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## A. INTRODUCTION

The Working Party met in London on 2-5 February 1970. Those present were:

| A.W.May | Canada |
| :--- | :--- |
| O. Christensen | Denmark |
| I.R.H.Allen | England and Wales |
| A. Swain | England and Wales |
| R. Vibert | France |
| F. Thurow | Federal Republic of Germany |
| Miss E. Twomey | Republic of Ireland |
| L. Rosseland | Norway |
| B.B.Parrish (Chairman) | Scotland |
| K.A.Pyefinch (Rapporteur) | Scotland |
| W.R.Munro | Scotland |
| B. Carlin | Sweden |
| J.B.Kimsey | USA |
| V. Anthony | USA |
| A. Bogdanov | USSR |
| A. Volkov | USSR |
| Y. Riazantsev | USSR |

K.U.Vickers (Northern Ireland) attended on the first day of the meeting and A.J.Aglen (Scotland) attended some of the sessions. Sv. Aa. Horsted (Denmark) was unable to attend because of illness.

Representatives from France and USSR attended for the first time.
During the four days of the meeting, the Working Party reviewed the latest information available on the West Greenland and the Norwegian Sea salmon fisheries and considered further the assessment of the effects of these fisheries on total and home-waters catches. In particular, results are presented in this report of a first, preliminary assessment of the effects of the Norwegian Sea fishery.

## B. WEST GREENLAND FISHERY

## 1. Catch and Fishing Effort

The salmon catches taken at West Greenland in the years 1960-68, and provisional data for 1969 are given in Table 1. As in last year's report (ICNAF Res. Doc.69/33; ICES C.M. 1969/M:5), the catches in the inshore (set gill net) and offshore (drift net) fisheries are given separately. However, it should be noted that an accurate division of the catch into inshore and offshore components cannot be made for 1969 owing to some locally regiatered vessels taking part in the drift net fishery. Catches from these vessels were landed in Greenland and reported together with the set gill net catches. The data for the two components in 1969, given in Table 1, are therefore only approximate.

These data indicate that there was a large increase in total salmon catch in 1969 to over 2,000 tonnes ( 1 tonne $=1$ metric ton $=1,000 \mathrm{~kg}$ ). Despite the uncertainty about the division of the total catch into its inshore and offshore components, it is clear that the drift net fishery increased considerably and is now the larger component. In 1969 it exceeded 1,000 tonnes.

Although full information on the size and age composition of the salmon stock at West Greenland in 1969 is not yet available, the indications are that the main part of the exploited stock consisted, as in former years, of fish which had spent one winter in the sea and which, if returning to home waters, would do so as two or more sea-winter fish.

The number of fishing vessels taking part in the drift net fishery also increased substantially in 1969. The latest information available indicates that about 34 non-Greenland vessels ( 15 Danish, 6 Faroese, 11 Norwegian and 2 Swedish) took part in this fishery, as compared with totals of 17 in 1968 and 11 in 1967. addition, some offshore drift-netting took place by about 30 Greenland registered vessels, although they had much less fishing power per vessel than the non-Greenland ones. Thus the numbers of drift-net vessels has increased steadily since 1966 in parallel with the increase in drift-net catch. Another significant change in 1969
is that a few of these vessels were using some monofilament nets and it seems likely that the use of these nets will increase. If this occurs, it would have a significant effect on fishing efficiency as recent observations in Greenland, both of monofilament nets fished from a commercial vessel and from a research vessel, have shown that these nets are at least twice as effective as the polyfilament nets used previously.

In contrast to the drift-net fishery, the fishing effort in the set gillnet fishery is unlikely to have increased significantly in 1969.

## 2. Distribution of Fishing

The distribution of the offshore drift-net fishery along the Greenland coast, based on information in 1969 and the main centres of the inshore set gillnet fishery are shown in Fig. 1.

The set gill-net fishery is carried out along a large part of the west coast of Greenland from Nanortalik (latitude $60^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ) in the south to Disko Island (latitude approx. $70^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ) in the north with some limited fishing extending to as far as Upernavik (lat. $72^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ ). The main centres of fishing are in the vicinity of the larger towns (shown in Fig. 1), the most important being the Arsuk-Frederikshaab area, Fiskenasset, the area around Godthaab, the Sukkertoppen district (including Sukkertoppen, Napassoq and Kangamiut) and the Holsteinsborg area. The success of the fishery in the various districts varits from year to year, and in some years has failed completely in certain areas, especially in the south where drift ice often prevents nets being set.

Prior to 1969, the drift-net fishery, prosecuted almost entirely by European-registered vessels, was mainly confined to the area of the Store Hellefiske Bank, extending as far south as Kangamiut (latitude $66^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ) in the early part of the season but tending to be concentrated on the Store Hellefiske Bank itself in the remainder of the season. In 1969, the fishery spread over a rather wider area than in previous years, extending from Sukkertoppen in the south to the Disko area in the north (hatched area in Fig. 1). In addition, some Greenland-registered vessels fished with drift nets in this general area. Therefore, the drift-net fishery has been mainly confined to an area between $65^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $70^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and extending outwards to about $40 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{m}$. from the coast. However, exploratory fishing voyages have demonstrated thet salmon are present over a wider area than this.

## 3. Origin and Destination of Salmon

### 3.1 Tag Recaptures at West Greenland

The recaptures, during 1963-68, of salmon tagged in home waters as smolts (either natural or hatchery) and as adults are shown in Tables 2, 3 and 4. These tables include revisions of data presented in earlier reports of the Working Party. In addition, information is presented, in Table 5, for parr tagged in home waters.

In 1969, as in previous years, the smolt tag returns show that the great majority of the fish taken at Greenland were derived from smolts which had entered the sea during the previous year and, if surviving, would have returned to home waters as salmon of two or more sea winters. Tags were again recorded at West Greenland from a number of North American (mostly Canadian) and Eurcpean (mostly UK) river systems including, for the first $t$ ime, single recaptures of fish tagged as hatchery-reared smolts in Norway and Denmark respectively. Also, following tagging in 1968 of adults ascending a Newfoundland river, two of these fish were recaptured at Greenland in 1969.

Comparison of returns from tagged hatchery-reared and natural smolts, including returns from both West Greenland and other areas, indicates that survival in the sea is usually much less for the tagged, hatchery-reared fish. Therefore, the return rates from natural smolts are likely to be a better guide to the national contributions to the West Greenland stock. For those countries in which most or all of the smolts tagged were from hatcheries, it is possible that the returr rates from Greenland underestimate the relative contributions of differtnt countries.

While separation of the smolt tagging data into hatchery-reared and natural components eliminates one source of variation in year-to-year recapture rates at West Greenland, it is evident that a good deal of variation still remains, both between and within countries. Thus, returns from West Greenland of smolts tagged in
the United States were relatively high for fish tagged in 1966 , but were low for the 1967 and 1968 taggings. The returr rate from West Greenland from Canadiantagged smolts was highest for the 1965 tagging (both hatchery-reared and natural) and declined substantially for smolts tagged in 1966, even though the Greenland catch increased slightly from 1966 to 1967 . On the other hand, smolts tagged in 1966 have given the highest return rate at West Greenland from Scottish tagging, while for England and Wales the highest return rates were obtained from the 1967 and 1968 taggings. In addition, the rates of return from Greenland may vary for tagging in different home river systems (e.g. in Canada) and the relative numbers of smolts tagged is known to have varied between these rivers from year to year. It is therefore evident that more detailed evaluation of tag returns in the countries concerned is urgently needed, particularly with respect to possible bias in some years relative to the area of tagging, type of tag applied, etc.

In addition to the above sources of variation and error, the non-reporting of tags, especially from the drift-net fishery poses a major problem. It is known that the reporting efficiency from this fishery is low so that, with the increase in its size relative to the set gill-net fishery, the magnitude of this source of error is likely to have increased progressively. Therefore, in view of the timportance of tag recapture data in these studies, the Working Party strongly recommends
that all possible steps be taken to increase the efficiency of reporting
of tag recaptures from the drift-net fishery.
Despite the above factors, which preclude any accurate estimation of the relative contributions of salmon from different countries in the West Greenland stock, the recapture data over the whole period suggest that the major part of the stock has been derived from rivers in Canada and the UK.

### 3.2 Biochemical and Parasite Studies

Investigations were continued in 1969 on biochemical characters and parasite fauna (as biological tage) In relation to the study of the origin and mixing of salmon at West Greenland. Although these investigations have not yet progressed far enough to provide reliable estimates of the origin and rate of mixing of the exploited stock in this area, recent Canadian investigations of blood serum proteins, in association with parasite studies, have provided very promising results. Analyses of a sample of 242 salmon caught by drift-net mainly in the Disko Bay area indicated, for example, that $43 \%$ of these fish were of North American origin. The Working Party considers that this and similar investigations in othe: countries should be continued as intensively as possible.

### 3.3 Tagging at West Greenland

Further liberations of tagged fish were made at West Greenland in 1969 in the course of experiments by Canada, and by Denmark and the UK.

In the Canadian experiment, the salmon were captured by drift-net in daylight and tagging was conducted from a small boat patroling the nets continuously throughout the fishing period so that the fish could be removed from the nets and tagged soon after capture. Between 6 September and 2 October, a total of 627 salmon, ranging in length betheen $55-88 \mathrm{~cm}$ (average 67 cm ) was caught, of which 385 were tagged, 355 in Disko Bay and 30 off the coast further south; 134 of the fish liberated were graded as being in 'excellent' condition, 199 in 'good' condition and 52 in 'fair' condition on the basis of classifications made in earlier experiments conducted off the Newfoundland coast, when the same fishing, handling and tagging methods were used.

In the joint Danish-UK experiments, fishing was conducted by longine in a number of localities off the West Greenland coast between the Store Hellefiske Bank and Disko Bay during the period 11 October to 14 November 1969. A total of 65 salmon was caught during the experiment, of which 43 were tagged and liberated, all of the tagged fish being judged to be in very good condition. A notable feature of the salmon taken in this experiment, which may account for the small number caught was their relatively large size (range $60-100 \mathrm{~cm}$; average 76 cm ) compared with those taken in drift nets both in the commercial fishery and by research vessels. Another joint Danish-UK experiment was made to attempt to assess the viability of tagged and untagged fish caught by gill net, but the numbers eaught were too low to provide a reliable test. Fifteen tagged fish were, however, liberated.

The subsequent recaptures in the West Greenland fishery and in other areas of salmon tagged in these and earlier experiment are given in Table 6 . These data
show that, during the period 1965-1969, a total of 1,817 salmon was tagged, of which 55 have been recaptured locally and, to date, 11 have been recaptured in home waters ( 5 in Canada, 4 in Scotland and 2 in Ireland). The overall recapture rate of $3.2 \%$ in the West Greenland fishery in 1969 was approximately the same as the average for the experiments in previous years. However, the recapture rate of the fish in the 'excellent' condition category in the Canadian experiment was substantially higher at $6.0 \%$.

As indicated in last year's report, the accuracy with which tag recapture data from these experiments can be used in estimating the rate of exploitation of salmon in the West Greenland fishery is government by (a) the magnitude of the mortality due to tagging and, (b) the extent to which recaptured fish are recovered from the catch and reported. Unfortunately, insufficient information is available for the magnitude of these factors to be estimated accurately. As indicated in Section 3.1, it is known that the efficiency of tag recovery and/or reporting in the drift-net fishery is relatively very low. Since the proportion of the total catch taken by the drift-net fishery has increased in recent years, and especially in 1968 and 1969, the magnitude of this source of error in the use of tag recapture data for estimating rate of exploitation has also increased. While an accurate adjustment of the recapture data for these experiments cannot be made in the absence of detailed information on the distribution of the catches taken by the gill-net and drift-net fisheries respectively, it seems likely that, from this and other sources of error (e.g. through no account being taken of tagging mortality), the estimated $6 \%$ recapture rate of the fish in the 'excellent' condition category in the Canadian experiment represents an underestimate of the rate of exploitation for that part of the total fishery within which tagged fish were present.
4. Assessment of Effects of West Greenland Fishery on Total and Home-Waters Salmon Stocks and Yields

### 4.1 Total Salmon Yields

The results of previous assessments reported by the Working Party indicated that the development of the West Greenland fishery has resulted in an increase in the total catch (West Greenland plus home-waters) of European origin in the West Greenland stock, and that, with the possible exception of fish originating from some river systems in Canada, where the rate of exploitation is known to be high, this probably also applies to the salmon originating from North American home waters.

The latest data available to the Working Party on the growth of salmon between their occurrence in the West Greenland stock and their return to homewaters and on the rates of exploitation in home-waters, provide no grounds for modifying these conclusions. It is emphasized, however, that they are based on the assumption that the exploitation at West Greenland has not reduced spawning stocks so much as to cause a direct reduction in the number of smolts and subsequent recruitment of salmon. At present, too few data are available on the relationship between adult stock size and smolt production and between smolt production and the subsequent recruitment of grilse and older salmon for the validity of this assumption to be tested. The Working Party therefore stresses the need for such studies to be conducted within river systems in both Europe and North America, especially in the light of the salmon catch data for home-waters fisheries in 1968 and 1969, which point to a reduction, in these years, in the quantities of two or more seawinter salmon entering the river systems in both regions.

### 4.2 Home-waters Salmon Stocks and Yields

In last year's report, the Working Party presented estimates of the possible magnitude of the losses in weight to the salmon stocks and catches in homewaters resulting from the West Greenland fishery, based on a) the average catch level in that fishery in the years 1964-1967; b) data on the increase in weight of salmon between their appearance in the West Greenland fishery and their return to home waters; c) limiting values of their natural mortality rate during this time and d) an estimate of the overall average exploitation rate of salmon in the home-waters fisheries in countries to which the salmon at West Greenland return. On the assumption that the salmon at West Greenland, if surviving, will return to home waters in the following year as two sea-winter salmon, the estimated losses to the home-waters stocks, for an average catch of 1,340 tons for the years 19641967 lay between 667 and 1,667 tonnes and to the home-water catches between 400 and 1,000 tonnes (using an average rate of exploitation in all home-waters fisheries of 0.6).

It is evident from Table 1 that, with the exception of 1968 , the total catch at West Greenland has tended to increase during the period since 1965 due to the steady growth of the drift-net fishery, to reach over 2,000 tonnes in 1969. Estimates of the losses to the home-waters stocks and catches were therefore made for the 1969 level of catch at West Greenland, using the same estimates of increase in weight (50\%), upper and lower limits of natural mortality rate ( 0.02 and 0.1 per month) and home-waters exploitation rate ( 0.6 ), as in the previous assessment. These estimates ranged between approximately $1,100-2,700$ tonnes and 650-1, 600 tonnes for the home-waters stocks and catches respectively.

Although no further information has become avallable since the previous assessment was made to justify any modification of the parameters used in the estimation of these losses, the Working Party noted the conclusions of the Baltic salmon experts that, after Baltic salmon reach exploitable size, the natural mortality rate is very low, i.e. about $10 \%$ per year ( $M=0.1$ ). This value is considerably less than the lower of the values used in the present assessment.

In the absence of accurate estimates of the proportions of salmon in the West Greenland catch which, if not caught and, if surviving, would have returned to each country, it is not possible to estimate reliably the losses in individual countries. However, the latest data available provide no clear basis for modifying the previous tentative conclusion that the largest proportion of the losses have been experienced in Canada and the UK.

## C. NORWEGIAN SEA FISHERY

In last year's report, information was presented on the longline fishery for salmon which has developed in recent years in the Norwegian Sea, off the Norwegian west coast. The latest information available to the Working Party on the growth of this fishery, its distribution, the composition, origin and subsequent destination of the exploited stock and assessments of its effects on total and home-waters salmon catches are summarized below:

## 1. Catch and Fishing Effort

Data on the catches taken and the number of vessels operating in the Norwegian Sea fishery in the years 1965-1968 and provisional statistics for 1969 are given in Table 7. These data show that this fishery has grown rapidiy during the short period of its existence to reach, in 1969, a total catch in excess of 900 tonnes. This development has been due mainly to an increase in the number of Danish and Norwegian vessels participating in the fishery, these together taking almost the whole of the catch in 1969. However, in 1969, a small number of German vessels participated in the fishery for the first time. The data on the number of vessels participating in the fishery indicate that the fishing effort increased at least ten-fold between 1966 and 1969.

Information on the catch-per-unit-effort in this fishery in 1968 and 1969 is given in Table 8. These data indicate an average fishing rate in 1969 of about 40 salmon per 1,000 hooks for the Danish and German vessels during the main fishing months April-June; this would appear to be lower than the catch rates in 1968, as indicated by the limited data available for Danish vessels in that year. The March data for the Danish vessels also indicate that salmon were present in the fishing area and available for capture by longline before the commencement of the main fishing season in April, and the Danish and German data point to a decrease in the abundance and/or availability of salmon in the fishing area in June, compared with the two preceding months.

## 2. Distribution of Fishing

The area within which longline fishing took place in 1969 is shown in Fig. 2. This shows that fishing took place off the Norwegian coast between latitudes $63^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ and $72^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ from the 12 miles fishery limit along the coast to as far as 200 miles offshore in the region between latitude $68^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ and $72^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ where the main fishery was concentrated, and where $90-95 \%$ of the total catch taken by Danish, Swedish, German and Faroese vessels was taken; it was also one of the principal areas fished by Norwegian vessels, although their fishing was much more widely distributed along and closer to the coast than the vessels of the other countries.

Although a small number of Danish vessels started fishing in March and continued into July, as in previous years, with more than $50 \%$ of the catch being
taken in May. The available information on the distribution of catches provides no indication of any major changes in the distribution of fishing during the season.

In addition to the information on the distribution of salmon in the Norwegian Sea provided by the fishery itself, catches have been made in the course of exploratory fishing surveys conducted during the same months in other parts of the Norwegian Sea, to the southwest of Bear Island, near Spitzbergen and east of the North Cape to as far as Novaya Zemlya. These indicate that salmon are available for capture by longline over a much wider area than that in which the fishery has taken place so far. However, little is known of their abundance, composition and inter-relations with the concentrations currently exploited.

It was also noted that a sma11 fishery was conducted by Faroese longliners in the vicinity of the Faroe Islands in the spring of 1968 and 1969.

## 3. Composition of Stock

In last year's report it was pointed out, on the basis of age-readings of salmon taken from the Norwegian longline fishery, that about $90 \%$ of the exploited stock in the Norwegian Sea had already spent two or more winters in the sea. Further age data collected between mid-March and mid-July 1969 from Norwegian and Danish catches confirmed these results for the salmon exploited during the main season (April to early June), but samples taken in mid-June and mid-July from Danish landings contained $11 \%$ and $60 \%$ of smaller, one sea-winter fish respectively. This points to a progressive recruitment of these younger fish to the exploited area during Jume and early July.

As in 1968, a notable feature of the two sea-winter salmon caught in the longline fishery in 1969 was their widely varying but, on average, low condition factor (average $=0.79$ ) compared with salmon of the same sea age caught at various localities in the Norwegian coastal fishery, the condition factors of which, in 1969, ranged from 0.96 to 1.04 . In 1968 the condition factors of the salmon in the Norwegian Sea (average $=0.85$ ) and in Norwegian home waters (average $=1.0-1.2$ ) were somewhat higher than in 1969.

The age analysis of samples taken by a research vessel in the vicinity of the Faroes in April 1968 and 1969 showed that, in contrast to the Norwegian Sea fishery in April, one sea-winter salmon predominated in that area.

## 4. Origin and Destination of Salmon

Information on the recapture in the Norwegian Sea fishery in 1968 and 1969 of salmon tagged as smolts in Norwegian, Swedish and Danish rivers are given in Tables 2 and 3. Although full details of the recaptures in 1969 are not yet available, these data show that, to date, 57 recaptures have been reported, of which 49 were tagged as smolts and 3 as parr in Norway, 4 as smolts in Sweden and 1 as a smolt in Denmark. No recaptures have so far been reported from this area of salmon tagged as smolts in the UK or Ireland (one recapture of a fish tagged as a smolt in Scotland has, however, been made at the Faroes). It is important to point out that smolt tagging experiments have not been conducted in rivers in the USSR entering the Barents Sea in the years since this Norwegian Sea fishery commenced so that the possible contribution to the Norwegian Sea stock of salmon originating from them cannot be gauged from the smolt tagging data.

In addition to this evidence concerning the origin of salmon in the Norwegian Sea, information relating to their subsequent home-waters destination is available from the recaptures of salmon tagged in this area in 1968 and 1969. In May 1968, 238 salmon caught in the longline fishery were tagged and 5 recaptures were reported from Norway later that year, 3 from the coastal and 2 from the river fishery. No further recaptures from these liberations were recorded during 1969. Between the end of March and mid-June 1969 (but mostly during April and May), a further 932 fish were tagged in the longline fishery and 50 recaptures have so far been reported, including 3 from the longline fishery (though it is known that more have been caught). All the remaining recaptures have come from the Norwegian coastal and river fisheries. Most of the fish tagged had spent two winters in the sea.

Although in the absence of smolt tagging experiments in USSR rivers and because of deficiencies in the reporting of tag recaptures from the longline
fishery it is not possible to determine, from the tag recaptures, the relative proportions of salmon originating from the rivers of different countries, the available data suggest that most of the exploited stock in the Norwegian Sea in 1968 and 1969 originated from Norwegian rivers. They also suggest that most of the retuming salmon migrate to Norwegian home waters, mainly as two sea-winter salmon.

In addition to the evidence from tagging clata, during 1968 reports were received from Norway, the USSR and Scotland of fish in their catches with hooks still attached which were the same as those used in the Norwegian Sea fishery. A considerable number of similar records have been reported in Norway in 1969 but none from efther the USSR, Scotland or elsewhere.
5. Assessment of Effects of Norwegian Sea Fisher on Total and Home-waters Salmon Stocks and Yie1ds

As indicated in Section C.3, the age composition data from samples taken during the main longline fishing season (April-early June) in 1968 and 1969 , showed that about $90 \%$ of the exploited stock in the Norwegian Sea consisted of fish which had spent two or more winters in the sea. Therefore, as with the West Greenland fishery, any effects which this fishery, as currently prosecuted, might have on total and home-waters stocks and yields will be mainly confined to adult salmon of two or more sea-winters.

### 5.1 Total Salmon Yields

The assessment of the effect of the Norwegian Sea fishery on total salmon yield (Norwegian Sea plus home-waters) can be approached in the same general way as that adopted for the West Greenland fishery, using information on (a) the increase in weight of the fish between their appearance in the Norwegian Sea and home-waters fisheries respectively and, (b) the proportion of the fish present in the fished area which, if not caught there, would be subsequently caught in the home-waters fisheries.

A1though accurate, direct measures of the increase in weight of salmon between their appearance in the Norwegian Sea and home-waters fisheries were not available for this assessment, data on the difference in condition factor between two sea-winter salmon in the Norwegian Sea at the peak of the fishery in May and in Norwegian coastal waters one month later, in June, were used. On the assumption that these data were representative of the same population of salmon, exploited first in the Norwegian Sea and subsequently in Norwegian home waters, they indicate an average increase in weight of about $25 \%$ during this interval. On this basis it is estimated that, if more than $80 \%$ of the two sea-winter salmon in the exploited area in the Norwegian Sea are subsequently caught in home waters, the Norwegian Sea fishery will have resulted in a lower total (Norwegian Sea plus home waters) catch of these fish than would have been obtained in its absence, while if less than $80 \%$ are subsequently caught it will have resulted in a higher total catch.

Reliable measures of the rates of exploitation of two sea-winter salmon in home waters are not available for all of the home-waters areas in Norway and elsewhere to which two sea-winter salmon in the Norwegian Sea may return so that it is not possible to assess with any degree of certainty whether the Norwegian Sea fishery has increased or decreased the overall total catch (by weight). It is known, however, that the rate of exploitation of two sea-winter salmon in some Norwegian home-waters areas is high and probably in excess of $80 \%$ also, in the absence of evidence of large concentrations of known predators in this area, it is probable that the natural mortality rate of these salmon between their appearance in the Norwegian Sea fishery and their return to home waters is small. Therefore, it seems likely that, for the salmon returning to these areas the Norwegian Sea fishery may have resulted in a lower catch than would have been obtained in its absence. On the other hand, for those salmon returning to other home-waters areas it has probably increased the total catch.

It should be noted that, because of the low average condition factor for the salmon exploited in the Norwegian Sea fishery, the overali average 'quality' of the total catch will be lower in the presence than in the absence of this fishery.

### 5.2 Home-waters Salmon Stocks and Yields

In order to estimate the effects of the Norwegian Sea fishery on the home-waters stocks and catches of all countries combined and of each country
separately, measures are required of (a) the natural mortality occurring between the time the salmon are exploited in the Norwegian Sea and their arrival in home waters, (b) their increase in weight during this time, (c) the relative contributions to the Norwegian Sea catch of salmon which, if surviving, would return to the river systems in different countries and, (d) the exploitation rate in each country's home-waters fishing. Insufficient is yet known of the magnitude of these factors for the effects to be estimated reliably. However, as indicated in the previous section, the loss in weight due to natural mortality during the interval between the exploited phase in the Norwegian Sea and their return to home waters is likely to be small and probably no greater than the average increase in weight of the individual fish. On this basis, and on the assumption that all the salmon in the Norwegian Sea stock, if not caught and if surviving would return to home waters in the same year, the loss (in weight) to the home-water salmon stocks of all countries combined would be of roughly the same magnitude each year as the catch of salmon taken in the Norwegian Sea fishery, i.e. about 50 and 300 tonnes in 1967 and 1968 respectively, and, provisionally, not less than 800 tonnes in 1969.

The corresponding losses to the home-waters catches in these years again cannot be estimated accurately in the absence of full information on the exploitation rates in the home-waters fisheries of all of the countries to which salmon in the exploited stock in the Norwegian Sea return. However, from the data which are available, it seems likely that the overall average rate for these fisheries is not less than 0.5 . Using this value gives rough, provisional estimates of the losses to the catches of all countries combined of about 25,150 and not less than 400 tonnes in 1967, 1968 and 1969 respectively.

In the absence of accurate measures of the relative proportions of the salmon in the exploited stock in the Norwegian Sea which return to the river systems of individual countries, it is not possible to apportion these estimated losses between countries but it seems clear that the biggest loss would be that to the stocks and catches in Norwegian home waters.

The above rough estimates concern only the immediate direct effects of the Norwegian Sea fishery on total and home-water catches and take no account of its possible longer-term effects on smolt production and hence on future recruitment to the salmon stock as a result of a reduction in spawning stock size. As indicated in relation to the effects of the West Greenland fishery, too little is known, at present, of the relation between adult stock size, smolt production and subsequent recruitment of Atlantic salmon and grilse for these effects to be estimated.

## D. HOME-WATERS CATCHES

Catch statistics for the home-waters fisheries in Canada, England and Wales, Ireland, Norway, Scotland, Sweden and USA were presented in last year's report for the years 1960-1968. These figures have been reviaed in the light of new data presented to the Working Party and are given in Table 9, together with provisional estimates of the catch in 1969. Catch data are also given, for the first time, for France, Northern Ireland and the USSR. Whereas in the years up to 1968 , statistics for salmon and grilse were presented separately only for Scotland, for 1969 they are also presented separately for England and Wales and Norway. Catch-per-unit-effort data are given in Table 10 for Canada, the Irish Republic, the Foyle area, Norway and Scotland and these data also have been revised and brought up to date as far as possible.

The provisional statistics for 1969 indicate that, in the countries with substantial home-waters fisheries, the total catches (salmon plus grilse) were similar to those in 1968; they were slightly higher in England and Wales, Ireland and Scotland but slightly lower in Canada and Norway. Although complete statistics on the division of the total catch into grilse and salmon is not available for all countries, data presented from Canada, Scotland and the Irish Republic indicated that the salmon component of the catch in 1968 and 1969 was lower than the average of the previous five years. However, it should be noted that the catches in 1968 and 1969 fell within the long-term range in years before the high seas fisheries developed. In these years the grilse catch remained at least at the same relatively high level.

Information presented to the Working Party on the seasonal breakdown of home-waters catches indicated that, in England and Wales, Scotland and Ireland catches have decreased in the spring fishery (to May), when the catch is composed almost exclusively of salmon, and have increased in the summer fishery (after May) when the main component is grilse. In Scotland the decline in catch in the spring
fishery has taken place steadily from about 500 tonnes in the ear1y 1950 's to around 180 tonnes in 1967-1968, while in England and Wales and Ireland it has taken place more recently, mainly since 1965. This decline is in general accord with statistics supplied to the Working Party on the quantities of salmon passing through Billingsgate Fish Market, London, in the months February-April during the years 1950-1969 which, although not representing total salmon production in these months, showed a general decline from 1954 to 1963 and a more rapid decline thereafter.

Scottish data for the summer fishery, on the other hand, indicate a marked increase in both salmon and grilse catches during the 1960 's, the increase in the salmon catch in this season resulted in the maintenance of a relatively high salmon catch throughout the 1960's. These data point, in fact, to a change in the timing of the main salmon runs in Scottish rivers during the 1960's. The Working Party noted, however, that the division of the total catch into salmon and grilse in the Scottish fishery is usually made on a weight basis and it has always been recognized that, if the weight of grilse at capture increased, this method of dividing the catch would tend to overestimate the salmon and underestimate the grilse catch. The results of a comparison of the proportions of salmon and grilse in the catches in two Scottish rivers in 1969, estimated on the basis of weight and from direct readings of age from scale samples respectively did, in fact, point to a substantial overestimation of the salmon catch taken in them in that year. However, such data as are available for previous years indicate that the extent of the overestimation for the years prior to 1969 was relatively small.

## E. RESEARCH PROGRAM

The Working Party considered the future research programs to be conducted at West Greenland, in the Norwegian Sea and in home waters in relation to the problems of assessing the effects of the open sea fisheries on total and home-waters stocks and yields.

The main features of these programs in 1970 are sumarized below:

## 1. West Greenland

(a) Collection of catch statistics and sampling of the catches for length, weight and age in both the set gill-net (inshore) and drift-net (offshore) fishery will be continued throughout the fishing season.
(b) A further joint tagging program between Denmark and the UK will be conducted in the course of the West Greenland fishery, using pelagic longlines to catch salmon in good condition; further experiments to determine the viability of salmon caught by both longlines and gill nets will will also be conducted.
(c) An exploratory drift-net fishing survey will be made in the Davis Strait and Labrador Sea by Canada, to determine the distribution, abundance and composition of salmon in areas outside that currently fished by the drift net fleets off the West Greenland coast; comparative tests will also be made(by Canada, Denmark and the UK) between the relative catching capacities of drift nets and longlines and of the condition of salmon caught by the two methods.
(d) The biochemical and parasite studies of salmon at West Greenland and in home waters in North America and Europe will be continued in relation to determining the home-waters origin and rates of mixing of salmon in the exploited stock at West Green1and.

## 2. Norwegian Sea

(a) Collection of catch statistics and routine sampling of the catches for length, weight and age will be continued throughout the fishing season by Norway, Denmark and Sweden.
(b) If possible, tagging of salmon caught by longline will again be conducted by Norway in the exploited area during the course of the season to provide further information on the rate of exploitation and destination of the salmon in the exploited stock. In addition, tagging will be conducted by Faroese and Scottish workers in the vicinity of the Faroes.
(c) Biochemical and parasite studies, similar to those conducted in relation to the West Greenland fishery, will be conducted on salmon in the Norwegian Sea and home-waters stocks.

## 3. Home Waters

(a) Collection of catch and fishing effort statistics and routine sampling of catches for length, weight and age will be continued.
(b) Tagging of natural and/or hatchery-reared smolts (and, in some countries, parr) will again be conducted in North American and European rivers. It is hoped that smolt tagging in USSR rivers entering into the Barents Sea will be included in this program.
(c) Biochemical and parasite studies will be continued.
(d) Studies of the relationship between spawning stock size, smolt production and subsequent recruitment of grilse and salmon will be continued in river systems in North America and Europe.

In addition to the above research program in 1970, the Working Party also considers that, in relation to determining the exploitation rate of salmon in the West Greenland fishery and obtaining more information on their home-waters destination, a larger scale tagging experiment at West Greenland than those conducted hitherto would be necessary to ensure a wide distribution of a sufficiently large number of tags throughout the exploited stock. It therefore recommends
that consideration be given by ICNAF and ICES to arranging a large-scale international experiment to be carried out at West Greenland, if possible in 1971.

It considers that an experiment organized in the same general way as that currently being undertaken on young herring in the North Sea would be very appropriate. It also recognized, in relation to this and the other tagging programs on salmon (e.g. in Norwegian Sea and of smolts in home waters), that there is an urgent need to improve the efficiency of tag recovery and reporting, and it accordingly further recommends
that countries with fisheries at West Greenland and in the Norwegian Sea be urged to take all steps possible to achieve maximum efficiency in tag recovery and reporting.

## F. FUTURE MEETING

The Working Party recommends
that it should meet for four days at a suitable venue in late Marchearly April 1971.




## a - Figures not available, but catch is known to be less than Faroes <br> b - Estimated

d - Estimated. As the offshore catch includes some fish caught by residents
 a maximum inshore fraction.




Table 2 Number of natural (wild) smolts tagged in the years 1963-1969 and recaptured in Greenland and in other areas, including home-waters, up to the end of 1969. Figures in brackets are returns per thousand tagged.

| Country | $\frac{\text { Year of }}{\text { Tagging }}$ | $\frac{\text { Number }}{\text { Tagged }}$ | $\frac{\text { West }}{\text { Greenland }}$ | Norwegian Sea | Recaptures |  |  | Grand |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | and Faroes |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  | Grilse | Salmon | Total |  |
| Canada | 1963 | 5850 | 11 (1.9) | 0 | 70 | 20 (3.4) | 90 | 101 |
|  | 1964 | 15013 | 9 (0.6) | 0 | 203 | 71 (4.7) | 274 | 283 |
|  | 1965 | 16485 | 72 (4.4) | 0 | 175 | 191 (11.6) | 366 | 438 |
|  | 1966 | 9509 | 25 (2.6) | 0 | 122 | 98(10.3) | 220 | 245 |
|  | 1967 | 17810 | $18(1.0)$ a | 0 | 96 | 148 (8.3) | 244 | 262 |
|  | 1968 | 55982 | $108(1.9)^{\text {a }}$ | 0 | 1203 | - | 1203 | 1311 |
|  | 1969 | 45417 | - | - 0 | 1203 | - | 1203 | 1311 |
| Scotland | 1963 | 10998 | 10 (0.9) | 0 | 172 | 92 (8.4) | 264 | 274 |
|  | 1964 | 9200 | 6 (0.7) | 0 | 110 | 66 (7.2) | 176 | 182 |
|  | 1965 | 9239 | 9 (1.0) | 0 | 74 | 49 (5.3) | 123 | 132 |
|  | 1966 | 15406 | 28 (1.8) | 0 | 281 | 42 (2.7) | 323 | 351 |
|  | 1967 | 20993 | $22(1.0)$ | 1 | 168 | 66 (3.1) | 234 | 254 |
|  | 1968 | 15695 | 14 (0.9) | 0 | 127 | (3.1) | 127 | 141 |
|  | 1969 | 15963 | - | - | 1 | - | 12 | 14 |
| England and Wales | 1963 | 9485 | $8(0.8)$ | 0 | 15 | 38 (4.0) | 53 | 61 |
|  | 1964 | 17129 | 10 (0.6) | 0 | 30 | 97 (5.7) | 127 | 137 |
|  | 1965 | 5873 | 12 (2.0) | 0 | 35 | 57 (9.7) | 92 | 104 |
|  | 1966 | 3219 | 5 (1.6) | 0 | 28 | 37 (11.5) | 65 | 70 |
|  | 1967 | 4118 | 10 (2.4) | 0 | 23 | 49(11.9) | 72 | 82 |
|  | 1968 | 5790 | 16 (2.8) | 0 | 43 | - | 43 | 59 |
|  | 1969 | 8611 | (2.8) | - |  | - |  | 5 |
| Norway | 1963 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4(41.2) | 4 | 4 |
|  | 1964 | 1465 | 0 | 0 | 67 | $24(16.4)$ | 91 | 91 |
|  | 1965 | 2120 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 18 (8.5) | 59 | 59 |
|  | 1966 | 1362 | 0 | 2 | 27 | 16(11.7) | 43 | 45 |
|  | 1967 | 3434 | 0 | 2 | 59 | 19 (5.5) | 78 | 80 |
|  | 1968 | 3564 | 0 | 2 | 103 | 19(5.5) | 103 | 105 |
|  | 1969 | 3571 | - | - | 103 | - | 103 | 105 |
| Iceland | 1966 | 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1967 | 153 | Recaptures included in Table 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1968 | 59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1969 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ireland | 1968 | 625 | 0 | $0$ | $18$ |  | 18 | 18 |
|  | 1969 | 0 | 0 | $0$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sweden | 1966 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1969 | 800 | - | - | - | - | - | - |

a Provisional

Table 3 Number of hatchery smolts tagged in the years 1963-1969 and recaptured in Greenland and in other areas, including home waters, up to the end of 1969. Figures in brackets are returns per thousand tagged.

| Country | $\frac{\text { Year of }}{\text { Tagging }}$ | Number <br> Tagged | West Greenland | Norwegian Sea | Recaptures |  |  | $\frac{\text { Grand }}{\text { Total }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Al1 Other Ar | reas |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Grilse | Salmon | Total |  |
| Canada | 1963 | 7332 | 4 (0.5) | 0 | 132 | 29 (4.0) | 161 | 165 |
|  | 1964 | 46659 | 9 (0.2) | 0 | 101 | 83 (1.8) | 184 | 193 |
|  | 1965 | 45988 | 67 (1.5) | 0 | 378 | 214 (4.7) | 592 | 659 |
|  | 1966 | 70881 | 70 (1.0) | 0 | 239 | 293 (4.1) | 532 | 602 |
|  | 1967 | 112317 | 63 (0.6) | 0 | 276 | 190 (1.7) | 466 | 529 |
|  | 1968 | 113992 | $140(1.2)^{\text {a }}$ | 0 | 289 | 190 (1.7) | 289 | 429 |
|  | 1969 | 128280 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Scotland | 1963 | 6750 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 (0.4) | 6 | 6 |
|  | 1964 | 3000 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 (2.3) | 14 | 14 |
|  | 1965 | 3000 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 19 | 19 |
|  | 1966 | 8000 | 1 (0.1) | 0 | 13 | 4 (0.5) | 17 | 18 |
|  | 1967 | 4451 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 1968 | 5335 | 0 | 0 | 4 | - | 4 | 4 |
|  | 1969 | 3694 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { England } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { Wales } \end{aligned}$ | 1963 | 1970 | 1 (0.5) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1966 | 9668 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 (0.1) | 1 | 1 |
|  | 1967 | 18522 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 (0.1) | 1 | 1 |
|  | 1968 | 28266 | 3 (0.1) | 0 | 4 | (0.1) | 4 | 7 |
|  | 1969 | 7420 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Norway | 1963 | 10999 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 95 (8.6) | 183 | 183 |
|  | 1964 | 9182 | 0 | 1 | 135 | 87 (9.5) | 222 | 223 |
|  | 1965 | 8072 | 0 | 12 | 71 | 20 (2.5) | 91 | 103 |
|  | 1966 | 13812 | 0 | 33 | 411 | 149(10.8) | 560 | 593 |
|  | 1967 | 18393 | 1 (0.1) | 47 | 240 | 53 (2.9) | 293 | 341 |
|  | 1968 | 12983 | 0 | 22 | 173 | 53 (2.9) | 173 | 195 |
|  | 1969 | 16967 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Iceland | 1966 | 8367 | 2 (0.2) | 0 | 66 |  | 80 | 82 |
|  | 1967 | 10061 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 6 (0.6) | 30 | 30 |
|  | 1968 | 9985 | 0 | 0 | 45 | (0.6) | 45 | 45 |
|  | 1969 | 7586 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ireland | 1966 | 15000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1967 | 5000 | 1 (0.2) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 1968 | 222 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1969 | 5199 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sweden | 1966 | 11181 | 7 (0.6) | 1 | 690 | 137(12.2) | 827 | 835 |
|  | 1967 | 4000 | 1 (0.2) | 4 | 364 | 47(11.8) | 410 | 414 |
|  | 1968 | 4298 | 1 (0.2) | 1 | 586 | - | 586 | 588 |
|  | 1969 | 6381 | ) | - | - | - | - | - |
| USA | 1966 | 82250 | 37 (0.4) | 0 | 91 | 168 (2.0) | 259 | 296 |
|  | 1967 | 80717 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 10 (0.1) | 40 | 40 |
|  | 1968 | 73730 | 2 (0.03) | ) 0 | 54 | - | 54 | 56 |
|  | 1969 | 73418 |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| Denmark | 1965 | 1886 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 (0.1) | 3 | 3 |
|  | 1966 | 4270 | 0 | 4 | 19 | 44 (10.3) | 63 | 67 |
|  | 1967 | 2696 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 7 (3.0) | 20 | 21 |
|  | 1968 | 5173 | 1 (0.2) | 1 | 30 | - | 30 | 32 |
|  | 1969 | 3837 | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 4 Number of kelts tagged in the winters 1962/63-1969/70 and recaptured in Greenland and in other areas, including home-waters, up to the end of 1969.

| Country | $\frac{\text { Winter of }}{\text { Tagging }}$ | $\frac{\text { Number }}{\text { Tagged }}$ | Greenland | Recaptures Other Areas | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1962-63 | 653 | 2 | 65 | 67 |
|  | 1963-64 | 1519 | 0 | 90 | 90 |
|  | 1964-65 | 1995 | 1 | 144 | 145 |
|  | 1965-66 | 7170 | 0 | 650 | 650 |
|  | 1966-67 | 7510 | 1 | 689 | 690 |
|  | 1967-68 | 3742 | 0 | 419 | 419 |
|  | 1968-69 | 3627 | 3 | 120 | 123 |
|  | 1969-70 | 4521 | - | 12 | 123 |
| England <br> and <br> Wales <br> (River Axe only) | 1962-63 | 159 | 1 | 12 | 13 |
|  | 1963-64 | 185 | 2 | 10 | 12 |
|  | 1964-65 | 184 b | 1 | 11 | 12 |
|  | 1965-66 | $109{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1 | 7 | 8 |
|  | 1966-67 | $178{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1 | 11 | 12 |
|  | 1967-68 | 188 | 2 | 6 | 8 |
|  | 1968-69 | 80 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 1969-70 | $3^{\text {c }}$ | - | $\underline{-}$ | 2 |
| Ireland | 1962-63 | 2264 | 2 | 31 | 33 |
|  | 1963-64 | 2351 | 2 | 70 | 72 |
|  | 1964-65 | 2695 | 2 | 34 | 36 |
|  | 1965-66 | 2972 | 1 | 40 | 41 |
|  | 1966-67 | 3175 | 0 | 77 | 77 |
|  | 1967-68 | 1034 | 0 | 24 | 24 |
|  | 1968-69 | 410 | 0 | 13 | 13 |
|  | 1969-70 | - | - | - | - |
| Scotland | 1962-63 | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1963-64 | 134 | - | 2 | 2 |
|  | 1964-65 | 233 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
|  | 1965-66 | 1435 | 3 | 31 | 34 |
|  | 1966-67 | 901 | 3 | 21 | 24 |
|  | 1967-68 | 117 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 1968-69 | 152 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 1969-70 | 152 | - | 1 | 1 |
| USA | 1962-63 | 151 | 1 | 13 | 14 |
|  | 1963-64 | 123 | 1 | 10 | 11 |
|  | 1964-65 | 160 | 0 | 23 | 23 |
|  | 1965-66 | 146 | 2 | 16 | 18 |
|  | 1966-67 | 578 | 5 | 75 | 80 |
|  | 1967-68 | 340 | 4 | 51 | 55 |
|  | 1968-69 | 218 | 1 | (8) | - |
|  | 1969-70 | - | - | - | - |

a Ascending adults tagged during any year are included in the totals tagged for the corresponding winter (i.e. those tagged in 1962 are included under 1962-63, those tagged in 1963 under 1963-64 etc.), but recaptures of these adults in the year of tagging have not been included.
b In addition, 180 kelts were tagged by the Dee and Clwyd River Authority in 1965-66 and 291 kelts in 1966-67. No recaptures were reported from the first experiment and two (from 'Other Areas') from the second.
c Provisional.

Table 5 Number of parr tagged in the years 1964-1969 and recaptured in Greenland and in other areas, including home-waters, up to the end of 1969 . Figures in brackets are returns per thousand tagged.

| Country | $\frac{\text { Number }}{\text { Tagged }}$ |  | Year of |  | Recaptures |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grand } \\ & \hline \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Tagging | Assumed | West | Norwegian |  | ther Area |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Faroes | Grilse | Salmon | Total |  |
| Canada | 1971 | (H) | 1964 | 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 (2.0) | 4 | 4 |
|  | 0 |  | 1964 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1882 |  | 1965 | 1966 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | (W) | 1965 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4694 | (H) | 1966 | 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 15 | (W) | 1966 | 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 999 | (H) | 1967 | 1968 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | (W) | 1967 | 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
|  | 995 | (H) | 1968 | 1969 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 385 | (H\&W) | ) 1968 | 1969 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 1999 | (H) | 1969 | 1970 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 147 | (W) | 1969 | 1970 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Scotland | 0 | (H) | 1966 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 564 | (W) | 1966 | 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | (H) | 1967 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3651 | (W) | 1967 | 1968 | 0 | 0 | 3 | - | 3 | 3 |
|  | 222 | (H) | 1968 | 1969 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 4865 | (W) | 1968 | 1969 | - | - | - | _ | - |  |
|  | 2043 | (H) | 1969 | 1970 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 4314 | (W) | 1969 | 1970 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { England } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { Wales } \end{aligned}$ | $4939$ |  | 1966 | 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 |  | 1966 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2050 | (H) | 1967 | 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | (W) | 1967 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2121 | (H) | 1968 | 1969 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | (W) | 1968 |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 2512 | (H) | 1969 | 1970 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | (W) | 1969 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Norway | 996 |  | 1965 | 1966 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 (1.0) | 2 |  |
|  |  | (W) | 1965 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2000 | (H) | 1966 | 1967 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 6 |
|  | 1000 | (W) | 1966 | 1967 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 (3.0) | 9 | 9 |
|  | 1995 | (H) | 1967 | 1968 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 3 (3.0) | 7 | 11 |
|  | 831 | (W) | 1967 | 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1000 | (H) | 1968 | 1969 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | (W) | 1968 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1000 | (H) | 1969 | 1970 | - |  |  |  | - | - |
|  |  |  | 1969 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ireland |  | (H) | 1969 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 821 |  | 1969 | 1970 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Sweden |  |  | 1965 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 327 |  | 1965 | 1966 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 3 (9.2) | 28 | 28 |
|  | 0 | (H) | 1966 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 591 | (W) | 1966 | 1967 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 5 (8.5) | 62 | 62 |
|  |  | (H) | 1967 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $300{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 1967 | 1968 | 0 | 0 | 19 | - | 19 | 19 |
|  | $500^{\text {a }}$ |  | 1968 | 1968 | 0 | 0 | 10 | - | 10 | 10 |
|  | 0 | (W) | 1968 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | (H) | 1969 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | (W) | 1969 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

a Tagged as 'one-year-old smolts', but recapture records suggest they should be included in this table rather than in Table 4.

H Hatchery reared.
W Natural (wild)

Table 6 Recaptures (to February 1970) of fish tagged at West Greenland.

| Year | Number | Local Recaptures |  | Distant Recaptures |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tagged | Tagged | Number | Days Absence | Number | $\frac{\text { Location }}{\text { les }}$ |
| 1965 | 223 | 3 | 1, 3, 26 | 1 | Canada (1, SW Newfoundland) |
| 1966 | 729 | 28 | $\begin{array}{r} 1-8(24) \\ 10-50(4) \end{array}$ | 4 | Canada (1, Miramichi Estuary) Scotland (3, River Tweed (2), River Spey) |
| 1967 | 375 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 1-2(3) \\ & \text { not known (3) } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | ```Canada (1, Indian Head, Labrador) Ireland (2, River Slaney, River Barrow) Scotland (1, River Tay)``` |
| 1968 | 47 | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 1-3(3) \\ & <1 \text { month }(1) \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Canada (1, Eagle River Labrador) |
| 1969 | 443 | 14 | < 2 months | $1^{\text {a }}$ | Canada (Northeast Newfoundland) |

a Recaptured in the year of tagging.
a Not known.
b Roughly $70 \%$ of catch taken in vicinity of Faroes.
c All taken in vicinity of Faroes.
d Estimated catch.
e Preliminary figures, based on reports from two-thirds of the Fishery Boards.


Table 7 Catches in the Norwegian Sea longline fishery and in the drift-net fishery within Norwegian fishery limits, 1965-69.
ishery and in the drift-net fishery within Norwegian fishery limits, 1965-69.
Norwegian Sea Longline Fishery

 $\frac{\text { Germany }}{\text { Number of Catch }}$
Ships $\quad \begin{gathered}\text { Norway } \\ \text { Ships }\end{gathered}$


## 몸

Catch
$00^{\omega 1} 0^{\circ} \mathrm{O}$


| Number of | Catch | Number of | Catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ships |  | Ships |  |
| 1-2 | - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | 78 | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | 184 | 2 | 5 |
| 40 | 427 | 4 | $7^{\text {c }}$ |

Denmark

Year


Table 8 Estimates of catch-per-unit-effort in the Norwegian Sea longline fishery.

| Year | Month | Country | $\frac{\text { No. of Salmon }}{\text { Sampled }}$ | No. of Salmon Caught per 1000 hooks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1968 | April | Denmark | 1104 | 92 |
| 1968 | May | Denmark | 4435 | 100 |
| 1968 | Apri1-Aug. | Sweden | 32751 | 42 |
| 1969 | March | Dermark | 25891 | 43 |
| 1969 | April | Dermark |  | 57 |
| 1969 | May | Denmark |  | 44 |
| 1969 | May | Denmark |  | $13^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1969 | June | Denmark |  | 29 |
| 1969 | April | Germany | 5459 | 50 |
| 1969 | May | Germany |  | 46 |
| 1969 | June | Germany |  | 23 |

?able 9 Catches in home waters, 1960-69 (salmon plus grilse except where shown separately) in metric tons, round fresh weight. (Based on data available on 28 February 1970)
 Northern ${ }^{\text {b }}$

| ?ear | England and Wales |  |  | France | Iceland | $\underset{\substack{\text { Irish }^{\text {b }} \\ \text { Republic }}}{ }$ | Northern ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Norway |  |  | Scotland |  |  | $\underline{\text { Sweden }}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\underline{U S S R}^{\text {f }}$ | Canada | USA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Salmon | Grilse | otal |  |  |  | Ireland | almon | ilse | Total | Salmon | rilse | Total |  |  |  |  |
| 1960 | - | - | 283 | 50-100 | 200 | 743 | 139 | - | - | 1659 | 960 | 476 | 1436 | 40 | 50-100 | 1635 | < 2 |
| 1961 | - | - | 232 | 50-100 | 200 | 707 | 132 | - | - | 1533 | 820 | 376 | 1196 | 27 | 50-100 | 1580 | <2 |
| 1962 | - | - | 318 | 50-100 | 100 | 1459 | 356 | - | - | 1935 | 1015 | 725 | 1740 | 15 | 50-100 | 1717 | <2 |
| :963 | - | - | 325 | 50-100 | 200 | 1458 | 306 | - | - | 1786 | 1286 | 412 | 1698 | 16 | 50-100 | 1848 | < 2 |
| 964 | - | - | 307 | 50-100 | 200 | 1617 | 377 | - | - | 2147 | 1216 | 698 | 1914 | 16 | 50-100 | 2066 | <2 |
| 1965 | - | - | 320 | 50-100 | ? | 1457 | 281 | - | - | 2000 | 1042 | 560 | 1602 | 17 | 50-100 | 2113 | <2 |
| 4966 | - | - | 387 | 50-100 | 120 | 1238 | 287 | - | - | 1863 | 1069 | 555 | 1624 | 17 | 50-100 | 2356 | < 2 |
| 1967 | - | - | 420 | 50-100 | 120 | 1463 | 449 | - | - | 2052 | 1245 | 888 | 2133 | 23 | 50-100 | 2859 | <2 |
| 1968 |  |  | $282{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 50-100 | 171 | 1413 | 312 | - | - | 1593 | 1020 | 543 | 1563 |  | 50-100 | 2111 | $<2$ |
| :969 | $255^{\text {a }}$ | $111{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $366{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 50-100 | 147 | 1707 | 267 | 800 | 680 | 1480 | 975 | 944 | 1919 | ? | 50-100 | $1918{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $<2$ |
| tngling jatch |  | Inc. |  | Inc. | Inc. | Inc. | Inc. | Inc. |  |  |  | Inc. |  | Not Inc. | Inc. | Not Inc. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Inc. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { orcentage } \\ & \text { irilse } \end{aligned}$ |  | 10-40 (by no.) |  | - | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ \text { (in 1969) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80-90 \text { (by } \\ & 70-80 \text { (by } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text {.) } 90 \text { (by no.) } 15 \text { (by wt.) } \\ & \text {.) } 80+\text { (by wt.) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ \text { (by no.) } \end{gathered}$ | - ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | ? |  |
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| ?ear | England and Wales |  |  | France | Iceland | $\underset{\substack{\text { Irish }^{\text {b }} \\ \text { Republic }}}{ }$ | Northern ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Norway |  |  | Scotland |  |  | $\underline{\text { Sweden }}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\underline{U S S R}^{\text {f }}$ | Canada | USA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Salmon | Grilse | otal |  |  |  | Ireland | almon | ilse | Total | Salmon | rilse | Total |  |  |  |  |
| 1960 | - | - | 283 | 50-100 | 200 | 743 | 139 | - | - | 1659 | 960 | 476 | 1436 | 40 | 50-100 | 1635 | < 2 |
| 1961 | - | - | 232 | 50-100 | 200 | 707 | 132 | - | - | 1533 | 820 | 376 | 1196 | 27 | 50-100 | 1580 | <2 |
| 1962 | - | - | 318 | 50-100 | 100 | 1459 | 356 | - | - | 1935 | 1015 | 725 | 1740 | 15 | 50-100 | 1717 | <2 |
| :963 | - | - | 325 | 50-100 | 200 | 1458 | 306 | - | - | 1786 | 1286 | 412 | 1698 | 16 | 50-100 | 1848 | < 2 |
| 964 | - | - | 307 | 50-100 | 200 | 1617 | 377 | - | - | 2147 | 1216 | 698 | 1914 | 16 | 50-100 | 2066 | <2 |
| 1965 | - | - | 320 | 50-100 | ? | 1457 | 281 | - | - | 2000 | 1042 | 560 | 1602 | 17 | 50-100 | 2113 | <2 |
| 4966 | - | - | 387 | 50-100 | 120 | 1238 | 287 | - | - | 1863 | 1069 | 555 | 1624 | 17 | 50-100 | 2356 | < 2 |
| 1967 | - | - | 420 | 50-100 | 120 | 1463 | 449 | - | - | 2052 | 1245 | 888 | 2133 | 23 | 50-100 | 2859 | <2 |
| 1968 |  |  | $282{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 50-100 | 171 | 1413 | 312 | - | - | 1593 | 1020 | 543 | 1563 |  | 50-100 | 2111 | $<2$ |
| :969 | $255^{\text {a }}$ | $111{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $366{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 50-100 | 147 | 1707 | 267 | 800 | 680 | 1480 | 975 | 944 | 1919 | ? | 50-100 | $1918{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $<2$ |
| tngling jatch |  | Inc. |  | Inc. | Inc. | Inc. | Inc. | Inc. |  |  |  | Inc. |  | Not Inc. | Inc. | Not Inc. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Inc. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { orcentage } \\ & \text { irilse } \end{aligned}$ |  | 10-40 (by no.) |  | - | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ \text { (in 1969) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80-90 \text { (by } \\ & 70-80 \text { (by } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text {.) } 90 \text { (by no.) } 15 \text { (by wt.) } \\ & \text {.) } 80+\text { (by wt.) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ \text { (by no.) } \end{gathered}$ | - ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | ? |  |


| ?ear | England and Wales |  |  | France | Iceland | $\underset{\substack{\text { Irish }^{\text {b }} \\ \text { Republic }}}{ }$ | Northern ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Norway |  |  | Scotland |  |  | $\underline{\text { Sweden }}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\underline{U S S R}^{\text {f }}$ | Canada | USA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Salmon | Grilse | otal |  |  |  | Ireland | almon | ilse | Total | Salmon | rilse | Total |  |  |  |  |
| 1960 | - | - | 283 | 50-100 | 200 | 743 | 139 | - | - | 1659 | 960 | 476 | 1436 | 40 | 50-100 | 1635 | < 2 |
| 1961 | - | - | 232 | 50-100 | 200 | 707 | 132 | - | - | 1533 | 820 | 376 | 1196 | 27 | 50-100 | 1580 | <2 |
| 1962 | - | - | 318 | 50-100 | 100 | 1459 | 356 | - | - | 1935 | 1015 | 725 | 1740 | 15 | 50-100 | 1717 | <2 |
| :963 | - | - | 325 | 50-100 | 200 | 1458 | 306 | - | - | 1786 | 1286 | 412 | 1698 | 16 | 50-100 | 1848 | < 2 |
| 964 | - | - | 307 | 50-100 | 200 | 1617 | 377 | - | - | 2147 | 1216 | 698 | 1914 | 16 | 50-100 | 2066 | <2 |
| 1965 | - | - | 320 | 50-100 | ? | 1457 | 281 | - | - | 2000 | 1042 | 560 | 1602 | 17 | 50-100 | 2113 | <2 |
| 4966 | - | - | 387 | 50-100 | 120 | 1238 | 287 | - | - | 1863 | 1069 | 555 | 1624 | 17 | 50-100 | 2356 | < 2 |
| 1967 | - | - | 420 | 50-100 | 120 | 1463 | 449 | - | - | 2052 | 1245 | 888 | 2133 | 23 | 50-100 | 2859 | <2 |
| 1968 |  |  | $282{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 50-100 | 171 | 1413 | 312 | - | - | 1593 | 1020 | 543 | 1563 |  | 50-100 | 2111 | $<2$ |
| :969 | $255^{\text {a }}$ | $111{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $366{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 50-100 | 147 | 1707 | 267 | 800 | 680 | 1480 | 975 | 944 | 1919 | ? | 50-100 | $1918{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $<2$ |
| tngling jatch |  | Inc. |  | Inc. | Inc. | Inc. | Inc. | Inc. |  |  |  | Inc. |  | Not Inc. | Inc. | Not Inc. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Inc. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { orcentage } \\ & \text { irilse } \end{aligned}$ |  | 10-40 (by no.) |  | - | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ \text { (in 1969) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80-90 \text { (by } \\ & 70-80 \text { (by } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text {.) } 90 \text { (by no.) } 15 \text { (by wt.) } \\ & \text {.) } 80+\text { (by wt.) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ \text { (by no.) } \end{gathered}$ | - ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | ? |  |

Table 10 Estimates of catches per unit effort for some home-water fisheries.


FIG. 1 DISTRIBUTION OF WEST GREENLAND SALMON FISHERY, 1969


FIG.2. DISTRIBUTION OF NORWEGIAN SEA SALMON FISHERY, 1969


