NOT TO BE CITED WITHOUT PRIOR REFERENCE TO THE AUTHOR(S)

Northwest Atlantic



Fisheries Organization

NAFO SCR Doc. 14/063

NAFO/ICES WG PANDALUS ASSESSMENT GROUP - SEPTEMBER 2014

The Norwegian Fishery for Northern Shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) in Skagerrak and the Norwegian Deep (ICES Divisions IIIa and IVa east), 1970-2014

by

G. Søvik and T. H. Thangstad

Institute of Marine Research Box 1870 Nordnes, N-5817 Bergen, Norway

Abstract

The resource of northern shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) in the North Sea and Skagerrak is assessed as three separate stocks: 1) the Skagerrak-Norwegian Deep stock which is largely confined to ICES Divs. IIIa and IVa east, 2) the Fladen Ground stock in ICES Div. IVa west, and 3) the Farn Deep stock in ICES Div. IVb west. Vessels from Norway, Denmark, and Sweden exploit this resource.

Information on the Norwegian shrimp fishery (fleet, gear, and prices) was updated. Norwegian logbooks are incomplete. The data situation improved in 2011 with the implementation of compulsory electronic logbooks for all vessels ≥ 15 m, and further in 2013 with the implementation of compulsory logbooks for all vessels ≥ 12 m in Skagerrak. However, a large part of the fleet (especially in Skagerrak) consists of small vessels down to 10 m, which are not in the logbooks. The recording of twin trawl use was incomplete until 2011. Earlier, logbook recordings were corrected by interviews with ship owners identified from logbooks. The electronic logbooks provide information both on gear type as well as the number of trawls.

Norwegian landings increased from 6 000 t in 2000 to 9 000 t in 2004, and then decreased to less than 4 500 t in 2010-2011. Landings increased slightly in 2012 and 2013. In 2013, 4 910 t were landedCorrecting for boiling implies that 230-550 t should be added to the nominal landings for the years 2000-2013.

Landings per unit effort (LPUE) increased from 32 to 65 kg/hour from 1999 to 2007-2008, but then dropped to 34 kg/hour in 2010. From 2010 to 2013 the LPUE fluctuated without trend around approximately 37 kg/hr. In 2014 LPUE increased to 47 kg/hour. Standardised LPUE values for 2000-2014 follow the same trend, but the increase in 2014 is less compared with the unstandardised value. The LPUE index from the Norwegian Deep showed a steady decline from 2005 to 2012-2013, but increased in 2014. The index in Skagerrak has been increasing the last four years (2011-2014).

The 2013 catch composition was evaluated using samples from unprocessed catches obtained from local shrimp fishers and the Norwegian Coast Guard. The catches in Skagerrak and the Norwegian Deep in the first half of 2013 were dominated by the 2-group (the relative large 2011-year class), while catches in the second half of the year were dominated by the 1-group.

Serial No. N6367

Introduction

The resource of northern shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) (hereafter synonymous with shrimp) in Skagerrak and the North Sea is assessed as three separate stocks (Ulmestrand *et al.* 2014): 1) the Skagerrak-Norwegian Deep stock, which is largely confined to ICES Divs. IIIa and IVa east, 2) the Fladen Ground stock in ICES Div. IVa west, and 3) the Farn Deep stock in ICES Div. IVb west (Fig. 1). Vessels from Norway, Denmark, and Sweden exploit this resource. The Norwegian vessels fish the Skagerrak-Norwegian Deep stock, with minor catches from Fladen Ground in former years (Ulmestrand *et al.* 2014).

Since 1992 Norway and EU have negotiated quotas on shrimp in the North Sea and Skagerrak. For the Norwegian Deep/Skagerrak stock, Norway, due to historical rights, has the largest quota of the three Scandinavian countries. In 2010 and 2011 the Norwegian quota constituted 60 % of the total TAC; in 2012-2014 it constituted 58 % of the total. In 1998 a general quota regulation system was initiated in the Norwegian shrimp fishery in this area, resulting in admittance regulation for vessels ≥ 11 m (pers. comm., Norwegian Fisheries Organization). Vessels <11 m have free admission to the shrimp fishery, but are subject to the same quota regulations as larger ones. In order to supply the market throughout the whole year the total Norwegian quota is evenly allocated to three four-month periods with respectively 40 %, 30 % and 30 % of the quota. The Norwegian Directorate of Fisheries (FiskDir) can stop the shrimp fishery when the period or total quotas are estimated to be taken. In 2014 the vessels have a maximum quota of 37 t (tons) in the first period and 28 t in both of the other two periods.

The Norwegian fishery is conducted by multi-purpose fishing vessels mainly trawling south of 60° N. In 2013, a total of 188 vessels participated in the shrimp fishery south of 62° N (Table 1, Fig. 2), which is a reduction from 2012 when 195 vessels participated. The total number of vessels in the fishery has decreased since 2006 (296 vessels). In 2013, as in preceding years, the length group 10-10.99 m dominated in numbers, with the length group 11-14.99 m as the second largest. The fleet has changed considerably since the mid-1990s (Fig. 2). The number of trawlers <10 m has decreased, as has the number of vessels 11-20.99 m, while there has been an increase in vessels 10-10.99 m. A high number of small vessels < 15 m characterises Skagerrak, while the fleet in the west is more varied (Fig. 3). The yearly mean landings per vessel increase with length, but there are large variations. In 2013, almost all catches were landed in ports along the Norwegian coast, with a minor portion landed in Denmark and Sweden.

Norwegian logbooks from the shrimp fishery in Skagerrak and the Norwegian Deep are incomplete (Fig. 4). In 2010 catches recorded in logbooks only made up 7.3 and 8.6 % of the corrected landings (see Materials and Methods) from respectively IIIa and IVa east. This was a poorer coverage compared with 2009 when catches made up 13.3 and 15.7 % of the (corrected) landings in IIIa and IVa east. In 2005-2008, catches made up 25- 35 % of the landings in IVa east, and 13-25 % of the landings in IIIa. The poor coverage is partly due to vessels <11 m not being required to fill out logbooks. However, the data situation improved greatly in 2011, with the introduction of compulsory, electronic logbooks for all vessels \geq 15 m. In 2013 in IVa east, catches made up 73 % of the landings (corrected) as roughly half the vessels in this area are \geq 15 m. In 2013, electronic logbooks became compulsory for all vessels \geq 12 m fishing outside the 4 nm border in Skagerrak, and registered catches made up 54 % of the landings, compared to 35 % in 2012, when logbooks were compulsory only for vessels \geq 15 m.

Twin shrimp trawls are common on larger vessels and, according to the Norwegian Fisheries Organization, have been in use since the beginning of the 2000s. According to the electronic logbooks, respectively 25, 21 and 25 vessels \geq 15 m used twin trawl in 2011-2013. Prior to 2011 the use of twin trawl was only sporadically registered in the logbooks. Only 1-2 vessels in 2002-2003, three in 2004-2006, seven in 2007, nine in 2008, six in 2009, and four in 2010 recorded the use of twin trawl on a regular basis. Other vessels had sporadic records of twin trawls (1-8 per year). This situation was due to the logbooks containing data from few vessels, as well as incorrect recordings. Incorrect recordings were probably due to the wording of the logbooks, where fishers were asked to note the gear type used as [... shrimp trawl, twin trawl, triple trawl ...]. It seems likely that many fishers noted "shrimp trawl" for any type of shrimp trawl used, be it single or twin. Errors may also have resulted from the old logbook data being given per day, not per haul. Thus, catches from all hauls within one day were summed, and gear was the gear most frequently used that day. In the electronic logbooks on the other hand, data are given per haul, and both gear type as well as the number of gears are recorded. Triple shrimp trawls are prohibited in the North Sea south of 62 °N, but are allowed in Skagerrak. They are, however, not used. In the Norwegian fishery for shrimp the minimum mesh size is 35 mm. The following restrictions apply: no trawling in waters shallower than 60 m, no fishing on Sundays and holy days, and, in the inner part of Skagerrak, no trawling within the 4 nm border between 20:00 and 05:00. In the North Sea bycatch of market fish is allowed, but single catches may nevertheless not contain >10 % (by weight) cod and haddock combined. Furthermore, bycatch of >10 % angler fish or >5 % cod are not allowed. In Skagerrak there is a limitation that up to 50 % of the catch by weight in shrimp trawls may consist of other market species. In Skagerrak it is allowed to have up to 10 % undersized shrimp by weight (<6 cm total length = 15 mm carapace length (CL) = minimum legal size (MLS)) in the catch. Per 10 kg of shrimp it is not allowed to have more than eight undersized specimens of cod, twenty of haddock and three of redfish. Discarding of shrimp is prohibited in Norwegian waters. Since 1st of February 2013 inclined grids for sorting out bycatch has been compulsory in shrimp trawls in Skagerrak outside the 4 nm border. If fish quotas allow, it is legal to use a fish retention device (a 120mm square mesh tunnel) in order to retain large fish. In the North Sea south of 62° N, sorting grids in shrimp trawls have not yet become compulsory, but most fishers seem to use them anyway, in combination with a fish retention device in order to separate the shrimp catch and the valuable bycatch.

Two categories of shrimp dominate the market: in 2013, 43 % of the total landings were delivered as boiled, fresh large shrimp for the Norwegian market, and 57 % of the total as raw (smaller) shrimp for factory processing ashore. The corresponding numbers for 2005 through 2012 were 44, 46, 45, 50, 58, 72, 61 and 41 % boiled shrimp. In 2006-2008 the fishermen obtained approx. 60 NOK/kg for boiled shrimp, and approx. 10-11 NOK/kg for raw shrimp. The price for boiled shrimp had increased compared with 2005 (52 NOK/kg). Due to low shrimp landings the last years, the kilo prize for boiled shrimp increased to a mean of 63 NOK in 2009, 72 NOK in 2010, 79 NOK in 2011, 88 NOK in 2012, and 90 NOK in 2013. In 2013 in Skagerrak, raw shrimp fetched a kilo price of 10 NOK. In the Norwegian Deep, on the contrary, raw shrimp fetched a kilo price of 58 NOK, presumably because some portion of the large shrimp are landed raw instead of being boiled at sea.

The present document compiles updated information from landings statistics, logbooks and catch sampling from the Norwegian trawl fishery for shrimp in Skagerrak and the Norwegian Deep (Divs. IIIa and IVa east).

Materials and Methods

Landings statistics and logbook data were provided by FiskDir. For 2014, landings and logbook data were given for May inclusive.

Landings were earlier given only per Norwegian statistical areas, where area 9 corresponds to ICES Div. IIIa, areas 8 and 28 correspond to Div. IVa east, area 42 to Div. IVa west, and area 41 to Div. IVb. From 2009 FiskDir has provided landings per statistical location (equivalent to standard "ICES squares": 0.5° lat. by 1° long), however, these data are not precise. In data prior to 2009, landings from the Fladen Ground can be identified (area 42), while landings from area 41 are more ambiguous. Landings from the northern part belong to the Norwegian Deep/Skagerrak stock (Fig. 1), while landings from Div. IVb are therefore not included in numbers for the Norwegian Deep/Skagerrak stock, only in figures for Subarea IV. LPUE and effort are calculated using only numbers from Divs. IIIa and IVa east.

Landings consist of a fraction of larger shrimp that are boiled on board and a remaining portion of smaller shrimp landed fresh (see above). Official landings give landed weight as a mixture of raw and boiled shrimp, but upon request FiskDir provides landing statistics where these can be separated (data back to 2000). Boiled shrimp lose weight and to obtain fresh weight, the fraction of the landings consisting of boiled shrimp, is corrected using a conversion factor of 1.13. In the statistics from FiskDir, landings are registered as "boiled", "fresh" and "on ice". We have always assumed shrimp "on ice" to be raw shrimp. However, in 2013 we became aware that the category "on ice" is ambiguous, as both boiled and raw landings may be kept on ice when landed. Sales organizations and FiskDir have not been able to clarify the use of this category. Thus, boiled landings registered as "on ice" when landed, will not have been corrected and total weight may have been underestimated. As it seems to be difficult to distinguish between raw and boiled landings "on ice", we will continue to assume that these shrimp are raw, resulting in total weight being underestimated to some extent.

Fleet structure was derived from the landings statistics. Logbook data give the spatial and temporal distribution of the fishery, with the electronic logbooks providing information on positions of single trawl hauls by vessels ≥ 15 m from 2011 onwards, and by vessels ≥ 12 m in Skagerrak from 2013 onwards. Landings per statistical location (2009-2013) similarly illustrate the spatial distribution of the fishery. Due to the incomplete logbooks, total fishing effort was estimated by dividing nominal landings (corrected for boiling) by LPUE (landings per unit effort) calculated from the logbooks. The combined LPUE from both single and twin trawl was used to estimate total effort as the nominal landings, which are divided by LPUE to estimate effort, derived from the use of both types of gear.

In order to include gear use (single and twin trawl) in the calculation of a standardised LPUE-index, the incorrect recordings of gear in the logbook data were corrected. Every year since 2007 interviews have been made with ship owners identified from the logbooks for the years 2004-2010, and the international ship base www.ship-info.com. The following questions were asked:

- 1) Do you use twin trawl?
- 2) If yes, when did you start using twin trawl?
- 3) If yes, how often do you use twin trawl when fishing for shrimp?

Using the results from these interviews, the logbook data for 2000-2010 were corrected in the following way:

- 1) All recordings of shrimp catches from gear other than single and twin shrimp trawls were deleted (possible bycatch). Twin shrimp trawls were distinguished from fish twin trawls by mesh size (mesh size <42 mm implies shrimp trawl). (<1 % of all recordings in 2000-2010)
- 2) "Bottom trawl" with mesh size <42 mm was changed to "shrimp trawl"
- 3) For all vessels for which owners informed twin trawl was not in use, any twin trawl recordings were corrected to single trawl (rare recordings of twin trawl were assumed to be incorrect).
- 4) All recordings from 11 vessels, for which we could not get secure information on gear use, were deleted (8 % of all recordings in 2000-2010).
- 5) All recordings from 6 vessels, for which owners informed of use of both single and twin trawls, were deleted (since it was impossible to know when which gear was used) (9 % of all recordings in 2000-2010). Two additional vessel owners informed that they used both gears, but recorded twin trawl when using this gear, thus these data were kept.
- 6) For all vessels, for which owners informed of 100 % use of twin trawl, any single trawl recordings were corrected to twin trawl from the starting year inclusive. The starting year of twin trawl use was not always precisely given by ship owners, and then owners' information was compared with the logbook data (vessel specific annual mean LPUE).

The electronic logbooks from 2011-2014 provide information on both gear type and number of trawls (single, twin). However, mesh size is lacking in the 2011-2012 log books (reintroduced in 2013), which makes distinguishing between fish bottom trawl and shrimp bottom trawl difficult (both may be recorded as just "bottom trawl"). All recordings from bottom trawl (2011-2012) have been kept, as large catches of shrimp presumably are not often caught with large mesh trawls.

Data from the corrected logbooks were used in multiplicative models in order to calculate standardised LPUE indices (2000-2014), thereby removing effects of monthly variations in fishing pattern, geographical variation (Divs. IIIa or IVa east), gear use (single or twin trawl), and changes in the composition of the fleet (e.g., Hvingel *et al.* 2000, Hvingel and Aschan 2006). The SAS statistical software was used in the calculations. The multiplicative model was represented in logarithmic form:

$$\ln(LPUE_{hijkl}) = \ln(LPUE) + \ln(V_h) + \ln(A_i) + \ln(M_j) + \ln(Y_k) + \ln(G_l) + e_{hijkl}$$

where $LPUE_{hijkl}$ is the mean LPUE for vessel *h*, fishing in area *i* in month *j* and year *k*, using gear *l*; ln(*LPUE*) is the overall mean; V_h is the effect of the hth vessel; A_i is the effect of the ith area; M_j is the effect of the jth month; Y_k is the effect of the kth year; G_l is the effect of the lth gear; and e_{hijkl} is the error term assumed to be normally

distributed N($0,\sigma^2/n$), where *n* is the number of observations in the cell. The standardised LPUE indices are the antilog of the year coefficients.

A standardised effort series for 2000-2014 was derived by dividing landings (corrected for boiling) by the standardised LPUE indices.

Since owners of vessels <15 m have not been required to fill in logbooks until last year, data from the small vessel fleet in Skagerrak have since 2007 been obtained from four fishermen (vessel size ranging from 10.55 to 12.21 m length) who complete simplified logbooks from all their fishing trips. However, since the Skagerrak logbooks from 2013 onwards include vessels in the size range 12-15 m, this data collection programme will be terminated in 2015.

Until 2001 discards were estimated by assuming that all shrimp <15 mm CL were discarded. Length distributions from research surveys in March, June and October/November were used, whilst assuming that the proportion of small shrimp was the same in the research trawl as in commercial trawls. For 2002-2006 discards were estimated by applying the mean discard percentage (discard as percentage of total landings) for the years 1985-2001 to the nominal landings.

In 2007 to 2012 discards were estimated by comparing length distributions from sorted landings with length distributions from unprocessed commercial catches. In 2008 this comparison gave negative discards, so instead the length distributions from sorted landings were compared with Danish landings with the assumption that the fishing took place on the same fishing grounds and that the level of discarding in the Danish fishery was low. The annual length distribution from unprocessed catches was scaled to fit the annual length distribution from the landings for the larger sizes, based on the assumption that there was no discarding of the largest size groups (\geq 21 mm CL). The higher numbers in the smaller size groups in the catches compared to the landings were then multiplied with the mean weight of each size group, and the sum was considered the weight of the discard. In 2007-2009 discards were only estimated from Skagerrak due to too few data from the Norwegian Deep. In 2010-2012, sampling improved, and discards were estimated for both Skagerrak and the Norwegian Deep.

Results from the "*comparison-of-length-distributions-method*" were often unreliable (e.g., negative estimates). Part of the explanation could be that the sampling of catches covered the whole fishery, while the sampling of landings covered only a small part of the fishery (samples from one fisher in IVa east, and from one landings location in IIIa). The above mentioned ambiguous registration of raw and boiled landings (category "on ice") in Div. IVa east may also have been part of the problem (calculations of sorted landings by length group rely on estimated total weights of respectively boiled and raw landings).

As discarding is illegal in Norway, onboard sampling is difficult to organize, and it would be hard to find shrimp fishers willing to record their discards. Denmark initiated onboard sampling of their shrimp fishery in Skagerrak in 2009, yielding estimates of Danish discards. Thus, from 2009 onwards Norwegian discards in Skagerrak have also been estimated using the Danish discards-to-landings ratio to Norwegian landings. The underlying assumptions are that 1) the size structure of the shrimp stock is the same on the Danish and Norwegian fishing grounds, and 2) the level of discarding is the same in the two fisheries. In 2013, it was decided that the Danish discards data are the best available for estimating Norwegian discards in Skagerrak, and the use of the "*comparison-of-length-distributions-method*" was terminated. The Danish at-sea-sampling-program has, however, not covered the few fishing trips by Danish shrimp vessels in the Norwegian Deep. Norwegian discards from the Norwegian Deep are therefore estimated as the weight of all shrimp <15 mm CL (MLS) in the catches, assuming that all shrimp < MLS are discarded. The reported discard estimates back to 2009 in the current document and in the NIPAG report are the ones based on the Danish data.

Samples (approx. 1.5 kg, 250-400 specimens) for resolving the size, age and stage distribution of the 2013 catches were obtained from seven Norwegian shrimp fishers (48 samples) (Fig. 5). The Norwegian Coast Guard provided 25 samples from inspections of Norwegian, Danish, and Swedish shrimp trawlers. Samples were sorted to stage by sexual characteristics and measured to the nearest mm below. The length distributions were split into age groups by modal analysis by the method of Bhattacharya (1967) (software: FISAT).

Results and discussion

Landings

Total Norwegian landings from Skagerrak and the North Sea (Div. IIIa and Subarea IV) increased from 2 000 t in 1970 to around 8 300 t in 1987 (Fig. 6a, Table 2). In the following years landings fluctuated around 7 500 t with a maximum in 1998 of 9 707 t. After a sharp decline in 1999-2000, the total nominal landings increased continuously until 2004, from about 6 000 t to 9 000 t. The trend then reversed with a steady decline until 2010, with total nominal landings of 4 308 t, the lowest figure since 1979. The total landings have increased slightly since 2011 to 4 910 t in 2013. Correcting for boiling implies that between 230 and 550 t are added to the nominal landings for the years 2000-2013 (Table 2). As noted above, corrections may be underestimated for the Norwegian Deep.

In 2002 to 2005 landings from Skagerrak and the Norwegian Deep were of equal size, but this pattern changed in 2006 with landings from Skagerrak being 70 % higher than landings from the Norwegian Deep. The difference increased even more in 2007 and 2008, with Skagerrak landings nearly three times larger than the ones from the Norwegian Deep. This changed in 2009 with a large decrease in landings from Skagerrak, followed by a further decline in 2010, bringing the IIIa landings down to the level of the IVa east landings. In 2012 and 2013, the difference between the two areas again increased due to an increase in IIIa and a decrease in IVa east.

In Skagerrak, the nominal landings peaked in 1998 at about 6 500 t, decreased to 3 000 t in 2001, and then increased again until 2007 to nearly the same level as in 1998 (Fig. 6a, Table 2). From 2007 to 2010 the Skagerrak landings decreased by 56 % to the lowest level since 1979. The 2011 landings were of the same size as the 2010 ones, while in 2012 the nominal landings increased by about 1 000 t compared with 2011. In 2013, the landings (3 775 t) have remained at the 2012-level. In the Norwegian Deep nominal landings fluctuated around 3 000 t in the 1990s, increased to around 4 300 t in 2004, and thereafter decreased until 2012 to 1 000 t, the lowest value since 1979 (Fig. 6a, Table 2). 2013 saw a slight increase in the Norwegian Deep landings to 1 135 t. Monthly landings from January to May 2014 are of the same size as the 2013 January-May landings, both in IIIa and IVa east (Fig. 7). However, the very good 2013-year class observed as 1-year old shrimp in the 2014 shrimp survey (Søvik and Thangstad 2014) will recruit to the fishery in Skagerrak in autumn 2014, implying that the total 2014 landings will increase compared with 2013. Fishermen claim that a large shrimp stock in Skagerrak will drift west. The coming two years will show if the large 2013-year class eventually will lead to improved landings in the Norwegian Deep.

In Skagerrak most shrimp are landed in spring and late summer/autumn, while landings are highest in late winter to late summer in the Norwegian Deep (Fig. 7). Lower landings during winter are probably due to weather conditions.

In 2013, 2 288 t were landed by small vessels (<15 m), while a slightly larger quantity (2 621 t) was landed by large vessels (\geq 15 m) (Table 1). This is similar to the pattern in 2012, but different from 2011, when landings from large vessels were more than 50 % higher than landings from small vessels, and is explained by the respectively increasing and decreasing fisheries in Skagerrak and the Norwegian Deep, and the different fleet structure in the two areas (Fig. 3).

In 2008 and 2009 the Norwegian TAC was 9 731 t (Table 2). From 2010 the quota steadily declined to almost half this level, being set to only 5 469 t in 2013 (3 099 t in IIIa and 2 370 t in Subarea IV). The 2014 quota is identical to the 2013 one. During the last ten years the Norwegian quota has only been overfished twice (1997 and 2004). In 2003 and 2005 estimated total landings corrected for boiling also exceeded the total Norwegian TAC. Because of the arrangement of evenly allocating the quota to three periods in order to supply the market throughout the year, and because of frequently bad weather in late autumn and winter rendering fishing difficult, the whole Norwegian quota is rarely fished. In 2006-2012 respectively 97, 93, 85, 65, 54, 64, and 82 % of the quota was landed (corrected landings as percentage of Norwegian TAC). In 2013, 5 162 t (landings corrected for boiling) were taken from a quota of 5 469 t (94 %).

Use of single and twin trawl

There is a clear difference in catch efficiency between single and twin shrimp trawls (Fig. 8). In 2007 we started interviewing ship owners about their use of single and twin trawl. The logbooks for 2004-2010 contain data from 59 vessels. We managed to get in touch with the owners of 48 of these. Between 2002 and 2010 six vessels used twin trawl seasonally or occasionally, while twelve vessels used only twin trawl. According to the electronic

logbooks, 25 out of a total of 50 vessels \geq 15 m used twin trawl regularly or in combination with single trawl in 2011. In 2012, 21 out of 36 vessels used twin trawl. In 2013 the corresponding figures were 25 out of 58, but this includes vessels \geq 12 m in Skagerrak. The use of twin trawl is to some extent correlated with vessel size, but is used by all length categories (Fig. 9).

Spatial distribution of the fishery

According to the electronic logbooks from 2011-2013, the large vessel fleet (\geq 15 m) fished mainly in the southern and western parts of the Norwegian Deep, with some effort allocated to the Skagerrak coast and some parts along the west coast of Norway (Fig. 10a). In 2013, the distribution of the fishery in the Norwegian Deep shifted south compared with 2012. According to the 2013 logbooks, which also include vessels <15 m for Skagerrak, the small vessels fished along the Norwegian Skagerrak coast, with the smallest ones (12-13 m length) concentrated in the northeastern part of Skagerrak (Fig. 10b). Effort in terms of trawling hours indicates that the main fishing areas for the large vessel fleet are off Egersund and Lindesnes, and in 2012, also along the Skagerrak coast (Fig. 11). Landings per statistical location show that some fishing takes place in the northern part of Div. IVa east (however not in 2013), as well as in the fjords (Fig. 11).

In 2011, the fishing pattern (and presumably also the distribution of the shrimp stock) changed with season, with fishing taking place on the southwestern edge of the Norwegian Deep in spring, while spreading over a larger area in summer and early autumn (Fig. 12). In 2012, however, the vessels covered a much larger area of Div. IVa east throughout the whole year. The fishing pattern in 2013 resembled the pattern in 2011.

Effort

The estimated number of fishing hours in 2013 was more than twice as high in Div. IIIa compared with Div. IVa east (93 vs. 41 Khours) (Table 2), similar to what was estimated for 2012. The estimated effort in the Norwegian Deep decreased from 2005 to 2009, increased in 2011, and then decreased again in 2012. The 2013 value was at the same level as in 2012. In Skagerrak the pattern is opposite with an increase from 2007 to 2010, a decrease in 2011, and an increase again in 2012 and 2013.

After a relatively stable period from 1996 to 2001, with total fishing efforts of around 200 Khours/year, effort declined to 176 Khours in 2002, stabilized, declined to about 130 Khours in 2007, stabilized, and then declined again to an all time low of 122 Khours in 2011 (Table 2, Fig. 6c). In 2012, the total estimated effort increased to 140 Khours and remained here in 2013 (138 Khours). Standardised effort indices (2000-2014) (Table 3, Fig. 13) show the same trend as the unstandardised figures.

The fishery takes place throughout the whole year (Figs. 7, 14). Effort (number of trawling hours) is highest during summer.

Standardised landings per unit effort (LPUE)

Overall LPUE increased from 1999 to 2007-2008 (Fig. 6b, Table 2), decreased in 2009 and further in 2010. Since 2010 the LPUE has fluctuated without trend around approximately 37 kg/hr. In 2014, LPUE increased, however, as this value is based on preliminary logbook data from January-May, it may change with more data coming in. The LPUE-values in Skagerrak and the Norwegian Deep followed each other closely for the years 1999-2004. However, since 2005 the development of LPUE in the two areas has differed strongly. In the Norwegian Deep, the LPUE decreased until 2011-2012, to the lowest level observed in the time series, and then increased again in 2013. In Skagerrak, on the other hand, the LPUE index increased to a record high level in 2007. Thereafter the index decreased sharply until 2010, to the lowest level observed in the time series. The last four years have seen an increase in the LPUE in Skagerrak.

Standardised LPUE values have been calculated for 2000-2014 (Table 3, Fig. 15). These indices follow the same trend as the unstandardised figures, with the exception that the increase in IVa east in 2014 is less pronounced in the standardised time series. Fleet structure and fishery pattern have probably remained stable during this relatively short time period, but gear use has changed (see above). Despite the incomplete logbooks until 2010, we argue that the Norwegian LPUE nonetheless represent a valid index of shrimp stock biomass. 1) From 2011 onwards we know that the data are representative of the large vessel fishery. 2) Trends in the survey biomass index and the Norwegian LPUE index are similar, with a decrease from 2008 to 2012, followed by a slight increase in 2013 and

2014 (Fig. 15) (Søvik and Thangstad 2014). Both time series show that the shrimp stock in the Skagerrak/Norwegian Deep area is at a low level, but with signs of improvement.

The LPUE-data from the small vessel fleet in Skagerrak cover mainly the eastern part of IIIa (Fig. 16). The monthly catch rates decreased from 2007 to 2010, levelled out in 2011, and then increased again in 2012, especially from August to December, reflecting the incoming of the relatively large 2011-year class in the fishery in autumn 2012 (Fig. 17). Monthly catch rates in the first half of 2013 increased compared with the corresponding 2012 catch rates, but decreased in the last half of 2013. The annual catch rates from the small vessel fleet and the unstandardised LPUE index from the logbooks from IIIa show the same trend (Fig. 18). The higher catch rates in the official logbooks reflect the use of both twin and single trawls, while only single trawls were used by the small vessel fleet. Vessels between 12 and 15 m length were included in the Skagerrak logbooks for the first time in 2013. The 2013 LPUE value from these vessels is almost identical to the LPUE value from the four vessels have been filling out simplified logbooks (Fig. 18). This suggests that the LPUE data from the four vessels have been representative of the small vessel fleet in Skagerrak.

Discards

Discard of shrimp may take place in two ways: 1) as a result of "high-grading" (discard of medium, less valuable shrimp to improve the economic return of quotas) (Munch-Petersen *et al.* 2013), and 2) as a "quality discard", since the processing plants do not accept shrimp smaller than approx. 15 mm CL.

Estimates of discards due to high-grading was estimated for 1996 and 1997 based on separate quarterly length distributions for the categories large and medium sized and the selection ogive for the sieved ones (ICES 1999). However, already next year the working group considered these estimates too inaccurate to be included in assessments (ICES 2000). Later Norwegian estimates of high grading are not available.

Estimates of "quality discard" have varied between 1 and 17 % of the catches, i.e., from 94 to 1400 t annually (Table 2). However, the estimated discards in 2008 of 1 408 t were probably much too high. The assumption of the Norwegian and Danish fleet fishing on the same shrimp grounds is only partly valid, and the assumption of no Danish discards is not correct (see below).

Estimated Norwegian annual discards from Skagerrak in 2009-2013 range from 78 to 411 t, and in the Norwegian Deep from 16 to 52 t (Tables 4, 5). The Norwegian and Danish fisheries are partly overlapping (Figs. 10, 19). Comparison of length frequency distributions in Danish and Norwegian catches from Skagerrak in 2009-2012 show that the size structure of the shrimp stock on the Danish and Norwegian fishing grounds is more or less similar (Fig. 20). Some quarterly distributions differ in some years, but the annual distributions are similar, supporting the use of the Danish data to estimate Norwegian discards from Skagerrak. Length frequency distributions in Norwegian catches from the Norwegian Deep show that the shrimp caught in this area are larger than shrimp caught in Skagerrak.

Catch composition

Length frequency distributions show that the catches in the 1st quarter (January-March) of 2013 consisted of three year classes in Skagerrak and four in the Norwegian Deep (Table 6, Fig. 21). The 1-group was smaller than the MLS of 15 mm CL and was probably mainly discarded. In the 2nd quarter the 2-group (the relatively large 2011-year class) dominated the catches in both Skagerrak and the Norwegian Deep. Being still below the MLS, most of the 1-group shrimp were probably discarded. In autumn 2013, the catches were dominated by the 1-group, and as most of these shrimp now had grown larger than the MLS, they were landed as raw shrimp. The 0-group (the 2013-year class) entered the catches in October-December (Figs. 21, 22).

References

- Bhattacharya, C.G. 1967. A simple method of resolution of a distribution into Gaussian components. Biometrics, 23: 115-135.
- Hvingel, C., Lassen, H. and Parsons, D.G. 2000. A Biomass Index for Northern Shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) in Davis Strait Based on Multiplicative Modelling of Commercial Catch-per-unit-effort Data (1976-97). J. Northw. Atl. Fish. Sci., 26: 25-36.
- Hvingel, C. and Aschan, M. 2006. The Fishery for Northern Shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) in the Barents Sea. NAFO SCR Doc. 06/65. 12 pp.
- ICES 1999. Report of the *Pandalus* assessment working group, 1-4 September 1998. ICES CM 1999/ACFM:5. 33 pp.
- ICES 2000. Report of the *Pandalus* assessment working group, 23-26 August 1999. ICES CM 2000/ACFM:2. 30 pp.
- Munch-Petersen, S., Ulmestrand, M., Søvik, G., and Eigaard, O. 2013. Discarding in the shrimp fisheries in Skagerrak and the Norwegian Deep (ICES Divs. IIIa and IVa east). NAFO SCR Doc. 13/068.
- Søvik, G. and Thangstad, T. 2014. Results of the Norwegian Bottom Trawl Survey for Northern Shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) in Skagerrak and the Norwegian Deep (ICES Divisions IIIa and IVa east) in 2014. NAFO SCR Doc. 14/054.
- Ulmestrand, M., Munch-Petersen, S., Søvik, G., and Eigaard, O. 2014. The Northern shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) Stock in Skagerrak and the Norwegian Deep (ICES Divisions IIIa and IVa East). NAFO SCR Doc. 14/065.

Landings per vessel (t) Number Landings Vessel length group of vessels (t) Mean Median St.dev < 10 m 16 69 4.3 1.4 6.9 10-11.99 m 79 842 10.7 4.2 11.4 12-14.99 m 56 1 377 24.6 17.3 26.6 15-20.99 m 47.8 13 622 35.0 38.4 21-27.99 m 17 1 4 1 0 82.9 74.4 46.9 > 28 m7 589 84.1 61.9 60.8 Total 188 4 908

Table 1. The Norwegian fleet participating in the fishery for shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) in ICES Div. IIIa and Subarea IV (Skagerrak and the North Sea) in 2013: Number of vessels and total (uncorrected) landings (t) per vessel length group; and landings per vessel per length group (mean, median, and standard deviation).

Table 2. Nominal landings from ICES Div. IIIa, Div. IVa east, and total in Div. IIIa and Subarea IV; increase in total landings due to correction for boiling (see text); Total Allowable Catch (TAC); estimated discards; landings per unit effort (LPUE); and estimated number of trawling hours (effort) of the Norwegian shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) fishery in Divs. IIIa and IVa east 1970-2014. All landings back to 1977 were checked and corrected against original files in 2011. LPUE and effort values were checked and corrected against original files in 2013. Discard data 2009-2012 were recalculated in 2013 using updated information on Danish discards.

| | | Landiı | ngs (t) | 0 1 | | TAC (t) | Disc. (t) | | PUE (kg/ł | nour) | Effort (Khours) | | |
|---|------|--------|---------|-------|-------|------------|--------------|------|-----------|-------|-----------------|------|-------|
| _ | Year | IIIa | IVaE | Total | Corr. | Total | Total | IIIa | IVaE | Total | IIIa | IVaE | Total |
| _ | 1970 | 982 | 747 | 2089 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1971 | 1392 | 1094 | 2657 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1972 | 1123 | 1354 | 2447 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1973 | 1415 | 918 | 2346 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1974 | 1186 | 623 | 1953 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1975 | 1463 | 876 | 2339 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1976 | 2541 | 807 | 3592 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1977 | 2167 | 847 | 3126 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1978 | 1841 | 611 | 2533 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1979 | 2489 | 550 | 3082 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1980 | 3498 | 1064 | 4638 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1981 | 3753 | 1434 | 5187 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1982 | 3877 | 1545 | 5422 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1983 | 3722 | 1648 | 5379 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1984 | 3509 | 1261 | 4783 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1985 | 4772 | 1778 | 6557 | | | 460 | | | | | | |
| | 1986 | 4811 | 1681 | 6492 | | | 338 | | | 36 | | | 179 |
| | 1987 | 5198 | 3145 | 8343 | | | 634 | | | 36 | | | 230 |
| | 1988 | 3047 | 4612 | 7662 | | | 645 | | | 31 | | | 251 |
| | 1989 | 3156 | 3418 | 6574 | | | 920 | | | 23 | | | 273 |
| | 1990 | 3006 | 3146 | 6152 | | | 990 | | | 26 | | | 232 |
| | 1991 | 3441 | 2663 | 6155 | | | 376 | | | 30 | | | 206 |
| | 1992 | 4257 | 2945 | 7202 | | | 414 | | | 35 | | | 204 |
| | 1993 | 4089 | 3449 | 7538 | | | 695 | | | 31 | | | 243 |
| | 1994 | 4388 | 2426 | 6815 | | | 157 | | | 31 | | | 218 |
| | 1995 | 5181 | 2838 | 8060 | | 8775 | 212 | | | 35 | | | 255 |
| | 1996 | 5157 | 2753 | 7942 | | 8160 | 253 | 43 | 31 | 37 | 119 | 89 | 214 |
| | 1997 | 5461 | 3107 | 8576 | | 8160 | 821 | 45 | 39 | 42 | 122 | 80 | 212 |
| | 1998 | 6515 | 3189 | 9707 | | 10505 | 279 | 45 | 40 | 44 | 144 | 78 | 219 |
| | 1999 | 3985 | 2752 | 6748 | | 10505 | 486 | 32 | 29 | 32 | 125 | 93 | 219 |
| | 2000 | 3554 | 2562 | 6116 | 326 | 7110 | 521 | 33 | 34 | 34 | 114 | 79 | 192 |
| | 2001 | 2959 | 3933 | 6914 | 374 | 8140 | 565 | 33 | 34 | 34 | 93 | 122 | 214 |
| | 2002 | 3709 | 3612 | 7331 | 382 | 8040 | 534 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 89 | 87 | 176 |
| | 2003 | 3736 | 3986 | 7731 | 455 | 8040 | 563 | 50 | 47 | 48 | 78 | 91 | 171 |
| | 2004 | 4638 | 4360 | 9002 | 546 | 8530 | 656 | 59 | 53 | 55 | 83 | 88 | 174 |
| | 2005 | 4419 | 4087 | 8507 | 452 | 8530 | 620 | 58 | 49 | 52 | 80 | 88 | 173 |
| | 2006 | 5177 | 3037 | 8214 | 455 | 8961 | 599 | 63 | 42 | 50 | 85 | 78 | 174 |
| | 2007 | 5928 | 2307 | 8235 | 450 | 9331 | 526 | 92 | 42 | 65 | 67 | 59 | 134 |
| | 2008 | 5744 | 2039 | 7783 | 478 | 9731 | 1408 | 79 | 47 | 65 | 76 | 47 | 127 |
| | 2009 | 4268 | 1668 | 5940 | 428 | 9731 | 94 | 50 | 45 | 48 | 91 | 40 | 132 |
| | 2010 | 2598 | 1687 | 4308 | 389 | 8767 | 134 | 27 | 44 | 34 | 106 | 43 | 136 |
| | 2011 | 2693 | 1773 | 4466 | 335 | 7452 | 246 | 37 | 40 | 39 | 79 | 48 | 124 |
| | 2012 | 3564 | 1000 | 4573 | 228 | 5855 | 289 | 44 | 27 | 34 | 86 | 38 | 140 |
| | 2013 | 3775 | 1135 | 4910 | 252 | 5469 | 463 | 43 | 28 | 37 | 93 | 41 | 138 |
| | 2014 | 1596 | 640 | 2236 | | 5469 | | 47 | 46 | 47 | | | |

Data from the Norwegian Directorate of Fisheries.

The 2014 landings are from January-May.

The 2014 LPUE data are from January-May.

Estimated effort 2000-2013 are based on landings corrected for boiling.

"Total" refers to the sum of Divs. IIIa and IVa east, except for "total landings" and correction for boiling, which refer to Div. IIIa and Subarea IV.

| | Stand. LPUE (index) | Stand.effort (index) |
|------|---------------------|----------------------|
| 2000 | 1.00 | 1.24 |
| 2001 | 1.06 | 1.32 |
| 2002 | 1.31 | 1.13 |
| 2003 | 1.35 | 1.17 |
| 2004 | 1.54 | 1.19 |
| 2005 | 1.44 | 1.20 |
| 2006 | 1.41 | 1.18 |
| 2007 | 1.70 | 0.98 |
| 2008 | 1.65 | 0.97 |
| 2009 | 1.22 | 1.01 |
| 2010 | 0.90 | 1.00 |
| 2011 | 0.94 | 0.98 |
| 2012 | 0.80 | 1.16 |
| 2013 | 0.91 | 1.10 |
| 2014 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Table 3. Standardised LPUE and effort indices from the Norwegian shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) fishery in Divs. IIIa and IVa east, 2000-2014. The 2014 LPUE-index is based on logbook data January-May. The 2014 effort index is based on logbook data from January-May and corrected landings from January-May projected to the end of 2014.

Table 4. Estimated discards (t), landings (t) and catches (t) in the Norwegian shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) fishery in Div. IIIa (Skagerrak) in 2009-2013, per quarter and annually.

| | Q 1 | | | Q 2 | | | Q 3 | | | Q 4 | | | Annual | | |
|------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--------|
| year | disc. | land. | catch. | disc. | land. | catch. |
| 2009 | 26 | 1468 | 1494 | 21 | 1105 | 1127 | 21 | 944 | 965 | 10 | 1033 | 1043 | 78 | 4551 | 4629 |
| 2010 | 68 | 775 | 843 | 25 | 709 | 735 | 11 | 709 | 720 | 5 | 624 | 629 | 110 | 2817 | 2926 |
| 2011 | 33 | 695 | 729 | 87 | 725 | 812 | 54 | 822 | 877 | 53 | 647 | 700 | 227 | 2890 | 3117 |
| 2012 | 58 | 1002 | 1060 | 20 | 536 | 556 | 47 | 1159 | 1207 | 122 | 1072 | 1194 | 248 | 3768 | 4016 |
| 2013 | 222 | 1078 | 1300 | 70 | 1012 | 1082 | 56 | 1046 | 1101 | 63 | 872 | 935 | 411 | 4008 | 4418 |

Table 5. Estimated discards (t), landings (t) and catches (t) in the Norwegian shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) fishery in Div. IVa east (the Norwegian Deep) in 2009-2013, per quarter and annually. The 2009 numbers from Q3 are based on Danish length frequency data as there was no Norwegian catch sampling in this quarter.

| | Q 1 | | | Q 2 | | | Q 3 | | | Q 4 | | | Annual | | |
|------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--------|
| year | disc. | land. | catch. | disc. | land. | catch. | disc. | land. | catch. | disc. | land. | catch. | disc. | land. | catch. |
| 2009 | 9 | 592 | 601 | 5 | 560 | 565 | 1 | 474 | 475 | 1 | 186 | 187 | 16 | 1812 | 1828 |
| 2010 | 1 | 621 | 622 | 8 | 512 | 520 | 14 | 415 | 429 | 1 | 309 | 310 | 24 | 1857 | 1881 |
| 2011 | 7 | 582 | 589 | 5 | 625 | 630 | 4 | 580 | 584 | 3 | 124 | 127 | 19 | 1911 | 1930 |
| 2012 | 15 | 349 | 364 | 14 | 262 | 276 | 7 | 249 | 256 | 5 | 168 | 173 | 41 | 1028 | 1069 |
| 2013 | 10.477 | 348 | 358 | 23.3 | 290 | 314 | 17.6 | 365 | 382 | 0.96 | 152 | 153 | 52 | 1155 | 1207 |

Table 6. Mean carapace length (with SD), and numbers (millions) per age class in the 2013 catches from the Norwegian shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) fishery in Divs. IIIa and IVa east, per area and total.

| | | | Total | | | Skage | errak | Norwegian Deep | | | |
|---------|------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|---------|----------------|------|---------|--|
| Quarter | Age | Mean | SD | Numbers | Mean | SD | Numbers | Mean | SD | Numbers | |
| 1 | 1 | 12.47 | 1.44 | 53 | 12.42 | 1.39 | 44 | 11.76 | 1.36 | 6 | |
| | 2 | 17.17 | 1.36 | 242 | 17.37 | 1.52 | 203 | 16.95 | 1.44 | 48 | |
| | 3/3+ | 22.32 | 1.43 | 78 | 22.47 | 1.35 | 54 | 22.36 | 1.45 | 19 | |
| | 4+ | | | | | | | 25.16 | 1.45 | 2 | |
| 2 | 1 | 14.22 | 1.63 | 123 | 14.1 | 1.44 | 85 | 14.22 | 1.61 | 25 | |
| | 2 | 18.89 | 1.5 | 187 | 18.66 | 1.68 | 160 | 18.99 | 1.50 | 40 | |
| | 3/3+ | 22.92 | 1.54 | 29 | 22.64 | 1.7 | 20 | 23.05 | 1.26 | 7 | |
| | 4+ | | | | | | | 25.7 | 1.03 | 1 | |
| 3 | 1 | 16.57 | 1.78 | 198 | 16.75 | 1.63 | 150 | 15.76 | 1.75 | 47 | |
| | 2 | 20.82 | 1.2 | 91 | 20.86 | 1.18 | 67 | 20.5 | 1.35 | 28 | |
| | 3/3+ | 23.71 | 0.73 | 20 | 23.73 | 0.74 | 13 | 23.68 | 0.69 | 6 | |
| | 4+ | | | | | | | 25.39 | 1.54 | 1 | |
| 4 | 0 | 10.18 | 1.58 | 6 | 10.13 | 1.56 | 6 | 10.4 | 1.86 | 0 | |
| | 1 | 17.94 | 1.4 | 152 | 17.95 | 1.36 | 132 | 16.76 | 1.14 | 14 | |
| | 2/2+ | 21.61 | 1.06 | 61 | 21.6 | 1.08 | 53 | 21.19 | 1.27 | 12 | |
| | 3+ | 22.85 | 1.84 | 9 | | | | 23.54 | 1.04 | 1 | |




Fig. 1. Distribution of shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) in ICES Div. IIIa and Subarea IV (Skagerrak and the North Sea), and the ICES defined management units. Grid is standard "ICES squares" (0.5° lat. by 1° long.).




Fig. 2. The Norwegian fleet involved in the fishery for shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) in ICES Div. IIIa and Subarea IV (Skagerrak and the North Sea) 1995-2013: number of vessels per length group (m). Data from the Norwegian Directorate of Fisheries.




Fig. 3. Number of vessels per length group in the Norwegian fleet fishing for shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) in ICES Divs. IIIa and IVa east (Skagerrak and the Norwegian Deep) in 2010-2013. Some vessels are represented in more than one area. Data from the Norwegian Directorate of Fisheries.




Fig. 4. Incomplete logbooks from the Norwegian fishery for shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) in ICES Divs. IIIa and IVa east (Skagerrak and the Norwegian Deep) illustrated by landings (corrected for boiling) and catches registered in logbooks, per area for 2005-2013. Data from the Norwegian Directorate of Fisheries.




Fig. 5. Positions of shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) samples from unsorted commercial catches in 2013 in ICES Divs. IIIa and IVa east (Skagerrak and the Norwegian Deep). Samples were collected by local Norwegian fishermen and the Norwegian Coast Guard.




Fig. 6. Landings (nominal and corrected) and TAC a); unstandardised landings per unit effort (LPUE) b); and estimated total effort c) from the Norwegian shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) fishery in ICES Divs. IIIa and IVa east (Skagerrak and the Norwegian Deep) for all years for which data are available. LPUE data from 2014 are based on preliminary data from January-May. In a) "total" includes Div. IIIa and all of Subarea IV, and "Corr. total" are total landings corrected due to boiling. Data from the Norwegian Directorate of Fisheries.




Fig. 7. Monthly (uncorrected) Norwegian landings of shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) 2005-2014 for a) ICES Div. IIIa (Skagerrak), and b) ICES Div. IVa east (Norwegian Deep). Data from the Norwegian Directorate of Fisheries. Data from 2014 are preliminary.




Fig. 8. Annually unstandardised LPUE indices per gear type from logbooks from the Norwegian shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) fishery in ICES Divs. IIIa and IVa east (Skagerrak and the Norwegian Deep). The 2000-2010 indices are based on data corrected regarding use of gear type (shrimp trawl, twin trawl). The 2011-2014 indices are based on information on the number of trawls used per haul (single, twin). The dashed line shows the year of the implementation of the electronic logbooks. Data from the Norwegian Directorate of Fisheries.




Fig. 9. Gear use by vessel length group. Data from electronic logbooks from the fishery for shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) in ICES Divs. IIIa and IVa east (Skagerrak and the Norwegian Deep) in 2011-2013. There was no information on vessels <15 m in 2011-2012.




Fig. 10a. Spatial distribution of the Norwegian fishery for shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) in ICES Divs. IIIa and IVa east (Skagerrak and the Norwegian Deep) in 2011-2013 (top to bottom): positions of trawl hauls with single (blue) and twin (red) trawl in electronic logbooks. Depth contours are given for areas deeper than 100 m (shaded area). Grid is standard "ICES squares" (0.5° lat. by 1° long.) = Norwegian statistical locations (numbering). Data are from vessel ≥ 15 m in 2011-2013, and from vessels ≥ 12 m in 2013 in Skagerrak. Data from the Norwegian Directorate of Fisheries.




Fig. 10b. Spatial distribution per vessel length group of the Norwegian fishery for shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) in ICES Divs. IIIa and IVa east (Skagerrak and the Norwegian Deep) in 2013: positions of trawl hauls in electronic logbooks. Data are from vessel ≥ 15 m the Norwegian Deep, and from vessels ≥ 12 m in Skagerrak. Data from the Norwegian Directorate of Fisheries.








Fig. 11. Spatial distribution of landings (t) (uncorrected) and recorded effort (trawling hours) in the Norwegian fishery for shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) in 2009-2013 in ICES Divs. IIIa and IVa east (Skagerrak and the Norwegian Deep) by standard "ICES squares" (0.5° lat. by 1° long.). Fishing by both single and twin trawl is included. Data from the Norwegian Directorate of Fisheries.












Fig. 12. Quarterly spatial distribution of the Norwegian fishery for shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) in ICES Divs. IIIa and IVa east (Skagerrak and the Norwegian Deep) in 2011-2013 based on electronic logbooks from vessels \geq 15 m (\geq 12 m in 2013 in Skagerrak): positions of single trawl hauls. Grid is standard "ICES squares" (0.5° lat. by 1° long.) = Norwegian statistical locations (numbering). Colour shading shows number of trawl hauls per "ICES square". Data from the Norwegian Directorate of Fisheries.




Fig. 13. Standardised total effort in the Norwegian fishery for shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) in ICES Divs. IIIa and IVa east (Skagerrak and the Norwegian Deep), 2000-2014. The 2014 effort index is estimated from preliminary logbook data and preliminary landings (corrected for boiling) from January to May, projected to the end of the year. Data from the Norwegian Directorate of Fisheries.




Fig. 14. Estimated monthly effort (trawling hours) in the Norwegian fishery for shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) in ICES Divs. IIIa and IVa east (Skagerrak and the Norwegian Deep) in 2011-2013. Effort was estimated as official monthly landings (corrected for boiling) divided by monthly LPUE from logbooks (vessels ≥ 15 m in 2011-2012, and 2013 in IVa east; vessels ≥ 12 m in 2013 in Skagerrak). Data from the Norwegian Directorate of Fisheries.




Fig. 15. Standardised LPUE-indices (with standard error), and unstandardised LPUE-indices (kg/hour) for 2000-2014 from the Norwegian shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) fishery in a) both ICES Divs. IIIa and IVa east (Skagerrak and the Norwegian Deep), b) Skagerrak, and c) the Norwegian Deep. Data from the Norwegian Directorate of Fisheries.




Fig. 16. Positions of all trawl hauls in the 2010-2013 logbooks from four small (10-12 m) shrimp trawlers fishing in ICES Div. IIIa (Skagerrak).




Fig. 17. Monthly a) and annual b) unstandardised LPUE indices from logbooks from four small (10-12 m) shrimp trawlers fishing in ICES Div. IIIa (Skagerrak), 2007-2013.




Fig. 18. Unstandardised LPUE indices (kg/hour) from the Norwegian shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) fishery in ICES Div. IIIa (Skagerrak) (1996-2013), all vessels(1996-2013) and vessels <15 m (2013), and from four small (10-12 m) shrimp trawlers fishing along the Norwegian Skagerrak coast (2007-2013).




Fig. 19. Spatial distribution of the Danish fishery for shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) in ICES Divs. IIIa and IVa east (Skagerrak and the Norwegian Deep) in 2009, based on VMS data. Data from DTU-Aqua.




Fig. 20. Comparison of relative length frequency distributions in Danish shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) catches (DK – blue line) from ICES Div. IIIa (Skagerrak), Norwegian shrimp catches from Skagerrak (N-Skagerrak – red line), and Norwegian shrimp catches from the Norwegian Deep (ICES Div. IVa east) (N-Norw.Deep – green line) in 2009-2012, per quarter (Q1-Q4) and annually.




Fig. 21. Numbers per length in the 2013 catches from the Norwegian fishery for shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) in ICES Divs. IIIa and IVa east (Skagerrak and the Norwegian Deep), per quarter and area. Note different scales on the y-axes. Samples sizes (Skagerrak and the Norwegian Deep): Q1: 6597, 3363; Q2: 4311, 1992; Q3: 3889, 2125; Q4: 3364, 598. Dashed lines mark MLS of 15 mm CL.




Fig. 22. Monthly stage based relative length frequency distributions of shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) from unsorted commercial catches in 2013 from ICES Divs. IIIa and IVa east (Skagerrak and the Norwegian Deep). Samples were collected by local fishermen and the Norwegian Coast Guard. Stages: 2 = males; 3 = transitional; 4 = ripe gonads, first time spawner; 5 = berried; 6 = breeding dress; 7 = second time spawner with no roe; 8 = ripe gonads, second time spawner; 9 = first time spawner with no roe. Sample sizes (number of shrimp measured) are given in the figure.