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## PART 1

# Administrative Report for the Year ending 30 June 1952 

by the Acting Executive Secretary<br>W. R. Martin

## 1. Headquarters.

Temporary Headquarters of the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries (ICNAF) was established at the Atlantic Biological Station of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada, St. Andrews, New Brunswick, Canada on 1 July 1952. Three furnished offices were made available to the Commission along with general facilities such as transportation and staff assistance. Special assistance was provided by the Director and Administrative Officer of the Atlantic Biological Station, and by senior officers of Canadian Government Departments, particularly the Department of Fisheries. All of this assistance greatly facilitated the work of the Commission Secretariat.

Pursuant to the decision made at the First Meeting relative to the establishment of a permanent headquarters site, the Acting Chairman and the Acting Vice Chairman visited St. John's, Newfoundland, and Halifax, Nova Scotia in June 1952 in order to investigate and consider the offers made by the Government of Newfoundland and Memorial University, St. John's, Newfoundland, and by the City of Halifax and Dalhousie University at Halifax, Nova Scotia. These Commission Officers were most cordially received at both St. John's and Halifax. The report of their findings was presented to the Commission at its Second Annual Meeting. (Part 2, Section 13).

## 2. Secretariat.

The appointment of Dr. W. R. Martin as Acting Executive Secretary became effective 1 July 1951. Miss Johanne Welsh was appointed as Stenographer for two years beginning 30 August 1951, and Mr. Jacques Côté accepted a
two-year appointment as Statistician for the Commission, effective 5 June 1952. Applications for the position of Executive Secretary were submitted by 22 June 1952 for consideration at the Second Annual Meeting.

## 3. Officers.

The Chairman, Dr. H. J. Deason (U.S.A.), resigned from the Commission on 1 October 1951, and in accordance with Rule 9 of the Rules of Procedure of the Commission the Vice Chairman, A. T. A. Dobson (U.K.), became Acting Chairman. The Commissioners elected F. W. Sargent (U.S.A.) as Acting Vice Chairman, effective 17 March 1952. Panel and Standing Committee Chairmen served in accordance with appointments made at the First Annual Meeting of the Commission: Panel 1 - B. Dinesen (Denmark) ; Panel 2 - not organized: Panel 3 - R. Gushue (Canada) ; Panel 4 - J. H. MacKichan (Canada) ; Panel 5 - F. W. Sargent (U.S.A.) ; Committee on Finance and Administration - J. H. MacKichan (Canada) ; Committee on Research and Statistics - A. W. H. Needler (Canada).

## 4. Ratifications.

The Government of Spain deposited its instrument of ratification of the International Convention for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries with the Depositary Government on 17 January 1952. The Contracting Governments participating in the Commission on 30 June 1952 were Canada, Denmark, Iceland, Spain, United Kingdom and United States. The signatory Governments of France, Italy, Norway and Portugal had not deposited instruments of ratification at the end of the first financial year.

## 5. Newsletters.

Four newsletters were distributed from Commission Headquarters during the year in order that Commissioners, advisers and observers might be kept informed concerning developments within the Commission. The newsletters were issued on 24 July 1951, 30 November 1951, 20 March 1952 and 20 May 1952.

## 6. Cooperation with other International Organizations.

Pursuant to the Resolution adopted at the First Annual Meeting relative to cooperation with other Bodies (section 26 of the Chairman's report of the First Meeting), the Acting Chairman and the Acting Executive Secretary made contact with both the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES) and the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) during October and November, 1951. Agreement was reached on the following points:
(a) There shall be a mutual understanding that a representative or representatives of each Body shall be free to attend the appropriate meetings of the other.
(b) A regular exchange shall be maintained of publications, both scientific and statistical.
(c) A continuous contact will be maintained between the Northwestern Area Subcommittee of ICES and the Panel for Sub-area 1 of ICNAF, so as to secure the maximum advantage and to avoid overlapping and reduplication of effort.
(d) The closest active collaboration shall be maintained between ICNAF and FAO, particularly in the field of fisheries statistics and in such fields of investigation as may emerge in the future.
In accordance with these agreements both ICES and FAO were represented at the first two Annual Meetings of the Commission and the meeting of Panel 1 in Amsterdam. The Commission was represented at the 1951 meeting of ICES in Amsterdam by the Acting Chairman and the Acting Executive Secretary. At the statistical
meeting of FAO in Copenhagen, May 1952, the Acting Executive Secretary contributed a paper entitled "The Statistical Requirements of the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries" and the Commission was represented at the meeting by an observer, Dr. Paul Hansen.

A regular exchange of publications, printed and otherwise, has been established with both FAO and ICES.

The Fisheries Division of FAO has assisted the Commission by contributing statistics for European countries fishing in the Northwest Atlantic Area. This assistance was particularly helpful in the case of the southern European countries, Italy, Portugal and Spain. The Division provided facilities and assistance to the Acting Executive Secretary during his visit to Rome in November 1951, and indeed throughout the year, in obtaining an early appraisal of the Northwest Atlantic Fishery and of the problems involved in obtaining the statistics essential to the purposes of the Commission. This close liaison has been concerned with such matters as standardization of statistics, avoidance of duplication of effort and advice to Governments, when requested by them, concerning the collection and compilation of requisite statistics.

A detailed report covering these developments entitled "Cooperation with other Bodies" was submitted by the Acting Chairman and the Acting Executive Secretary to the Commission at its Second Annual Meeting (Part 2, section 8).

## 7. Panel 1.

A meeting of Panel 1 was held in Amsterdam, Netherlands, on 10 October 1951 immediately following the annual meeting of ICES. The Commissioners of the Contracting Governments, Denmark and the United Kingdom, were present supported by advisers. Observers were also present from France, Iceland, Norway, Portugal, Spain, United Kingdom, the United States, FAO and ICES.

The Panel reviewed the status of the fisheries and of research program in Sub-area 1. Fisheries Statistics, Longterm Research Program and Cooperation with ICES in Subarea 1 were discussed and three Recommendations on these
subjects were adopted for submission to the Commission. These Recommendations may be found in the Chairman's report of the Second Annual Meeting (Part 2, section 20).

## 8. Panel 5.

At the first meeting of Panel 5 in April 1951 the desirability of establishing a minimum mesh size for haddock fishing in Sub-area 5 was recognized. The problem of summarizing pertinent information and recommending an experimental mesh regulation and research program was referred to a committee of scientific advisers, in order that the problem could be considered more definitively at the next meeting of the Panel. The scientific advisers to Panel 5 (Canada and the United States) met four times following the First Annual Meeting - at Woods Hole, Massachusetts on 29 April - 1 May 1951 and 23-24 January 1952; at St. Andrews, New Brunswick on 15-17 September 1951 and 30 June 1952. The first three meetings, together with special studies by the United States Fish and Wildlife Service resulted in two reports of scientific advisers to Panel 5 , the substance of which is set out in a paper by Dr. Herbert W. Graham (U.S.A.) as Part 3 of the Second Annual Report.

A meeting of Panel 5 was held at Ottawa, Canada on 26 and 27 February 1952. The Commissioners of the Contracting Governments, Canada and the United States, were present supported by advisers. Observers were also present from Canada, France and the United States. On the basis of its considerations the Panel adopted four Recommendations for submission to the Commission, the most important of which were a proposed mesh regulation for haddock fishing in Sub-area 5 and a research program designed to assess the results of the experimental regulation. The four Recommendations are embodied in the Chairman's Report of the Second Annual Meeting (Part 2, sections 16, 17 and 19).

At the fourth meeting of Panel 5 scientists the results of new experiments by the United States Fish and Wildlife Service relative to the proposed regulation were considered and it was decided that these results should be presented to the Standing Committee on Research and Statistics of the Commission.

## 9. Research Summaries.

In accordance with action by the Commission [Chairman's report of First Meeting, section 22 (b) 2] Governments conducting research in the waters of the Convention Area were requested to submit summaries of programs and results of research to the Commission. The following summaries were received and distributed to members of the Commission, to the appropriate Panels and to the Standing Committee on Research and Statistics in advance of the Second Annual Meeting:
(a) The Status of the Ground Fisheries and the Research Program of the United States Government in the Convention Area, with Bibliography by Herbert W. Graham.
(b) Summary of Research Programme for 1952-53 and Results of Work carried out by the United Kingdom in the Area of the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries - by R. S. Wimpenny.
(c) The Norwegian Fishery Investigations in Greenland Waters 1948-51 - by Birger Rasmussen.
(d) Summary of Danish Research Work carried out in Sub-area 1, with list of Literature, and Summary of Danish Research Program for Sub-area 1 in 1952 - by Paul Hansen.
(e) Summary of Canadian Research in the Convention Area with Bibliography and Appendices - by A. W. H. Needler.
(f) Observations made during May 1951 in the Newfoundland Region aboard the oceanographic ship "President Theodore Tissier" - by P. Desbrosses (France).
Two further summaries of research programs were distributed for consideration by the appropriate Panels of the Commission:
(a) Canadian Groundfish Research in Areas 3 and 2 - by W. Templeman.
(b) Canadian Research in Sub-area 4, Resume of results and program by A. W. H. Needler.

## 10. Statistical Summaries.

Summaries of fishery statistics were submitted by all Governments concerned with the fisheries of the Northwest Atlantic Convention Area. The summaries were compiled, translated where necessary and rearranged for duplication. Minor corrections and additions were made wherever they were considered to be important to an understanding of the data presented. The following summaries were distributed to Commissioners, advisers and observers in advance of the Second Commission Meeting 1):

1. Canadian Landings of Groundfish from the Convention Area, 1910-1951.
A. Main species of groundfish landed in the provinces of New Brunswick, Nova Scotia, Prince Edward Island and Quebec, 1910-1951 - by Markets and Economics Service, Department of Fisheries.
B. Groundfish landings from the Convention Area landed in Canada (not including Newfoundland) showing sub-area of capture, 1933-1951 - by Atlantic Biological Station, Fisheries Research Board of Canada.
C. Estimated landings in Newfoundland of the Main Species of Groundfish from the Convention Area, 1929-1950 - by Newfoundland Research Station, Fisheries Research Board of Canada.
2. Danish Fisheries Statistics for Northwest Atlantic Area.
A. Faroese Fisheries in Greenland's waters in 1951 - by K. Djurhuus.
B. Landings from West-Greenland in Danish ports by Danish craft for 19481951 - by A. Strubberg.
C. Statistics concerning the Fishery of the Greenland population in Sub-area 1 - by Paul Hansen.
3. French Landings of Salt Fish from the Convention Area, 1938-1951 - by Deputy Director of Fisheries.
4. Survey of Icelandic Fisheries in W. Greenland Waters - by Arni Fridriksson.
5. Italian Landings of Salt Cod from the Convention Area 1948-1951 - by Eugenio Avezzano.
1) Available in multigraphed form from Commission Headquarters.
6. Norwegian Landings of Groundfish from the Convention Area - by Håvard Angerman.
7. Portuguese Landing of Salt Cod from the Convention Area 1947-1951 - by Comm. Tavares de Almeida.
8. Spanish Fishing in the Northwest Atlantic Ocean, Results of 1951 Campaign - by J. M. Guitian y Vieito.
9. United Kingdom Landings of Groundfish from the Convention Area, 1928-1951 - by E. C. Wood.
10. United States Landings of Groundfish from the Convention Area, 1893-1950 by North Atlantic Fishery Investigations, Fish and Wildlife Service.
A digest of the cod statistics contained in these summaries was prepared by the Commission Statistician and presented at the Second Meeting. This digest has been expanded to include statistics for all groundfish species and is presented by the Commission Statistician (Second Report, Part 4).

## 11. Development of Commission Statistics.

The Executive Secretary was instructed at the First Meeting to review the fisheries statistics for the Convention Area and report at the Second Annual Meeting on their adequacy and on the problems involved in improving them. The development of fisheries statistics to meet Commission requirements was accordingly explored by correspondence and at a series of meetings. The meetings of ICES and Panel 1 at Amsterdam in October 1951 provided an opportunity for discussions with experts from northern European countries. Government fisheries offices and important fishing ports were visited in France, Italy, Spain and the United Kingdom primarily for the purpose of developing improved Commission statistics. Considerable progress was made during a week with the Fisheries Division of FAO at Rome in November 1951. During March 1952 special meetings were held on the subject of statistics with Canadian and United States experts at St. Andrews, N. B. and Washington, D. C.

On the basis of this review of the fisheries statistics available to the Commission a report
was prepared for submission to the Second Annual Meeting of the Commission. The findings were summarized in twelve recommendations which were presented for the consideration of the Standing Committee on Research and Statistics. The resultant statistical recommendations of the Committee, as adopted by the Commission, are incorporated into the Chairman's report of the Second Annual Meeting (Part 2, sections 18 and 21).

## 12. Financial Statement for the year ending 30 June 1952.

The accounts of the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries for the first financial year ending 30 June 1952 were presented in Canadian currency to the Commission at its Second Meeting.

These accounts show an income of $\$ 26,500.00$ and a total expenditure of $\$ 15,106.34$, leaving an unobligated balance of $\$ 11,393.66$. There were no transfers among appropriations, and each appropriation shows a balance. At 30 June 1952 the General Fund Balance Sheet recorded total assets of $\$ 14,574.50$. The liabilities consisted of $\$ 11,393.66$ Surplus and $\$ 3,180.84$ to be credited against the appropriations approved by the Commission for the financial year ending 30 June 1953.

The Working Capital Fund Balance Sheet recorded total assets of $\$ 5,986.67$. The liabilities consisted of $\$ 5,000.00$ as the Principal of Fund and $\$ 986.67$ in credits due to Member Governments.

The accounts are summarized in three financial statements showing (1) income and expenditures, (2) status of appropriations and (3) assets and liabilities of the Commission in Appendix I to this report.

The audit of the Commission's finances for the fiscal year ended 30 June 1952 was made by the Auditor General's Office of the Government of Canada. As required by Section 11.2 of the Financial Regulations for the Commission, the Auditor General has certified that:
(a) The financial statements are in accord with the books and records of the Commission; and
(b) In my opinion, the financial transactions reflected in the statements have been in accordance with the rules and regulations, the budgetary provisions, and other applicable directives; and
(c) Monies on deposit have been verified by certificate received direct from the Commission's depository.

## APPENDIX

FINANCIAL STATEMENT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING 30 JUNE 1952

## Statement 1

## Statement of budget appropriations, obligations incurred, and unobligated balances of appropriations for the fiscal year 1952

Purpose of Appropriation
Personal services
Travel including subsistence
Transportation of things
Communication services (postage, telephone, telegraph, etc.)
Rents and utility services
Other contractual services, including printing
Supplies and materials
Equipment, including office machines and computing machines Annual Meeting

| Appropriated | Obligations <br> incurred <br> (and | Unobligated <br> Balances of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commission | Liquidated) | Appropriations |
| $\$ 14,500.00$ | $\$ 8,874.01$ | $\$ 5,625.99$ |
| $3,000.00$ | $2,501.45$ | 498.55 |
| 300.00 | 31.81 | 268.19 |
| $1,000.00$ | 428.82 | 571.18 |
| 200.00 | 25.60 | 174.40 |
| $2,000.00$ | 285.86 | $1,714.14$ |
| $1,000.00$ | 993.09 | 6.91 |
| $2,000.00$ | $1,965.70$ | 34.30 |
| $2,500.00$ | - | $2,500.00$ |
| $26,500.00$ | $15,106.34$ | $\underline{11,393.66}$ |
| $\underline{y}$ | $\underline{=}$ |  |

## Statement 2

## Statement of income and expenditure for the year ending 30 June 1952



## PART 2

# Report of the Second Annual Meeting* <br> 30 June - 9 July 1952 

By the Acting Chairman

A. T. A. DOBSON

## 1. Time and Place of Meeting

The Second Meeting of the Commission was held at St. Andrews, N. B., the first plenary session being held on 3 July 1952, preliminary meetings of the Committee on Research and Statistics and the Committee on Finance and Administration having been held on the preceding days.

## 2. Participants

Commissioners were present, most of them accompanied by experts and advisers, from Canada, Denmark, Iceland, Norway, Spain, United Kingdom and United States, the ratification by Spain and Norway being announced at the first plenary session. Observers from France and Portugal, and from the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) and the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES) were also present. A list of all those present is shown as Appendix I to this report.

## 3. Agenda

The agenda for the Second Meeting is attached as Appendix II.

## 4. Opening Remarks

The Meeting was opened with a message of welcome by Mr. Stewart Bates (Canada) from the Canadian Minister of Fisheries, which the Commission greatly appreciated. The Acting Chairman (Mr. A. T. A. Dobson, U.K.) then made a few introductory remarks explaining that, owing to the resignation of the first Chairman through ill health, he had under the Rules of Procedure assumed the Chairman's responsibilities, and that it had been subsequently found desirable to appoint, after correspondence with the other Commissioners, an Acting Vice Chairman in the person of Mr. F. W. Sargent (U.S.A.)

## 5. Adoption of Agenda

After a brief review of what had happened since he assumed office, and after paying a tribute to the outstanding services rendered by the Acting Executive Secretary, the Acting Chairman then asked the Commission to approve the agenda. This was done with one amendment, namely that the appointment of a new Chairman (item 3) should be deferred until the end of the Meeting. The effect of this was that the Acting Chairman was left to preside over the Second Meeting until its conclusion.

## 6. Ratifications

The Secretary reported (agenda item 4) the new ratifications, namely Spain on 17 January 1952, and Norway on 2 July 1952. The Portugal observer intimated that his country had ratified, but that the instrument of ratification had not yet arrived at Washington, U.S.A. (Depositary Government). The French observer also intimated the imminence of the French ratification.

## 7. Panel Membership

When the review of Panel membership took place (agenda item 5) Dr. Kask (U.S.A.) intimated that U.S.A. desired to be represented on Panel 3, while the French observer explained that, when France ratified, they would want to have membership on Panels 1, 2, 3 and 4, and the Portugal observer intimated their interest in Panels 1, 3 and 4.

* The original Chairman's Report as presented after the Second Annual Meeting of the Commission contained a number of references to documents which were circulated at the Second Meeting and therefore available only to those attending it. In this copy of the Chairman's Report a number of modifications have been made, e.g. the insertion of a number of explanatory cross-headings so as to render the Report more readily understandable to those who do not have reference to the documents of the Second Annual Meeting.


## 8. Cooperation with Other International Organizations

Under item 6 of the agenda, attention was called to the Commission papers containing the memoranda of agreements that had been made by the Acting Chairman and the Acting Executive Secretary on behalf of the Commission with the President of ICES and with FAO The Commission expressed gratification at the cooperation which had thus been secured with these two Organizations; a resolution to that effect was moved by Mr. Knollenberg (U.S.A.) and seconded by Dr. Lucas (U.K.) and was agreed in the following terms:-

The Commission notes with satisfaction the Report on Cooperation with other Bodies and the excellent assistance given to the work of the Commission by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea. The Commission wishes to record its appreciation for this cooperation and endorses the recommendations set forth in the Report as to the future relationship with these Bodies.

## 9. Relation to United Nations

Items 7, 8, 10 and 16 having been referred to the Committee on Finance and Administration and consideration of item 9 (Report by Acting Chairman on permanent site of headquarters) having been postponed, the Commission addressed itself to a consideration of agenda item 11 (Consideration of Article X of the 1949 Convention), two years having elapsed since the Commission came into being. The Commission resolved that the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries should remain as an independent Body, it being understood that close and continuous cooperation would be maintained between the Commission and both FAO and ICES.

## 10. Publications

Item 12 of the agenda (Policy as regards future publications) was referred to Committee on Research and Statistics and to the Com-
mittee on Finance and Administration. Items 13, 14 and 15 were noted to be dealt with later.

## 11. Other Business

Under item 17 of the agenda (Other business) the Commission asked Mr. Bates (Canada), Mr. Knollenberg, (U.S.A.) and the Acting Chairman to constitute a small panel to consider the Rules of Procedure, both for the Commission and the Panels, and to decide whether any amendments were desirable, so that such amendments could be included in the agenda for the next Annual Meeting with the necessary 60 days notice being given.

Under the same item Mr. Bates also raised the question of including seal fisheries within the ambit of the Commission's activities. This suggestion was supported by Denmark but opposed by Norway. At a later plenary session the suggestion was withdrawn.

## 12. Press Release

Under item 18 of the agenda, it was announced that the Government of Canada had loaned the services of an official press officer (Mr. Manchester) to assist the Commission in the sphere of publicity and the Commission decided that all Mr. Manchester's press releases should be shown to the Acting Chairman and Dr. Needler before issue. The operations of Mr. Manchester greatly enhanced the publicity afforded to the Commission and were very much appreciated.

## 13. Permanent Headquarters

Item 9 of the agenda (Report by Acting Chairman on permanent site of headquarters) having been postponed at the first plenary session was dealt with at the second plenary held two days later. The Commission then had before it a Report by the Acting Chairman and the Acting Vice Chairman on their visit to St. John's (Newfoundland) and Halifax (Nova Scotia). The Commission had decided at its First Meeting that, in the light of the invitations from these two places, the Chairman and Vice Chairman should visit these two places and report on the relative merits of each as a future headquarters for the Commission.

The Acting Chairman clarified or modified two or three statements in the joint Report already referred to and read in extenso a further
letter from the Premier of Newfoundland confirming and augmenting their original offer. A very full discussion took place and the following resolutions were passed:-

1) That the question of the selection of the future headquarters of the Commission be postponed until the next Meeting, it being understood (1) that the Canadian Government would be willing to allow the accommodation at St. Andrews to remain for the time being at the disposal of the Commission and (2) that, in the meantime, the possibility of sites other than St. John's and Halifax should be explored.
2) That the exploration should be undertaken by a Committee of three, consisting of the Chairman, and a representative from Iceland and from Spain, with the Executive Secretary as adviser, which will meet as required and report to the Third Annual Meeting.
The first of these resolutions was carried unanimously and the second with one abstention.

## 14. Research Program

At the third plenary session, Mr. Bates (Canada) raised the question of research over the whole area of the Commission. After considerable discussion, the matter was referred to the Committee on Research and Statistics for investigation and report with the understanding that a special meeting of scientists would be held on the occasion of the 50th Anniversary Meeting of I.C.E.S. due to take place at Copenhagen at the end of September.

## REPORTS OF COMMITTEE ON RESEARCH and statistics and panels

## 15.

In connection with item 13 of the agenda (Report by the Committee on Research and Statistics) the Commission had before it at the fourth plenary session two Reports by the Committee which were dealt with separately as they became available.

## 16. Panel 5 Mesh Regulation

The first Report dealt inter alia with the Report of Panel 5 which made, under Recommendation III of its report, the following group of recommendations:-
i No person or vessel subject to the jurisdiction of a Contracting Government shall fish for haddock (Melanogrammus aeglefinus) in Sub-area 5 with a net which has an average mesh size of less than $33 / 4$ inches, measured under the conditions hereinafter specified.
ii For the purpose of this regulation, the average size of the mesh shall be the average of any ten consecutive meshes running lengthwise of the net in any part of the net, selected at the discretion of the enforcement officer, and measured with a flat wedgeshaped gauge with a taper of $2^{\prime \prime}$ in $9^{\prime \prime}$ and a thickness of $3 / 32^{\prime \prime}$ inserted into the mesh under a pressure of 12 pounds. In measuring to determine a violation, the net or netting shall be wet and have been used in normal fishing operations.
iii Possession of haddock amounting to more than 5000 pounds or $10 \%$ by weight of all fish aboard, whichever is larger, shall be evidence that the person or persons or vessel concerned have fished for haddock, and in such case possession on board the vessel of nets, parts of nets or netting having a mesh size less than that provided for in Sections i and ii is prohibited.
iv No device or method that will obstruct the meshes or otherwise in effect diminish the size of the meshes shall be used, except that any material may be fastened to the underside only of the cod end of the net to prevent damage to, or reduce wear upon, the cod end.
$v$ The above regulation does not apply to government research vessels nor to any other vessel authorized by a Contracting Government, on recommendation of the Commission, to use a smaller mesh for experimental purposes.
On the recommendation of the Committee on Research and Statistics, this group of 5 recommendations was approved in principle by the Commission with one modification, namely that the mesh proposed should be $41 / 2$ inches instead of $33 / 4$ inches. The Commission decided however that a committee consisting of Dr. Kask (U.S.A.), Mr. Gushue (Canada) and Mr. Lund (Norway) should settle the final wording of the
required regulation. As the result of this committee's deliberations, the regulation was finally approved by the Commission at its fifth plenary session in the following terms:-
i That appropriate action be taken by Contracting Governments to prohibit the taking of haddock (Melanogrammus aeglefinus) by persons under their jurisdiction in Sub-area 5, with a trawl net which has a mesh size of less than four and one half inches. For the purpose of this proposal the size of the mesh shall be taken to be the average of any ten consecutive meshes of the trawl net selected at the discretion of the enforcement officer and measured individually stretched diagonally while wet, with a flat wedge-shaped gauge having a taper of two inches in nine inches and a thickness of three thirty-seconds of an inch, inserted into the mesh under a pressure of twelve pounds.
ii The provisions of the immediately preceding paragraph shall apply to a vessel which shall have in its possession at the time haddock amounting to five thousand pounds avoirdupois or more or amounting to ten per cent or more of the weight of all fish on board, whichever is the larger. It shall not apply to government fishery research vessels or to other vessels authorized by a Contracting Government to use a smaller mesh for purposes of scientific investigation. Such Contracting Government shall report to the Commission the number and names of such research vessels or other vessels so authorized.
iii (1) No vessel while operating in Sub-area 5 shall use any device by means of which the mesh in any part of a trawl net is obstructed or otherwise in effect diminished.
(2) Notwithstanding the provisions of the foregoing sub-paragraph, it shall not be deemed unlawful to attach to the underside of the cod-end of a trawl net any canvas, netting, or other material, for the purpose of preventing or reducing wear and tear.

## 17. Panel 5 Research Program

Panel 5 also made a group of seven further recommendations shown under Recommendation IV in its Report as follows:-
i Continuation of the present intensive collection of data on catch per effort and age and size compositions of the catch and landings.
ii Collection, both before and after the minimum mesh regulation comes into effect, of data on the number, sizes and ages of haddock discarded at sea.
iii Further experiments to determine the selectivity of various meshes, especially the larger meshes, which would be involved in the second step.
iv Further efforts to determine the relative strength of year-classes entering the fishery both before and after the regulation comes into effect. It is believed that this may require the continued use of the present gear by selected trawlers.
v Special fishing to determine distribution and changes in abundance of haddock in their first and second years.
vi Fishery-hydrograplic research to determine the causes of fluctuations of year-classes.
vii Study of the biology of the other species of fishes which live in the same ecological system as haddock.
These recommendations were endorsed by the Committee on Researcl and Statistics which emphasized the experimental nature of the proposed mesh regulation. They were submitted one by one to the Commission which, on being polled, accepted them all unanimously and without amendment.

## 18. Research and Statistics

Having disposed of the above mentioned Recommendations of Panel 5, the Commission considered the recommendations of the Committee on Research and Statistics as set out in its first Report, two of them, nos. 10 and 11, having already been disposed of in connection with the Report of Panel 5.

All the recommendations 1 to 9 were submitted to the Commission individually and were accepted after poll unanimously, but with one or two verbal modifications. With these modifications incorporated, the recommendations read as follows:-
(1.) That the Executive Secretary be requested to prepare a pamphlet with an illustration and brief description of each species important to the Commission, based on material from the forthcoming monograph by Bigelow and Schroeder properly acknowledged and including also a statement on the common names used in each country, such a publication to be prepared in close cooperation with FAO and ICES.
(2.) That, in view of the usefulness of such information, the compilation of readily available statistics according to established commercial size categories be attempted by the Commission's staff.
(3.) That the Commission compile and publish its statistics in terms of metric tons and round fresh weights (weights of entire fish as they come from the water).
(4.) That the Commission's Statistician be requested to review the situation regarding conversion factors in close cooperation with FAO and ICES and make a progress report to the Committee at the Third Annual Meeting.
(5.) That the Executive Secretary be asked to attempt to bring together for all vessels fishing in the Convention Area information on the number of vessels of various types and sizes, and the number of days spent by vessels of each category on the fishing grounds, and that he also be requested to review the availability of more refined data on catch per effort for parts of the fishing and report his findings at the Third Annual Meeting, on the understanding, of course, that the actual collection of such statistics must be the work of the various Governments themselves.
(6.) That the Executive Secretary be requested to inquire of the various Governments what information is now available as a basis for development of a standard unit of fishing effort, and to make a progress report at the Third Annual Meeting.
(7.) That the Commission request the Governments concerned, and its Secretariat, to
work towards the compilation of statistics of catches and fishing efforts on a monthly basis.
(8.) That, in view of the importance of information on economic and other factors influencing catch, Governments be requested to provide a brief commentary on the operation of such factors when submitting their statistics annually to the Commission.
(9.) That the Executive Secretary be requested to arrange during the 1953 meeting of the Committee a symposium on long-term changes in hydrographic conditions and corresponding changes in the abundance of fish stocks to guide us in planning hydrographic programs and to throw light on the effects of such natural factors on fisheries.

## 19. Panel 5 Recommendations

At the fifth plenary session, having approved the mesh regulation in section 16 above, the Commission proceeded to deal with the remaining Panel Recommendations in the light of the comments of the Committee on Research and Statistics, its second Report having now been circulated.

Panel 5 Recommendation I read as fol-lows:- "The common name 'redfish' is considered by Panel 5 to be the most suitable name for Sebastes marinus and this name is recommended for general adoption by the Commission." This Recommendation was endorsed by the Committee on Research and Statistics in their recommendation 22 and after a poll was agreed by the Commission unanimously.

Panel 5 Recommendation Il read as fol-lows:- "It is recommended that the Standing Committee on Research and Statistics be instructed to give attention to the detailed study of all fish resources, especially redfish, falling within the purview of the Convention." The Committee on Research and Statistics recommended that this matter be deferred, and after a poll this view was accepted, unanimously, by the Commission.

This disposed of all Panel 5 recommendations.

## 20. Panel 1 Recommendations

Panel 1 had made the following three Recommendations in its Report to the Com-mission:-
i Statistics. Subject to discussions of the whole matter at Rome between the Executive Secretary of the Commission and the Fisheries Division of the Food and Agriculture Organization, an approach should be made to each country concerned for a submission of appropriate statistics in a prescribed form for Sub-area 1.
ii Long-term Research Program. The Longterm Research Program for Sub-area 1, as set out in amended form, is submitted to the Commission for its approval.
iii Cooperation with the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea in Sub-area 1.
The closest cooperation should be maintained between the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries and the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea and this cooperation should refer particularly to Sub-area 1 where the respective areas of investigation overlap. The Panel considered that, among the individual items for cooperation, unnecessary duplication in the publication of scientific reports and statistics should be avoided. Scientific papers on certain special subjects such as hydrography might well continue to appear in the publications of the International Council, whereas fisheries statistics for Sub-area 1 might appear in the publications of the Commission.
The first of these had already been endorsed by the recommendations of the Committee on Research and Statistics and accepted by the Commission at the fourth plenary session (see section 18 above).

As regards the second, the Committee on Research and Statistics in their first Report recommended postponement until the Third Annual Meeting of the Commission where it could be considered in the light of the Commission's research program as a whole. The same applied to the Program of the Hydrographic Subcom-
mittee. The views of the Committee on Research and Statistics after a poll were accepted by the Commission.

The third Recommendation above had already been dealt with by the Commission at its first plenary session under item 6 of the agenda.

## 21. Research and Statistics Continued

All the outstanding Panel Recommendations having now been disposed of, the Commission considered the remaining recommendations of the Committee on Research and Statistics, nos. 12 to 25 , with the exception of no. 22 already dealt with.

The Commission was polled on all these recommendations separately and they were agreed unanimously, with a verbal amendment in 24.

These recommendations, as accepted, were as follows:-
(12.) That the Commission adopt the statistical areas defined in the "Report to the Committee on Research and Statistics by the Sub-Committee on Division of Commission Sub-Areas" as a tentative framework for the compilation of statistics, and review, at the time of the next Annual Meeting, the suitability of the areas and the progress in their use.
(13.) That the special committee on the Commission's research program consist of scientists only, one or two from each Government, elect its own chairman, and obtain additional advice or assistance as required.
(14.) That this special committee meet at Copenhagen on the Friday and Saturday immediately preceding the 1952 ICES meeting, and that it also meet immediately before the next Annual Meeting of the Commission, with one or two intervening meetings if required.
(15.) That Dr. Tåning, Dr. Walford and Dr. Needler be asked to bring together, as a basis for discussions by the special committee at its first meeting, a listing of proposed researches from which the committee might prepare a statement on
what research is needed, what research is now being carried on, what necessary research is not now being done, and on how such gaps might be filled.
(16.) That the Commission consider the seven questions listed in the report of a special sub-committee as an indication of the lines along which the Commission's program of research might be developed.
(17.) That the report of the Chairman of the Commission, which he expects to occupy about six printed pages, be included in the printed Annual Report of the Commission following the Executive Secretary's statement on the work of the Commission during the year.
(18.) That the annual publication of a digest of statistics be considered essential, and that the Commission approve the Executive Secretary's proposal to include up to about twenty pages of such material in the forthcoming Annual Report, including a statement of total catches by species in each Sub-area.
(19.) That the publication of summaries of research be deferred for the present year, but that member Governments be asked to submit, with the material presented to the next Annual Meeting of the Commission, summaries of research in form for publication, limited in length to one thousand words for the work of any Government in one Sub-area, and to a maximum of two thousand words from any one Government, it being understood that Iceland, not being represented on any Panel, be permitted to submit a research summary with a maximum length of one thousand words.
(20.) That scientific material in support of important action by the Commission be included in its printed annual reports, and that in the forthcoming Annual Report there be included a statement on the basis for the recommended minimum mesh size for the haddock fishery in Sub-area 5 to be prepared by the United States.
(21.) That two thousand copies of the Annual Report be printed, with reprints of special
parts of the Report at the discretion of the Executive Secretary.
(22.) Already dealt with (see above).
(23.) That, in view of the Executive Secretary's possible need for editorial assistance, this subject be reviewed at the time of the next Annual Meeting of the Commission, and that in the meanwhile the Executive Secretary obtain such assistance as he needs through the Chairman and other members of the Committee on Research and Statistics.
(24.) That the Commission recommend that the Government of the United States license not more than eight large trawlers at one time to fish for haddock in Subarea 5 with a smaller mesh than that required by the minimum mesh regulation recommended by Panel 5 and adopted by the Commission.
(25.) That the Commission note the difficulty of recruiting scientists to the field of fisheries research and the necessity of encouraging such recruitment, and note also the desirability of exchanging scientists between countries.

## 22. Committee Chairman

It was reported that Dr. Needler had been unanimously reappointed Chairman of the Committee.

## 23. Reports of Panels

The sixth and final plenary session was held on 9 July, when the Reports of the five Panel meetings held concurrently with the Second Annual Meeting were formally received.

## REPORT OF COMMITTEE ON FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION

24. 

The Report of the Committee on Finance and Administration was then considered. The Report dealt with a large number of matters concerned with Finance and Administration and contained 14 recommendations, as follows:-
(1.) Administrative Policy for Leave and Travel
(a) That on the basis of two years' employment, expenses of staff from their homes and return be paid to Commission staff.
(b) That annual leave be granted on the basis of eighteen working days a year, and that this be increased to cover air travel time from Commission headquarters to the staff member's home and return, and that travel expenses for home leave be paid, provided such leave is not taken oftener than once every two years.

## (2.) Staff

That the staff be increased from December, 1952, to include an additional clerkstenographer.

## (3.) Travel by Commission Officers

That when and if Commission officers are required to travel on Commission business, as distinct from representation on behalf of their own Governments, travelling expenses should be paid by the Commission.
(4.) Attendance at Annual Meeting of International Council for the Exploration of the Sea
That the Secretariat should be represented at the next meeting of ICES; and that there should be representation by a Commissioner.

## (5.) Additional Travelling Expenses

That the following expenditure be approved:

Dr. Martin

- European trip excess over original authority $\$ 447.66$ Miss J. Welsh - Travel to St. Andrews 203.65

Mr. J. Côté

- Travel to St. Andrews 72.19
$\$ 723.50$


## (6.) Working Capital Fund

That the Working Capital Fund be increased from $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 5,266.60$. Further billings will be in accordance with the exchange rate on U.S. funds on 5

April 1951. (When all ten Governments have ratified, the contribution of each will be $\$ 526.66$ ).
(7.) Financial Report

That the Financial Report for the year ending 30 June 1952, as presented by the Acting Executive Secretary, be approved.
(8.) Honorarium Dr. Martin

That a special bonus or honorarium, in addition to his salary, of $\$ 500$ be paid to Dr. Martin.
(9.) Time and Place of Third Annual Meeting That the Third Annual Meeting of the Commission be held at New Haven, Conn., U.S.A., during the last two weeks of May, 1953.
(10.) Bonding of Executive Secretary

That no change be made in the amount of the presently authorized $\$ 25,000$ bond.
(11.) Publication of Report

That a report to be known as "The Second Annual Report" be published in the same format as in the First Report and that 2,000 copies be printed and provision made for the printing of separates.
(12) Executive Secretary

That Dr. Erik M. Poulsen of Copenhagen, Denmark, be appointed Executive Secretary with salary at the rate of $\$ 8,500$ per annum with temporary headquarters at St. Andrews, N. B.
That in the event Dr. Poulsen does not accept the appointment, it be offered on the same terms to Mr. F. Heward Bell.
That in the event neither candidate accepts the appointment the selection of a new Executive Secretary and the method of selection shall be the responsibility of the special committee of the Commission appointed to deal with the site of a permanent headquarters. That the Chairman of the Commission be authorized to poll the Commission by post as to the Committee's nominee and with approval to make the appointment.

## (13.) Budget

That a budget of $\$ 36,000$ for 1952-53, detailed as follows, be approved:

| Personal Services | $\$ 19,500$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Travelling, including |  |
| subsistence | 6,000 |
| Transportation of things | 300 |
| Communication Services | 700 |
| Rent and Utility Services | 500 |
| Other Contractual Services |  |
| including printing | 2,000 |
| Supplies and Materials | 1,000 |
| Equipment, including Office |  |
| Machines and Computing |  |
| $\quad$ Machines |  |
| Annual Meetings | 2,000 |
|  | 4,000 |
|  | $\$ 36,000$ |

## (14.) Date of Billing

That there will be one billing for the year by the Executive Secretary not later than 1 August 1952.

## 25. Adoption of Recommendations

All the above recommendations with one or two drafting amendments which are incorporated were accepted unanimously by the Commission, except that in the case of the recommendation relating to the Executive Secretary, Denmark abstained from voting.

## 26. Committee Chairman

It was reported that Mr. J. H. MacKichan (Canada) had been unanimously reelected as Chairman.

## 27. Final Statement of Chairman and Response

With the conclusion of the main business of the Commission the Acting Chairman took occasion to voice the Commission's indebtedness (a) to the Canadian Government for all the
facilities that had constituted so vital a factor in carrying the Commission over its difficult first year, and for the Sunday boat trip which everyone had so much enjoyed, (b) to the Acting Executive Secretary and his staff for their outstanding services, (c) to the invaluable assistance rendered by the special staff including Mr. Lamb and (d) to Mr. Manchester for having kept the press fully apprised of the proceedings of the Commission.

## 28.

The Acting Chairman also expressed his personal thanks to the Acting Vice Chairman and to all those who had combined to make the Meeting so pleasant.
29.

Mr. Bates (Canada) then congratulated the Commission on the progress made at the Meeting and paid a tribute to the Acting Chairman for his part in assisting the Meeting to a successful conclusion.

## 30. Election of Chairman

Finally, the Commission dealt with item 3 of the agenda. (Election of new Chairman) postponed from the first plenary session.

On the motion of Mr. Bates (Canada) seconded by Dr. Lucas (U.K.) Dr. J. L. Kask (U.S.A.) was proposed as Chairman for one year. This was carried unanimously and with acclamation.

It was understood that the new Chairman, as well as the existing Vice Chairman, Mr. Dobson (U.K.), would continue in office (as on the occasion of this Meeting) until the conclusion of the Third Meeting.

The Meeting was then adjourned after Dr. Kask had expressed his appreciation of the honour conferred upon him.

## APPENDIX I

## PARTICIPANTS

Governments and International Organizations were represented by Commissioners, advisers, or observers, as follows:

CANADA
Commissioners
Stewart Bates, Deputy Minister of Fisheries, Department of Fisheries, Ottawa, Ontario. Raymond Gushue, President, Memorial University, St. John's, Newfoundland.
J. Howard MacKichan, General Manager, United Maritime Fishermen Ltd., Halifax, Nova Scotia.

Advisers
Dr. A. W. H. Needler, Director, Atlantic Biological Station, St. Andrews, N. B.
Dr. W. Templeman, Director, Newfoundland Fisheries Research Station, St. John's, Newfoundland.
Dr. M. J. Dunbar, Biologist in Charge, Eastern Arctic Fisheries Investigations, Montreal, P.Q.

Assistant Advisers
Dr. H. B. Hachey, F. D. McCracken, Dr. G. F. M. Smith, Atlantic Biological Station, St. Andrews, N. B.
A. M. Fleming, Newfoundland Fisheries Research Station, St. John's, Newfoundland.

## DENMARK

Commissioners
B. Dinesen, Under Secretary, Ministry of Fisheries, Copenhagen.
Dr. P. Hansen, Fisheries Biologist, Greenland Department, Copenhagen.
Dr. Aa. Vedel Tåning, Head, Danish Institute for Fishery Investigations, Charlottenlund Slot.

Adviser
L. Thygesen, Danish Westcoast Fishermen's Association, Esbjerg.

FRANCE
Observers
Capt. L. J. Audigou, Representative of the French Merchant Marine in the United States and Canada, Washington, D.C.
H. F. Barbier, Representative of the French Merchant Marine in the United States and Canada, Washington, D.C.

## ICELAND

Commissioner
P. Eggerz, Counselor of the Icelandic Legation, Washington, D.C.

## NORWAY

Commissioners
Dr. G. Rollefsen, Director, Institute of Marine Research, Directorate of Fisheries, Bergen.
B. Rasmussen, Institute of Marine Research, Directorate of Fisheries, Bergen.
O. Lund, Chief of Division, Directorate of Fisheries, Bergen.

## PORTUGAL

Observer
Comm. T. de Almeida, Fishery Department, Lisbon.

## SPAIN

Commissioner
German Baraibar, Consul General for Spain, Montreal, P.Q.

Alternate Commissioner
V. Trelles, Commercial Attache to the Consulate General for Spain, Montreal, P.Q.

## Advisers

P. D. Espada, Technical Director, PYSBE, San Sebastian.
C. Ojeda, Representative of Spanish Federation of Fishing Boats, Madrid.

## UNITED KINGDOM

## Commissioners

A. T. A. Dobson, Fisheries Adviser, Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries, London.
Dr. C. E. Lucas, Director, Marine Laboratory, Scottish Home Department, Aberdeen.
R. S. Wimpenny, Deputy Director, Fisheries Laboratory, Lowestoft.

UNITED STATES
Commissioners
Dr. J. L. Kask, Assistant Director, Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C.
B. K. Knollenberg, Chester, Connecticut.

Francis W. Sargent, Director, Division of Marine Fisheries, Department of Conservation, Boston, Massachusetts.

## Advisers

Dr. Herbert W. Graham, Chief, North Atlantic Fishery Investigations, Fish and Wildlife Service, Woods Hole, Massachusetts.
Dr. Lionel A. Walford, Chief, Branch of Fishery Biology, Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C.

Assistant advisers
H. Schuck, J. Clark, G. Kelly, C. Taylor, Fish and Wildlife Service, Woods Hole, Massachusetts.
Observers
W. C. Herrington, Special Assistant to the Under Secretary for Fisheries and Wildlife, Department of State, Washington, D.C.
T. Rice, Massachusetts Fisheries Association Inc., Boston, Massachusetts.

FOOD AND AGRICULTURE
ORGANIZATION OF THE UNITED NATIONS
Observer
Dr. D. B. Finn, Director, Fisheries Division, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy.
INTERNATIONAL COUNCIL FOR THE EXPLORATION OF THE SEA
Observer
Dr. Aa. Vedel Tåning, Chairman of the North-Western Area Sub-Committee of the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea, Charlottenlund Slot, Denmark.

SECRETARIAT
Acting Executive Secretary
Dr. W. R. Martin
Commission Statistician
Mr. J. Côté
Commission Stenographer
Miss J. Welsh
Special Assistant
Mr. J. J. Lamb, Executive Assistant, Department of Fisheries, Ottawa.
Publicity
Mr. L. Manchester, Department of Fisheries, Ottawa.
Registration and Information
Miss E. Sullivan, Department of Fisheries, Ottawa.
Stenographers for Meetings
Miss N. M. Parker, Atlantic Biological Station, St. Andrews, N. B.
Miss D. Inkpen, Newfoundland Fisheries Board, St. John's, Newfoundland.
Duplicating Service
Mrs. F. Cunningham, Atlantic Biological Station, St. Andrews, N. B.

## APPENDIX II

## AGENDA

1. Introductory remarks by Acting Chairman.
2. Adoption of the agenda as below.
3. Election of new Chairman.
(Note: Under Rules of Procedure No. 9, the period for which a new Chairman can be elected, in the circumstances that have arisen, is limited to one year.)
4. Statement as to ratifications.
5. Review of Panel membership (Article IV (2) 1949 Convention).
6. Working arrangements with other organizations.
7. Report on staff matters.
8. Consideration of budget 1952/53, in the light of estimated expenditure during 1951/52.
9. Report by Acting Chairman on permanent site of headquarters.
10. Appointment of permanent Executive Secretary.
11. Consideration of Article X of the 1949 Convention (Future administration of the Commission affairs).
(Note: The Commission may wish to refer items $7,8,9,10$ and 11 to the Committee on Finance and Administration for report.)
12. Policy as regards future publications, administrative, statistical or scientific.
13. Report by the Committee on Research and Statistics.
(Note: It is intended that this Committee will meet prior to the first plenary session for the purpose of considering various reports from different quarters that have been circulated, as well as item 12.)
14. Report by the Committee on Finance and Administration.
(Note: It is intended that this Committee will meet immediately before the first plenary session for the purpose of considering the budget and certain administrative matters.)
15. Reports from Panels 1 and 5.
16. Date and place of next meeting.
17. Other business.
(Note: Under Other business the matter of "Conservation of the Harp Seal Fishery" will be considered in accordance with the notice distributed to Governments on 24 May 1952.)
18. Press release.
19. Adjournment.

## PART 3

# Mesh Regulation to Increase the Yield of the Georges Bank Haddock Fishery ${ }^{1)}$ 

By Herbert W. Graham<br>2)

Introduction. The waste of young haddock caught by the New England otter trawl fleet and the small landings, as compared with landings in certain former years, have been of grave concern to the industry for many years. The United States Government started an intensive study of the haddock fishery in 1931 with the purpose of determining what could be done to improve this important resource. On the basis of these studies recommendations have been made at various times for conservation measures, but no regulations have ever been enacted.

At the first annual meeting of the Commission in Washington in April, 1951, the United States Government presented the haddock problem and proposed that the Commission consider a minimum mesh regulation for Sub-area 5 (Georges Bank and the Gulf of Maine). It was then agreed that it would be desirable to establish a minimum mesh size for haddock fishing in Sub-area 5 as an experiment. It was further agreed that the mesh size should be set at a level which would allow a maximum proportion of un-marketable-sized haddock to escape with minimal effect on the catch of marketable-sized fish. The recommendation was made with the understanding that intensified research would be conducted in order to assess the effects of the regulation.

Biologists from Canada and the United States (the two countries concerned with Sub-area 5) were instructed to study the problem further, especially to determine the exact size of mesh necessary to produce the desired effect. Accord-

[^0]ingly, biologists from the Atlantic Biological Station of Canada and the Woods Hole Laboratory of the Fish and Wildlife Service of the United States, constituting the scientific advisors to Panel 5, met three times during the year. All existing data relevant to the problem were studied, new experiments were planned and executed, and the results incorporated into a report to Panel 5 with a specific recommendation.

The Panel 5 scientists recommended 50 percent selection of 40 cm . haddock which on the basis of information then available was believed to be effected with a $3-3 / 4$ inch mesh 1 ). Panel 5 accepted this idea in principle and formalized a detailed recommendation to the Commission. The Commission referred the recommendation to the Standing Committee on Research and Statistics. This Committee approved the recommendation in principle but on the basis of evidence from new mesh experiments recommended a minimum mesh size of $4-1 / 2$ inches instead of $3-3 / 4$ inches (See p. 31). The basis of the conclusions of the scientific group are presented in this report.

Status of the Fishery. Haddock have been the mainstay of the New England offshore fishery for over 25 years and most of these fish have been taken from New England banks. Over twothirds of the haddock now landed in the United States come from Sub-area 5 (Georges Bank and the western part of the Gulf of Maine). The landings from Georges Bank alone now average about 95 million pounds annually worth about 9 million dollars to the fishermen.

[^1]The Georges Bank haddock fishery was, at certain times in the past, more productive than it is now. (fig. 1). During the early exploitation of this fishery there was one period (from 1927 through 1930) when landings were much higher than they had ever been before or have been since. This was due to the interaction of two factors: a great abundance of fish and intensified fishing. Previous to 1930 there was a relatively high abundance of haddock on the Bank. Al-
landings have not fallen below 78 million pounds nor exceeded 122 million pounds.

A reduction in average size of fish in a population often results from intensive fishing, although changes in relative strengths of year classes may obscure any such effect. The average size of haddock landed from Georges Bank has been determined since 1931 (fig. 2). There has been no consistent trend upward or downward during this twenty year period. It is worthy of


Figure 1. Georges Bank haddock: landings, index of abundance, and fishing effort from 1920 to 1951. The index of abundance is in thousands of pounds of fish landed per day's fishing by a standard trawler.
though there was a decline in abundance from 1928 to 1930, there was a marked increase in fishing effort during these years, resulting in high landings. From 1930 to 1934 the fishing effort dropped off. This drop in effort was accompanied by a decrease in abundance. The combined effect was a precipitous drop in landings from a high of 223 million pounds in 1929 to a low of 50 million pounds in 1934.

From 1934 to 1941 there was an upward trend in landings from Georges Bank. During the War years the landings dropped while the abundance of haddock was relatively high. Since the War, landings have fluctuated within the range of landings of the previous ten years. The production from Georges Bank is now, in a sense, stabilized. Fluctuations occur from year to year in accordance with varying strength of year classes passing through the fishery, but for the past ten years the
note, however, that the average sizes for 1950 and 1951 are lower than for any previous year. This was caused by the dominance of the 1948 year class and may not be related to fishing pressure as the index of abundance during those two years was higher than during the four previous years (fig. 1).

It is evident that we are concerned with a fishery which has come to an equilibrium where the degree of fishing is determined largely by the abundance of fish. When fishing is poor on Georges Bank the trawlers move to more distant banks in Sub-area 4. These banks act as a kind of buffer for the Georges Bank stock which lies close to home. Our problem then is not one of rehabilitating a depleted stock but rather one of increasing the production of an already productive population by proper management practices.


Figure 2, Georges Bank haddock: average weight of fish landed by years from 1931 to 1951.

Discreteness of the stock. The post-larval population of haddock on Georges Bank represents a stock which does not intermingle with those of neighboring banks. Differences in growth rates and in vertebral numbers, together with limited tagging experiments all indicate that there is little, if any, intermigration between the Georges Bank stock and those of other banks. This greatly simplifies the management of this fishery as it makes possible the treatment of this stock as an independent unit.

Present fishing practices. At the present time most of the haddock landed from Georges Bank are taken by large trawlers fishing out of Boston. These vessels use a net which has, in the cod end, meshes averaging 2-7/8 inches. Such a net catches large quantities of fish which are too small for the market. The 50 percent selection point for this net is 25 cm . This means that of all the fish 25 cm . in length which enter the net, half will escape and half will be retained; increasing percentages of smaller fish will be released while increasing percentages of larger fish will be retained. The nets now in use retain practically all haddock over 30 cm . in length. Such haddock
weigh only about 0.6 pounds. The market notmally does not accept haddock under 39 cm . ( 1.3 pounds) and pays a lower price for those under 49 cm . ( 2.5 pounds) which are graded as scrod haddock. The grading and the acceptable minimum size vary somewhat with the sizes available and the market demand. The fishermen vary their culling accordingly and discard at sea all fish below the size acceptable at the time. Recent studies show that no fish below 27 cm . are retained by the fishermen; 50 percent of the 35 cm . are landed; practically all of the 40 cm . fish; and, of course, all of the fish of larger sizes are landed. (figs. 3 and 4). During one five-year period it was estimated that an average of 15 million pounds were discarded annually. These fish were dead or dying when thrown overboard.

What size to save. It would be difficult to present an argument against the saving of the small fish now killed and discarded at sea. With a stock as intensively fished as the Georges Bank haddock no thinning seems desirable. Therefore even if the natural mortality be high, any fish of sub-marketable size surviving to be caught later at a marketable size would add to the total production.

Although the instructions to the scientific advisers to Panel 5 were to determine the mesh size necessary to save the fish now discarded, the study of this problem naturally led to a consideration of the much broader question of the optimum age of first capture. Can production be increased by advancing the age of first capture beyond the minimum size now accepted by the market?

To answer this it is necessary to take into consideration the growth rates and mortality rates of the stock. It was assumed for purposes of this study that the amount of fishing would remain about the same as during the past ten years. The advisers considered only the question of how to increase the Georges Bank haddock production without altering the present fishing effort.

Growth Rates. The growth rates of Georges Bank haddock are well known through the work of the Woods Hole Laboratory over the past 20 years. (fig. 5). It need only be noted
here that the rate of growth of these fish is much more rapid than that of haddock of neighboring banks or of European waters, especially during the first 4 years of life which are the years in which the haddock contribute most to the fishery.

Mortality Rates. The studies of the landings of Georges Bank haddock by the Woods Hole Laboratory over the past 20 years have yielded valuable information on mortality rates. The annual total mortality is calculated to be 45 percent for haddock three years old and older, based on the annual decline in the index of abundance of an average year class. (fig. 6). Since haddock are not fully recruited until they are three years old (that is, not all of the fish of lesser ages caught are landed) it was not possible to determine the total mortality for haddock under the age of three. For the purposes of these studies it was assumed that the natural mortality was the same as for older fish but during the first year following age of first capture, it was assumed that fishing mortality was one-half that of older


Figure 3. Average length frequencies of haddock caught, and haddock landed during seven observed trips to Georges Bank in 1951 by trawlers using a $2-7 / 8$ inch mesh; and length frequencies of haddock which would have been landed had a $4-1 / 2$ inch mesh been used. The quantity represented by the shaded area represents the initial reduction of landings in numbers of fish.


Figure 4. Average length frequencies of haddock landed during an 18-year period (19311948) using a $2-7 / 8$ inch mesh, and average length frequency of haddock which would have been landed had a 4-1/2 inch mesh been used on the same population of fish. The quantity rerpesented by the shaded area represents the initial reduction in num. bers. The curve of length frequencies obtained from seven observed trips in 1951 is superimposed for comparison with curve of normal distribution.
ages since one-half of the fish of that size escape through the meshes.

Total mortality comprises mortality from natural causes plus mortality from fishing. It is desirable to know the natural mortality rates in studies of this kind but it has not been possible to separate the natural from the fishing mortality in the case of the Georges Bank haddock. In order to compute the natural mortality it is necessary either to know the absolute population size or to have had in the fishery periods of greatly different fishing pressure during the period under study. Neither of these conditions has been satisfied in the case of the Georges Bank haddock so that natural and fishing mortalities have not been separated. Despite this limitation, an appraisal of the effect of reducing fishing mortalities at the earlier ages has been made.

Optimum Age of First Capture. The relation of yield to the age of first capture for different proportions of natural and fishing mortalities, with a total mortality of 45 percent,
is shown in Figure 7. The yield (represented on vertical scale) is in thousands of pounds for each 10,000 fish at age one (end of first year of life). The age (represented on horizontal scale) is that at which the fishery would start catching the fish. The points on the curves represent the total pounds which 10,000 fish at age one would contribute in the course of the life of the year class if the stated natural mortality were alone operative up to the selected age and if the stated ratios of natural and fishing mortalities were together operative from the selected age up to nine years. In specifying the ages of first capture (fig. 7) it has been assumed that nets were used which retained 50 percent of the fish of that age. In other words, it was assumed that one-half the fishing mortality rates apply to the fish present during the first year they are caught. The quantities estimated are those which would be caught after an equilibrium has been established and do not represent the immediate effects which a change in age of first capture may have.

For the purpose of these calculations it was assumed that no haddock live beyond the twelfth year, that is, 100 percent natural mortality was assumed for any haddock remaining after the twelfth year.

Thus we see from Figure 7 that if there were no natural mortality (Curve A) it would pay to allow the haddock to grow to 7 or 8 years of age before catching them. Although there is some growth beyond this age, delaying capture beyond this would result in fewer pounds landed as there would be fewer years in which to apply the fishing pressure. This is admittedly an unrealistic case and is presented only for comparative purposes.

With natural mortality of 7.5 percent and fishing mortality of 37.5 percent (Curve B) the highest yield would be expected if nets were used which retained 50 percent of the 5 - or 6 -year-old fish.

With natural mortality as high as 30 percent
and fishing mortality 15 percent (Curve E) the greatest yield would be expected under conditions of starting capture at age 2. When natural mortality is high it pays to catch the fish early in life, otherwise the natural loss of fish outweighs the increase in weight of those remaining.

It was the considered opinion of the advisers to Panel 5 based on experience in other fisheries that the natural mortality rate does not exceed 15 percent (fig. 7, Curve C). In this case the fishing mortality would be 30 percent. With this combination of natural and fishing mortalities, the maximum ultimate yield would be expected if the age of first capture were 3 or 4 years. Since the age of first capture is now somewhat under $1-1 / 2$ years, it is obvious that considerable benefit could be expected if it were to be advanced to the age of 3 years.

Let us consider what effect could be expected from changing the age of first capture


Figure 5. Growth curve for Georges Bank haddock compared with growth curves for haddock from other areas. Georges Bank data from Fish and Wildife Service unpublished records; other data from Thompson (1939).

AVERAGE INDEX OF ABUNDANCE OF EACH AGE IN LANDINGS


Figure 6. Average index of abundance of each age of Georges Bank haddock as shown by numbers of fish landed per day's fishing. Average of 17 years used. Forty-five percent annual mortality is indicated for fish three years of age and older. Fish under three years of age are not fully recruited.
from $1-1 / 2$ to 3 years if the natural mortality were different from the assumed 15 percent. The analysis presented in Figure 7 shows that if the natural mortality were greater than 15 percent (Curves D and E) little difference in yield would be expected whereas if the natural mortality were less than 15 percent (Curves A and B), much greater benefits would be expected. Thus an advance in age of first capture to age 3 would not in any case be expected to have any adverse effect on ultimate yield and might well result in even greater benefits than those estimated.

Initial Effects. When the age of first capture of population of fish is advanced, by changing fishing practice, there is at first a decrease in pounds caught because of the escape of the small fish formerly captured. If, in the original practice, all the fish caught had been landed, then the decreased catch under the new practice would resuit in a corresponding decrease in landings. Production would be temporarily reduced until the growth of the protected fish made them available to the fishermen.

In the case of the Georges Bank haddock not all the fish now caught are landed. The smaller fish are culled and destroyed at sea. Thus the initial effect of advancing the age of first cap-
ture would not be so severe as in cases where all fish caught are landed.

It was calculated that advancing the age of first capture from its present one (somewhat under $1-1 / 2$ years) to the desired 3 years would result in a decrease in landings of about 25 percent the first year, assuming a normal size distribution of haddock that year. If the first year of regulation happened to coincide with a year in which the fishery was being supported by the smaller sizes of fish, the initial decrease in landings would be severe. It was considered inadvisable and unnecessary to risk a large decrease in landings, as the same ultimate effect could be obtained by advancing the age of first capture in two stages.

Accordingly it was decided to recommend advancing the age of first capture in 2 steps so that the initial adverse effects would be minimized and probably have little effect on the fishery. The first step would advance the age of first capture to $2-1 / 2$ years and the second step would advance it further to 3 years.

The initial effect of the first step on the sizes of fish landed is presented in figures 3 and 4. On seven trips in 1951 during which an observer measured the fish caught, the fish discarded, and the fish landed; practically all the fish under 33
cm . were discarded. (fig. 3). If a $4.1 / 2$ inch mesh had been used on these trips very few fish of the discarded sizes would have been caught and some of the smaller sizes which were landed would not have been caught. The reduction in pounds landed would have amounted to about 18 percent. This reduction is considerably greater, however, than it would have been during an average year because the average size in 1951 was much lower than in normal years.

The initial effect of change in mesh on sizes landed during an average year is shown in Figure 4. In this case the initial reduction in pounds landed would have amounted to less than 10 percent. The first step, then, can be expected to reduce landings about 10 percent the first year, assuming a normal size distribution of haddock on the banks and assuming normal culling practices. These estimates assume the continuation of fishing intensity at its present level and no appreciable change in fishing efficiency as a result of the use of a larger mesh. Results of experiments conducted elsewhere indicate that the efficiency of the trawls may be increased. In this case the
initial effect of a change in mesh on landings might be considerably less than that calculated.

During the second year of regulation the fish released in the preceding season should so increase the abundance of haddock as to bring the landings back to normal. During the third year, the increased abundance resulting from the release of young in two seasons should result in catches 10 to 15 percent above normal. In succeeding years the benefits would increase until a new equilibrium will have been established with landings about 30 percent greater than before regulation.

If the second step were then taken, another decline in landings would be expected the first year, but a new equilibrium would be established at a higher level than under the first step.

The advisers to Panel 5 have recommended taking the first step of advancing the age of first capture from the present one (somewhat under $1-1 / 2$ years) to the desired $2-1 / 2$ years and expanding research in order to test the effectiveness of the new practice.


Figure 7. Relation of yield to age of first capture for various proportions of natural and fishing mortalities. See text for explanation.


Figure 8. Relation of size of mesh to size of haddock retained. The results of Clark (solid circles) were obtained from the experiments conducted on board the Michigan.

Necessary Mesh Size. Haddock $2-1 / 2$ years of age average about 40 cm . ( 16 inches) in length and weigh about 1.4 pounds. In order to establish $2-1 / 2$ years as the age of first capture it is necessary that the fleet use nets having a 50 percent selection point at 40 cm .

Determining the exact size of mesh to effect a 50 percent selection at 40 cm . has been difficult. The results of various experiments by European and American scientists have not been in complete agreement. These are presented in Figure 8.

The advisers to Panel 5 recommended a mesh size of $3-3 / 4$ inches. This was based on the then best available information. Clark's experiments on the Michigan were conducted after the Panel 5 recommendation was made. A study of the results of Clark's experiments showed them to be most nearly valid and most applicable to the populations of haddock on Georges Bank. These experiments showed that a much larger mesh than $3-3 / 4$ inches would be required to effect a 50 percent selection of 40 cm . fish. A mesh of $4-1 / 2$ inches was indicated as the necessary size and it was on the basis of these experiments that the Committee on Research and Statistics recommended to the Commission rewording of the

Panel recommendation so that it read $4-1 / 2$ inches rather than $3-3 / 4$ inches.

Measuring the Effect of the Regulation. Since landings fluctuate so much due to natural fluctuations in abundance, it will not be possible to assess the effect of the regulation by a direct comparison of landings before and after regulation except on a long-term basis, comparing, say, the next ten years' landings with those of the ten years before regulation. It should not be necessary, however, to wait ten years to obtain a measure of the effect of the regulation.

First, a comparison of the numbers, sizes, and ages of haddock discarded at sea before and after regulation will be made. This study will provide a measure of the effectiveness of the new gear in releasing unmarketable fish but will not provide information on the effect the saving of young fish has on actual landings.

The principal test of the effectiveness of the regulation will be a comparison of the total yields from individual year classes of known initial strengths. If the regulation accomplishes its purpose, a year class of a given strength at, say, two years of age, will contribute more to the landings throughout its life time than would have
a year class of the same initial strength before regulation.

Testing the effectiveness of the regulation requires an accurate measure of year class strengths at early ages. At the present time the Woods Hole Laboratory is maintaining indices of abundance of all year classes from age two, expressed as pounds landed per day per standard vessel. The index is relative only, and is based on present fishing practices with present nets which have an average inside dimension of $2-7 / 8$ inches. Since the two-year-old haddock are not fully recruited with this gear (not all are retained by this size of mesh), the present index for 2 -year-olds is not directly comparable to that of older fish, which are fully recruited. The index for 2 -year-olds, however, is as accurate as that for older fish for comparisons between years, as long as the same size of mesh is used by the fleet studied. If the size of mesh is increased, of course, we no longer have a comparable index for the 2 -year-olds.

For this reason it is essential that some vessels be licensed to fish with the present size of mesh in order to obtain an index for the incoming 2 -year-olds comparable to that of former
years. Accordingly, the Commission has recommended that the United States Government license up to eight trawlers to use the old-sized mesh so that the required index can be obtained.

With the ratio of 2 -year-olds to older age groups available from this group of vessels, the relative strengths of incoming year classes can be established. It will then be possible to evaluate the effect of the change in mesh by comparing the yields of year classes of comparable strengths under the old fishing practice and the new.

This test rules out normal fluctuations in year class strengths as a factor affecting yield but in order to measure the effectiveness of the regulation we must distinguish such effects from the effects of changing natural conditions. Fluctuations in abundance may occur due to changes in growth rates or natural mortality rates brought about by natural conditions. Any change in growth rate can be readily measured but a new natural mortality would not be easily recognized. This difficulty should be circumvented if the proposal of Panel 5 scientists to make a second change in mesh size is realized. The value of the measure of the effect of the regulation will improve if the second change in mesh size is brought about.
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# Statistics of Landings of Groundfish from the Convention Area 

By the Statistician

J. Côté

## Foreword

This statistical section of the Second Annual Report of the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries brings together for the first time available data on landings of groundfish by all countries fishing in the Convention Area. These data, on total weights of the principal groundfish species landed annually from the Commission's Sub-areas, represent part of the basic fisheries statistics which are essential to the purposes of the Commission. Additional data for back years are continually being collected by the Commission and the publication of more complete statistics may be anticipated in future re-
ports. Statistics of fishing effort are not included for the present.

These statistics are published in accordance with directives from the Commission. Data are presented in terms of metric tons, round fresh weight. The original data, submitted by the ten countries fishing in the Convention Area, were converted in accordance with footnotes appended to each table. Long-term annual statistics are given by species and by country. Digest tables for the year 1951 present total groundfish landings and total landings by species. Statistics are presented for the following groundfish species:

| Cod | - Gadus callarias |
| :--- | :--- |
| Haddock | - Melanogrammus aeglefinus |
| Redfish | - Sebastes marinus |
| Halibut |  |
| Flounders | - Hippoglossus hippoglossus |
|  | - Hippoglossoides platessoides (plaice) |
|  | - Limanda ferruginea (yellowtail) |
|  | - Glyptocephalus cynoglossus (witch) |
|  | - Paralichthys dentatus (fluke) |
|  | - Pseudopleuronectes americanus (lemon sole) |
|  |  |

The statistics published in this report have been submitted to the Commission from fisheries agencies in each of the ten countries concerned with the Commission. The Commission secretariat has received full cooperation from each government and in each case a great deal of time and effort has been directed toward the compil-
ation of statistics in the form required by the Commission. The following International Organizations, government fisheries offices, private institutions and individual persons have contributed the statistical information which formed the basis for this summary report:

## International Organizations

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy.
International Council for the Exploration of the Sea, Charlottenlund Slot, Denmark.

## Canada

Department of Fisheries, Ottawa. J. B. Tousignant.
Atlantic Biological Station, St. Andrews, N. B.- Dr. A. W. H. Needler, Dr. W. R. Martin, F. D. McCracken.
Newfoundland Fisheries Research Station, St. John's, Nfld. - Dr. W. Templeman, A. M. Fleming.

## Denmark

Dr. Paul M. Hansen, Greenland Department, Copenhagen.
A. Strubberg, Statistical Division, Ministry of Fisheries, Copenhagen.
Dr. Aa. Vedel Tåning, Danish Institute for Fishery Investigations, Charlottenlund Slot. K. Djurhuus, Thorshavn, Faroe Islands.

## France

Sous Directeur des Peches Maritimes, Paris.

## United Kingdom

E. C. Wood, Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries, London.

## Iceland

Dr. Arni Fririksson, University Research Institute, Fisheries Department, Reykjavik.

## Italy

M. E. Avezzano, Compagnia Generale Italiana della Grande Pesca (GENEPESCA), Livorno.

## Norway

Håvard Angerman, Statistical Department, Directorate of Fisheries, Bergen.

## Portugal

Comm. Tavares de Almeida, Gabinete de Estudos das Pescas, Lisbon.

## Spain

Pesquerias Espanolas de Bacalao, S. A. (PEBSA), Madrid.
Compagnia de Pesca e Industrias del Bacalao, S. A. (COPIBA), Madrid.
Jose Maria Guitian y Vieito, El Jefe de la $3^{\circ}$ Seccion, Direccion General de Pesca Maritima, Madrid.
C. Ojeda, Federacion Espanola de Armadores de Buques de Pesca, Gijon.
P. D. Espada, Pesquerias y Secaderos de Bacalao de Espana (PYSBE), San Sebastian.

## U.S.A.

North Atlantic Fishery Investigations, Fish and Wildlife Service, Woods Hole, Massa-chusetts.-
Dr. H. W. Graham, C. C. Taylor.

## List of Tables 1)

Table 1 Total groundfish landings by countries from the Convention Area in 1951 (p. 38)
Cod (p. 39)
Table 2a Digest of cod statistics for the Convention Area in 1951
2b Summary of landings of round fresh cod for all countries 1930-51
2c Canada - cod landed
2d Denmark - cod landed
2e France - cod landed
$2 f$ Iceland - cod landed
$2 g$ Italy - cod landed

2h Norway - cod landed
2 i Portugal - cod landed
2j Spain - cod landed
$2 k$ United Kingdom - cod landed
21 United States - cod landed

## Haddock (p. 48)

Table 3a Digest of haddock statistics for the Convention Area 1951
3b Canada - haddock landed
3c Iceland - haddock landed
3d Spain - haddock landed
3e United States - haddock landed

## Redfish (p. 52)

Table 4a Digest of redfish statistics for the Convention Area 1951

4b Canada - redfish landed
4c Denmark - redfish landed
4d Iceland - redfish landed
4e United Kingdom - redfish landed
4 f United States - redfish landed

## Halibut (p. 56)

Table 5a Digest of halibut statistics for the Convention Area 1951
5b Canada - halibut landed
5c Denmark - halibut landed
5d Norway - halibut landed
5e Iceland - halibut landed
$5 f$ United Kingdom - halibut landed
5 g United States - halibut landed

## Flounders (p. 61)

## Table 6a Digest of flounders statistics for the Convention Area 1951

6b Canada - flounders landed
6c Spain - flounders landed
6d United States - flounders landed

## Other Groundfish (p. 64)

Table 7a Digest of other groundfish statistics for the Convention Area 1951
7b Canada - excluding Newfoundland - other groundfish landed

7c Denmark - other groundfish landed
7d Iceland - other groundfish landed
7e Spain — other groundfish landed
7f United Kingdom - other groundfish landed

7 g United States - other groundfish landed

1) The blank spaces ( - ) in all the tables signify either unknown landings or no landings. More complete statistics are continually being received by the Commission and these data will appear in future reports.

| Country | Sub－area |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { metric } \\ \text { tons } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { million } \\ \text { pounds } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Canada | － | 171，849 | 268，350 | 28，128 |  | － | 468,327 58,393 | 1，032．490 |
| Denmark | － | － | 二 | 二 | 58，393 | 112，469 | 58,393 112,469 | 128.735 247.953 |
| ${ }^{\text {France }}$ I | 二 | 二 | － | － | 14，422 | $\bigcirc$ | 14，422 | ${ }^{31.795}$ |
| Italy | － | 二 | 5，462 |  | ， | 5,700 7,390 | ${ }_{43,686}^{11,162}$ | 24．608 |
| Norway | 二 | － | 二 | 196 | 36,100 48,361 | 74，047 | 12,686 122,408 | ${ }^{969.865}$ |
| Portugal |  | － | $\underset{64,094}{-}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1,106}$ | ${ }^{48,361}$ | 42，669 | 102,869 | ${ }_{237,811}^{2695}$ |
| United Kingdom |  |  | ${ }^{64,024}$ | ${ }_{22}$ | 13，169 | 二 | 13,413 307,894 | 29.571 678.793 |
| U．S．A．＊ | 199，877 | 106，636 | 1，381 | － |  |  | 307，894 | 678.793 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 199，877 | 278，485 | 339，509 | 29，452 | 170，445 | 242，275 | 1，260，043 | 2，777，933 |

NOTE：The blank spaces（ - ）in all the tables signify either unknown landings or no landings．More compl ${ }^{\text {ete }}$ statistics are continually being received by the Commission and these data will appear in future reports．
＊－Provisional．
TABLE 2 a.

SUMMARY OF LANDINGS OF ROUND FRESH COD FOR ALL COUNTRIES In Metric Tons Round Fresh

| Year | CANADA |  | denmark |  |  | FRANC | ND | italy | NORWAY | UG | SPAIN | UNITED | UNITEDSTATES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mar．\＆ Quebec | Nifl． | Faroes | mark | $\begin{aligned} & \text { West } \\ & \text { Greenland } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1930 | 90，434 |  | － | － | 10，256 | 77，902 | － | － | － | 9，687 | － | 2，861 | 56，615 |
| 1931 | 79，577 | 270，470 |  | － | 9，615 | 19，476 | － | － | － | 9，982 | － | 3，063 | 51,029 48,504 |
| ${ }_{1933}^{1932}$ | 77,628 847720 | ${ }_{284,558}^{26671}$ |  | － | ${ }_{8,523}^{9,745}$ | ${ }_{72,288}^{17,810}$ |  | － | 二 | 12，482 2088 | － | ${ }^{1,517}$ | 48,504 56,201 |
| 1933 | 84,720 82,600 | 284,558 280,123 | － | － | ${ }_{9,840}^{8,823}$ | 76，032 | 二 | － | － | 22，455 |  | 405 | 65，903 |
| 1935 | 82，867 | 305，952 |  | － | 7,796 | 103，538 |  |  |  | 25，505 |  | 2，264 | ${ }^{65,978}$ |
| 1936 | 92，089 | 295，275 | － | － | 7,422 | 65，858 | － | － |  | 32，044 |  | 6，011 | 60，830 |
| 1937 | ${ }^{82,152}$ | ${ }_{2}^{223,171}$ | － | － | 7，201 | ${ }^{105,926}$ | － | － | － | 33，787 |  |  | 75,025 68388 |
| 1938 1939 | ${ }_{88,132}^{91,627}$ | 271,139 247337 | 25，468 | 二 | 5,680 7,431 | 152，952 | － | 5，477 | － | 39，558 |  | 556 | 68,388 60,218 |
| 1940 | 104，306 | ${ }_{221,726}$ | 13，935 | － | ${ }_{8,331}^{7,481}$ | － |  | 1，905 | － | 52，594 | － |  | 46,041 |
| 1941 | 106，005 | 217,158 | － | － | ${ }^{8,936}$ | － |  | － | － | 55,904 5634 |  |  | ${ }^{55,220}$ |
| ${ }_{1943}^{1942}$ | 105,354 116,447 | ${ }_{244,537}^{187,873}$ |  | － | 12,479 13,527 | － | － |  | － | 56,342 57,190 | 二 |  | － $\begin{array}{r}36,778 \\ 36,882\end{array}$ |
| 1944 | 127，968 | 276，059 | － | － | 13，883 | 10，070 | －－ | － | － | ${ }^{62,061}$ | － |  | 52，096 |
| 1945 | 158，433 | ${ }_{2}^{291,165}$ |  |  | 14，851 | ${ }^{26,308}$ |  | － |  | ${ }_{7}^{67,164}$ |  |  | 77,594 49780 |
| 1946 1947 | 175,878 125,884 | 294,096 305,280 | ${ }_{6,583}^{2,263}$ | 二 | 15,626 17,881 | 57,878 77,343 | － | 二 | － | 77,045 84,297 | － | ${ }_{94}^{52}$ | 4，780 35,527 |
| 1948 | 139，383 | 257，197 | 15，555 | 1，971 | 18，997 | 106，728 | － | 2，035 | － | 87,227 | － | 12，027 | 37，955 |
| 1949 | 134，054 | 263，688 | 18，320 | 3，489 |  | 118，142 |  | 1，640 |  | 110，168 |  | 17，336 | ${ }^{33,093}$ |
| 1950 | 136，120 | 236，921 | 29，775 | 5，256 | 20，718 | ${ }^{125,046}$ |  | 10，470 | 30，615 | 128，480 |  | 1，1，905 | ${ }^{32,9777 *}$ |
| 1951 | 118，235 | 248，611 | 34，360 | 5，345 | 18，200 | 112，469 | 14，302 | 11，162 | 43，178 | 122，408 | 66，047 | 12，356 | 22，777＊ |

[^2]| CANADA - EXCLUDING NEWFOUNDLAND (1) |  |  |  |  |  | NEWFOUNDLAND (2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Total | Year | Sub-area |  | Total | Year | Total | Year | Total | Year | TotaI | Year | Sub-area |  |  | Total |
|  |  |  | 4 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 3 | 2 |  |
| 1868 |  | 1910-11 | - | - | 170,181 | 1804 | 103,901 | 1846 | 147,074 | 1888 | 204,876 | 1929-30 | 8,733 | 220,515 | 18,944 | 248,192 |
| 1869 | 69,806 | 1911-12 | - | - | 112,791 | 1805 | 98,184 | 1847 | 141,132 | 1889 | 190,056 | 1930-31 | 7,365 | 191,371 | 71,734 | 270,470 |
| 1870 | 78,710 | 1912-13 |  |  | ${ }^{92,559}$ | 1806 | 120,822 | 1848 | 154,001 | 1890 | 184,933 | 1931-32 | 8,075 | 209,374 | 48,622 | 266,071 |
| 1871 | 91,798 | ${ }_{\text {1913-14 }}^{1914}$ |  |  | 89.014 | 1807 | 106,076 | 1849 | 193,146 | 1891 | 216,313 | 1932-33 | 8,217 | 213,612 | 62,729 | 284,558 |
| 1872 | 112,187 | 1914-15 | - |  | 96,498 | 1808 | 91,227 | 1850 | 180,353 | 1892 | 186,815 | 1933-34 | 7,417 | 204,930 | 67,776 | 280,123 |
| ${ }^{1873}$ | 119,862 | 1915-16 |  | - | 115,223 | 1809 | 127,094 | 1851 | 169,687 | 1893 | 188,797 | 1934-35 | 8,846 | 229,890 | 67,216 | 305,952 |
| 1874 | 108,575 | 1916-17 |  |  | 106,840 | 1810 | 138,601 | 1852 | 163,265 | 1894 | 196,316 | ${ }_{1936}$ | 7,679 | ${ }_{214,734}^{29}$ | 73,362 | 295,775 |
| 1875 | 101,893 | 1917 |  | - | 120,588 | 1811 | 144,745 | 1853 | 155,918 | 1895 | 227,847 | 1937 | 3,501 | 158,436 | 61,234 | 223,171 |
| 1876 | 113,061 | 1918 |  |  | ${ }^{96,037}$ | 1812 | 112,551 | 1854 | 133,582 | 1896-97 | 201,205 | 1938 | 4,042 | 189,438 | 77,659 | 271,139 |
| 1877 | 110,912 | 1919 |  | - | 139,312 | 1813 | 140,220 | 1855 | 184,686 | 1897-98 | 202,988 | 1939 | 5,619 | 175,390 | 66,328 | 247,337 |
| 1878 | 122,809 | 1920 | - | - | 106,064 | 1814 | 149,006 | 1856 | 209,527 | 1898-99 | 215,603 | 1940 | 2,441 | 168,432 | 50,853 | 221,726 |
| 1879 | 145,260 | 1921 |  |  | 109,092 | 1815 | 170,305 | 1857 | 228,735 | 1899-1900 | 228,597 | 1941 | 5,765 | 177,670 | 33,723 | 217,158 |
| 1880 | 148,666 | 1922 |  |  | 126,290 | 1816 | 164,454 | 1858 | 175,038 | 1900-1 | ${ }^{217,237}$ | 1942 | 7,191 | 140,712 | 39,970 | 187,873 |
| 1881 | 146,362 | 1923 |  | - | 96,478 | 1817 | 161,114 | 1859 | 203,395 | 1901-2 | 226,037 | 1943 | 8,689 | 183,318 | 52,530 | 244,537 |
| 1882 | 122,882 | 1924 | - | - | 100,576 | 1818 | 159,045 | 1860 | 221,358 | 1902-3 | 247,711 | 1944 | 6,704 | 215,631 | 53,724 | 276,059 |
| 1883 | 146,299 | 1925 | - | - | 123.958 | 1819 | 146,371 | 1861 | 206,433 | 1903-4 | 237,494 | 1945 | 8,074 | 247,641 | 35,450 | 291,165 |
| 1884 | ${ }^{139,124}$ | 1926 |  |  | 146,677 | 1820 | 143,044 | 1862 | 211,519 | 1904-5 | 212,859 | 1946 | 8,438 | 247,149 | 38,509 | 294,096 |
| 1885 | 146,608 | 1927 |  |  | 107,698 | 1821 | 142,653 | 1863 | 170,545 | 1905-6 | 256,462 | 1947 | 8,830 | 264,624 | 31,826 | 305,280 |
| 1886 | 147,061 | 1928 |  | - | ${ }^{117,016}$ | 1822 | 140,424 | 1864 | 173,458 | 1906-7 | 247,823 | 1948 | 7,644 | 214,119 | 35,434 | 257,197 |
| 1887 | 146,726 | 1929 | - | - | 107,709 | 1823 | 138,064 | 1865 | 165,372 | 1907-8 | 261,343 | 1949 | 5,806 | 221,772 | 36,110 | 263,688 |
| 1888 1889 | 142,996 | 1930 | - |  | 90,434 | 1824 | 139,578 | 1866 | 154,286 | 1908-9 | 295,636 | 1950 | 6,478 | 200,746 | 29,697 | 236,921 |
| 1889 1890 | 123,090 116718 | 1931 | - | - | ${ }^{79,577}$ | ${ }_{1825}^{1825}$ | 155.014 | 1867 | ${ }_{172,621}$ | 1909-10 | ${ }^{260,853}$ | 1951 | 11,634 | 208,849 | 28,128 | 248,611 |
| 1891 | 115,525 | ${ }_{1933}$ | ${ }_{84}-720$ |  | 84,720 | 1826 1827 | 153,753 | ${ }_{1869}^{1868}$ | 157,344 | 1910-11 | ${ }_{2442440}^{21240}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1892 | 122,226 | 1934 | 82,600 | - | 82,600 | 1828 | 144,388 | 1870 | 198,652 | 1912-13 | $\stackrel{247,439}{ }$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1893 | 120,885 | 1935 | 67,615 | 15,252 | 82,867 | 1829 | 148,272 | 1871 | 198,532 | 1913-14 | 223,149 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1894 | 127,211 | 1936 | 77,523 | 14,566 | 92,089 | 1830 | 152,146 | 1872 | 191,104 | 1914-15 | 200,108 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1895 | 109,351 | 1937 | 63,105 | 19,047 | 82,152 | 1831 | 122,961 | 1873 | 221,867 | 1915-16 | 250,253 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1896 | 108,417 | 1938 | 71,903 | 19,724 | ${ }_{91,627}$ | 1832 | 102,349 | 1874 | 264,685 | 1916-17 | 272,891 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1897 | 107,743 | 1939 | 76,267 | 11,865 | 88,132 | 1833 | 112,660 | 1875 | 196,155 | 1917-18 | 311,766 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1898 | 96,541 | 1940 | 94,346 | 9,960 | 104,306 | 1834 | 131,867 | 1876 | 184,915 | 1918-19 | 290,804 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1899 | 126,168 | 1941 | 92,670 | 13,335 | 106,005 | ${ }^{1835}$ | 118,092 | 1877 | 179,978 | 1919-20 | 307,284 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1900 | 121,419 136,03 | ${ }_{1943}^{1942}$ | 94,686 105,289 | 10,668 11,158 | 105,354 116,447 | 1836 1837 | $\xrightarrow{139,758} 1$ | ${ }_{1879}^{1878}$ | 180,419 | ${ }_{1921-22}^{1920-21}$ | ${ }_{2}^{242,992}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1902 | 135,702 | 1944 | 110,823 | 17,145 | 127,968 | 1838 | 121,035 | 1880 | ${ }_{234,137}^{24}$ | 1922-23 | ${ }_{261,973}^{26,14}$ |  |  |  |  |  |


TABLE 2 d . DENMARK - COD LANDED In Metric Tons Round Fresh

SOURCES: (1) Statistics submitted by K. Djurhuus. (2) Sitatistics submitted by A. Strubberg.
(3) Statistics submitted by Paul Hansen. All cod statistics recorded in metric tons round fresh fish.
TABLE 2 e. FRANCE - COD LANDED
In Metric Tons Round Fresh

| Year | Total | Year | Total | Year | Total | Year | Total | Year | Total Dory Schooner Landings | Total <br> Otter Trawler Landings | Total <br> Dory Schooners and Otter Trawlers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1874 | 50.526 | 1890 | 57,236 | 1906 | 54,012 | 1922 | 102.813 | 1938 | - | - | 152,952 |
| 1875 | 40.703 | 1891 | 32,544 | 1907 | 94,115 | 1923 | 143,148 | 1939 | - | $\cdots$ | - |
| 1876 | 44,353 | 1892 | 35,877 | 1908 | 115,196 | 1924 | 124,832 | 1940 | - | - | - |
| 1877 | 37,891 | 1893 | 38,963 | 1909 | 142,118 | 1925 | 155,823 | 1941 | - | - |  |
| 1878 | 43,737 | 1894 | 38.752 | 1910 | 173,880 | 1926 | 185,213 | 1942 | - | - | - |
| 1879 | 50,298 | 1895 | 50,554 | 1911 | 95,997 | 1927 | 154,948 | 1943 | - | - | - |
| 1880 | 50,030 | 1896 | 66,362 | 1912 | 75.286 | 1928 | 148,848 | 1944 | - | -- | 10,070 |
| 1881 | 48,126 | 1897 | 85085 | 1913 | 94,607 | 1929 | 128,951 | 1945 | - | - | 26,308 |
| 1882 | 48,454 | 1898 | 81,464 | 1914 | 61,114 | 1930 | 77,902 | 1946 | - | - | 57,878 |
| 1883 | 58,012 | 1899 | 98,330 | 1915 | 48.927 | 1931 | 19,476 | 1947 | 5.991 | 71.352 | 77,343 |
| 1884 | 68926 | 1900 | 89,013 | 1916 | 28,088 | 1932 | 17,810 | 1948 | 1,763 | 104,965 | 106,728 |
| 1885 | 73,130 | 1901 | 103,045 | 1917 | 33,461 | 1933 | 72,288 | 1949 | 1,572 | 116,570 | 118,142 |
| 1886 | 91,759 | 1902 | 66,595 | 1918 | 25.838 | 1934 | 76.032 | 1950 | 1,881 | 123,165 | 125,046 |
| 1887 | 105.089 | 1903 | 59,591 | 1919 | 74,070 | 1935 | 103,538 | 1951 | 1,469 | 111,000 | 112,469 |
| 1888 | 60,260 | 1904 | 53,340 | 1920 | 81,964 | 1936 | 65,858 |  |  |  |  |
| 1889 | 57,994 | 1905 | 61,595 | 1921 | 90,070 | 1937 | 105,926 |  |  |  |  |

SOURCES: 1874-1937: Statistics of the Catch of Cod off the East Coast of North America to 1926, by Oscar E. Sette, U. S. Bureau of Fisheries Document 1034 and its two supplements by R. H. Fiedler and E. A. Power, and by W. H. Stolting. Collected from official sources and converted of green salted fish. 1944-46: Statistics from Supplement to Document No. 1034 by W. H. Stolting. 1947-51: as for 1938 , 1938; 1947-51: Converted to round fresh weights, using conversion factor 2.50. 1938-51: Data do not include the results of some trawlers devoted to mixed fishing (fresh and salt fishing). 1939-43: No data avallable. TABLE 2 f. ICELAND - COD LANDED

SOURCE: Statistics submitted by Arni Fridriksson. Recorded in kilograms round fresh fish.
TABLE 2 g .
ITALY - COD LANDED
In Metric Tons Round Fresh

| Year | Sub-area |  |  |  | No indications | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1-2-3 | 2-3 | 3 | 1-2 |  |  |
| 1938 | - | - | - | - | 1,529 | 1,529 |
| 1939 | - | - | - | - | 5,477 | 5,477 |
| 1940 | - | - | - | - | 1,905 | 1,905 |
| 1941-47 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1948 | - | - | 2,035 | - | - | 2,035 |
| 1949 | -- | - | 1,640 | - | - | 1,640 |
| 1950 | 5,305 | 2,275 | 2,890 5,462 | $\overline{5} \overline{700}$ | - | 10,470 11,162 |

SOURCES: 1938-40: Supplement to Document No. 1034, U. S. Bureau of Fisheries by W. H. Stolting. Recorded in pounds round fresh weights. 1948-51: NOTE: $\quad$ Statistics by Eugenio Avezzano. Recorded in metric tons of salted cod. . Converted to round fresh weights using conversion factor 2.50. 1949: Fishing campaign interrupted owing to collision of "Gene-

SOURCE: S.tatistics submitted by Hávard Angerman. Recorded in metric tons eviscerated fresh fish.
NOTE: $\quad$ Converted to round fresh weights using conversion factor 1.40 .
TABLE 2 i. PORTUGAL - COD LANDED
In Metric Tons Round Fresh

| Year | Total | Year | Total | Year | Total | Year | Landed by Dory Schooners |  |  | Otter Trawlers <br> No Sub-area indicated | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sub-area |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4-3 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1896 | 4,484 | 1911 | 14,507 | 1926 | 18,831 | 1941-42 | - | - | - | - |  |
| 1897 | 2,453 | 1912 | 10,490 | 1927 | 16,965 | 1942-43 | - | - | - | - | 57,190 |
| 1898 | 4,395 | 1913 | 7,040 | 1928 | 17,134 | 1943-44 | - | - | - | - | 62,061 |
| 1899 1900 | 5,601 | 1914 1915 | 6,654 10,615 | 1929 | 13,617 | 1944-45 | 二 | 二 | - | - | 67,164 |
| 1901 | 6,358 | 1915 1916 | 10,615 9,394 | 1930 | 9,687 9,982 | $1945-46$ 1947 | 20,351 | 31,603 | 51,954 | 32,343 | 77,045 84,297 |
| 1902 | 7,006 | 1917 | 5,552 | 1932 | 12,482 | 1948 | -20,351 | 31,603 34,942 | 51,954 53,333 | 32,343 33,894 | 84,297 87,227 |
| 1903 | 5,525 | 1918 | 4,228 | 1933 | 20,887 | 1949 | 11,867 | 43,634 | 55,501 | 54,667 | 110,168 |
| 1904 | 6,481 | 1919 | 3,374 | 1934 | 22,455 | 1950 | 20,072 | 40,189 | 60,261 | 68,219 | 128,480 |
| 1905 | 6,750 | 1920 | 4,567 | 1935 | 25,505 | 1951 | 12,958 | 48,361 | 61,319 | 61,089 | 122,408 |
| 1906 | 9,400 | 1921 | 11,152 | 1936 | 32,044 |  |  |  | 61,319 |  |  |
| 1907 | 9,862 | 1922 | 16,639 | 1937 | 33,787 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1908 | 11,918 | 1923 | 12,075 | 1938-39 | 39,558 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1909 | 13,530 | 1924 | 17,749 | 1939-40 | 52,594 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1910 | 13,374 | 1925 | 13,694 | 1940-41 | 55,904 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SOURCES: | 1896-1946: Statistics of the Catch of Cod off the East Coast of North America to 1926, by Oscar E. Sette, U. S. Bureau of Fisheries Document No. 1034, and its two supplements by R. H. Fiedler and E. A. Power, and W. H. Stolting. Collected from official sources and converted to pounds round fresh weights. 1947-51: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: | 51: Co | ted to | nd fres | Stats, us | onvers | Comma |  |  | rded | intals of wet |  |

[^3]D

TABLE 2 j. SPAIN - COD LANDED
2 j . SPAIN - COD LANDED
In Metric Tons Round Fresh

| Year | Landed by Pair Trawlers <br> Sub-area | Landed by Otter Trawlers Sub-area |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2-3-4 |  |
| 1951 | 36,976 | 7,340 | 1,106 | 20,625 | 66,047 |

SOURCE: Statistics submitted by José Maria Guitian y Vieito. Recorded in kilograms of fresh headed, gutted, backbone removed and in kilograms of NOTE: Converted to round fresh weights, using conversion factor 2.00 for fresh fish, headed, gutted, backbone removed, and 2.50 for green or salted fish.
TABLE 2 k ．UNITED KINGDOM－COD LANDED
In Metric Tons Round Fresh

| Year | Long Liner Landings |  |  | Otter Trawler Landings |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { Liners and } \\ \text { Trawlers } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub－area |  | Total | Sub－area |  | Total |  |
|  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |
| 1928 | 553 | － | 553 | － | － | － | 553 |
| 1929 1930 | 1,081 281 | － | 1,080 2861 | 二 | － | － | 1,080 |
| 1930 1931 | 2,861 3,063 | － | 2,861 <br> 3,063 | 二 | 二 |  | ${ }_{3}^{2,861}$ |
| 1932 | 1，517 | － | 1，517 | － | － | － | 1,517 |
| 1933 | ${ }^{262}$ | ${ }^{63}$ | 325 | － | $\cdots$ | － | 325 |
| 1934 1935 | 226 536 | ${ }_{179}^{179}$ | 405 537 | $\overline{1,122}$ | 605 | $\overline{1,727}$ | $\begin{array}{r}405 \\ 2.264 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| 1936 | ${ }_{421}$ | － | ${ }_{421}$ | 5，590 | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | 5，590 | 6，011 |
| 1937 | 687 | － | 687 | － | － | － | 687 |
| ${ }_{1939-45}^{1938}$ | 555 | 1 | 556 | － | － | － | 556 |
| 1946 | 52 | － | 52 | － | － | － | 52 |
| 1947 | 94 |  | ${ }_{16} 9$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1948 1949 | 16 | － | 16 | ${ }_{16,892}^{11,444}$ | 567 444 | 12,011 17,336 | 12,027 17,336 |
| 1950 | 367 | 2 | 369 | ${ }_{1}^{1,323}$ | 213 | ${ }_{1,536}$ | ＋1，905 |
| 1951 | 55 | － | 55 | 12，238 | 63 | 12，301 | 12，356 |

$\begin{array}{lll}\text { SOURCE：} & \text { Statistics submitted by E．C．Wood．Recorded in } \mathrm{cwt} t=112 \text { lo．} \\ \text { NOTE：} & \text { 1928－47：Converted to round fresh weights using conversion factor 1．14．1948－50：Statistics were given on a round fresh weight basis．1951：As }\end{array}$ NOTE．for 1928－47．
TABLE 2 l. UNITED STATES - COD LANDED

| Year | Sub-area |  |  | Total | Year | Sub-area |  |  | Total | Year | Sub-area |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 4 | 3 |  |  | 5 | 4 | 3 |  |  | 5 | 4 | 3 |  |
| 1893 | 48,641 | 7,129 | 19,646 | 75,416 | 1913 | 24,719 | 12,701 | 9,298 | 46,718 | 1933 | 37,498 | 18,569 | 134 | 56,201 |
| 1894 | 57,350 | 8,821 | 19,709 | 85,880 | 1914 | 33,661 | 11,591 | 4,809 | 50,061 | 1934 | 32,410 | 33,062 | 431 | 65,903 |
| 1895 | 63,687 | 8,551 | 23,796 | 96,034 | 1915 | 18,932 | 15,038 | 3,520 | 37,490 | 1935 | 36,185 | 29,518 | 275 | 65,978 |
| 1896 | 49,232 | 6,168 | 19,246 | 74,646 | 1916 | 19,268 | 13,685 | 3,733 | 36,686 | 1936 | 36,380 | 23,036 | 1,414 | 60,830 |
| 1897 | 47,106 | 5,953 | 13,035 | 66,094 | 1917 | 21,877 | 21,833 | 837 | 44,547 | 1937 | 46,396 | 27,358 | 1,271 | 75,025 |
| 1898 | 45,282 | 11,553 | 12,370 | 69,205 | 1918 | 30,563 | 19,553 | 1,300 | 51,416 | 1938 | 37,452 | 28,502 | 2,434 | 68,388 |
| 1899 | 49,528 | 23,149 | 19,333 | 92,010 | 1919 | 30,721. | 19,033 | 1,363 | 51,117 | 1939 | 31,903 | 28,195 | 120 | 60,218 |
| 1900 | 34,870 | 17,669 | 17,541 | 70,080 | 1920 | 28,046 | 15,201 | 1,131 | 44,378 | 1940 | 28,302 | 17,692 | 47 | 46,041 |
| 1901 | 46,398 | 21,994 | 13,864 | 82,256 | 1921 | 32,866 | 8,683 | 3,268 | 44,817 | 1941 | 32,263 | 22,954 | 3 | 55,220 |
| 1902 | 43,033 | 17,960 | 12,401 | 73,394 | 1922 | 31,638 | 11,381 | 1,937 | 44,956 | 1942 | 29,177 | 7,592 | 9 | 36,778 |
| 1903 | 40,209 | 10,898 | 14,015 | 65,122 | 1923 | 31,280 | 14,785 | 1,273 | 47,338 | 1943 | 31,232 | 5,650 |  | 36,882 |
| 1904 | 31,176 | 9,239 | 13,508 | 53,923 | 1924 | 33,475 | 12,925 | 581 | 46,981 | 1944 | 33,556 | 18,540 |  | 52,096 |
| 1905 | 37,247 | 15,344 | 4,006 | 56,697 | 1925 | 35,200 | 10,584 | 120 | 45,904 | 1945 | 33,709 | 43,885 | - | 77,594 |
| 1906 | 63,276 | 11,942 | 4,085 | 79,303 | 1926 | 41,130 | 22,291 | 342 | 63,763 | 1946 | 35,166 | 14,614 | - | 49,780 |
| 1907 | 57,965 | 18,727 | 2,056 | 78,748 | 1927 | 42,815 | 9,811 | 300 | 52,926 | 1947 | 27,538 | 7,989 |  | 35,527 |
| 1908 | 41,433 | 19,065 | 4,890 | 65,388 | 1928 | 40,756 | 8,663 | 337 | 49,756 | 1948 | 29,379 | 8,523 | 53 | 37,955 |
| 1909 | 40,689 | 34,905 | 4,195 | 79,789 | 1929 | 43,302 | 5,086 | 305 | 48,693 | 1949 | 28,873 | 4,220 |  | 33,093 |
| 1910 | 35,688 | 26,896 | 3,284 | 65,868 | 1930 | 48,390 | 8,107 | 118 | 56,615 | 1950 | 24,256 | 6,665 | 35 | 30,956 |
| 1911 | 27,105 | 26,009 | 1,641 | 54,755 | 1931 | 39,089 | 11,933 | 7 | 51,029 | 1951 | 18,358 | 4,340 | 79 | 22,777* |
| 1912 | 28,678 | 18,761 | 5,467 | 52,906 | 1932 | 36,161 | 12,148 | 195 | 48,504 |  |  |  |  |  |

SOURCE: Statistics submitted by North Atlantic Fishery Investigations, Fish and Wildlife Service. Recorded in thousand pounds, head on, eviscerated
NOTE: Converted to round fresh weights, using conversion factor 1.20. *-Provisional.
In Metric Tons Round Fresh
TABLE 3 a．DIGEST OF HADDOCK STATISTICS FOR THE CONVENTION AREA 1951

| Country | Sub－area |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sub-area } \\ & \text { not } \\ & \text { indicated } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { metric } \\ \text { tons }}}{\text { Total }}$ | Total million pound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 4 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Canada Excluding Nfld． Newfoundland Newfoundland Total | 二 | $\begin{aligned} & 27,227 \\ & 27,227 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 939 \\ 3,214 \\ 4,153 \end{array}$ | － | 二 | $\begin{array}{r} 28,166 \\ 3,214 \\ 31,380 \end{array}$ | 69.181 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Iceland } \\ & \text { Otter Trawler } \end{aligned}$ | － | － | － | 2 | － | 2 | ． 004 |
| Spain＊ <br> Pair Trawler Otter Trawlers Total | 二 | － | $\begin{array}{r} 2,554 \\ 16,645 \\ 19,199 \end{array}$ | 二 | $\stackrel{19,-757}{19,457}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.554 \\ 36,102 \\ 38,656 \end{array}$ | 85.222 |
| U．S．A．＊＊ | 53，248 | 15，098 | 8 | － | －－ | 68，354 | 150.696 |
| Grand total | 53，248 | 42，325 | 23，360 | 2 | 19，457 | 138，392 | 305.103 |

NOTE：＂－－Quantity attributed to：＂Sub－area not indicated＂are in reality from Sub－area 4 and 3．＊＊－－Provisional．
TABLE 3 b.
CANADA - HADDOCK LANDED
In Metric Tons Round Fresh

| CANADA - EXCLUDING NEWFOUNDLAND (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NEWFOUNDLAND (2) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Total | Year | Total | Year | Sub-area |  | Total | Year | Sub-area |  | Total | Year | TotalSub-area3 | Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Sub-area } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 3 |  |  | 4 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1910-11 | 23,616 | 1921 | 13,921 | 1932 | - | - | 18,625 | 1943 | 15,769 | 129 | 15,898 | 1929-30 | 785 | 1941 | 162 |
| 1911-12 | 27,417 | 1922 | 15,912 | 1933 | 13,903 | - | 13,903 | 1944 | 12,082 | 1,344 | 13,426 | 1930-31 | 959 | 1942 | 15 |
| 1912-13 | 26,052 | 1923 | 15,749 | 1934 | 18,412 | - | 18,412 | 1945 | 16,511 | 150 | 16,661 | 1931-32 | 173 | 1943 | 24 |
| 1913-14 | 20,975 | 1924 | 17,470 | 1935 | 19,051 | - | 19,051 | 1946 | 17,347 | 616 | 17,963 | 1932-33 | 298 | 1944 | 239 |
| 1914-15 | 29,267 | 1925 | 17,808 | 1936 | 20,839 | - | 20,839 | 1947 | 14,811 | 1,507 | 16,318 | 1933-34 | 439 | 1945 | 551 |
| 1915-16 | 30,122 | 1926 | 25,689 | 1937 | 20,106 | - | 20,106 | 1948 | 24,959 | 4,406 | 29,365 | 1934-35 | 538 | 1946 | 2,642 |
| 1916-17 | 30,096 | 1927 | 21,806 | 1938 | 20,352 | -- | 20,352 | 1949 | 21,804 | 2,282 | 24,086 | 1936 | 473 | 1947 | 4,756 |
| 1917 | 36,838 | 1928 | 24,908 | 1939 | 19,364 | 552 | 19,916 | 1950 | 22,882 | 1,586 | 24,468 | 1937 | 856 | 1948 | 10,187 |
| 1918 | 28,666 | 1929 | 28,202 | 1940 | 18,128 | 258 | 18,386 | 1951 | 27,227 | 939 | 28,166 | 1938 | 782 | 1949 | 12,573 |
| 1919 1920 | 29,193 22,842 | 1930 | 25,148 18,814 | 1941 | 14,725 | 155 | 14,880 |  |  |  |  | 1939 | - | 1950 | 10,598 |
| 1920 | 22,842 | 1931 | 18,814 | 1942 | 13,447 | 103 | 13,550 |  |  |  |  | 1940 | 2 | 1951 | 3,214 |

[^4]TABLE 3 c. ICELAND - HADDOCK LANDED
In Metric Tons Round Fresh
SOURCE: Statistics submitted by Arni Fridriksson. Recorded in round sresh weights.

TABLE 3 e.

| Year | Sub-area |  |  | Total | Year | Sub-area |  |  | Total | Year | Sub-area |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 4 | 3 |  |  | 5 | 4 | 3 |  |  | 5 | 4 | 3 |  |
| 1893 | 15,937 | 1,620 | - | 17,557 | 1913 | 21,039 | 6,806 | 30 | 27,875 | 1933 | 51,707 | 24,022 | 17 | 75,746 |
| 1894 | 21.279 | 2,309 | - | 23,588 | 1914 | 28,015 | 8,631 | 15 | 36,661 | 1934 | 31,808 | 42,661 | 99 | 74,568 |
| 1895 | 19,808 | 1,721 | - | 21,529 | 1915 | 29,196 | 9,465 | 14 | 38,675 | 1935 | 43,500 | 47,949 | - | 91,449 |
| 1896 | 14,089 | 1,510 | - | 15,599 | 1916 | 25,067 | 9,968 | 178 | 35,213 | 1936 | 49,611 | 33,798 | 3 | 83,412 |
| 1897 | 14,366 | 1,652 | $\boxed{\square}$ | 16,018 | 1917 | 18,575 | 12,498 | 55 | 31,128 | 1937 | 53,964 | 25,490 | 123 | 79,577 |
| 1898 | 13,935 | 2,899 | - | 16,834 | 1918 | 26,579 | 10,675 | 166 | 37,420 | 1938 | 52,008 | 26,668 | 41 | 78,717 |
| 1899 | 14,349 | 2,881 | - | 17,230 | 1919 | 44,419 | 7,731 | 75 | 52,225 | 1939 | 59,397 | 20,289 | 194 | 79,880 |
| 1900 | 14,781 | 2,311 | - | 17,092 | 1920 | 45,736 | 4,354 | 17 | 50,107 | 1940 | 54,219 | 16,318 | 29 | 70,566 |
| 1901 | 12,194 | 2,812 | - | 15,006 | 1921 | 36,326 | 4.844 | - | 41,170 | 1941 | 69,413 | 15,956 | - | 85,369 |
| 1902 | 17,462 | 2,284 | 109 | 19,855 | 1922 | 36,074 | 9,036 | - | 45,110 | 1942 | 58,702 | 6,758 | -- | 65,460 |
| 1903 | 18,177 | 2,686 | - | 20,863 | 1923 | 37,158 | 10,169 | - | 47,327 | 1943 | 52,321 | 4,591 | - | 56,912 |
| 1904 | 21,599 | 3,142 | 379 | 25,120 | 1924 | 44,742 | 6,869 | - | 51,611 | 1944 | 51,948 | 12,796 | - | 64,744 |
| 1905 | 30,196 | 3,454 | 75 | 33,725 | 1925 | 51,451 | 6.246 | -- | 57,697 | 1945 | 44,907 | 25,691 | - | 70,598 |
| 1906 | 29,512 | 2,525 | 33 | 32,070 | 1926 | 56,784 | 4,156 | - | 60,940 | 1946 | 56,545 | 14,230 | 27 | 70,802 |
| 1907 | 17,939 | 4,130 | 25 | 22,094 | 1927 | 84,136 | 3,996 | - | 88,132 | 1947 | 59,053 | 16,151 | 7 | 75,211 |
| 1908 | 20,913 | 4,235 | 33 | 25,181 | 1928 | 107,837 | 4,400 | - | 112,237 | 1948 | 53,542 | 17,902 | 790 | 72,234 |
| 1909 | 17,859 | 4,493 | 17 | 22,369 | 1929 | 126,204 | 6.012 | - | 132,216 | 1949 | 49,319 | 12,189 | - | 61,508 |
| 1910 | 22,328 | 3,463 | 16 | 25,807 | 1930 | 107,634 | 15,668 | - | 123,302 | 1950 | 47,152 | 24,355 | 148 | 71,655 |
| 1911 | 24,651 | 4,524 | 112 | 29,287 | 1931 | 67.829 | 21,964 | 32 | 89,793 | 1951 | 53,248 | 15,098 | 8 | 68,354* |
| 1912 | 27,725 | 5,263 | 38 | 33,026 | 1932 | 59,689 | 16,039 | 32 | 75,760 |  |  |  |  |  |

[^5]TABLE 4 a．DIGEST OF REDFISH STATISTICS FOR THE CONVENTION A REA 1951

| Country | Sub－area |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Metric } \\ & \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | Motal pounds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 4 | 3 | 1 |  |  |
| Canada |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excluding Nfld． | － | 744 | 1，096 |  |  |  |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Newfoundland } \\ \text { Total }}}{ }$ | 二 |  | 16，211 | － | 16，211 |  |
|  |  | －－ |  | － | 18，051 | 39.796 |
| Denmark Iceland | － | － | － | 12 | 12 | ． 027 |
| Otter Trawler | － | － | － | 27 | 27 | ． 059 |
| United Kingdom |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Long Liners | － | － | － | ＊＊ | ＊＊ |  |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { Otter }}$ Trawlers | 二 | 二 | 二 | 124 | 124 |  |
|  |  | － | － | 124 | 124 | ． 273 |
| U．S．A．＊ | 30，077 | 83，315 | － | － | 113，392 | 249.988 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 30，077 | 84，059 | 17，307 | 163 | 131，606 | 290.143 |

TABLE 4 b. CANADA - REDFISH LANDED
In Metric Tons Round Fresh

| CANADA - EXCLUDING NEWFOUNDLAND (1) |  |  |  |  |  | NEWFOUNDLAND (2) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { Total }}{\substack{\text { Sub-area } \\ 4}}$ | Year | Sub-area |  | Total | Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Sub-area } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Sub-area } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | 4 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1936 | 96 | 1944 | 12 | - | 12 | 1942 | 5 | 1950 | 11,716 |
| 1937 | 44 | 1945 | 11 | - | 11 | 1943 | 12 | 1951 | 16,211 |
| 1938 | 217 | 1946 | 137 | - | 137 | 1944 | 37 |  |  |
| 1939 | 267 | 1947 | 195 | $\bar{\square}$ | 195 | 1945 | 144 |  |  |
| 1940 | 127 | 1948 | 573 | 25 | 598 | 1946 | 264 |  |  |
| 1941 | 17 | 1949 | 895 | 33 | 928 | 1947 | 1,741 |  |  |
| 1942 | 58 | 1950 | 678 | $\stackrel{261}{1096}$ | 939 1.840 | 1948 | 4,572 7,437 |  |  |
| 1943 | 15 | 1951 | 744 | 1,096 | 1,840 | 1949 | 7,437 |  |  |

[^6]TABLE 4 c DENMARK - REDFISH LANDED
In Metric Tons Round Fresh

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { SOURCE: } & \text { Statistics submitted by A. Strubberg. Recorded in kilograms redfish filet. } \\ \text { NOTE: } & \text { Converted to round fresh weight, using conversion factor 4.0. }\end{array}$
TABLE 4d ICELAND - REDFISH LANDED

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { SOURCE: } & \text { Statistics submittcd by E. C. Wood. Reccrded in cwt. }=112 \mathrm{lb} . \\ \text { NOTE: } & 1935-47 . \text { Converted to round fresh weights using conversion factor } 1.14 . \\ & \text { As for } 1935-47 .{ }^{*} \text { Less than one metric ton. Statistics were given on a round fresh weight basis. } 1951 \text { : }\end{array}$ As for 1935-47. * Less than one metric ton.
TABLE 4 f. UNITED STATES - REDFISH LANDED

| Year | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Sub-area } \\ 5 \end{array}\right.$ | Year | Sub-area |  | Total | Year | Sub-area |  | Total | Year | Sub-area |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 5 | 4 |  |  | 5 | 4 |  |  | 5 | 4 |  |
| 1916 | 53 | 1925 | 25 | - | 25 | 1934 | 519 | 361 | 880 | 1943 | 48,349 | 3,695 | 52,044 |
| 1917 | 82 | 1926 | 30 | - | 30 | 1935 | 7.549 | 233 | 7,782 | 1944 | 50,439 | 4,089 | 54,528 |
| 1918 | 41 | 1927 | 30 | - | 30 | 1936 | 23,162 | 7,195 | 30,357 | 1945 | 37,912 | 21,886 | 59,798 |
| 1919 | 25 | 1928 | 55 | 2 | 57 | 1937 | 14,823 | 11,647 | 26,470 | 1946 | 42,423 | 38,383 | 80,806 |
| 1920 | 31 | 1929 | 32 | 2 | 34 | 1938 | 20,640 | 8,846 | 29,486 | 1947 | 40,160 | 26,330 | 66,490 |
| 1921 | 13 | 1930 | 47 | 6 | 53 | 1939 | 25,406 | 9,799 | 35,205 | 1948 | 43,631 | 64,367 | 107,998 |
| 1922 | 14 | 1931 | 80 | 28 | 108 | 1940 | 26,763 | 11,856 | 38,619 | 1949 | 30,743 | 76,751 | 107,494 |
| 1923 | 7 | 1932 | 28 | 29 | 57 | 1941 | 59,796 | 10,436 | 70,232 | 1950 | 34,308 | 59,662 | 93,970 |
| 1924 | 35 | 1933 | 85 | 35 | 120 | 1942 | 55,893 | 2,208 | 58,101 | 1951 | 30,077 | 83,315 | 113,392* |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { SOURCE: } & \text { Statistics submitted by North Atlantic Fishery Investigations, Fish and Wildlife Service. Recorded in thousand pounds round weight fish. } \\ \text { NOTE: } & \text { Negligible landings prior to } 1916 \text {. In } 1950,282 \text { metric tons were landed from Sub-area } 3 \text {, and in } 1951,2,737 \text { metric tons. }{ }^{*} \text { - Provisional }\end{array}$ While this report was being printed 15,199 metric tons were reported from Sub-area 3.
In Metric Tons Round Fresh
UNITE STATES - REDFISH LANDED
TABLE 5 a.

TABLE 5 b. CANADA - HALIBUT LANDED

| CANADA - EXCLUDING NEWFOUNDLAND (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NEWFOUNDLAND (2) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Year | Total | Year | Sub-area |  | Total | Year | Sub-area |  | Total | Year | TotalSub-area3 | Year | TotalSub-area3 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 3 |  |  | 4 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1910-11 | 877 | 1921 | 1,647 | 1932 | - | - | 1,304 | 1943 | 703 | 15 | 718 | 1929-30 | 201 | 1941 | 195 |
| 1911-12 | 2,562 | 1922 | 1,602 | 1933 | 1,565 | - | 1,565 | 1944 | 849 | 11 | 860 | 1930-31 | 268 | 1942 | 157 |
| 1912-13 | 1,532 | 1923 | 1,025 | 1934 | 1,329 | - | 1,329 | 1945 | 691 | 106 | 797 | 1931-32 | 218 | 1943 | 173 |
| 1913-14 | 1,650 | 1924 | 1,474 | 1935 | 1,575 | - | 1,575 | 1946 | 851 | 15 | 866 | 1932-33 | 159 | 1944 | 155 |
| 1914-15 | 1,329 | 1925 | 1,135 | 1936 | 1,698 | - | 1,698 | 1947 | 1,102 | 29 | 1,131 | 1933-34 | 153 | 1945 | 138 |
| 1915-16 | 1,630 | 1926 | 1,295 | 1937 | 1,741 | - | 1,741 | 1948 | 1,302 | 34 | 1,336 | 1934-35 | 175 | 1946 | 127 |
| 1916-17 | 1,031 | 1927 | 1,487 | 1938 | 2,206 | - | 2,206 | 1949 | 2,293 | 193 | 2,486 | 1936 | 224 | 1947 | 210 |
| 1917 | 1,382 | 1928 | 1,414 | 1939 | 2,544 | 104 | 2,648 | 1950 |  |  | 6,136 | 1937 | 236 | 1948 | 202 |
| 1918 | 1,091 | 1929 | 1,664 | 1940 | 1,159 | 94 | 1,253 | 1951 | 2,215 | 2,336 | 4,551 | 1938 | 354 | 1949 | 125 |
| 1919 | 1,704 | 1930 | 1,450 | 1941 | 1,164 | 29 | 1,193 |  |  |  |  | 1939 | 172 | 1950 | 139 |
| 1920 | 1,249 | 1931 | 1,509 | 1942 | 659 | 18 | 677 |  |  |  |  | 1940 | 290 | 1951 | 255 |

[^7]TABLE 5 c. DENMARK - HALIBUT LANDED

TABLE 5 d. NORWAY - HALIBUT LANDED
In Metric Tons Round Fresh

|  | Year | 1950 | $\mathbf{1 9 5 1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sub-area 1 | Long line | 161 | 312 |
| Sub-area 2 | Trawl | 88 | 196 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { SOURCE: } & \text { Statistics submitted by Håvard Angerman. Recorded head on eviscerated fresh weight. } \\ \text { NOTE: } & \text { Converted to round fresh weights, using conversion factor 1.4. }\end{array}$
TABLE 5 e. ICELAND - HALIBUT LANDED
In Metric Tons Round Fresh

| Sub-area | Year | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOURCE: Statistics submitted by Arni Fridriksson. Recorded in round fresh weights. | 49 |  |

TABLE 5 f. UNITED KINGDOM - HALIBUT LANDED

| Year | Long Liner Landings |  |  | Otter Trawler Landings |  |  | Total Liners and Trawlers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub-area |  | Total | Sub-area |  | Total |  |
|  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |
| 1928 | 2,866 | - | 2,866 | - | - | - | 2,866 |
| 1929 | 5,791 | -- | 5,791 | - | - | - | 5,791 |
| 1930 | 4,861 | - | 4,861 | - | - | - | 4,861 |
| 1931 | 5,541 | - | 5,541 | - | - | - | 5,541 |
| 1932 | 3,587 | - | 3,587 | - | - | - | 3,587 |
| 1933 | 3,028 | 299 | 3,327 | - | - | - | 3,327 |
| 1934 | 3,833 | 215 | 4,048 | - | - | - | 4,048 |
| 1935 | 2,627 | 115 | 2,742 | 19 | 4 | 23 | 2,765 |
| 1936 | 1,657 | - | 1,657 | 10 | - | 10 | 1,667 |
| 1937 | 1,312 | $\cdots$ | 1,312 | - | - | - | 1,312 |
| 1938 | 328 | 21 | 349 | - | - | - | 349 |
| 1939-45 | $\square$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1946 | 51 | - | 51 | - | - | - | 51 |
| 1947 | 82 | - | 82 | $\bar{\square}$ | - | - | 82 |
| 1948 | 16 | - | 16 | 99 | 3 | 102 | 118 |
| 1949 | - | - | - | 76 | 2 | 78 | 78 |
| 1950 1951 | 489 240 | $\overline{22}$ | 489 | $\begin{array}{r}5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2 | $\begin{array}{r}7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 496 |
| 1951 | 240 | 22 | 262 | 274 | 2 | 276 | 538 |

[^8]TABLE 5 g ．UNITED STATES－HALIBUT LANDED

|  |  |  <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\infty$ |  |
|  | $\forall$ |  |
|  | 25 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ど } \\ & \text { ¢ٌ } \end{aligned}$ |  |  <br>  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { TI } \\ & \frac{5}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  No Novinione ninian innini |
|  | $\sim$ |  |
|  | $\infty$ | 芯앙 rir |
|  | $\pm$ |  |
|  | $\sim$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 䔍 } \\ \text { Non } \end{gathered}$ |  |  <br>  |
| － |  |  <br>  |
|  | $\cdots$ |  |
|  | $\sim$ |  |
|  | $\infty$ |  <br>  |
|  | $\pm$ |  <br>  |
|  | 48 |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { 䒝 }}{\stackrel{y}{*}}$ |  |  <br>  |

SOURCE：Statistics submitted by North Atlantic Fishery Investigations，Fish and Wildlife Service．Recorded in thousand pounds，head on，eviscerated NOTE：Landings from Subarea 1 include some landings from Iceland．Statistics were converted to round fresh weights，using conversion factor $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ ． ＊－Provisional．
TABLE 6 a. DIGEST OF FLOUNDERS STATISTICS FOR THE CONVENTION AREA 1951

| Country | Sub-area |  |  | Sub-area not indicated | Total metric tons | Total million pounds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 4 | 3 |  |  |  |
| Canada |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excluding Nfld. | - | 8,968 | 8,428 | - | 17,396 |  |
| Newfoundland | - | - | 6,865 | $\cdots$ | 6,865 |  |
| Total | - | 8,968 | 15,293 | - | 24,261 | 53.487 |
| Spain Pair Trawler | - | - | - | 110 | 110 | . 241 |
| U. S. A. * | 27,680 | 1,275 | 1,273 | - | 30,228 | 66.643 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 27,680 | 10,243 | 16,566 | 110 | 54,599 | 120.371 |

NOTE: Represents plaice, yellowtail, witch, fluke, lemon sole. * - Provisional
TABLE 6 b .

## CANADA - FLOUNDERS LANDED

In Metric Tons Round Fresh

| CANADA - EXCLUDING NEWFOUNDLAND (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NEWFOUNDLAND (2) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Year | Total | Year | $\underset{4}{\text { Sub-area }}$ | Total | Year | Sub-area |  | Total | Year | $\underset{3}{\text { Sub-area }}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 3 |  |  |  |
| 1910-11 | 582 | 1921 | 99 | 1932 | - | 559 | 1942 | 2,195 | 65 | 2,260 | 1941 | 32 |
| 1911-12 | 365 | 1922 | 145 | 1933 | 661 | 661 | 1943 | 2,293 | 49 | 2,342 | 1942 | 7 |
| 1912-13 | 218 | 1923 | 303 | 1934 | 910 | 910 | 1944 | 1,674 | 16 | 1,690 | 1943 | 4 |
| 1913-14 | 279 | 1924 | 390 | 1935 | 1,086 | 1,086 | 1945 | 2,633 | 65 | 2,698 | 1944 | 37 |
| 1914-15 | 251 | 1925 | 673 | 1936 | 1,448 | 1,448 | 1946 | 3,205 | 65 | 3,270 | 1945 | 208 |
| 1915-16 | 248 | 1926 | 951 | 1937 | 1,880 | 1,880 | 1947 | 2,659 | 44 | 2,703 | 1946 | 289 |
| 1916-17 | 324 | 1927 | 990 | 1938 | 1,673 | 1,673 | 1948 | 3,813 | 137 | 3,950 | 1947 | 284 |
| 1917 | 382 | 1928 | 811 | 1939 | 2,279 | 2,279 | 1949 | 5,394 | 537 | 5,931 | 1948 | 1,297 |
| 1918 | 287 | 1929 | 660 | 1940 | 2,240 | 2,240 | 1950 | 7,524 | 1,189 | 8,713 | 1949 | 3,116 |
| 1919 | 188 | 1930 | 771 | 1941 | 2,603 | 2,603 | 1951 | 8,968 | 8,428 | 17,396 | 1950 | 5,363 |
| 1920 | 164 | 1931 | 516 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1951 | 6,865 |

SOURCES: (1) 1910-11/31: Department of Fisheries, Ottawa. Compiled from official sources and recorded in hundred pounds round weights. 1932-51: 1941-51: Estimated for subareas from official sources by the Newfoundland Fisheries Research Station. Recorded in thousand pounds round
(1) 1932-51: Converted to round fresh weights, using conversion factor 1.20 . (2) In 1939 and 1940 small amounts of less than one metric ton were landed in Newfoundland.
TABLE 6 c . SPAIN - FLOUNDERS LANDED

## In Metric Tons Round Fresh


TABLE 6 d. UNITED STATES - FLOUNDERS LANDED
In Metric Tons Round Fresh

| Year | $\frac{\text { Sub-area }}{5}$ | Year | $\underset{5}{\text { Sub-area }}$ | Year | Sub-area |  |  | Total | Year | Sub-area |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 4 | 3 |  |  | 5 | 4 | 3 |  |
| 1897 | 2,495 | 1911 | - | 1925 | 18,144 | - | - | 18,144 | 1939 | 24,320 |  | * |  |
| 1898 | 2,262 | 1912 | - | 1926 | 21,319 |  | - | 21,319 | 1940 | 29,321 | 1,330 |  | 25,879 30,651 |
| 1899 | - | 1913 | - | 1927 | 22,679 | - | - | 22,679 | 1941 | 34,337 | +755 |  | -35,092 |
| 1900 |  | 1914 | - | 1928 | 26,089 | 3 | - | 26,092 | 1942 | 41,168 | 114 |  | 41,282 |
| 1901 | 2884 | 1915 | 5,443 | 1929 | 25,394 | 27 | - | 25,421 | 1943 | 35,486 | 199 |  | 35,685 |
| 1902 | 2,884 | 1916 | 4,989 | 1930 | 25,131 | 164 | - | 25,295 | 1944 | 31,043 | 397 | - | 31,440 |
| 1903 | - | 1917 | 7,711 | 1931 | 21,956 | 283 | - | 22,239 | 1945 | 29,434 | 2,752 | - | 32,186 |
| 1904 | - | 1918 | 8,165 | 1932 | 19,951 | 233 | - | 20,184 | 1946 | 32,415 | 1,782 | - | 34,197 |
| 1905 | 3,746 | 1919 | 9,000 | 1933 | 19,131 | ${ }_{367}$ | - | 19,498 | 1947 | 33,495 | 1,157 | - | 34,652 |
| 1906 1907 | - | 1920 | 10,886 9.525 | 1934 1935 | 17,186 18,144 | 1,411 2137 | - | 18,597 | 1948 | 33,831 | 1,700 | 57 | 35,588 33663 |
| 1908 | 6,523 | 1922 | 12,247 | 1936 | 18,585 | 2,548 | - | 20,281 | 1949 1950 | 31,005 31,153 | 2,658 $\mathbf{2 , 6 3 4}$ | 552 | 33,663 34,339 |
| 1909 | - | 1923 | 13,608 | 1937 | 22,661 | 2,779 | * | 25,440 | 1951 | 27,680 | 1,275 | 1,273 | $\begin{aligned} & 34,339 \\ & 30,228^{* *} \end{aligned}$ |
| 1910 | - | 1924 | 16,263 | 1938 | 24,649 | 2,163 | 1 | 26,813 |  |  |  |  |  |

SOURCE: Statistics submitted by North Atlantic Fishery Investigations, Fish and Wildlife Service. Recorded in thousand pounds round fish. NOTE: *Less than one metric ton. ** - Provisional.
TABLE 7 a. DIGEST OF OTHER GROUNDFISH STATISTICS FOR THE CONVENTION AREA 1951

| Country |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

NOTE:
$\begin{aligned} & \text { Represents: pollock, whiting, white and red hake, cusk, wolffish, Greenland halibut. } \\ & \text { Provisional. }\end{aligned}$
TABLE 7 b. CANADA - EXCLUDING NEWFOUNDLAND - OTHER GROUNDFISH LANDED

| Year | $\underset{4}{\text { Sub-area }}$ | Year | Sub |  | Total | Year | Sub-area |  | Total | Year | Sub-area |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 4 | 3 |  |  | 4 | 3 |  |  | 4 | 3 |  |
| 1933 | 12,648 | 1938 | 20,005 | - | 20,005 | 1943 | 20,490 | 101 | 20,591 | 1948 | 31,240 | 137 | 31,377 |
| 1934 | 18,186 | 1939 | 16,491 | 381 | 16,872 | 1944 | 21,422 | 944 | 22,366 | 1949 | 23,131 | 238 | 23,369 |
| 1935 | 14,999 | 1940 | 18,062 | 191 | 18,253 | 1945 | 27,965 | 131 | 28,096 | 1950 | 29,145 | 131 | 29,276 |
| 1936 | 19,506 | 1941 | 14,761 | 185 | 14,946 | 1946 | 30,193 | 76 | 30,269 | 1951 | 21,172 | 1,811 | 22,983 |
| 1937 | 25,745 | 1942 | 18,386 | 114 | 18,500 | 1947 | 24,341 | 41 | 24,382 |  |  |  |  |

SOURCES: 1933-1945. Estimates by Department of Fisheries, Ottawa. 1946-1951: Estimates by the Atlantic Biological Station. Recorded in hundred NOTE: Other Groundfish represented are hake, cusk, pollock and catfish. Converted to round fresh weights, using conversion factor 1.20 .
TABLE 7 c. DENMARK - OTHER GROUNDFISH LANDED In Metric Tons Round Fresh
TABLE 7 c. DENMARK - OTHER GROUNDFISH LANDED

In Metric Tons Round Fresh

[^9]TABLE 7 d. ICELAND - OTHER GROUNDFISH LANDED
In Metric Tons Round Fresh

| Sub-area | Year | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOURCE: Statistics submitted by Arni Fridriksson. | Recorded in round fresh weights. |  |

TABLE 7 e. SPAIN - OTHER GROUNDFISH LANDED
In Metric Tons Round Fresh

| Year | Landed by Pair Trawlers Sub-area |  | Landed by Otter Trawlers Sub-area | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 3 | 2-3-4 |  |
| 1951 | 310 | 269 | 2,477 | 3,056 |

SOURCE: Statistics submitted by Jose Maria Guitian y Vieito. Recorded in kilograms of fresh, headed, gutted, backbone removed and in kilograms of
NOTE: Converted to round fresh weights, using conversion factor 2.00 for fresh fish and 2.50 for green salted fish. Amounts represent approximately equal parts of hake and pollock.
TABLE 7 f. UNITED KINGDOM - OTHER GROUNDFISH LANDED

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { SOURCE: Statistics submitted by E. C. Wood. Recorded in cwt. }=112 \mathrm{lb} \text {. } \\ \text { NOTE: } & 1928-47 \text { : Converted to round fresh weights using conversion factor 1.14. 1948-50: Statistics were given on a round fresh basis. 1951: As for }\end{array}$ 1928-47. "Other groundfish landed" represents catfish, pollock, and other groundfish. *-Less than one metric ton.
In Metric Tons Round Fresh NOTE:
TABLE 7 g . UNITED STATES - OTHER GROUNDFISH LANDED

## UNITED STATES - OTHER GROUNDFISH LANDED

In Metric Tons Round Fresh

| In Metric Tons Round Fresh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Sub-area |  |  | Total | Year | Sub-area |  |  | Total | Year | Sub-area |  |  | Total |
|  | 5 | 4 | 3 |  |  | 5 | 4 | 3 |  |  | 5 | 4 | 3 |  |
| 1893 | 27,181 | 4,784 |  | 31,965 | 1913 | 30,772 | 4,939 | 342 | 36,053 | 1933 | 17,402 | 3,896 | - | 21,298 |
| 1894 | 23,973 | 4,414 |  | 28,387 | 1914 | 26,265 | 5,194 | 196 | 31,635 | 1934 | 23,944 | 3,633 | 10 | 27,587 |
| 1895 | 23,814 | 2,078 | - | 25,892 | 1915 | 27,527 | 5,367 | 152 | 33,046 | 1935 | 32,994 | 5,728 | 3 | 38,725 |
| 1896 | 21,000 | 1,165 | - | 22,165 | 1916 | 28,572 | 3,764 | 287 | 32,623 | 1936 | 45,159 | 7,732 | 19 | 52,910 |
| 1897 | 19,493 | 4,037 | - | 23,530 | 1917 | 23,390 | 1,908 | 90 | 25,368 | 1937 | 37,122 | 6,331 | 110 | 43,563 |
| 1898 | 30,104 | 3,687 | - | 33,791 | 1918 | 27,615 | 1,924 | 51 | 29,590 | 1938 | 36,817 | 7,488 | 11 | 44,316 |
| 1899 | 24,855 | 3,433 | - | 28,288 | 1919 | 38,511 | 1,611 | 58 | 40,180 | 1939 | 28,199 | 5,425 | 2 | 33,626 |
| 1900 | 20,150 | 2,174 | 1 | 22,325 | 1920 | 16,604 | 912 | 152 | 17,668 | 1940 | 43,237 | 3,572 4817 | 2 | 46,811 |
| 1901 | 23,783 | 1,782 | 2 | 25,567 | 1921 | 15,712 | 1,047 | 94 | 16,153 16759 | 1941 | 41,185 41,000 | 4,817 2064 | - | 46,002 43,064 |
| 1902 | 33,096 | 2,982 | 45 | 36,123 | 1922 | 15,520 | 1,176 | 63 | 16,759 | 1942 | 41,000 42050 | 2,064 1,366 | - | 43,064 44,316 |
| 1903 | 26,654 | 3,988 | 18 | 30,660 | 1923 | 16,109 | 1,268 | 67 | 17,444 | 1943 | 42,950 | 1,366 |  | 44,316 |
| 1904 | 17,524 | 4,410 | 245 | 22,179 | 1924 | 20,620 | 907 | 65 | 20,592 | 1944 | 41,846 | 3,640 | - | 45,486 |
| 1905 | 29,485 | 4,713 | 97 | 34,295 | 1925 | 20,322 | 1,035 | 2 | 21,359 | 1945 | 48,748 48,658 | 7,719 5 5 | 2 | 56,467 54,231 |
| 1906 | 39,775 | ${ }^{3,847}$ | 45 | 43,667 | 1926 | 21,128 20709 | 858 861 | 5 | 21,991 21,584 | 1946 1947 | 48,658 45,629 | 5,571 1,780 | 2 | 54,231 |
| 1907 1908 | 25,863 35,505 | 6,268 3,649 | 54 81 | 32,185 39,235 | 1927 1928 | 20,709 17,494 | 861 820 | 14 10 | 21,584 18,324 | 1947 1948 | 45,629 60,518 | 1,780 4,535 |  | 65,053 |
| 1908 1909 | 35,505 38,355 | 3,649 2,584 | 81 62 | 39,235 41,001 | 1928 1929 | 17,494 23,582 | 820 1,899 | 10 9 | 18,324 25,490 | 1948 | 60,518 78,744 | 2,371 | 二 | 81,115 |
| 1910 | 34,298 | 4,282 | 39 | 38,619 | 1930 | 28,011 | 2,213 | 21 | 30,245 | 1950 | 52,243 | 2,609 | 4 | 54,856 |
| 1911 | 31,833 | 7,495 | 139 | 38,467 | 1931 | 16,188 | 2,934 | 6 | 19,128 | 1951* | 70,360 | 2,534 | 15 | 72,909 |
| 1912 | 30,045 | 5,720 | 89 | 35,854 | 1932 | 15,866 | 2,732 | - | 18,598 |  |  |  |  |  |

[^10]
[^0]:    1) Prepared for the Second Annual Report International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries, August 12, 1952.
    2) Chief, North Atlantic Fishery Investigations and Director of the Laboratory, Fish and Wildlife Service, Woods Hole, Massachusetts.
[^1]:    1) All mesh sizes referred to in this report are inside dimensions of a used wet net obtained by inserting a flat-wedge-shaped gauge into the mesh under a pressure of 12 pounds.
[^2]:    NOTE：＊－－Provisional

[^3]:    SOURCES: 1896-1946: Statistics of the Catch of Cod off the East Coast of North America to 1926, by Oscar E. Sette, U. S. Bureau of Fisheries Document pounds round fresh weights. 1947-51: Statistics submitted by Commander Tavares de Almeida. Recorded in quintals of wet salted fish. 1947-51: Converted to round fresh weights, using conversion factor 2.50 .

[^4]:    SOURCES: (1) 1910-11/1932: Department of Fisheries, Ottawa. Compiled from official sources and recorded in hundred pounds head on eviscerated eviscerated fish. (2) 1929-30/1951. Estimated for subareas from official sources by the Newfoundland Fisheries Research Station. Recorded in thousand pounds head on eviscerated fish. NOTE: (1), (2) Converted to round fresh weights, using conversion factor 1.14.

[^5]:    SOURCE: Statistics submitted by North Atlantic Fishery Investigations, Fish and Wildlife Service. Recorded in thousand pounds head on eviscerated
    NOTE: Converted to round fresh weight, using conversion factor 1.14. * - Provisional.

[^6]:    SOURCES: (1) 1936-45. Estimated by Department of Fisheries, Ottawa. Recorded in cwt. $=100 \mathrm{lb}$. round fish. 1946-51: Estimated by Atlantic BiologiNOTE: (2) Mainly from Subarea 3; a small unknown portion of the landings came from Subarea 4 in the Gulf of St. Lawrence. From 1938-41, small

[^7]:    SOURCE: (1) 1910-11/1932: Department of Fisheries, Ottawa. Compiled from official sources and recorded in hundred pounds, head on, eviscerated on the basis of head off and eviscerated fish. (2) 1929-30/1951: Estimated for Subarea 3 from official sources by the Newfoundland Fisheries Research Station. Recorded in thousand pounds head on, eviscerated fish. (1) (2) Converted to round fresh weights using conversion factor 1.15 except for Canada - excluding Newfoundland for the years 1940-51, where conversion factor 1.30 was used.

    NOTE:

[^8]:    NOTE: 1928-47: Converted to round fresh weights using conversion factor 1.14. 1948-50: Statistics were given on a round fresh weight basis. 1951: As for 1928-47.

[^9]:    NOTE: (1) The data for $1948-50$ refer to wolffish only. In 1951 wolffish are represented by 5 , and Greenland halibut by 28 metric tons. Wolffish filet data converted to round fresh weight by using conversion factor 3.0. (2) Greenland halibut only. Recorded in yearly mean catch for
    five-year periods $1915-39$.
    SOURCES: (1) Statistics submitted by A. Strubberg. (2) Statistics submitted by Paul Hansen. Recorded in kilograms
    NOTE: (1) The data for $1948-50$ refer to wolffish only. In 1951 wolffish are represented by 5 , and Greenland halibut

[^10]:    $\begin{array}{ll}\text { SOURCE: } & \text { Submitted by North Atlantic Fishery Investigations, Fish and Wildlife Service. Recorded in thousand pounds. } \\ \text { NOTE: } & T h e \text { following conversion factors were used.-Pollock - } 1.14 \text {, white hake - } 1.34 \text {, whiting - } 1.66 \text {, cusk }-1.14 \text {. Data for red hake were }\end{array}$ The following conversion factors were used.-Pollock - 1.14 , white hake - 1.34 , whiting - 1.66 , cusk - 1950 the landings amounted to 26,353 metric tons of whiting and 11,541 metric tons of pollock). * - Provisional.

