St. Lawrence and off Southern Newfoundland

- 14 -

Figure 2. Spatial and temporal distribution of two redfish species. Size of lettering indicates roughly the relative amount of each species in the area.

- 15 -

## SUMMER

	UPPER AREA (4ST + NORTHERN 4R)	LOWER AREA (3P4V + SOUTHERN 4R)
250 M -	S. MENTELLA s. fasciatus	S. FASCIATUS
	S. MENTELLA	S. MENTELLA S. FASCIATUS

## WINTER





- 16 -





- 18 -











.



...



