

NOT TO BE CITED WITHOUT PRIOR
REFERENCE TO THE AUTHOR(S)

Northwest Atlantic



Fisheries Organization

Serial No. N5991

NAFO SCR Doc. 11/65

NAFO/ICES WG *PANDALUS* ASSESSMENT GROUP – OCTOBER 2011

Research survey information regarding northern shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*)
in the Barents Sea and Svalbard area 2004-2011

by

C. Hvingel and T. Thangstad
Institute of Marine Research
Box 6404, N-9294 Tromsø, Norway

Abstract

The mean stock biomass index has varied considerably since the early 1980s. In the recent period (since 2004) the annual biomass indices have fluctuated between 0.75 and 1.25 with a CV mostly around 10%. Over the period 2004 to 2011 the areas of high shrimp density are gradually found further east in the Barents Sea.

The wedge of cold near-zero degrees water observed in 2009 in the central Barents Sea, which appeared to drive the distribution of shrimps more easterly, has in 2010-11 shifted/decreased, allowing for increased presence of shrimps in central shelf areas again.

The survey biomass indices are used as input in the assessment model for this stock.

Introduction

Research bottom trawl surveys have been conducted to assess the stock status of northern shrimp, *Pandalus borealis*, in the Barents Sea. The main objectives were to obtain index values for stock biomass, abundance, recruitment and demographic composition. Recently (since 2004) the monitoring of a multitude of other ecosystem variables has been included in what now is named the joint Norwegian-Russian “Ecosystem survey” (www.imr.no).

Three time series exist: (1) The Norwegian shrimp survey 1982-2004 (ICES, 2002a, 2003b, 2005a), (2) The Russian shrimp survey 1984-2002 and 2005 (ICES 2006), and (3) The joint Norwegian-Russian ecosystem survey since 2004. The ecosystem survey (3) combines surveys 1 and 2, as well as several earlier 0-group and groundfish surveys.

This paper updates the information regarding shrimp from survey (3), the ecosystem surveys series, and includes data from both Norway and Russia. The survey biomass indices are used as input in the assessment model for this stock.

Methods

Survey and coverage

The joint Norwegian-Russian ecosystem survey has since 2004 been conducted annually from August to October by five research vessels simultaneously covering the entire Barents Sea from the edge of the continental shelf in the west, to Novaja Semlja in the east, from the coast of Norway and Russia in the south to the ice-edge in the north (Olsen, 2006) (Fig. 1). In 2009-10 the total number of stations was reduced for economical reasons (Table 1). In 2009 and 2010 only the total biomass of shrimp was recorded.

In most of the covered area both in the Norwegian and Russian EEZs the survey follows a regular grid with ecosystem sampling stations approx. 30-35 nm apart (Fig. 1). In the important juvenile shrimp areas in the central Barents Sea (Hopen Deep), additional demersal trawl stations are placed at ½ the standard grid size to get a more detailed coverage of the shrimp distribution in this area. In the other high density shrimp area in the north-west around Spitsbergen a depth-stratified survey is conducted. Here stations are placed approx. every 30-35 nm as in the other areas, but in addition a number of extra bottom trawl stations are placed at irregular intervals within this part of the survey area. Additional stations were reduced in numbers in 2008, and have in 2009 and 2010 been omitted altogether.

Sampling trawl gear

Sampling of demersal species like shrimp within the ecosystem survey was conducted with a standard Norwegian research trawl, which is a modified Campelen 1800 shrimp trawl with rockhopper ground gear (Fig. 2). Mesh size in the cod-end was 22 mm with a 6 mm lining. A juvenile (Hoita) bag with 0.8 mm lining was occasionally been attached under the trawl in front of the cod-end in order to collect juvenile shrimp < 10 mm in the catch.

Trawl geometry and behaviour of the trawl were monitored using *Scanmar* trawl sensors. The Norwegian vessels used standard *Steinshamn* W9 bottom V trawl doors with an area of 6.7 m² and a weight of 2 250 kg. “Strapping” – a rope 150-180 m in front of the doors locks the distance the trawl doors to approximately 50 m – is used. The towing time is 15 min. GPS positions were used to calculate towed distance. A speed sensor (symmetry) was used on all bottom hauls, giving information about the direction and amount of currents entering the trawl and making it possible to tow at the right speed and geometry in proportion to underwater crosscurrents by adjusting wires or warps to compensate a skewed trawl. Other trawl settings are described in detail in a separate manual for rigging of trawl and trawl equipment (Engås, 1995).

Sampling routines

For each haul on board Norwegian vessels, samples of 250-300 adult shrimp specimens are taken from the main bag, sorted by sexual characteristics, and measured by caliper to the nearest mm below (carapace length, cpl, as defined in Allen (1959); McCrary (1971)). A sample of up to 100 juvenile individuals is taken from the Hoita bag and measured the same way as the adults. Shrimp sampling on board Russian vessels is done in a similar manner.

Russian and Norwegian scientists use different database systems (BioFox and Regfisk, respectively) to register biological data from marine animals surveys. At the end of the survey the Russian ecosystem data are converted and included in the Norwegian database system; however, it has to date not been possible to convert the Russian shrimp length data, so that normally only total weight per haul is given. The length- and sex frequency distribution in the samples was weighted by total catch and stratum area to obtain estimates of the overall distribution.

Area stratification

Data from the sampling were stratified by depth and area as in Fig. 3. Five main areas are identified which each are further sub-divided into 6 depth strata (0-600 m). The depth strata boundaries follow depth contours obtained from the GEBCO world bathymetry database (<http://www.gebco.net>). The individual strata were constructed using ArcGIS 9.3 software; then each stratum's area was calculated in km² using an equal area projection (Europe Albers Conic) (Table 1, Fig. 4).

Swept area analysis

The catch in each tow divided by the swept area represents a sample of shrimp density in a stratum. From these samples the mean and standard error of the density in each stratum was calculated and multiplied by the area of the stratum to give an estimate of stratum biomass and abundance. Standard error was calculated as $B * 0.985$ Cochran (1977) for strata with only one tow. The means and their standard errors for the strata were summed to give the overall values for the survey area.

Results

The estimate of mean biomass has varied considerably since the early 1980s (Fig.5). From 2004 to 2006 biomass increased by about 66% and then decreased again back to the 2004-level in 2008. The 2010 and 2011 values is back up close to that of 2006 (Table 3, Fig. 6.). The spatial distribution of this biomass has changed. Over the period 2004 to 2011 the areas of high shrimp density are gradually found further east in the Barents Sea (Fig. 7 and 8).

Overall size distributions (Fig. 9) indicate a relatively large amount of smaller shrimp in 2004 which likely based the increase in stock biomass until 2006 (Fig. 4). The recruitment index – estimated abundance of shrimp at 13-16mm CL supposed to enter the fishery in the following one-two years decreased since 2004 (Fig. 10). Nevertheless, total biomass increased in 2009 and 2010 questioning the predictive capability of the recruitment index. The demographic information was not updated for 2009-11.

Temperatures in the Barents Sea have been high during the last eight years, mostly due to the inflow of warm water masses from the Norwegian Sea. In 2011, temperatures close to the bottom were in general close to those in 2010, and still above the long-term mean by 0.2–0.7°C in most of the Barents Sea (Anon. 2011). Only small areas with temperatures below 1°C were observed. Shrimps were only caught in areas where bottom temperatures were above 0°C. Highest shrimp densities were found between zero and 4°C, while the limit of upper temperature preference appeared to lie at about 6-8°C. The wedge of cold near-zero degrees water observed in 2009 in the central Barents Sea, which appeared to drive the distribution of shrimps more easterly, has in 2010-11 shifted/decreased, allowing for increased presence of shrimps in central shelf areas again (Fig. 11). However, shrimp are still distributed further east in the Barents sea than see before 2009.

References

- ALLEN, J. A., 1959. On the biology of *Pandalus borealis* Krøyer, with reference to a population off the Northumberland coast. *J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U.K.*, **38**: 189-220.
- ANON., 1988. SAS/STAT User's Guide, Release 6.03 Edition. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc., 1988. 1028 p.
- ANON., 2010. Survey report from the joint Norwegian/Russian ecosystem survey in the Barents Sea August-September 2010. IMR/PINRO Joint Report Series, No. x/2010. ISSN 1502-8828. xxx pp.
- ENGÅS, A. 1995. Trålmanual Campelen 1800. Versjon 1, 17. januar 1995, Havforskningsinstituttet, Bergen. 16 p. (unpubl.).
- COCHRAN, W. G., 1977. Sampling techniques, 3rd edition. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 428 p.
- FISHER, N. I., T. LEWIS, B. J. J. EMBLETON. 1987. Statistical Analysis of Spherical Data, Cambridge University Press, 329 p.
- ICES. 2002. Report of the Arctic Fisheries Assessment Working Group. ICES CM 2002/ACFM:18.
- ICES. 2003. Report of the Arctic Fisheries Working Group. ICES CM 2003/ACFM:22.
- ICES. 2005. Report of the *Pandalus* Assessment Working Group, 27 October–5 November 2004. ICES CM 2005/ACFM:05.
- ICES. 2006. Report of the *Pandalus* assessment working group 2005. ICES CM 2006/ACFM:10. ref G. 72 p.
- MCCRARY, J. A., 1971. Sternal spines as a characteristic for differentiating between females of some Pandalidae. *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.*, **28**: 98-100.
- MACDONALD, P. D. M., T. J. PITCHER. 1979. Age-groups from size-frequency data: A versatile and efficient method of analyzing distribution mixtures. *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.*, **36**: 987-1001.
- OLSEN, E. 2006. Manual for conducting the joint “Autumn Ecosystem Survey” in the Barents Sea. Version 4 – 22.06.2006, Havforskningsinstituttet, Bergen. 42 p. (unpubl.)

Table 2. Indices (ktons) of annual mean biomass from survey 1: The Norwegian shrimp survey 1982-2004; survey 2: The Russian shrimp survey 1984-2002 and 2005; and survey 3: The joint Norwegian-Russian ecosystem survey since 2004.

Year	Survey 1	Survey 2	Survey 3
1982	327	-	-
1983	429	-	-
1984	471	661	-
1985	246	468	-
1986	166	399	-
1987	146	346	-
1988	181	233	-
1989	216	603	-
1990	262	1028	-
1991	321	1192	-
1992	239	876	-
1993	233	892	-
1994	161	404	-
1995	193	248	-
1996	276	441	-
1997	300	765	-
1998	341	576	-
1999	316	966	-
2000	247	800	-
2001	184	468	-
2002	196	980	-
2003	212	-	-
2004	151	-	365
2005	-	656	527
2006	-	-	605
2007	-	-	474
2008	-	-	354
2009	-	-	424
2010	-	-	597
2011	-	-	547

Table 3 Estimated biomass, abundance and mean weight of the total and fishable (>16 mm cpl) stock and of recruits (13-16 mm cpl). Demographic data 2009-11 not analysed.

Year	Biomass (ktons)				Abundance (#10 ⁹)			Mean weight (g)		
	Total	Fishable	Recruits	CV (%)	Total	Fishable	Recruits	Total	Fishable	Recruits
2004	365	261	97	9	98	47	44	3.73	5.54	2.21
2005	527	446	78	22	121	85	33	4.35	5.26	2.38
2006	605	517	85	8	135	97	35	4.48	5.34	2.45
2007	474	426	46	7	90	71	17	5.27	6.02	2.67
2008	354	317	34	9	69	52	14	5.14	6.05	2.46
2009	424	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010	597	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-
2011	547	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-




Fig. 1 Sampling grid used for the 2011 Norwegian-Russian ecosystem survey in the Barents Sea.




Fig. 2 Schematic drawing of a Campelen 1800 survey bottom trawl with 22 mm mesh size in the cod-end, 42 mm in the mid-section, and 60 mm in the trawl opening. The width of the trawl opening (11.7 m) and wing spread (13.5 m) is also indicated.




Fig. 3 The survey stratification scheme. Each stratum is given a code for [main area]+[depth stratum within]; e.g. [1.3] indicates main stratum = 1 and depth stratum = 3, i.e. covering depths from 201 to 300 m (see also Table 1).




Fig. 4 Areas of the 10 most important strata (code: see Table 1 and Fig. 3 for definition).




Fig. 5 Indices of annual mean biomass from survey 1: The Norwegian shrimp survey 1982-2004; survey 2: The Russian shrimp survey 1984-2002 and 2005; and survey 3: The joint Norwegian-Russian ecosystem.




Fig. 6 Estimated mean biomass by year and strata (code: see Table 1 and Fig. 3 for definition). Error bars indicate +/- one Standard Error of the overall estimate.




Fig. 7 Estimated mean biomass density by year and strata (code: see Table 1 and Fig. 3 for definition).




Fig. 8 Shrimp density 2004-2011 from *inverse distance weighted* interpolation (e.g. Fisher *et al.*, 1987) between trawl stations (black dots) (Europe Albers Equal Area Conic projection).




Fig. 9 Shrimp in the Barents Sea: overall size distribution of males, females and total 2004-2008. (No data for 2009-11)




Fig. 10 Index of recruitment: estimated mean abundance of shrimp at size 13-16 mm cpl 2004-2008. (No data for 2009-11).




Fig. 11 Bottom temperature contour overlays from ecosystem surveys 2004–2011 on shrimp density distributions as in Fig. 7.