<u>rial No. 461</u>

affer feis aufe fauten ber um ber



THE NORTHWEST ATLANTIC FISHERIES

Document No. 19

ANNUAL MEETING - MAY 1957

Recruitment and Mortality of the Marketable Stock of Cod in W. Greenland Waters.

by Erik M. Poulsen

The cod fishery in the bank area off W. Greenland, Subarea 1, is very diversified, being carried out by fishing fleets from nine nations, and by ottertrawl as well as by various kind of hook and line fishing.

Most of the countries fishing in the subarea have, in accordance with the ICNAF research programs, reported data of the samples of their fisheries to ICNAF (ICNAF papers Ser. No. 426). For some countries sample data are available for a series of years prior to 1952.

The material dealt with below is from data reported to ICNAF and published the Research Reports (Ann. Proc. vol 3-6). In a few cases, when material ior to 1952 is used, the data are taken from reports published by ICES Ann. Biol.).

Table 1 gives for all samples by all nations, years 1952-55, (see Table A), the average frequency per mille for each age-group (number of samples in brackets); numbers of individuals are not stated (the total number of specimens amounts to around 50.000):

Table 1. Cod, Subarea 1. Age distribution in $^{\circ}/_{\circ\circ}$. 1952-55, all countries, number of samples in ().

Age, years	3	4	- 5	6	. 7	8	- 9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	_18_	19	20	21	22	23
14 (12)		1	2	12	54	62	86	166	128	112	122	40	30	39	34	54	27	19	9	2	1
1B+C (56)	1	18	113	143	198	148	59	82	72	48	52	13	12	18	8	7	6	2	1	5	
1 D+E (39)	1	14	- 90	116	187	238	57	75	- 79	30	42	17	8	10	14	7	8	5	1	.1	
1 F (12)		19	93	67	127	182	102	132	_90	32	48	26	11	14	19	17	12	4	2	.1	.1
1A-1F	•3	13	75	85	142	158	76	111	92	56	66	24	15	20	19	21	13	8	3.3	•7	-05
" smoothed	.1	14	62	101	134	140	116	95	79	63	50	39	30	23	17	13	10	7	5	.2	.05

The samples are taken during the summer half of the year, some two to eight months after spawning; thus cod shown in table as f.i. 3 years old are in fact $3 \frac{1}{4} - 3 \frac{1}{2}$ years old (III-Gr.).

Fig.1 shows these frequencies in graphic form. The bottom curve (also smoothed) gives the age distribution for the whole subarea. Up to the eighth year recruitment is stronger than mortality; from then on the strength of the age-groups decreases; mortality (including emigration) is stronger than recruitment. Very few cod enter the fishery at ages 3 and 4, it is only from an age of 5 years that the cod are caught in appreciable numbers. The oldest cod in the samples are 23 years old; they are exceedingly few; none of the agegroups older than 16 years yields more than 2%.

The age distribution differs to some degree from subdivision to subdivision. In the northern subdivision, 1A, recruitment is stronger than mortality right up to age 10. The recruitment over the ages 4-8 is here much smaller than in the central and southern subdivisions; compensating this the number of individuals of older ages are considerably higher.

The age distribution in B+C and D+E is very much alike with a steep recruitment curve, with peaks at 7-8 years, and with a strong decline in numbers after that age. The curve of age distribution for the southernmost subdivision F is intermediate between A and B-E.

From the right declining parts of the curves a crude calculation of total mortality can be made. Crude only because it is not based on a follow' of the separate year-classes through the years. However the fact that the percentages used are means of 4 consecutive years of observations to some degree compensates this deffect. Using this crude method on the figures in table 1 (both the observed (obs) and the smoothed (sm.) figures) from the 8th year we get the following mortality rates:

......

Age 8 - 2 - 10 - 11 - 3 Mort.obs 52 +46 17 35 Mort.sm. 17 18 19 20	2 - 13 - 14 - 15 - +18 64 30 +33 21 22 23 23	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	- 19 - 20 - 36 38 3 25 30 2	21 - 22 - 2 8 86 86 9 60 75	3 Total ce cs	Mortality 25% 29%
	1A 1B+C 1D+E	If wnfcntmrcomt mIfpt	t is obvi igures th ith incre oted that or the ol ases are umbers of herefore inus figu ight up t onsiderin ldest yea ind, and ality fig ight not f the mea or each o eriods th ality are	ous from t at the mor asing age. the morts dest age-g based on r specimens vary very res for mo to the olde g the figurs the increa- ures for to be an estan mortalit f the three e followin found:	the two tality It is lity f roups ather at	lines increa to be igures in many small that th In fact the y occur s. Whe r the borne the mo ears i fact. alculat ars s of mo
5	1F 1A-F		5 years p age 8/9 t " 13 /14 " 18/19	eriod to 12/13 to 17/18 to 22/23	obs. 8 11 57	sm. 19 24 44 -
years 6 8 10	12 14 16 18	20	all ages		27	27

Fig. 1. Age distribution curves. Cod. Subarea 1, all samples, years 1952-55; with smoothed curve for the whole subarea (from Table 1).

us from the two lines of t the mortality increase: sing age. It is to be the mortality figures est age-groups in many ased on rather small specimens, and that they ary very much. In fact es for mortality occur the oldest ages. Whe the figures for the s this must be borne 🔆 🎙 he increase in the mo_____ res for these years e an established fact. mortality is calculated the three 5-years following rates of morfound :

stig og

5 years period	obs.	SM.
age 8/9 to 12/13	8	19
" 13 /14 to 17/18	11	24
" 18/19 to 22/23	57	HH TLAT
all ages	25	29

For the ages after the 13th year, when recruitment may be considered as completed, the following mortali ty rates are found:

34% from observed figures:

34% н. smoothed figures:

A certain check on these mortality rates is possible by using those separate fisheries from which sufficient sampling has been carried out.

For the Norwegian line fishery observations on age frequency are available for the years 1948-55 (Table B). From this table the following crude mortality rates are calculated from age 8 on for the years 1948-55 and for 1952-55, this latter for direct comparison with the ICNAF material from the · 1 same years:

8 = 9 = 10 = 11 = 12 = 13 = 14 = 15 = 16 = 17 = 18 = 19 = 20 = 21 = 22Age 24 43 22 0 14 413 31 33 47 25 47 75 50 63 39 476 14 24 27 67 410 +3 45 +31 62 75 50 1948-55 39 +76 1952-55

12.

. . . .

· • •

- (am 1.16 The figures for 1948-55 show a smaller irregularity of the variations ver the years than in the ICNAF material and in the Norwegian material for nly the years 1952-55.

The average mortality rates over 5 years periods are given below for the Norwegian material; the corresponding figures for the ICNAF material are shown for comparison:

Ages	Norway 1948-55	Norway 1952-55	ICNAF 1952-55
8/9 to 12/13	11	6	8
13/14 to 17/18	25	14	· 11
18/19 to 21/22	47	62	57
13/14 to 21/22	40	32	34
all ages	29	22	25

•

The Norwegian figures for 1948-55 show somewhat higher mortalities than the ICNAF figures; apart from ages 13/14 to 17/18 the difference is, however, not large. The Norwegian figures for 1952-55 coincide very closely with those for all ICNAF countries for the same years.

Another comparison can be made with the material from the Danish research "ssel "Dana" from the years 1952-55. This material can be devided by subvisions. The table below gives from age 8 the frequency percentages and r the mortality rates calculated from them(based on Table A):

Fr.% Mort. •C Fr.% 13.3 " Mort. •E Fr:% 20.5 6.5 6.3 3.0 2.9 1.3 1.4 1.2 1.1 0.7 3-9 0.4 0.3 0.2 +8 14 8 36 " Mort. 68 23 3 55 35 25 3 43 33 12.8 9.8 5.8 3.1 1.4 1.8 2.8 0.3 Fr.% 11.0 11.8 4.3 2.6 1.7 0.7 0.1 8 47 416 17 56 +35 55 +22 +56 Mart. 7 35 59 57 67 -F Mort. 30 +16 12 24 +12 60 22 10 + 26 48 14 28 37 70 jrt. 69 4 19 18 +3 65 2 32 + 21 43 22 29 42

When these mortality rates are calculated for the same periods of ages as for the above-treated samples the following results are found:

Subdivision	A	B+C	D+E	F	BCDE	A-F
Age 8/9 to 12/13	+18	16	26	6	21	8
" 13/14 to 17/18	10	27 /	21	6	24	16
" 18/19 to 21/23	50	28	34	55	31.	50
" 13/14 to 21/23	31	27	26	27	27	. 33
All Ages	14	23	26	19	25	25

The agreement with the mortality rates for the ICNAF and Norwegian material is fairly good:

area 1, Ages	8/9 to 12/13	13/14 to 17/18	18/19 to 22/23	13/14 to 22/23	All Ages
AF 1952-55	8	11	57	34	25
Norwegian 1952-55	6	14	62	32	22
"Dana" 1952-55	8	16	50	33	25

- 14

All three series show an increased mortality with increasing age (cpr. also Fig. 2). The rather low "mortality" during the ages 8-12 is no doubt due to a considerable recruitment occurring during these years. If we consider the recruitment as completed at age 13, we get for the three series the following "true mortality" for the ages after the 13th year: 34, 32, 33. It must, however, be borne in mind that these figures are not just mortality rates; they include changes caused by immigration and emigration.

. . 4. . .



Fig.2- Comparison of mortality rates by ages of the material from the Norwegian line fishery, the "Dana" hook fishery, and from "all fisheries" by ICNAF countries 1952-55.

It can be noted that the "Dana" material which includes data from 5 subdivisions show some variations between mortality from one subdivi-sion to another. In the northermost subdivision A there is no "mortality' for the ages 8/9 to 12/13, the figure + 18 denoting an increase; for the next period the mortality is low only 10. To a somewhat lesser degree this holds good also for Subdiv. F, where the mortality rates for these two periods are low, 6 and 6. For these two subdivisions althe mean mortality for all the a (older than 8) is lower than in the central subdivisions: Mean A+F 16 ..., mean B+C+D+E 24.5. It might be that these differences are due to the variation in the intensity of the fishery. Total landings were in 1955 distributed over the subdivisions as follows:

 1A
 1B
 1C
 1D
 1E
 1F
 ?
 Total

 Tons,Round Fresh
 1,364
 55,629
 36,054
 91,388
 6,526
 8,269
 66,088
 265,318

The 66,088 not distributed by subdivisions were landed as follows:

Farces - 35,811 Iceland - 9,180 Norway - 21,097

Iceland and the Faroes do not fish in A. When the Faroes and Iceland ilandings are distributed between B-F in proportion to the abovecited landings and the Norwegian landing between A-F in proportion to those Norwegian landings which are distributed by subdivisions, the following adjusted land-ug appear:

	1A	1B	10	1 D	18	1F	Total
Tons, Round Fresh	2,098	72,956	48,010	120,520	11,187	10,547	265 , 318

The landings from 1A are thus quite negligible compared to those from B, C and D, and some 5 times smaller than the landings from E and F. This is not due to a thinner stock in those areas of 1A where cod fishery is carried out. The catch per unit of effort is not smaller in 1A than in the other subdivisions:

Norway, line fishery, tons per day fished

1953	1954	1955
7.8	6.7	7.0
6.2	6.7	8.3
7.6	8.4	8.5
7.4	8.4	8.5
4.3	12.4	9.8
6.0	10.6	7.7
	1953 7.8 6.2 7.6 7.4 4.3 6.0	1953 1954 7.8 6.7 6.2 6.7 7.6 8.4 7.4 8.4 4.3 12.4 6.0 10.6

The very small landings from 1A must therefore be due to the very small[~] effort spent on cod fishery, this again indicating that the cod stock there is nearly "virgin", and that fishing mortality therefore only can account

· · · · · · 5/

B5

for a small part of the total mortality.

The mean mortality for all ages older than 8 is in 1A 14 against in 1B to -1 25. Stipulating that the cod stock in 1A is virgin and living under the same conditions as in B-E, it means that fishing mortality in B-E would be 11. This figure can be compared with the 10% recaptures during the first year from Danish tagging experiments.

The above mentioned mortalities for the separate subdivisions are based on the "Dana" material only. When the material for "all countries" is subdivided by subdivisions the following figures appear:

			<u> </u>	B+C	D+E	F	BCDE	A-F
Ages	8/9 to	12/13	+19	12	12	- 8	12	3
18	13/14 "	17/18	. 3	20	22	18	21	16
**	18/19 "	22/23	49	50	49	60	50	52
11	13/14 "	22/23	26	33	34	36	34	32
all	ages	-	12	26	26	ž6	26	2 <u>3</u>

These figures show about the same variations in mortality rates by subdivisions as the "Dana" material; Figure 3 shows a comparison for "all ages".





When, as a standard of mortality are taken the figures for subdivisions B, C, D and E, where the main fishery is carried out, we get the following survey: all countries "Dana"

Age 8/9 to 22/23 26 26 " 13/14 " 22/23 34 28

This gives for W. Greenland cod a mortality rate of 26 for cod older than 8 years, and for cod older than 13 years (recruitment completed) of 28-34.

The mortality rates discussed in the preceding pages are all calculated just from the differences between strength of age-groups in samples from series of years. This method is - as already mentioned - a rather crude .ne. As a more refined method is generally considered the calculation of the total number fished of each age-group per fishing unit, and the calcution of the difference in strength of the same class from one year to other.

For the Norwegian line fishery samples as well as statistical data on efforts are available for a series of years; thus this fishery can be used for a more refined calculation.

Norwegian Line Fishery

Year	No.of Days Fished	Tons of Cod Found Fresh	Mean Length of Cod	Mean Weight of Cod	No. of indi- viduals	Tons Lended per 1 day's	No.of Individuals Landed per
	FIBLIEG	Cauena	<u>in cm.</u>	in Grams	caught	fishery	1 day's lishery
1952	3,226	15,158	76	3,600	5,322,000	5.94	1,650
1953	2,254	15,898	73	3,050	5,212,000	7.05	2,313
1954	2,405	18,538	74	3,300	5,618,000	7.71	2,336
1955	2,100	17,093	74	3,300	5,180,000	8.14	2,467

In table C are shown a calculation of the number of individuals caught per 1 day's fishing based on the figures in the table above, and on the age distribution in the samples.

The table gives the following mean figures for recruitment and mortality om age 8:

Age8-9-10-11-12-13-14-15-16-17-18-19-20-*21-22Mort.+51+713222425(27)16453729+288(33)

It appears from the table that right up to the 11th year recruitment is stronger than mortality: from that are and onwards a gradual increase of themortality rate is found.

When mean mortality is calculated for the same periods of ages as for the preceding cases the following figures appear:

-		Norw, 1:	ine fi	ishery	"Dana"	B+C
Age 8/9 to	12/13		£3	-	ц	
" 13/14 "	17/18	•	30		22	
" 18/19 "	21/22		31		37	
" 8/9 "	21/22		19		ĬŔ	
" 13/14 "	21/22		34		30	

For comparison are shown the corresponding figures for the samples of the experimental "Dana" fishery in B-C, i.e. the subdivisions where also the main Norwegian fishery and sampling are carried out. The rates calculated from these two different kinds of material and in two different ways are fairly close to one another, especially for the two last series of figures comprising the ages from 8 years and from 13 years and upwards.

Comparing these "refined" figures for the Norwegian line fishery with crude figures we get the following survey:

	Crude calculation	for	refined calculation
Subdivision	"all countries"	"Dana"	Norway line fishery
BCDE 8-23 years	26	26	
" 13-23 "	34	28	
A-F 8∸23 "	17	22	22
" 13 ~ 23 "	26	30	33

This gives for the W. Greenland cod stock a mortality of from 17-26 for all ages from 8 and upwards and from 26-33 for all ages from 13 and upwards.

The mortality is rather low compared to that found for some other cod stocks. This is of course due to the rather slow growth of the Greenland cod, and to the fact (connected with slow growth) that the fishery is carried out on a comparatively large number of age groups.

Only few cod samples have been reported to the Commission from the other subareas; these by France, Portugal and Spain. From these the following mortality rates are calculated:

					Subdi	vision	21 (9	outher	n Labi	ador	}			
Ages	3 -	- 4 _	5	- 6	- 7	- 8 -	- 9	- 10	- 11	- 12	- 13	- 14	- 15	- 16
1952, French	0.6	6.1	20.4	24.2	21.1	12.1	6.4	3.1	2.4	1.1	0.8	0.9	0.6	0.2
1955, Port.	0.8	2.0	7.2	10.8	15.3	19.7	11.2	17.3	11.6	1.6	2.0	0.4		
Meen	0.7	4.1	13.8	17.5	18.2	15.9	8.8	10.2	7.0	1.4	1.4	0.7	0.3	0.1
Mortality	+48	36 +23	7 +2	27 +	.4]	13 -4	5 +1	.6 -3	1 -8	3O	0	50 -5	7 -	67 -

For the old ages (14+) the mortality is not calculated owing to the very scarce material.

Although one can not rely too much on these mortality rates based on so very few samples, it is perhaps worth noting that they differ considerably from those for Subarea 1. The fishery in Subareas 2 and 3 is based on a smaller number of age-groups and on younger age-groups than is the case in Soft area 1. Therefore the mortality rates are considerably higher. Mortality overrates recruitment at a younger age; in Subarea 1 from age 8-9, in Subarea 2 from age 7-8, and is 3 from age 6-7. The mean mortality for the series of ages after the age when mortality overrates recruitment is as follows:

.....7/

. . ,

B 7

Subarea "	1 2 3	(age (age (age	8/9 7/8 6/7	to to to	22/23) 15/16 12/13)	-	са	26 36 39					
When the		ame a	iges	are	compar-	eđ	the	difference	of	course	is	larger:	·
Subarea	1	(age	8/9	-]	(2/13)	÷		6			•		1
11	2	(Ú.		")	÷		28					
11	3	(11		۰Ľ			38					1

.......

The big difference in mortality rates between the cod of W. Greenland and that of the Grand Bank is no doubt connected with the much slower growth in W. Greenland. The Labrador cod is even more slowgrowing than the W. Greenland cod (see ICNAF Serial No. 429). One should therefore expect its mortality rates to be lower, and the older ages-group to play a bigger role than in W. Greenland. This is, however, not so. The Labrador cod in fact holds a position intermediate between the stocks of W. Greenland and the Grand Bank. The explanation of this inconsistency may well be that considerable emigration takes place from the Labrador area, possibly to the Newfoundland area. In this connection it should be borne in mind that the term "mortality" as used here and elsewhere (in fisheries literature) is rather misleading. It is not a rate of mortality, but just a rate of change, decrease, this decrea-• being caused partly by mortality (natural and fishery) partly by emiation, or counteracted by immigration. When using the so called refined 'alculation, including the number of individuals caught per unit of effort, e further make the mortality rates dependent on such irrelevant factors as change from year to year in fishing effectivity (in gears, vessels, experience) or in the conditions for fishing, f.i. winds, ice.

Mortality rate, in the way it is calculated, is not an unchanging quality of a certain stock of fish, not even over a very short period of years; it is depending on a mixture of certain charackteristics of that stock and changing outside factors working on the stock. The refined calculation of mortality for the Norwegian line fishery (Table C) shows to what a degree such mortality figures can change from one year to another:

Ages	10/11	to	13/14
1952/53		3	
1953/54		18	
1954/55		29	

This gradual, but strong, increase of mortality rate during 1952 to 1955, can hardly be attributed to the stock of cod itself, or to changes of the conditions for its living during these years. There is, however, some ason to connect it with changes in the efficiency of the fishing. During .nese years the fishery by floating lines became more and more developed, and the yield in tons and number of individuals caught per 1 day fishing increased considerably:

1952	-	6.0	tons	or	1650	ind.
1953	-	7.1	tons	or	23 13	ind.
1954	a	7.7	tons	or	2352	ind.
1955	œ	8.1	tons	or	2472	ind.

-

Total mortality for the W. Greenland cod older than 8 years is around 25%. From tagging experiments a percentage of ca 10% is reported for the first year. Owing to the amount of recaptures this figure is too low; considerations (see Ser. No. 439) have led to a figure of 20% as a more true one. If we take the mean of these two figures 15%, the Total Mortality for W. Greenland cod can be devided as follows: Natural Mortality 10%, Fishing Mortality 15%.

B8

The following conclusive remarks are made as an attempt to show - based on the mortality rates found for Subarea 1 cod - the effects on the landings which could be expected from an increase of age of first capture.

The youngest age-group now of some importance to the cod fishery on the W. Greenland banks is the V-Gr.

Table D shows the calculations of the effects on landings from an increase of age of first capture from age 5 to age 7. The calculations are following the lines of the example given in "Report of the ad hoc Committee established at the 4th Meeting of the Permanent Commission" p.13.

In W. Greenland waters recruitment is still strong for ages 5 to 8, in cases even for older ages. Therefore "natural mortality" (recruitment minus natural mortality) is positive for a few years after age 5, and small only for still some years.

For the ages 5, 6 and 7 "natural mortality" is, using the figures for age distribution, estimated to +115, +165, and +25 respectively. For the older ages two methods have been used: one (a) a fixed natural mortality of 10% for all following ages, and another gliding scale with increasing natural mortality for the older ages. Fishing mortality is fixed at 15% for all ages, which gives with the 10% of natural mortality of the fixed scale and with the mean of the rates of mortality of the gliding scale a total mortality of 25%.

The calculations give the following yields from 1000 fish at age 5 + recruits from older ages. The detailed calculations for "starting fishery at age 6 and 8" are not shown in the main table:

Mortality Scale		Gliding			Fixed	
Catch	No.of Cod	Weight Kgs.	Ind.Weight	No.of Cod	Weight Kgs.	Ind.weight
Start.Fish at age 5	3,264	12,974	4.0	2,929	11,255	3.8
" 6				2,986	11,832	
" 7	3,327	14,240	4.3	2,930	12,201	4.2
" 8				2,713	12,005	
Increase age 5 to 7	63	1,266		1	946	
N H H M		9			8	

By delaying fishery to start at age 7 an increase in yield of 8-9% is calculated. The gliding and the fixed scale for natural mortality give very much the same percentage increase but the catch figures are a little higher for the gliding than for the fixed scale. Delaying fishery even more, to age 8, will give no further gain.

~ THE END -

ω¢.

- 1

•••

TABLE A -	Cod. Su	iberea	.]. Age	distr:	ibution	in frequ	ency pe	rcen	tages :	from s	amples	reported	to I	CNAF	1952-55.
	The fig	jures '	behind	name o:	f country	y denote	number	of	sample	s.(The	Danish	samples	from	h the	God theab
	Fjord a	irea e:	xcluded).											

Age, years34567891011121314151617181920212213'1922-Ormerk(4)0.30.526510289951183120.20.30.50.20.1'1953Denmark(2)1273834512410.5102910.50.2210.50.2210.50.2210.50.2210.50.2210.50.2210.50.2210.50.210.50.210.50.210.50.210.50.210.50.210.50.210.50.210.50.2110.50.210.50.2110.50.210.50.2110.40.510.20.2110.40.50.30.50.60.110.40.10.40.10.40.50.30.50.60.110.40.50.30.50.60.110.60.50.50.50.50.50.50.50.50.50.50.50.50.50.50.5 <th></th> <th></th> <th>_</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>and set of the local division of the second set of the second sec</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>-</th> <th></th> <th>and the second sec</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>_</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>			_							and set of the local division of the second set of the second sec			-		and the second sec			_				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Age, yes	urs <u>3</u>	4	5	6	7_	_8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1/ 1952-	-Denmark(4)	0.3	0.5	2	6	5	10	28	9	9	5	1	1	8	3	12	0.2				-
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ана н	Norwey(3)		0.4	1	5	4	15	34	12	6	6		1	6	2	7	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.1	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1953	Denmark(2)			; 1	2	- 7	3	8	34	5	12	4	1	0.5	10	2	9	1	0.5		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1954	# (2)			2	14	3	13	1	6	29	4	8	3	1	0.2	6	0.2	8	1		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1955	n (1)	_				12	2	12	3	7	34	7	9	4	2		4	0.1	3	1	0.1
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1A-1952/	55 Mean	0.1	0.2	1,2	5.4		2 8.	6 16.6	12.8	11.2	12,2	4.5	3.0	3.9	3.4	5.4	2.7	1.9	0.9	0.2	0.1
<pre>" Iceland(2) 0.2 4 51 11 21 4 2 4 1 1 1 0.5 0.3 0.5 0.2 0.2 0.5 " Morway(2) 0.3 0.5 3 8 11 36 7 4 9 2 13 0.1 1 0.4 1953 Denmark(12) 0.8 7 56 4 11 3 2 10 2 1 0.8 0.1 0.1 2 0.6 " Norway(1) 0.9 19 5 10 5 9 29 6 4 3 0.6 2 3 2 0.9 0.1 " Portugal(1) 1 7 38 11 11 8 7 6 2 2 1 2 0 1 1 0.6 0.3 0.1 1954 Denmark(8) 9 2 12 60 3 7 1 2 3 0.3 0.3 0 0.3 0 0.2 0 0.3 " Iceland(1) 3 3 18 62 6 5 1 0 0.5 2 0.1 0.1 " Norway(4) 0.1 3 26 4 10 6 8 18 6 4 4 0.5 3 3 2 1.2 0 0.1 1955 Denmark(11) 1.6 17 3 8 35 4 5 2 5 12 2 3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.2 0.6 0.6 0.4 0.2 " Norway(3) 0.6 0.5 8 36 8 9 6 7 17 3 2 1 0.1 0.2 0.6 0.6 0.4 0.2 " Norway(3) 0.6 0.5 8 36 8 9 6 7 17 3 2 1 0.1 0.2 0.5 0.2 0.7 0.1 " Portugal(4) 5 3 8 45 6 11 13 5 10 2 0.8 0.7 0.6 0.2 0.7 0.1 " Iceland(1) 1 45 8 35 3 3 4 0.5 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.2 0.5 0.2 0.7 0.1 " Iceland(1) 1 45 8 35 3 3 4 0.5 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.2 0.5 0.2 0.7 0.1 " Iceland(1) 1 45 8 35 3 3 4 0.5 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.2 0.5 0.2 0.7 0.1 " Iceland(1) 1 45 8 35 3 3 4 0.5 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.2 0.5 0.2 0.7 0.1 " Iceland(1) 1 45 8 35 3 3 4 0.5 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.2 0.5 0.2 0.7 0.1 " Iceland(1) 0.1 0 1 40 10 36 3 3 12 1 2 0.5 1 1.5 2 0.4 0.2 " Iceland(1) 0.1 0 1 40 10 36 3 3 5 0.4 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.7 0.1 0.1 " Horway(1) 1 5 5 8 4 6 29 5 7 0.4 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.3 " Iceland(1) 0.1 0 1 40 10 36 3 3 5 0.4 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.7 0.1 0.1 " Horway(1) 1 5 5 8 4 6 29 5 7 0.4 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.7 0.1 0.1 " Horway(1) 1 5 5 8 4 6 29 5 7 0.4 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.7 0.1 0.1 " Horway(1) 1 5 5 8 4 6 29 5 7 0.4 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.7 0.1 0.1 " Iceland(1) 0.1 0 1 40 10 36 3 3 5 0.4 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.7 0.1 0.1 " Horway(1) 1 5 5 8 4 6 29 5 7 0.4 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.8 0.4 0.3 " Farces(1) 3 7 12 47 11 15 2 1 3 0.4 " Iceland(1) 0.3 0.3 2 10 3 14 3 12 32 3 4 2 0 0.5 1 0.4 0.2 0.1 0.8 0.4 0.3 " Farces(1) 3 7 12 47 11 15 2 1 3 0.4 " Iceland(1) 3 16 10 44 3 11 5 2 1 3 0.4 " Iceland(1) 3 16 10 44 3 11 5 2 1 3 0.4 " Iceland(1) 3 16 10 44 3 11 5 2 1 3 0.4 " Iceland(1) 3 16 10 44 3 11 5 2 1 3 0.4 " Iceland(1) 3 16 10 44 3 11 5 2 1 3 0.4 " Iceland(1) 3 16 10 44 3 11 5 2 1 3 0.4 " Iceland(1) 3 16 10 44 3 11 5 2 1 3 0.4 " Icelan</pre>	1B+C-195	2-Denmark(7)	3	43	8	22	4	2	7	1	3	0.9	0.6	0.1	1	0.1	0.4	0.1				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Ħ	Iceland(2) 0.2	4	51	11	21	4	2	4	1	1	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.5					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0	Norway(2)		0.3	0.5	3	8	11	36	7	4	9		2	13	0.1	1	0.4				
"Norwey(1) 0.9 19 5 10 5 9 29 6 4 3 0.6 2 3 2 0.9 0.1 "Portugal(1) 1 7 38 11 11 8 7 6 2 2 1 2 0 1 1 0.6 0.3 0.1 1954 Denmark(8) 9 2 12 60 3 7 1 2 3 0.3 0.3 0 0.3 0 0.2 0 0.3 "Icelend(1) 3 3 18 62 6 5 1 0.5 2 0.1 0.1 "Norwey(4) 0.1 3 26 4 10 6 8 18 6 4 4 0.5 3 3 2 1.2 0 0.1 1955 Denmark(1) 1.6 17 3 8 35 4 5 2 5 12 2 3 0.3 0.2 0.6 0.6 0.4 0.2 "Norwey(3) 0.6 0.5 8 36 8 9 6 7 17 5 2 1 0.1 0.2 0.5 0.2 0.7 0.1 "Portugal(4) 5 3 8 45 6 11 13 5 10 2 0.8 0.9 0.5 0.2 0.5 0.2 0.7 0.1 "Portugal(4) 5 3 8 45 6 11 13 5 10 2 0.8 0.9 0.5 0.2 0.7 0.1 "Portugal(4) 5 3 8 45 6 11 13 5 10 2 0.8 0.9 0.5 0.2 0.7 0.1 "Iceland(1) 1 45 8 35 3 3 4 0.5 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.2 0.5 0.2 0.7 0.1 "Iceland(1) 1 45 8 35 3 3 4 0.5 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.2 0.5 0.2 0.1 "Iceland(1) 1 45 8 35 3 3 4 0.5 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.3 0.1 "Iceland(1) 0.1 0 1 40 10 36 3 3 5 0.4 0.2 0.4 0.2 0.1 0.3 "Iceland(1) 0.1 0 1 40 10 36 3 3 5 0.4 0.2 0.4 0.2 0.1 0.3 "Norwey(1) 1 5 5 8 4 6 29 5 7 0.1 0.1 0.7 0.1 0.1 "Norwey(1) 1 5 5 8 4 6 29 5 7 0.1 1 4 7 3 5 3 "Norwey(1) 1 5 5 8 4 6 29 5 7 0.1 1 4 7 3 5 3 "Norwey(1) 1 5 5 8 4 6 29 5 7 0.1 1 4 7 3 5 3 "Norwey(1) 1 5 7 8 4 6 29 5 7 0.1 1 4 7 3 5 3 "Norwey(1) 1 5 7 8 4 6 29 5 7 0.1 1 4 7 3 5 3 "Norwey(1) 1 5 7 8 4 6 29 5 7 0.1 1 4 7 3 5 3 "Norwey(1) 1 5 7 8 4 6 29 5 7 0.1 1 4 7 3 5 3 "Norwey(1) 1 5 7 8 4 6 29 5 7 0.1 1 4 7 3 5 3 "Norwey(1) 3 7 12 47 11 15 2 1 3 0.4 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.8 0.4 0.3 "Farces(1) 3 7 12 47 11 15 2 1 3 0.4 4 "Iceland(1) 3 16 10 44 3 11 5 2 6 0.5 0.5 "Norwey(2) 2 0.1 0.7 0.6 "Iceland(1) 3 16 10 44 3 11 5 2 6 0.5 0.5 "Norwey(2) 2 0.1 0.7 0.6	1953	Denmark(12)	0.8	7	56	4	11	3	2	10	2	1	0.8	0.1	0.1	2		0.6				
<pre>" Portugal(1) 1 7 38 11 11 8 7 6 2 2 1 2 0 1 1 0.6 0.3 0.1 1954 Denmark(8) 9 2 12 60 3 7 1 2 3 0.3 0.3 0 0.3 0 0.2 0 0.3 " Iceland(1) 3 3 18 62 6 5 1 0.5 2 0.1 0.1 " Norwey(4) 0.1 3 26 4 10 6 8 18 6 4 4 0.5 3 3 2 1.2 0 0.1 1955 Denmark(11) 1.6 17 3 8 35 4 5 2 5 12 2 3 0.3 0.2 0.6 0.6 0.4 0.2 " Norwey(3) 0.6 0.5 8 36 8 9 6 7 17 5 2 1 0.1 0.2 0.5 0.2 0.7 0.1 " Portugal(4) 5 3 8 45 6 11 13 5 10 2 0.8 0.9 0.5 0.5 0.2 0.7 0.1 <u>" Portugal(4) 5 3 8 45 6 11 13 5 10 2 0.8 0.9 0.5 0.5 0.2 0.7 0.1 " Iceland(1) 1 45 8 35 3 3 4 12 2 2 2 1 3 1.2 1.8 0.8 0.7 0.6 0.2 0.1 0.1 U*E-1952-Denmark(4) 0.8 16 4 41 8 4 12 2 2 2 2 1 1 2 2 2 0.7 0.1 " Iceland(1) 1 45 8 35 3 3 4 0.5 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.3 0.1 " Iceland(1) 0.1 0 1 40 10 36 3 3 5 0.4 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.3 0.1 " Norwey(1) 1 5 5 8 4 6 29 5 7 .0 1 4 7 3 5 3 " Portugal(3) 0.3 2 10 3 14 3 12 32 3 4 2 1 5 1 2 1.4 0.6 0.2 1954 Denmark(9) 7 7 6 44 5 16 2 1 8 0.6 1 0.4 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.8 0.4 0.3 " Farces(1) 3 7 12 47 31 15 2 1 8 0.6 1 0.4 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.8 0.4 0.3 " Iceland(1) 3 16 10 44 3 11 5 2 1 3 0.4 " Iceland(1) 3 16 10 44 3 11 5 2 0.5 1 5 2.4 3 1.0 0.2 0.1 0.8 0.4 0.3 " Iceland(1) 3 16 10 44 3 11 5 2 1 0.5 1 0.5 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.8 0.4 0.3 " Iceland(1) 3 16 10 44 3 11 5 2 1 0.5 1 0.4 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.8 0.4 0.3 " Iceland(1) 3 7 12 47 31 15 2 1 0.5 1 0.4 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.8 0.4 0.3 " Iceland(1) 3 7 12 47 31 15 2 1 0.5 1 0.4 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.8 0.4 0.3 " Iceland(1) 3 7 12 47 31 15 2 1 0.5 1 0.4 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.8 0.4 0.3 " Iceland(1) 3 7 12 47 31 15 2 1 0.5 1 0.4 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.8 0.4 0.3 " Iceland(1) 3 16 10 44 3 11 5 2 1 0.5 1 0.4 0.2 0.1 0.8 0.4 0.3 " Iceland(1) 3 16 10 44 3 11 5 2 1 0.5 1 0.2 0.1 0.7 0.6 " Iceland(1) 3 16 10 44 3 11 5 2 1 0.3 0.4</u></pre>	н	Norway(1)		0.9	19	5	10	5	9	29	6	4	3	0.6	2	3	2	0.9	0.1			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	tr	Portugal(1) 1		7	38	11	11	8	7	6	2	2		1	2	0	1	1	0.6	0.3	0.1	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1954	Denmark(8)	9	2	12	60	3	7	1	2	3	0.3	0.3	0	0.3	0	0.2	0	0.3			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Ħ	Iceland(1)	3	3	18	62	6	5	1	0.5	2	0.1		0.1								
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11	Norway(4)		0.1	3	26	4	10	6	8	18	6	4	4	0.5	3	3	2	1.2	0	0.1	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1955	Denmark(11)	1.6	17	3	8	35	4	5	2	5	12	2.	3	0.3	0.2	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.2		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	H	Norway(3)		0.6	0.5	8	36	8	9	6	7	17	5	2	1	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.7	0.1	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11	Fortugal(4)		5	3	8	45	6	1.1	13	. 5	10	2	0.8	0.9	0.5		0.5		0.4		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	IE+C-195	2/55 Mean 0.1	1.8	11.3	14.3	19.8	14.	8 5.	9 8.2	7.2	4.8	5,2	1.3	1.2	1.8	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.2	0.1	0.1	
"Iceland(1) 1 45 8 35 3 3 4 $0.5 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.3 0.1$ 1953 Damark(5) 2 3 29 5 35 4 3 12 1 2 $0.6 1 1.5 2 0.4 0.2$ "Iceland(1) 0.1 0 1 40 10 36 3 3 5 $0.4 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.7 0.1 0.1$ "Norway(1) 1 5 5 8 4 6 29 5 7 $0.1 4 7 3 5 3$ "Portugal(3) 0.3 2 10 3 14 3 12 32 3 4 2 1 5 1 2 1.4 0.6 0.2 1954 Denmark(9) 7 7 6 44 5 16 2 1 8 $0.6 1 0.4 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.8 0.4 0.3$ "Farces(1) 3 7 12 47 11 15 2 1 3 $0.4 4$ 1955 Denmark(7) 2 17 13 8 $34 2 8 0.5 1 5 2.4 3 1.0 0.2 0.1 0.7 0.6$ "Iceland(1) 3 16 10 44 3 11 5 2 6 $0.5 1 5 2.4 3 1.0 0.2 0.1 0.7 0.6$	1D+E-195	2-Denmark(4)	0.8	16	4	41	8	4	12	2	2	2		1	2	2	2		0.7	0.1		-
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	*	Iceland(1)	1	45	8	35	3	3	4	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3		0.1					
"Iceland(1) 0.1 0 1 40 10 36 3 3 5 0.4 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.7 0.1 0.1 "Norway(1) 1 5 5 8 4 6 29 5 7 0 1 4 7 3 5 3 "Portugal(3) 0.3 2 10 3 14 3 12 32 3 4 2 1 5 1 2 1.4 0.6 0.2 1954 Denmark(9) 7 7 6 44 5 16 2 1 8 0.6 1 0.4 0.2 0.1 0.8 0.4 0.3 "Farces(1) 3 7 12 47 11 15 2 1 3 0.4 1955 Denmark(7) 2 17 13 8 34 2 8 0.5 1 5 2.4 3 1.0 0.2 0.1 0.7 0.6 "Iceland(1) 3 16 10 44 3 11 5 2 6 0.5 0.5 "Kerner(2) 2 0 1 0 4 0.2 0.1 0.7 0.6	195	3 Denmark(5)	2	3	29	5	35	4	3	12	1	2	0.5	1	1.5	2		0.4	0.2			
"Norway(1) 1 5 5 8 4 6 29 5 7 0 1 4 7 3 5 3 "Portugal(3) 0.3 2 10 3 14 3 12 32 3 4 2 1 5 1 2 1.4 0.6 0.2 1954 Denmark(9) 7 7 6 44 5 16 2 1 8 0.6 1 0.4 0.2 0.1 0.8 0.4 0.3 "Farces(1) 3 7 12 47 11 15 2 1 3 0.4 1955 Denmark(7) 2 17 13 8 34 2 8 0.5 1 5 2.4 3 1.0 0.2 0.1 0.7 0.6 "Iceland(1) 3 16 10 44 3 11 5 2 6 0 5 0.5 "Kerren(3) 2 1 8 2 1 5 1 2 1.4 0.6 0.2	Ħ	Iceland(1) 0.1	0	1,	40	10	36	3	3	5	0.4	0.2	0 2	0.1	0.7	0.1	0.1					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11	Norway(1)		1	5	5	8	4	6	29	5	7	.0	ŀ	4	7	3	5	3			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	н	Portugal(3)	0.3	2	10	3	14	3	12	32	3	4		2	1	5	1	2	1.4	0.6	0.2	
"Farces(1) 3 7 12 47 11 15 2 1 3 0.4 1955 Denmark(7) 2 17 13 8 34 2 8 0.5 1 5 2.4 3 1.0 0.2 0.1 0.7 0.6 "Iceland(1) 3 16 10 44 3 11 5 2 6 0 5 0.5 "Kenner(3) 2 1 8 37 7 14 5 7 12 0 0 7 0 6 1 1 0 7	195	4 Denmark(9)	7	7	6	44	5	16	2	1	8	0.6	1	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.8	0.4	0.3			
1955 Denmerk(7) 2 17 13 8 34 2 8 0.5 1 5 2.4 3 1.0 0.2 0.1 0.7 0.6 "Icelend(1) 3 16 10 44 3 11 5 2 6 0 5 0.5 "Wester (2) 3 1. 10 0.2 0.1 0.7 0.6	19	Farces(1)	3	7	12	47	ú	15	2	1	3.	0.4								•		
"Iceland(1) 3 16 10 44 3 11 5 2 6 0 5 0.5 "When $m(3)$ 3 16 10 44 3 11 5 2 6 0 5 0.5	195	5 Denmark(7)	2	17	13	8	34	2	8	0.5	ì	5	2.4	3	1.0	0.2	0.1	0.7		0.6		
	12	Iceland(1)		3	16	10	44	3	11	5	2	6	05	0.5								
	#	Norway(3)		2	4	8	37	7]4	5	7	12	2	1	0.7	0.6	1	1	0.3			
"Portugal(3) 1 4 2 8 51 4 13 2 3 11 0.50.10.30.2 0.40.20.2	#	Portugal(3)	1	4	2	8	51	4	13	2	3	11	0.5	0.1	0.3	0.2		0.4	0.2	0.2		
1+E-1952/55 Mean 0.1 1.4 9.0 11.6 18.7 23.8 5.7 7.5 7.9 3.0 4.2 1.7 0.8 1.0 1.4 0.7 0.8 0.5 0.1 0.1	D+E-195	2/55 Mean 0.1	1.4	9.0	11.6	18.7	23.8	8 5.1	7 7.5	7.9	3.0	4.2	17	0.8	1.0	1,4	0.7	0.8	0.5	0,1	0.1	
F-1952 Denmark(2) 2 23 12 11 17 2 6 6 4 3 4 3 4 1 0.5	F-1952	Denmark(2)			2	23	12]1	17	2	6	6	4	3	4	3	4	1	0.5			
1953 Degmeark(1) 7 3 8 34 6 10 6 0.53 8 6 5 2	1953	Denmark(1)					7	3	8	34	6	10	6	0.5	3	8	6	5	2			
* $U.K_{0}(1)$ 0.713 14 41 11 8 7 2 1 0.21 0.8 0.4 0.4 0.2 0.4 (H	U.K.(1)		0.7	13	14	41	11	8	7	2	1	0.2	1	0.8	0.4		0.4		0.2	0.4	0.1
1954 Denmark(1) 5 17 2 31 0.736 1 1 4 2 $0.20.20$ 0.20 $0.10.20.2$	1954	Denmark(1)	5	17	2	31	0.7	7 36	1	1	4		2	0.2	0.2	0	0.2	0	0.1	0.2	0.2	
1955 Denmark(6) 3 20 13 3 24 0.3 21 2 1 7 0.5 2 0.1 0.2 0.9 0.9	1955	Denmark(6)	3	20	13	3	24	0.	3 21	2	1	7	0.5	2	0.1	0.2		0.9		0.9		
" U.K.(1) 3 18 10 5 24 24 8 5 3	11	U.K.(1)	ž	18	10	5	24	,	24	8	-	5	3	-				/		/		
F-1952/55 Meen 1.9 9.3 6.7 12.7 18.2 10.2 13.2 9.0 3.2 4.8 2 6 1.1 1.4 1.9 1.7 1.2 0.4 0.2 0.1 (F-1952/	55 Mean	1.9	9.1	6.7	12.7	18.2	2 10.	2 11.2	9.0	3.2	4.8	26	1.1	1.4	1.9	1.7	1.2	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.1
	1 105	2/55 Mean 0.1	1.1	7.5	8.5	14.2	15.8	3 7.1	6 11 .1	9.2	5.6	6.6	24	1.5	2.0	1.9	2.1	1_3	0.8	0.3	01	0.1

. ∠B - Cod, Subarea 15 Age distribution in frequency percentages in scuples from Norwegian Line fishery. 1948-51 after ICES, Ann. Biol.;1952-55 after ICNAF, Ann. Proc.

-							·····										10	- 10	00			
ደዱ	36.18	<u>د</u>	4	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		0	¥	- <u>- 10</u>		12	<u></u>		<u></u>	10	<u> </u>	10	19	20	_21_		23
ear,	1948			1	- 9	5	4	6	2	2	17	8	37	3	3	2	1					
	1949		1	2	4	18	7	5	8	2	3	16	8	20	3	2	1	1	0.2	0.1		
	1950		2	10	8	12	29	6	3	3	1	2	8	5	10	1	1	2	0.2		0.1	
	1951			2	6	7	11	30	10	6	5	1	3	9	2	5	1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	
	1952			1	1	6	5	12	38	10	6	5	Ō	2	8	2	5	1	0.5	0.4		
	1953			1	18	5	9	5	9	29	6	5	4	1	2	3	ī	1	0.2			
	1954			0.2	3	28	5	11	6	10	23	5	3	3	0.5	ĩ	2	1				
	1955			1	1	1	40	8	10	5	6	15	3	2	ī	0.2	0.3					
an .	1948/55		0.4	2.3	6.1	10.3	13.9	10.5	10.8	8.4	8.4	7.2	8.3	5.7	3.8	2.0	1.5	0.8	0.2	0.1	0.0	3
68A	1952/55			0.8	3 5.8	3 10.0	14.8	9.0	15.8	13.5	10.3	7.5	2.5	5 2.8	3 2.9	1.6	2.1	0.8	0,2	0,1		
BLE	C - Cod.	Subarea	1.	Norw	egia	n Lon	g Lin	e Fis	hery.	Num	ber o	f indi	viàu	als	caugh	it per	r 1 d	ay's	fis	hing	•	
											-				معدي سد -							
<u>e 6</u>	<u>. </u>			<u> </u>	<u>_6</u>	7_	<u> </u>				_ 12	<u>13</u>	14_	_15_	<u> 16 ·</u>	17	_18_	19	_20	21	22	23
152				· 8	21	102	74	201	626	157	105	87	0	26	129	23	86	3	3	2	0	С
153				23	419	117	210	117	209	673	139	117	93	29	47	70	23	23	รี	0	Ō	Ň
154				5	68	650	109	232	128	225	534	107	70	58	12	33	47	28	28	ō	2	õ
<u>155</u>				_19_	30	170	994	200	245	118	150	357	64	39	22	5	7	30	5	10	2	_o

Percentage "mortality": (∞ is disregarded)

_

<u>ði.</u>	5-6	6-7	_7_8_	8.9	9-10	10-11	11-12	12-13	13-14	14-15	15-16	16-17	17-18	18-19	19-20	20-21	21-22	22-23
152-53	+5138	+457	+159	+58	+ 4	+8	וי	15	+7	(\cdot, ∞)	4.80	_46		77		100	100	
53-54	+195	+55	-7	+11	+10	+s		~.23	-40	-38	_58	_30	_ 23	+ 22	+07	-100	-100	
54-55	+500	+150	+53	+83	+6	-8	. 43	-33	-40	_44	_80	-58	-22	-26	227	-100		
82	+1934	+221	1-68	+51	+7	+ 3	-22	-24	-75	(- 27)	-16	-45		~ 20	+ 2	0	(-37)	<u> </u>

TABLE D. Expected Effect per 1000 Becruits at age 5 on the Yield through Change of $^{\rm Age}$ of $^{\rm F}$ irst Capture

-;--

ï

.

			-		đ	Tred Sc	le of	Matural	Mortel 1	হ						م	Glidi	DR Scal	e of Ne	tural	Mortelit	N.		-
			S	starti	ng Fish	iery with	h Age 5	Start	ing Fis	hery w	Lth Ag	e 7			Star	tíne F	sherv	sith Ap	2	Startin	g Fisher	y with	Age 7	
			LE.	mber	Decreas	ie in no	. Catch	Wumber	Decrea	a in se	ю. Па	tch			Mumbe	r] Decre	ni esa	в. Св	tch M	unber D	SCTORES	in no.	Catch	
Age	Mort	tality %		*J	by Mor	tality	.Ħ	۶.	₹ 6,	rtality	.न >		Mortal	Lty &	ų.	ھ ھ	brtali	ty i	<u>я</u>	٥f	by Morte	Lity	. <u>я</u>	
lears	Fish.	.Mat. Tc	ot. E	Fish	Fish. N	Lat. Tot	<u>, ke</u> l) Fish	F1sh.	Mat. T	ot. k	ي. 2°	ish. Ne	at. Tot	· Fish	Fish.	Nat.	rot. k	R.]	Fish F	ish. Hat	Tot	kg.	
																		-						
Ś	ካ	±115 ±	1 2	000	14 021	150 +10	5€ 00	1000	÷	1150 ±	1150	0	بر ج	115 +10	0 1000	S ¹	HISO	10001	540	000	itt+ o	0 +115C	0	
Ś	Ħ	+ 65 +	50 2	80	∓ g	007 HOC	8	0 2150	• •	1397 +:	1397	ō	т Е	1 65 +5	0 2000	8	- 1300 14	1000	8	220	0 +13(36E1+ 86	0	
ŀ-	=	+ 25	- <u>10</u>	800	+ 5 4	-750 +3		5 3547	532	+887	1355	1543	т ₽	55 1		_ گ	+750	20000	1305	3548	232 ±8	37 +355	1543	
ω	F	Ś	8	3300	495	165 6	<u>60</u> 173	3 3902	<u>8</u>	195	82	20#8	E		6 3300	195	R	528	1733	303	<u>8</u>	39 624	2048	
0	=	q	<u>کر</u> ب	2640	396	264 6	<u>8</u>	5 3122	¹ 68	312	80	1778	F	m	8 2772	+16	83	664	1581	3279	492	88 597	1870	
2	=	E		8 <u>6</u> 1	291	198	95 127	7 2342	ន្ត	234	58	1509	=	4	9 2273	31	R	132 132	1466 :	689	f03	1 1 2 1 3 1	1733	
Ħ	Ξ	F		1485	23	149 3	72 100	4 1757	264	176	<u>0</u>	1188	t	ŝ	1841 O	276	8	88	1242	2178	327 I(96 436	1472	
ទា	=	E	=	1113	167	111	78 80	2 1317	86 <u>7</u>	132	оñ Ю	950	F	~	2 1473	521	ő	324	1001	1742	261 II	88 88	1253	
ព	=	F.	£	835	125	87 13	8	5. 84	148	8	247	9 <u></u> 2	F	8	3 1149	172	8	264	88	1359	204 204	<u>स</u> ह	1020	
14	£	=	C	626	\$	1	57	7 750	H	1 .	185	611	Ħ	9	<u>ک</u> 88	133	\$	222	732	1046	157 I(05 26	864	
ы	2	=	2	6 94	2	47 I	17 42	0 555	წ	ጽ	139	861	Ŧ	а н	<u>8</u>	8	23	172	265	784	118	36 20	802 1	
56	E	E	F	352	ß	R	88 33	3 416	8	42	104	391	Ŀ	51	164 7:	5	ŝ	133	¥ ¥	8	87	251 02	548	
17	=	=	r	264	₽	8	66 27	2 312	47	昂	82	22	E	14	<u>85</u> 825	<u>5</u>	ጽ	104	367	423	5 5	59 IZ	428	
81 81	=	F	F	1 98	ጽ	8	ส ช	9 234	2	53	ጽ	256	z	 ነገ	0 52t	8	፠	20	277	ğ	ţ	بر ۳	329	
61	=	E	Ŧ	148	22	ង	37 17	2 176	8	81	111	ŝ	E	17	178	1 27	ဂ္ဂ	5	211	ក្ត	ы	% %	220	
8		=	E	111	17	11	28 14	1 132	8	5	33	166	Ξ	18	121	L 18	22	₽	149	143	21	26 45	174	
Lotel		8 +			2929		11125	51	5930			5201	T	ဆို		3264			2974	<u> </u>	327		14240	-

1) the individual weights used in the calculation are taken from Fig.1 of Ser.No.459

Ň

į

- 10 -