NOT TO BE CITED WITHOUT PRIOR REFERENCE TO THE AUTHOR(S)

Northwest Atlantic



Fisheries Organization

NAFO SCR Doc. 98/58

Serial No. N3050

SCIENTIFIC COUNCIL MEETING - JUNE 1998

Fishing Mortality of Cod in Flemish Cap Calculated from Survey Results

by

Antonio Vázquez and Santiago Cerviño

Instituto de Investigaciones Marinas Eduardo Cabello 6, Vigo, Spain

Introduction

Stock abundance at age calculated in survey are abundance indices. But total mortality coefficients (Z), as a way to measure changes in abundance, are independent of whether abundance is expressed in either absolute or relative scales.

The common method to calculate total mortality (Z) from survey results includes: to calculate individual Z values for each cohort at each age and to average all Z results of the same year or age. It lack of a global test on the goodness of fit because each class is independently resolved. Survey results on abundance-at-age are highly correlated data, and they can be better considered in a whole approach.

Material and methods

The ratio between survey indices of stock abundance-at-age and the corresponding real abundance at sea is called survey-catchability. It changes with the age of the fish due to survey differential efficiency, so let be q the survey-catchability for age **a**, assumed to remain unchanged along survey years.

Stock abundance can be expressed as a function of fishing mortalities:

 $N_{y_{1,a+1}} = N_{y_{1,a}} \cdot exp(-F_{y_{1,a}} - M)$

being $N_{y,a}$ and $F_{y,a}$ abundance and fishing mortality at age \bm{a} on year $\bm{y},$ and being M the natural mortality, assumed constant.

Let $F_{y,a}$, the fishing mortality at age a on year y, be the product of an fishing mortality index for that year (also call F_y) and a partial recruitment factor for that age (PR):

 $F_{v,a} = F_v \cdot PR_a \cdot$

Starting with some value for the abundance of each cohort occurring in survey time period, stock abundance at each year and all ages can be calculated with these relationships. Survey indices of abundance-at-age $(S_{y,a})$ can be compared with stock abundance-at-age times the survey catchability $(N_{y,a} - q_a)$. If comparison is made by a sum of squares of differences, a log-transformation of values may contribute to a better homoscedastic behaviour. The Marquardt method (Bard 1974) can now be used to calculate a minimum square solution for all parameters in this framework:

- Abundance of each cohort in the first age and year

- fishing mortality at year

- survey catchability at age

- partial recruitment at age in the fishery

Indices of cod abundance-at-age were taken from the EU survey on Flemish Cap (NAFO Division 3M) (Vázquez at al. 1998). Cohort with only one year presence were excluded: those cohorts appearing as the oldest age of the first year and the youngest age of the last year. So, in a n years case, only n-1 parameters for abundance at the youngest age are necessary. Also, for n ages, only n-1 parameters for abundance at the first year are necessary. The parameter for the first year and youngest age must be not counted twice. For fishing mortality at year, n-1 parameters are needed for n years. For both survey catchability and fishery partial recruitment, n-1 parameters are in use for n ages, but some of them must be predetermined, i.e. equalling to 1, to prevent multiple solutions.

To analyse the variability associated to the above procedure to calculate parameters, a bootstrap on the survey indices of abundance-at-age were carried out, using the method described by Vázquez and Cerviño (1998).

Results

A description of all parameters used is presented in Table 1. Original estimates for all parameters and average value after bootstrap are presented in Table 2. Average of all parameters, both original and log-transformed, is presented. The suitability of the log-transformation depends of the skew of each parameter distribution. Parameters 1 to 11 have a noticeable skewed distribution, so logtransformed mean (when back-transformed to the original scale) are smaller than nontransformed mean. However most of the parameters exhibit a close to normal distribution, i.e.: parameters 16 to 24, where both non- and log-transformed bootstrap results have roughly the same mean and standard error, being skew worse for log-transformed.

Covariance among bootstrap estimates are presented by groups in Table 3. Covariance among both original and log-transformed parameters were analysed, but no important differences were observed, so only original parameters are presented.

Discussion

The sum of squares of the Marquardt fit (SS) was also included as a parameter in the bootstrap to identify non convergence situations and, consequently, spurious results. In fact, there is a mean SS greater that the original value. Their distribution is also bimodal, indicating that, probably, lack of convergence was produced in same cases. Filters were included to discard those cases, but some of them seems to remain. Further analysis is needed on that point.

The bootstrap results produce annual fishing mortality estimates with less inter-annual changes that de original estimates, particularly in 1988 to 1993 period, where highest changes were observed in original estimates. The smoothing effect of the bootstrap is clear.

From table 3, it is clear the high positive correlation among all parameters corresponding to abundance at the beginning of each cohort, particularly for those cohort with the largest presence in the table and those of the latest years. It means that this group of parameters deviates as a group, maintaining their relative position, a good property for an abundance indices. The abundance of cohorts having more than two years in the first year are poorly correlated.

Table 3 also shows that among parameters 16 to 24, those of annual fishing mortalities, there is a noticeable negative correlation between consecutive years, but they seems to be independent out of that case. This means that an overestimation of one parameter must be balanced with an underestimation of both previous and following annual parameters. As a consequence, the moving average of these parameters should results in less disperse variables.

Parameters of the annual fishing mortality are compared with annual fishing mortality results from a VPA analysis (Vázquez and Motos 1998) (Table 4). It is necessary to take into account that both series correspond to different time intervals: VPA annual mortality correspond to a calendar year. but these annual parameters correspond to a year interval between consecutive surveys, usually made in July. So, a better agreement between both series must be obtained between data of one series and the moving average of the other.

According to the conclusions of the two previous paragraphs, the moving average of the annual parameters are compared with the fishing mortality F 3-5 VPA estimates (Figure 1). The agreement seems to be poor because both variables peak at different years. But taking not into account the inter-annual variability of both series, they coincide in pointing to an increase of fishing mortality from 1988, that peaks around 1992/1994, and then declines. Annual fishing mortality calculated with survey data are in general higher than VPA estimates, particularly in the last years. Decreasing of cod abundance observed in the surveys imply fishing mortalities higher than the one estimated by VPA.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the European Commission (DG XIV, Study 96-030) and CSIC.

References

- Bard, Y.- 1974. Nonlinear parameter estimation. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 341 pp.
- Vázquez, A., A. Ávila de Melo, R. Alpoim, E. de Cárdenas and L. Motos- 1997. An assessment of the cod stock in NAFO Division 3M. NAFO SCR Doc. 97/50, 10 pp.
- Vázquez, A. and S. Cerviño 1998. Covariance among survey indices of abundance at age. NAFO SCR Doc. 98/6.

Vázquez, A. and L. Motos - 1998. An assessment of the cod stock in NAFO Division 3M. NAFO SCR Doc. 98/52 . •

Table 1 - Parameters denomination and scheme of their relationships.

· ·

. .

<u>.</u> •

N1988 - N1996 : abundance of 1987 to 1995 cohorts at age 1 in 1988 to 1996, respectively. N 2 - N 7 : abundance of those cohorts that in the first year have 2 to 7 years old. F1988 - F1996 : annual fishing mortality parameters for years 1998 to 1996 F 1, F 2, F 3 : Partial recruitment for ages 1 to 3. Partial recruitment at all other ages was set equal 1. S 1, S 2, S 3 : Survey catchability at ages 1 to 3. Catchability at all other ages was set equal 1. : Sum of squares in the Marquardt solution. SS

N1988	N1989	N1990	N1991	N1992	N1992	N1994	N1995	N1996	•
N2	•	•	•	•	•	•	-	•	•
N3	-	•	•	-	. ·	•	•		
N4	-	-	•	•	-		-	•	
N5	•	•	•	•		•			
NG				•					
N7				•	•	•			
	•	•						_	_
							-		•

F1988 F1989 F1990 F1991 F1992 F1992 F1994 F1995 F1996

SS

Table	2	-	Original	estimates	for	all	parameters	and	average	value	after	1001	runs	bootstrap,	both
		•	non-	and log-tr	ansf	orme	d.						•		

	bootstrap-estimates>											
			original	non-t	ransformed -		ransformed 💀	d •••••				
pa	rameter	:	estimate	mean	s.e.	skew	mean	s.e.	skew			
1	N1988	:	20111.195	36208.149	36803.694	10.530	29576.348	18217.534	0.652			
. 2	N1989	:	39624.542	92471.397 :	130820.869	9.122	65136.434	54782.197	0.541			
3	N1990	:	12739.208	32837.019	46863.886	7.379	22168.148	20246.993	0.424			
4	. N1991	:	112450.901	345217.410	675368.544	5.997	202419.330	194521.322	1.143			
5	N1992	:	132929.496	355271.369	622863.408	12.191	241410.549	204004.460	0.766			
6	N1993	:	9116.747	29124.002	77418.773	10.584	14737.953	16635.364	0.808			
7	N1994	:	18947.021	34540.193	42727.383	4.463	22014.614	24668.154	-0.198			
8	N1995	:	5328.299	10558.945	11233.860	5.508	7961.233	6265.955	0.085			
9	N1996	:	140.653	· 358.761	478.067	6.977	238.549	242.845	-0.155			
10	N 2	:	98797.422	154246.871	95693.281	8.334	137462.839	65707.266	0.391			
11	N 3	:	44509.778	51843.096	18855.725	2.017	49000.019	16482.111	0.261			
12	N4	:	6794.287	7135.468	1524.525	0.513	6975.097	1507.033	-0.140			
13	N 5	:	1180.665	1226.524	233.982	0.299	1203.905	237.098	-0.467			
14	N 6	:	317.312	323.670	70.724	0.118	315.333	75.750	1.156			
15	N 7	:	196.067	189.765	. 38.086	-0.125	185.580	41.379	1.457			
16	· F1988	:	0.699	0.638	0.169	-0.286	0.629	0.174	-0.670			
17	F1989	:	0.179	0.428	0.316	1.141	0.396	0.295	0.379			
18	F1990	:	1.613	1.545	0.346	-0.343	1.520	0.364	-1.000			
19	F1991	:	1.934	2.087	0.513	0.670	2.046	0.501	0.077			
20	F1992	:	0.497	0.729	0.575	0.946	0.641	0,538	0.135			
21	F1993	:	2.291	2.354	0.594	-0.025	2.297	0.638	-1.347			
22	F1994	:	1.864	1.941	0.543	-0.165	1,885	0.591	·1.363			
23	F1995	:	0.945	0,926	0.500	0.032	0.857	0.523	-0.561			
24	F1996	:	1.009	0.953	0.383	0.218	0.915	0.390	0.465			
25	F 1	:	0.217	0.307	0.351	1.232	0.266	0.313	0.752			
26	F 2	:	· 0.258	0.435	0.294	0.981	0.407	0.278	0.323			
27	F 3	:	0.916	0.957	0.267	0.450	0.939	0.264	0.030			
28	S 1	;	0.365	0.253	0.189	1.295	0.240	0.175	0.934			
29	S 2	:	1.149	0.898	0 474	1.197	0.845	0.434	0.504			
30	S 3	;	0.809	0.755	0.244	0.668	0.738	0.237	0.238			
31	SS	:	21.492	42.835	21.058	1.489	38.976	16.804	0.816			

•

- 4 -

Table 3 - Correlation coefficients among parameters estimated by bootstrap.

R1\R2:	1	2	3	• 4	• 5	6	7	8	· 9	10		12	13	14	15
1:	1.000													•••	
2:	0.931	1.000													
3:	0.868	0.906	1.000	•									•		
4:	0.629	0.727	0.788	1.000											
5:	0.938	0.945	0.900	0.688	1.000	· .									
6 :	0.553	0.669	0.618	0.835	0.612	1.000		~							
7:	0.452	0.420	0.552	0.662	0.411	0.492	1.000	_							
8:	-0.802	0.841	0.794	0.785	0.805	0.711	0.496	1.000	•						
9:	0.828	0.819	0.827	0 693	0.821	0.602	0.462	0.684	1.000		•				
10:	0.834	.0.756	.0.680	:0.361	0.773	0.291	0.181	0.609	0.706	1.000					
11:	0.346	0.298	0.188	0.119	0.262	0.126	-0.004	0.296	0.234	0.439	1.000	•			
12:	0.168	.0.155	-0.119	0.141	0.143	.0.120	0.092	0.146	0.129	0.185	0.149	1.000			
13:	0.256	0.214	0.154	0.148	0.169	0.103	0.074	0.209	0.201	0.329	0.293	0.360	1.000		
14;	0.117	0.048	0.055	0.000	0.031	·0.073	0.017	0.012	0.114	0.193	0.205	0.290	0.530	1.000	
15:	-0,006	-0.065	-0.091	-0,080	-0.062	-0.080	-0.071	-0.076	-0.030	0.049	0.248	0.334	0.503	0.512	1.000
• • • • • •						- •						• • • • • • •			
				;				45			•				
D1\D2.	16	17	10	10	20	21	22	22	34						
AI (K2 :			10		. 20			23	24						
16.	1 000										•				
17.	-0 349	1 000													
10.	0.343	1.000	1 000	• •	- ·	•		- F -	•						
19	-0 204	0.050	-0 473	1 000	1			•.	•						
20.	0.204	0.190	0.4/5	-0 367	1 000		÷ .	•	:		•				
20.	-0.060	0 0.001	.0.007	0.035	-0 506	1 000									
21.	0.176	-0.031	0.093	-0.030	0,000	-0 406	1 000								
22.	0.104	0.200	0.204	0.030	0.020	0.400	1.000	1 000							
201	0.104	-0.102	0.043	-0.102	0.043	-0.035	0 070	1.000	1 000						
				-0.002	0.045	-0.033	0.076	-0.560	1.000						
11	• • •	· •,•	. :				••			•			•		
R1\R2:	25	26	27	28	29	30			-	• •					
	- •		• • •												
25:	1.000														
26:	0.179	1.000								•					
27:	0.280	0.207	1.000												
28:	0.297	-0.636	-0.495	1.000											
29:	0.030	0.619	•0.653	0.834	1.000										
30:	0.279	-0.065	-0.806	0.517	0.740	1.000									

Table 4 - Mortality parameters compared with the annual fishing mortality calculated in a VPA analysis (F 3-5) for the same years (Vázquez and Motos 1998).

				boots	strap	
			original		moving	VPA
pa	arameter		estimate	mean	average	F 3-5
						• • • • • •
16	F1988	:	0.699	0.638	0.638	0.471
17	F1989	:	0.179	0.428	0.533	0.820
18	F1990	:	1.613	1.545	0.987	0.849
19	F1991	:	1.934	2.087	1.816	0.458
20	F1992	:	0.497	0.729	1.408	1.555
21	F1993	:	2.291	2.354	1.542	1.018
21	F1994	:	1.864	1.941	2.148	0.882
22	F1995	:	0.945	0.926	1.434	1.241
23	F1996	:	1,009	0.953	0.940	0.234
						0.208

- 5 -



F 3-5

Moving average

