

Serial No. N6154

Northwest Atlantic



Fisheries Organization

NAFO SCR Doc. 13/005

## SCIENTIFIC COUNCIL MEETING – JUNE 2013

List of Species as recorded by Canadian and EU Bottom Trawl Surveys in Flemish Cap

by

Antonio Vázquez<sup>1</sup>, José Miguel Casas<sup>2</sup>, William B. Brodie<sup>3</sup>, Francisco Javier Murillo<sup>2</sup>, Mónica Mandado<sup>1</sup>, Ana Gago<sup>2</sup>, Ricardo Alpoim<sup>4</sup>, Rafael Bañón<sup>5</sup>, and Ángeles Armesto<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Instituto de Investigaciones Marinas, Muelle de Bouzas, Vigo, Spain.

<sup>2</sup> Instituto Español de Oceanografía, Apdo. 1552, 36200 Vigo, Spain.

<sup>3</sup> Fisheries & Oceans Canada, P.O. Box 5667, St. John's, NL, Canada.

<sup>4</sup> Instituto Português do Mar e da Atmosfera. Av. Brasília 1400 Lisboa, Portugal.

<sup>5</sup> Consellería do Mar e Medio Rural, Santiago de Compostela, Spain.

### Abstract

A list of species has been prepared with all records in each haul of both Canadian (1977-1985) and EU (1988-2002 and 2003-2012) bottom trawl surveys. Even though sampling intensity and taxonomic interest changed with time, the three periods can be considered almost homogeneous. Main change occurred when the EU survey increased the depth range, from 730 to 1460 meters depth, and all invertebrates were recorded.

### Material and methods

Table 1 contains percentage of hauls in which each species has been recorded in each survey, but three periods are identified:

1977-1985: Canadian bottom-trawl surveys (Wells and Baird 1989) on board RV A.T. Cameron (1977) and RV Gadus Atlantica (1978-1985).

1988-2002: EU bottom-trawl survey up to 730 m depth on board RV Cornide de Saavedra – period indicated in light grey in Table 1.

2003-2012: EU bottom-trawl survey up to 1460 m depth on board RV Vizconde de Eza, and emphasis in benthonic invertebrates.

The table contains species as well as higher level taxonomic levels used as working categories. Some lines were added with names of families, orders, etc., to facilitate classification, but those names were not working categories, so they do not have a line with dots and numbers. More detailed studies on some groups have been done, e.g., on corals (Murillo *et al.* 2011) and sponges (Murillo *et al.* 2012).

The nomenclature used was the same as in World Register of Marine Species (WoRMS) (Appeltans *et al.* 2012). It creates some uncommon names, such as *Sebastes norvegicus* instead of the more familiar *S. marinus*.

### Discussion

Table 1 should be primarily interpreted as indices of occurrence of each species; however there were additional circumstances that modified the uniformity of the series; among them: changes in criteria, effort in identifying species, remaining classification uncertainties, apart from real changes in species abundance. So, the list is an

instrument to review the catch recording process, e.g., how identification species increased since 1988 in the EU survey, where only 60 of them were identified (Vázquez 1989). But still it can be used to test similarities with close areas such as those off Nova Scotia (Halliday *et al.* 2012).

Examples of the above mentioned circumstances are:

Changes in criteria – lack of *Malacoraja senta* in 1989-90, while *Raja sp.* appeared in those years.

- *Sebastes mentella* in 1977-1985 also comprises *S. fasciatus*.
- *Sebastes marinus* is now call *S. norvegicus*.
- *Lepidion eques* is now *L. lepidion* (Bañón *et al.* 2012).

Effort in identifying species – Myctophidae: there was an increasing interest for the species of this Family.

- redfish in 1991, when *S. mentella* and *S. fasciatus* were first separated.
- lack of *Illex illecebrosus* and *Pandalus borealis* in 1977-85.
- occurrence of *Acanthephyra pelagica* and *Pasiphaea tarda* from 1993 onwards.
- isolated records of medusas (Scyphozoa) in 1990.
- 2003 and 2007 were steps for benthonic invertebrates' identification.
- *Semmirrosia* sp. until 2007 and Sepiolidade from 2008 onwards

Remaining classification uncertainties – *Notolepis rissoii* in 1994-95?

- *Urophycis chuss* in 1988-91?
- missing of *Nezumia bairdi* and *Lumpenus lumpretaeformis* in 1988.
- occurrence of *Gersemia* sp. in 2009-2011?

Real changes in species abundance – *Mallotus villosus*

The table covers a 36 years period, and these examples illustrate difficulties in maintaining uniform recording criteria along the period. The table also illustrates the consistency with most characteristic species in Flemish Cap.

## References

- Appeltans, W., Bouchet, P., Boxshall, G.A., De Broyer, C., de Voogd, N.J., Gordon, D.P., Hoeksema, B.W., Horton, T., Kennedy, M., Mees, J., Poore, G.C.B., Read, G., Stöhr, S., Walter, T.C., Costello, M.J. (eds) – 2012. World Register of Marine Species. Accessed at <http://www.marinespecies.org> on 2013-04-12.
- Bañón, R., J.C. Arronte, S. Vázquez-Dorado, J.L. del Río, and A. de Carlos – 2012. DNA barcoding of the genus *Lepidion* (Gadiformes: Moridae) with recognition of *Lepidion eques* as a junior synonym of *Lepidion lepidion*. *Molecular Ecology Resources*. doi: 10.1111/1755-0998.12045
- Halliday, R.G., L. Van Guelpen and D.E. Themelis – 2012. Demersal fish fauna of the continental sole off Nova Scotia, Canada, based on exploratory bottom trawl surveys in 1994-95. *J. Northw. Atl. Fish. Sci.*, 44:41-60.
- Murillo, F.J., P. Durán Muñoz, A. Altuna and A. Serrano – 2011. Distribution of deep-water corals of the Flemish Cap, Flemish Pass, and the Grand Banks of Newfoundland (Northwest Atlantic Ocean): interaction with fishing activities. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.*, 68(2): 319-332.
- Murillo, F.J., P. Durán Muñoz, J. Cristobo, P. Ríos, C. González, E. Kenchington, and A. Serrano – 2012. Deep-sea Sponge Grounds of the Flemish Cap, Flemish Pass and the Grand Banks of Newfoundland (Northwest Atlantic Ocean): distribution and species composition. *Marine Biology Research*, 8: 842-854.
- Vázquez, A. – 1989. Results from Bottom-trawl Survey of Flemish Cap in July 1988. *NAFO SCR Doc.*, 89/60.
- Wells, R. and J.W. Baird – 1989. Fish populations on the Flemish Cap. *NAFO SCR Doc.*, 89/80.

**Table 1** – Percentage of hauls with catches for each species or group. Percentages were rounded to the nearest integer. Three bottom-trawl survey periods were included: the 1977-1985 Canadian survey on board RV A.T. Cameron (1977) and RV Gadus Atlantica (1978-1985), the 1988-2002 EU survey up to 730 m depth on board RV Cornide de Saavedra –in light grey, and the 2003-2012 EU survey up to 1460 m depth on board RV Vizconde de Eza.

Phylum	Class	Order	Family	Species	year:	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12			
<b>Chordata</b>																																										
Agnatha (Superclass)						.	1	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1	.	.	.	.	.	.	1	1	.								
Petromyzontiformes	Petromyzontidae	<i>Petromyzon marinus</i>				.	1	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1	.	.	2	1	.	.	1	1	.							
Pisces (Superclass)	Carcharhiniformes	Scyliorhinidae				.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.								
		<i>Apristurus</i> sp.				.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	7	11	8	10	9	9	11	14	5			
Squaliformes	Squalidae	<i>Squalus acanthias</i>				.	.	.	2	.	.	.	1	1	.	.	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.							
	Somniosidae	<i>Somniosus microcephalus</i>				.	.	.	.	.	.	1	2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1	.	.					
Etmopteridae	<i>Etmopterus princeps</i>	<i>Centroscyllium fabricii</i>				.	4	3	5	1	.	3	1	6	.	.	2	1	.	.	1	1	.	.	1	2	.	.	.	20	27	30	25	26	25	29	29	22				
Rajiformes	Rajidae	<i>Raja</i> sp.				.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	10	5	5	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	4	.	1	1	2	.	.	.	.	1				
	<i>Amblyraja radiata</i>	<i>Amblyraja jensenii</i>				47	63	25	50	50	51	49	53	43	54	37	41	68	63	68	59	60	41	46	52	49	51	51	66	46	84	68	49	50	38	51	36	37	34	24		
	<i>Amblyraja hyperborea</i>	<i>Malacoraja senta</i>				.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1	.	.	.	.	1	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.				
	<i>Malacoraja spinacidermis</i>	<i>Rajella fyllae</i>				42	26	6	20	13	16	14	18	20	15	.	.	7	5	5	3	4	3	3	3	4	8	4	2	20	15	3	2	3	8	1	3	5	2			
	<i>Rajella bathyphila</i>	<i>Dipturus linteus</i>				.	7	1	3	1	1	5	3	4	.	.	.	.	.	1	.	.	2	1	1	4	2	4	5	2	2	1	4	2	5	1	1					
	Arhynchobatidae	<i>Bathyraja spinicauda</i>				.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1	3	3	.	2	.	1	.	.	.	.	.	5	1	9	1	1	1	3	1	.				
	Chimaeriformes				19	19	9	15	9	12	12	14	15	22	13	20	18	13	22	16	12	15	11	9	18	16	18	11	14	14	14	15	13	10	13	6	7	7	10			
	Chimaeridae	<i>Hydrolagus affinis</i>			.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	3	5	1					
		<i>Hydrolagus mirabilis</i>			.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1	3	2	2	1	.	.	1
	Anguilliformes	Nettastomatidae				.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	
	Venefica proboscidea				.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1	.	1	1
	Synaphobranchidae	<i>Synaphobranchus kaupii</i>			.	1	3	9	3	.	1	2	3	14	11	9	20	11	8	3	3	1	3	7	2	.	7	2	5	29	40	40	41	40	38	39	46	35				
		<i>Simenchelys parasitica</i>			.	1	1	2	1	.	.	2	.	.	.	.	1	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.		
	Serrivomeridae	<i>Serrivomer beanii</i>			.	3	5	1	1	1	6	3	5	7	11	7	11	13	22	10	15	18	14	22	24	14	15	13	13	24	35	39	36	36	35	42	43	34				
	Nemichthyidae	<i>Nemichthys scolopaceus</i>			.	1	1	.	.	.	1	.	3	1	1	2	1	4	8	2	1	1	9	4	10	3	11	8	9	9	14	15	17	11	15	20	14	15				



















<i>Psilaster andromeda</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	9	17	7	5	3	3
<i>Bathybiaster vexillifer</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	9	13	7	.	3	1
Brisingidae	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	2	5	2	2	2	3
Asterinidae	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	2	1	2	2	1	.
<i>Tremaster mirabilis</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	2	1	2	2	1	.
Ctenodiscidae	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	9	5	6	4	3	4
<i>Ctenodiscus crispatus</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	33	13	18	18	28	33
Benthopectinidae	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	33	13	18	18	28	33
Goniasteridae	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	36	37	30	13	20	23
<i>Ceramaster granularis</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	14	13	9	2	7	2
<i>Hippasteria phrygiana</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	18	16	13	3	9	5
Pseudarchasteridae	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1	2	.	.	.	1
<i>Pseudarchaster</i> sp.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	2	.	.	.	.	.
<i>Pseudarchaster parelii</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1	.	.	.	.	.
<i>Pseudarchaster gracilis</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1	4	1	1	1	2
Solasteridae	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1	1	3	1	1	1
<i>Lophaster furcifer</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	16	19	10	7	7	3
Pterasteridae	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	5	7	6	2	1	2
Poraniidae	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	43	47	31	17	25	21
<i>Poraniomorpha hispida</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	3	1	7	2	8	3
Echinasteridae	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1	+ 17	23	27	21	13
Zoroasteridae	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	7	2	3	3	3	1
<i>Zoroaster fulgens</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	7	7	8	5	20	15
Asteriidae	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	12	14	7	1	7	2
<i>Stephanasterias albula</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	5	1	7	2	8	3
Ophiuroidea	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1	+ 17	23	27	21	13
Asteronychidae	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1	.	.	1	.	.
<i>Asteronyx loveni</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	7	2	3	3	3	1
Gorgonocephalidae	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	7	2	3	3	3	1
Ophiuridae	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	7	7	8	5	20	15
<i>Ophiura sarsii</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1	.	.	.	.	.
<i>Ophioplithus</i> sp.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	7	7	8	5	20	15
Ophiolepididae	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	12	14	7	1	7	2
<i>Ophiomusium lymani</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1	1	1	1	1	1
Ophiacanthidae	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	5	.	.	.	.	.
<i>Ophiacantha</i> sp.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	35	11	22	11	9	10
Ophiactidae	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	9	+ 25	37	2	8	1
<i>Ophiopholis aculeata</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1	.	3	1	3	1
Echinoidea	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	25	35	29	18	27	20
Echinothuriidae	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1	.	3	1	3	1
Phormosomatidae	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	25	35	29	18	27	20
<i>Phormosoma placenta</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1	1	1	1	1	1
Schizasteridae	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	14	4	.	1	2	3
<i>Brisaster fragilis</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1	1	.	3	1	.
Crinoidea	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	3	1	5	.	.	3
Holothuroidea	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1	.	23	14	2	4
<b>Chaetognatha</b>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1	.	23	14	2	4

+) Presence in only one haul among 264, which is less than 0.5%.