

Fisheries Organization

Serial No. N7426

NAFO SCR Doc. 23/037

SCIENTIFIC COUNCIL MEETING - JUNE 2023

Survey results from the Upernavik Gillnet survey, NAFO Division 1Ainshore.

by

Rasmus Nygaard

Greenland Institute of Natural Resources, P.O. Box 570, 3900 Nuuk, Greenland

Abstract

This paper presents the updated indices for the surveys performed by the Greenland Institute of Natural resources (GINR) in the fjords near Upernavik, part of the NAFO division 1A (inshore). The fords near Upernavik were previously surveyed with longline, but from 2011 to 2015 the surveys were gradually changed to gillnet surveys. Since 2016, gillnet have been fully implemented in the areas. The gillnet survey targting prefishery recruits and commercial sized fish from 30-55 cm. In order to survey commercially sized Greenland halibut a larger meshed section (90mm halfmesh) was added in 2016.

Introduction

Greenland halibut is a dominant fish species in the North-west Greenlandic fjords and of major importance to the people living in the area. In Upernavik, Greenland halibut is the only important targeted species. Other species taken mostly as bycatch include Spotted wolffish, but these are often discarded or used locally and rarely landed. Greenland halibut is therefore of major social and economic importance to the local communities.

Survey area

The fjords near *Upernavik* are characterized by several large iceberg producing glaciers, which extend into deep narrow fjords with depths of more than 900 m (Figure 1). Two of the more important fishing grounds are located in the Upernavik ice fjord and Gieskes ice fjord (Gulteqarffik). Although the main fishing grounds in the Upernavik area are located in the deep ice fjords, the branching fjord systems between the ice fjords are easier to access and survey. The branching side fjords have more suitable depths 0-700m and have smaller icebergs and lesser summer glacier ice than the Upernavik Isfjord and Gieskes icefjord, which are rarely accessible to the research vessels during the openwater summermonths. Therefore, the survey is limited to the fjord areas between the larger icefjords and only partly covering the commercial fishing grounds in the area. The management area extends to 75 N, and little to no fishery exists further north until the Qaanaaq fjord.

Materials and methods

The survey is conducted with the GINR research vessel R/V Sanna. Stations are paired two and two, close to each other (0,5-1 NM) to allow for analysis of within station variability. The gillnets are composed of 60m long sections with mesh sizes 46, 55, 60 and 70 mm (knot to knot or half mesh). From 2016 and forward a 90mm section (halfmesh) was added to the gillnet survey to increase the number of large Greenland for halibut and also survey the commercial part of the stock. Sections are separated with a 2m open space to prevent catchability interactions. Soak time is approximately 6-18 hours and fishing takes place both day and night.



Biological samples

Length, weight, gutted weight, otoliths and occasionally DNA samples are regularly collected during the surveys. Otoliths are collected from individual Greenland halibut and frozen in a plastic bag with a printed plastic label with individual information and an automatically created number. At the GINR, otoliths are read after a method developed in Norway. In the Lab otoliths are photographed with translucent light with a Leica S9i stereomicroscope in a 5 MP TIF image. After imaging the otoliths are archived. Digitally archived Images are then "read on screen" using ImageJ. In ImageJ both contrast and brightness can easily be adjusted and a calibration beam allows for digital measurements of proportions of the otolith. Images are standardized and attempts for automated digital reading are being tested.

ALK

Ageing of the otoliths was in the past done by looking at the dried otolith through a stereomicroscope. However, from 2007 to 2009 the method changed to looking at fresh frozen otoliths through a stereomicroscope. Uncertainty about the method however led to a lack of reading until 2017.

An Age Length Key is produced from the aged otoliths for each cm group. If the ALK is incomplete for certain lengths, a backup ALK is used for the missing length combinations from the same year but combined inshore areas. The backup-ALK produced from all inshore areas in a given year, is screened for the missing length-age combinations. To produce a complete backup-ALK, missing ages for certain lengths are estimated from the von bertalanffy growth equation.

Climatic conditions

Temperature and depth loggers are attached to the majority of the gillnet, measuring the bottom temperature and revealing the sinking rate of the gillnets. The index is currently not corrected for sinking time which is increases with depth. Sinking time varies from 20 min at shallow depth to almost 60 min in deep stations (+900m). CTD stations have been performed in some years (increasing effort) and the data is stored by the Greenland Climate Research Centre GCRC, located in Nuuk:

Results

In the initial experimental years the number of stations in the gillnet survey was low. Between 13 and 21 gillnet stations were made annually and are more uncertain. The goal is to set more than 40 stations per year. An overview of the most recent surveys and stations by year, vessel and gear is given in table 1.

From 2015 to 2019, survey NPUE remained at a stable level whereas the CPUE decreased slightly (Table 2 and fig 2). In 2020 a substantial increase in both NPUE and CPUE was observed. After 2020 both CPUE and NPUE has gradually decreased. The increase observed in 2020 was observed across stations (figure 3).

The increase is mainly caused by higher numbers of Greenland halibut from 40 to 55cm (figure 4). Also higher than usual numbers of 30 cm Greenland halibut (around 3 years) was observed in 2020 (figure 4). The slow decrease in the CPUE from 2015 to 2019 is also seen as a small decrease in the size of the fish in the survey (figure 4).

The survey Catch At Age (table 4 and figure 5) indicates a shift towards younger but more numerous fish in the survey. The strong 2015 cohord seems present in 2022.

The first initial years with untrained readers show unrealistic Mean-weight-At-Age (Figure 6). However, the newest readings for the 2010, 2019 and 2021) all analysed in 2021 have a relative stability in the MWAA for most cohords until around age 10.

LW relationship for the sampled Greenland halibut since 2010 is provided in table 5. Since graders (automated mashines that weight each fish on fish factories and sort the landings in size groups) are now operational on several fish factories in the area, the individual weights from thousands of fish are combined with the annual survey LW to estimate the length of the commercial landings.



Cod, Redfish, Arctic skate, thorny skate and spotted wolffish are also caught in the survey. CPUE's are not presented for these species currently. Length frequencies for Cod is given in figure 7.

Discussion

Since only part of the commercial area is covered by the survey excluding the most important fishing grounds due to glacier ice, the survey should be considered an index only. The increase in the NPUE and CPUE in 2020 and 2021 (above previous years) corresponds well with the observations of increased recruitment observed in the Disko Bay and the Uummannaq fjord. With the new ALK keys the MWAA seems more stable when using the majority of otoliths from Upernavik which should include far larger and older fish than in the Disko Bay. The few otoliths read in 2022 may constitute a problem leading to an underestimation of the age composition of the stock in 2022.

Furthermore, it looks like a 2010 yearclass is visible in the bubble plot. If this is indeed the case this would fit with the larger than usual numbers of age 1 observed in 2011 (2010 YC) observed in Greenland shrimp and fish survey which includes the offshore recruitment area just west Uummannaq and Upernavik. Also the 2015 year-class also observed as large in Uummannaq and Uperanvik is clearly visible.

References

- Boje, J. and Lyberth, B. (2005) Survey Calibration for Greenland Halibut in Division 1A Inshore. NAFO Scr. Doc.05/57 (N5143)
- Nygaard, R. and Nogueira 2022. Biomass and Abundance of Demersal Fish Stocks off West Greenland Estimated from the Greenland Shrimp and Survey, 1988-2018. NAFO Scr. doc.21/014.
- Simonsen, C.S., Boje, J. and Kingsley, M.C.S., 2000. A Review Using Longlining to Survey Fish Populations with Special Emphasis on an Inshore Longline Survey for Greenland Halibut (*Reinhardtius hippoglossoides*) in West Greenland, NAFO Division 1A. NAFO Scr.Doc., 00/29



Table 1. Number of stations by gear (Table is incomplete)

. Nui	iibei oi su	ations b	y gear (rable is incomplete)
Year	Longline	Gillnet	Vessel	Notes
1994	30	-	AJ	
1995	32	-	AJ	
1996	-	-	-	
1997	-	-	-	
1998	31	-	AJ	
1999	-	-	-	
2000	30	-	AJ	
2001				
2002				
2003				
2004				
2005	-	-	-	
2006	-	-	-	
2007	-	-	-	
2008	-	-	-	
2009	-	-	-	
2010	15	-	AJ	
2011	13	-	AJ	
2012	7	21	Sa	46,55,60,70
2013	16	19	Sa	46,55,60,70
2014	16	13	Sa	46,55,60,70
2015	0	48	Sa	46,55,60,70,90
2016	0	47	Sa	46,55,60,70,90
2017	0	41	Sa	46,55,60,70,90
2018	0	52	Sa	46,55,60,70,90
2019	0	31	Sa	46,55,60,70,90
2020	0	46	Sa	46,55,60,70,90
2021	0	49	Sa	46,55,60,70,90
2022	0	42	Sa	46,55,60,70,90
	A 1 1CT	C A 3	D /II C	. (0.)

Research vessels: Adolf Jensen (AJ), R/V Sanna (Sa).

Table 2. CPUE and NPUE from the Gillnet survey in Upernavik.

Year	Number of	CPUE	SE	NPUE	SE	remark
	stations					
2012	21	11.40	1.78	7.25	1.16	Initial years
2013	19	9.84	1.41	7.26	0.95	Initial years
2014	13	17.19	3.82	13.82	2.84	Initial years
2015	48	19.99	2.56	16.59	2.42	Full program
2016	49	15.95	1.92	13.02	1.58	Full program
2017	40	16.88	2.87	16.42	3.15	Full program
2018	50	16.55	3.04	16.94	3.23	Full program
2019	31	12.57	2.00	12.77	2.16	Full program
2020	45	26.61	4.09	26.47	3.79	Full program
2021	49	22.89	2.45	22.42	2.24	Full program
2022	42	17.19	2.42	17.24	2.02	Full program



Table 3.
 Number of otoliths collected and aged from the gillnet survey in Upernavik.

Year	Area	Otoliths	Aged	Ageing method
2010	Upernavik	343	60	Frozen image
2011	Upernavik	491	0	Frozen image
2012	Upernavik	267	0	Frozen image
2013	Upernavik	296	0	Frozen image
2014	Upernavik	333	13	Frozen image
2015	Upernavik	407	8	Frozen image
2016	Upernavik	453	0	Frozen image
2017	Upernavik	361	0	Frozen image
2018	Upernavik	378	46	Frozen image
2019	Upernavik	326	293	Frozen image
2020	Upernavik	407	0	Frozen image
2021	Upernavik	529	516	Frozen image
2022	Upernavik	340	32	Frozen image

Table 4. Catch At Age table for the gillnet survey in Upernavik

Year	Index val	Age2	Age3	Age4	Age5	Age6	Age7	Age8	Age9	Age10	Age11	Age12	Age13	Age14	Age15	Age16
2012	119.6	0	0	4	9	16	23	32	14	10	7	3	0	1	0	0
2013	121.1	0	0	8	30	27	24	16	8	3	2	0	0	1	1	1
2014	213.9	0	0	7	7	23	60	68	40	7	0	1	0	1	0	0
2015	274.1	1	1	29	81	44	51	28	23	9	3	2	1	0	0	1
2016	238.3	0	1	19	52	68	45	31	11	6	2	1	1	0	0	0
2017	271.9	0	1	2	35	61	62	43	25	20	6	5	6	2	1	2
2018	271.5	1	5	23	40	48	51	34	18	16	20	4	2	8	2	2
2019	213.0	0	0	12	52	62	44	25	10	4	2	0	0	0	0	0
2020	432.2	0	11	89	180	91	43	13	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
2021	373.7	0	2	35	126	123	66	12	6	2	1	1	0	0	0	0
2022	287.4	0	4	21	115	38	80	24	5	1	1	0	0	0	0	0



Table 5. modelled Length-Weight relationship for Greenland halibut.

Year	Area	Number fish	Log a	b	R ²
2012	Upernavik	267	-13.326	3.431	0.985
2013	Upernavik	294	-12.853	3.299	0.988
2014	Upernavik	333	-12.978	3.33	0.984
2015	Upernavik	407	-12.696	3.267	0.991
2016	Upernavik	453	-12.607	3.255	0.984
2017	Upernavik	361	-12.445	3.216	0.985
2018	Upernavik	378	-12.722	3.283	0.989
2019	Upernavik	326	-12.421	3.205	0.986
2020	Upernavik	407	-12.454	3.227	0.99
2021	Upernavik	528	-12.862	3.323	0.988
2022	Upernavik	340	-12.951	3.336	0.990




Figure 1. Map of the Upernavik area. Scale 1:2000000




Figure 2. Upernavik gillnet survey NPUE (left) and CPUE (right) and of Greenland halibut (all sizes).




Figure 3. NPUE index by station. (numbers per 100 hrs) Note map missing islands.




Figure 4. Upernavik: Observed LF (N/100hr) for Greenland halibut.



Gillnet survey CAA - Upernavik




Figure 5. Upernavik survey Catch At Age CAA for the Upernavik gillnet survey.




Figure 6. Estimated Mean Weight At Age for the Upernavik gillnet survey.




Figure 7. Upernavik: Observed LF (N/100hr) for Atlantic cod.

