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Report of the ICES/ICNAF Working Group on Cod Stocks in the North Atlantic Charlottenlund, 8-14 March 1972

NOTE:
At the 1970 Annual Meeting of ICNAF, Panel 1 , in discussing Subarea 1 cod assessments, noted the close association between the cod fisheries in ICNAF Subareas 1,2 , and 3 and also those in neighbouring areas of the Northeast Atlantic and drew attention to the importance of extending the assessments to cover the other cod stocks in the North Atlantic, especially those in Subareas 2 and 3 and in the northern part of the Northeast Atlantic.

At its 1970 Annual Meeting ICES supported the idea and proposed that ICNAF be approached with a view to convening a joint ICES/ICNAF Working Group to study the effects of increases in and massive re-deployments of fishing effort on the cod stocks and on fishery management in the North Atlantic.

At the 1971 Annual Meeting of ICNAF the Standing Committee on Research and Statistics, supporting the proposal by ICES, recommended (ICNAF Redbook 1971, Part I, p. 13)
(1) that the Commission accept the invitation of ICES to convene a meeting of a joint ICES/ICNAF Working Group on Cod Stocks in the North Atlantic, and
(ii) that the Executive Secretary and the Chairman of the Assessments Subcommittee consult with the Chaiman of the ICES Liaison Committee concerning the composition of the Working Group so that appropriate experts are invited to meet at the time of the mid-term meeting of the Assessments Subconmittee.

The change in timing for the Meeting of the Joint Working Group and the terms of reference, as given at the beginning of the Report, were established by ICES at its 1971 Annual Meeting.
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## Section I. Introduotion

## 1. Terme of reference

The Group was convened with the following terms of reference (C.Res.1971/3:2):-
"It was decided, that:
(a) the Joint ICES/ICMAF Working Group on Cod Stocks in the North Atlantic meet in Copenhagen for one week in March 1972 to summarise existing assesements concerning cod stocks in the North-East Arctic, Icelandic and East Greenland Waters, as well as the West Greenland, Labrador and Newfoundland cod stocks, and to examine in general terms the effects of possible regulatory measures, with particular emphasis on the interaction between fieheries on different atocks,
(b) Mr D J Garrod will be Chadman of the Working Group."

## 2. Participants

| A Pinhorn | (Canada) | R Hennemuth | (U.S.A. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sv Aa Horsted | (Denmark) | D J Gerrod, Chairman | (J.K.) |
| A Schumacher | (Germany, F.R.) | B W Jones | O.Z. |
| A Meyer | (Germany, F.R.) | J Moller Christensen | İCES |
| S Schopka | (Iceland) | $\checkmark$ Hodder | ICN |
| A Hylen | (Norway) | ${ }_{5}$ L Boerema | (FAO) |
| E Stanek | (Poland) | J Gulland | (FAO) |

The Group wishes to acknowledge the computer programing asaistance by Mr J G Pope (Lowestoft, J. K.) and Mr K Iessen (Denmark).

## 3. Stocks considered



Information available for stocks 1-7, 9, 10 and 12 enabled these to be incorporated into a model of the total North Atlantic cod resource to examine the interactions between fisheries. Figure 1 illuatrates the geographical distribution of these stocks. Recent assessments of resources 13-15 are revieved. Resources located in other parts of the ICES area have been excluded from detailed analysis because they are axploited by trawlers uaing amaller mesh sizes than elsewhere and further research is necessary to determine comparabilities between these and vessels fishing the stocks speoified in the terms of reference.

Section II. The present status of the Noxth Atlantic cod fisheries

## 1. Conclusions

(1) Increasing range and mobility of the fleets fishing for cod in the North Atlantic has increased their efficiency and their ability to concentrate on those stooks that happen to be most productive at a particular time.
(ii) For virtually all the stocks considered the current fishing mortallty has reached the level where further increases in fishing will at best produce very amall increases in yield per recruit, and in some stocks will actually decrease the yield per recruit.
(1ii) There is a probability that epawning stocks as low, or lower than the present could lead to a recruitment failure and consequently to a very large drop in total catch. Taking this into account, and to some extent the economic benefits implied by an improved catch per unit effort, a desirable level of fiahing mortality (effort) would be approximately half the present level. This would not affect the average long-tern yield.
(iv) If auch a reduction were achieved in a single year, then, given average recruitment, the cod catoh would recover close to the current level after a transitional period of five years.
(v) The same benefit could be achieved by a phased reduction involving less immediate disturbance to the catch though it would take perhaps ten years to realise the full benefits.
( $\nabla 1$ ) If the displaced fishing effort remained fishing and could be redeployed on other lightly exploited species there would be an increase in the total catch of all species and a less severe immediate loss.
2. The main features of the cod fisheries 1960-1970

## 2.1 mends in the fishery

The changes in total cod catch from the North Atlantic are summarised in Tables 1-3. During the period 1955 to 1970 the total catches have flucturted about a level of some 3 million tons, with a peak of nearly 4 million tons in 1968. On the surface, therefore, the state of the Atlantic cod fisheries appears to be satisfactory. But despite the relatively constant value of total catch, both overall and by country, there have been great ohanges in the fishery and the atocks.
At the beginning of the 1960's the north-east Atlantic resources were already fully explofted but the north-west Atlantio resources less so; and the development of the highly mobile international fleet of $901+G R T$ freezer and factory trawlers had scarcely begun. About that time a decline in oatches and catch per unit effort in the northeast caused some countries to extend their activities westward. On these stocks, which were relatively lightly fiahed stocks at that time, they achieved high catches a part of which represented accumulated biomass.

Countries also began to expand their fleets of larger veasels to improve economic performance on grounds at long range but sufficient fishing was maintained in the northeast to fully exploit those stocks. The expansion of fishing effort to the northwest Atlantic and the development of the $901+$ GRT vessel class reached an initial peak in 1967/68. (Tables 4 and 5). This coupled with favourable recrultment in several stocks, particularly in the Axcto-Norwegian, led to very high catches in 1968/69, well above any sustainable long-term average yield. Thus now, by the early 1970'a, all stocks are fully exploited; there are no lightly fished stocks to sustain the high productivity of fishing operations when, as now, several stocks suffer poor recruitment, either through natural causes and/or the effects of stock/recruitment relation.

### 2.2 Fleet mobility

The changes in the fleets have been twofold:
(a) an increase in the efficiency of their operations with the use of improved fishing gear (e.g. mid-water trawls) and electronic apparatus for navigation and fish detection;
(b) increasing flexibility in their operations, with increased abjlity to move from one stock to another in response to short-term fluctustions in fishing prospects.

This second change is reflected in Table 4 which, for the two categories $>501$ GRT shows a $25 \%$ decrease in units of the 501-900 GRI class counterbalanced by a doubling in the number of the larger, and operationally more flexible 900 + GRT clase. Overall, however, the number of equivalent fishing units appears to have remained fairly stable through the 1960's; the change has been in the scope of their fishing operations. The changes in efficiency are difficult to quantify; to allow for it we have assumed, on the basis of trends in catchability, that an hour of fishing in 1970 was $30 \%$ more effective than in 1960 but this must vary; for example there has been a change in catchability with time at West Greenland.

In addition, the higher operating costs of the larger vessels causes them to seek out more dense concentrations of fish (higher catch rates). This, combined with the depletion of resources, which has in itself forced fleets to concentrate on area or fisheries where the availability of fish is high, has gradually altered the seasonal paitern of fisheries. Now more than ever fishing concentrates on seasonal aggregations of fish in aifferent stocks, further increasing the efficiency of the fleete as a whole.

### 2.3 Trends in fishing effort and atook abundenoe

The changes in fleet efficiency make it diffioult to calculate the real changes in the amount of fishing effort over the past ten years, and also make it difficult to estimate the changes in the abundance of the stocks, at least in terms of catch per unit effort. Estimates that have been made axe given in Table 5.

These reflect the switch which began in 1955 from fishing in the north-east Atlantic (as represented by the NGAMC area) to the north-west (ICNAF), but it appears that in 1963/64 a proportion of the fishing effort was taken out of the cod fisheries in the NFAFC area and redeployed, preaumably on other species, e.g. hake, haddock and herring in the ICNAF area.

The redistribution of fishing effort in the decade 1960-1970 is also evident in the distribution of catches by vessel categories in Table 6. Catches by the fleet of vessels < 500 t are fairly uniformily distributed through all stock. Dnless used with support craft, or as pair trawlers, this group may be regarded as 'non-mobile' in the
sense that their range is very limited. The 501-900 GRP group has a degree of mobility, but their operational range is limited and vessels of this class fishing the north-east Atlentic are, for the majority, unable to fish the north-west Atlantic profitably, and vice versa. The $900+G R T$ class developed through the decade has, in 1970, taken most of their catch at Greenland, Labrador and Newfoundland. Of the total catch in 1970 the non-:iobile fleet took $40 \%$, the intermediate 501-900 GRT group 30\%, and the fully mobile $901+G R T$ fleet $30 \%$. This is roughly equivalent to the distribution of their effective (but not actual) fishing time in the units used here (Table 7).

The abundance of stocks in the north-east Atlantic, which were already fully exploited prior to 1960 has show no trend since that time, mainly because the total stock estimates ano heavily influenced by the abundance of iecruit year classes. There have been changes in the abundance of some north-ivest Atlantic stocks since 1966, particulerly at West Greeriland, Labrador and Grand Bank. The decrease in population at Weat Greenland is also apparent in a decline in the population biomess as calculated by a different method (see Table 12).

### 2.4 Present status of the stocks

In 1960 the north-east Atlantic stocks vere fully exploited but the north-west Atlantic less so. The developments through the $1960^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ reduced this 'imbalance'. Prior to 2960 there haz always been one or more stocks which were relatively lightly fiched and which could absorb, at least temporarily, fishins effort diverted from other areas. Even in the late $1960^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ as all stocks came to be fully explofted, good year classes have occurred in one or more stocks to permit good fishing. Exceptionally, as in 1968, good year classes have occurced in more than one stoc: resulting in short-term catches well in excess of the level that may be expected as a longterm average, even under management.

The general increas in level of exploitation for approximately the same level of effort reflects on improvenent in overall harvest efficiency of the fleets as a whole, but it has reduced the average age of fish in the stocks making short-term fishing prospects over the whole Atlantic cod resouxce more dependent upon the strength of new year classes and, when these oppers, they attract the mobile fleet causing 'pulse fishing'. (The poek in catches in ICNAF Div. 3NO 1967/68 is a classic example). Dut this overexploits the older pert of the stock as woll as the young fish that atiracted the fishing, and when the fleet moves on it leavos behind a stock severely depleted throughout its nge mange.

The available estimetes of the abuninnce of recent yoar classes which will enter the comercial fisherios 297 - 1975 are summarised in Table 8. The most reliable of these irdicate goci mecruitment to some of the ICNAF stocks (but not West Grecaland) which will recruit to those fisheries from 1972, and a fexy ztion: 2970 year class in the Arcto-Norwegian stock which till reciuit oo the Parents Sea/Bear Island fishery in 1973. It is veit libely that fishing effort will concentrate on this last yona class.

The beat available guide .a short,-term rishino procpects on an Atlantic wide basir is given by a simplation (see Section III, 3.4). This indicates a prospective rield of 2 million tons from the selected stocks in 1973, if the 1970 level of Aishinc is continued. This, and the expected average long-terr cotcines uncier management is well below the peak catch of ? million tons in 1969 .

## 3. Stock essessments

Detailed assessments of the state of individual stocks have been presented by various Working Groups and Sub-Committees of ICES and ICNAF, and much of the basic material has been sumaerised in Section III of this Report. Since the relation between adult stock and subsequent recruitment hes not been established for any cod stock, it is not possible to state definitely the relation between the amount of fiahing and long-teril yield. Calculations have been made of fishing mortality in relation to yield per recruit, identifying two critical velues of fishing mortality:
(a) $F_{\text {max }}$, corresponding to the maximum yield per recruit, which gives the absolute upper limit to the amount of fishing that should be allowed, and
(b) Fopt, calculated following the usage of the 1972 ICNAF mid-term assessment report, as the level at which the marginal yield (the net addition to the total catch produced by an additional unit of effort) is one-tenth of the catch per unit in a very lightly exploited stock.

For each stock for which sufficient data are avallable estimates of recent fishing mortality (1966-1970) in Table 9 have been related to $F_{\text {max }}$ and $F_{\text {apt }}$ in Table 10. In nearly every case it exceeds $F_{\text {opt }}$ and in several cases $F_{\max }$ as calculated from the present pattern of fishing over all age groups.

Recognition of Fopt as a criterion has become necessary because as the level of exploitation has increased and with it the need to locate the best concentrations of fish, so fishing mortality has become more age specific. In some years fishing concentrates on young age groups, in others the older age groups are most attractive. The precise location of $F_{\text {max }}$ is sensitive to these changes and may vary over a wide range whereas Fopt is more stable. Moreover if recruitment is influenced by the level of fishing mortality this implies that at the moderately high levels of fishing represented by most values of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{max}}$, the recruitment could be decreased, and that the maximum total yields would be likely to occur at somewhat lower levels of fiching, perhaps around the values of Fopt.

Since increasing fishing mortality beyond the level of Fopt will only increase the yield per recruit by an amount that is small compared with the increase in effort, and could well decrease the total yield, it is suggested that, pending further analysia, the estimate values of Fopt should serve as target figures for the fishing mortality to be achleved on each stock. For most stocks this would imply a sharp decrease in the amount of fishing from current levels without great change in the yield per recruit.

The soale of decrease in fishing mortality that would lead to $F_{\text {opt }}$ is given below together with the long-term yield that could be expected under past average recruitment conditions. This compares with the average yields for each stock 1966-1970 in Table 3.

|  | STOCK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NEAMC ATEB |  |  | ICNAF Area |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & I+I I P, \\ & \text { IIA } \end{aligned}$ | VA | XIV + <br> ICNAP 1E,F | 1A-D | 2G-3L | 3NO | 3P8 | 41-4Vn |
| Macimum <br> long-term <br> astoh <br> (000 t <br> per year) | 800 | 390 | 100 | 230 | 800 | ? | 60 | 100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Suxplus } F \\ & \text { in } 196^{6-} \\ & \text { 1970 } \end{aligned}$ | 38 | 53 | NII | 50 | 62 | 75 | 67 | NIL |

1) Defined as the surplus of $F$ in 1966-1970 over $F_{\text {opt }}$ as a percentage of $F$ in 1966-1970 and celculated as

$$
100\left(\frac{\mathrm{~F} 66-70-F_{\text {Opt }}}{F 66-70}\right) \text { i.e. } 100\left(1-\frac{F_{\text {opt }}}{F 66-70}\right) .
$$

## 4. Eoonomic opportunities

The ICNAF Bio-Eoonomica Working Group estimated in 1967 that the amount of fiahing on cod and haddock could be reduced by $10-20 \%$, leading to potential annual savings in costs of 多 $50-100$ million. The present ansiyses surgest that the amount of fishing could be reduced by considerably more than $10-20 \%$, with opportunities for cosmensurate reduotion in costs.

## 5. The effect of resulatory meagures

### 5.1 Control of the size at first capture

Previous assesaments have pointed out the benefits in most of the North Atlantio cod stocks the:t would arise from an increase in the eize at firat capture, as might be achieved by the use of a larger mesh size. No new quantitative assessments of the effects of mesh changes wers made by the present Group. It ahould be pointed out that the greater mobility of many fleets, and their increased ability to concentrate on a strong year class as soon as the fish reach a commeraial size, probebly combined in the immediate future with a lack of good alternative supplies of larger cod, will tend to an increase in the relative fishing mortality on the smaller fish (below the optimum size at first capture). In turn, this would inorease the need for, and potential benefits from, appropriate control of the size at first capture.

### 5.2 Control of fishing intensity

Whatever action may be taken to control the size at first aspture, it oan provide only a partial solution to management of the Atlantic cod stocks. Some control of the amount of fiahing has become necessary. Ideally, for optimum biological management, such control should be applied to each stock separately. Some of the practical problems involved have been discussed (ICiNAF Bio-Tconomics Assessment Report).

An alternative, the implementation of an Atlantic wide regulation of fishing effort has herebeen examined using a simulation model as an example of this technique and is an initial study of the effect of such a regulation on the distribution of fiehing effort and oatches, incorporating the interaction betveen fisheries caused by the mobility of fleets.

Details of this model, produced in the Lowestoft Laboratory, are given in Section III of this Report. The accuracy of similation achieved for the period 1960-1970 is 111ustrated in Figure 3 . It should be stressed that this model does not attempt to produce a
complete description of the fishery, nor a detailed prediction of future events. It should, however, provide some measure of the relative effects of, for example, two different management actions. The particular model described did not, as employed this time, include any provision for a possible relation between stock and recruitment. Therefore, on the one hand it may underestimate the benefits from reducing the amount of fishing (and hence increase the spawning stocks), and on the other hand it ignores the possibility of some spawning stocks becoming so low that there is a recruitment failure.

Amongst a number of possible management actions considered four important strategies were identified:

| Strategy 1 (Run 3) | To stabilise fishing effort (i.e. mortality) <br> at its 1970 level. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Strategy 2 (Run 6) | To decrease fishing effort to a level that <br> could in total generate Fopt on all stocks, <br> but with no restriction on mobility. |
| Strategy 3 (Run 8) | To allow fishing effort to increase $50 \%$ above <br> the present level. |
| Strategy 4 (Run 7) | As (2) but effort reduced $10 \%$ per year over <br> 5 years. |

The consequences of these strategies are illustrated in Figure 4. Predictably strategy 2 would cause a substantial immediate loss of catch, and strategy 3 an inmediate gain. However, in all four casea the longterm yield following a period of readjustment would be much the same despite retention of the mobility of fleets, although the apparent atability under 3 conceals increased variability in the catches of individual stocks. There would, however, be some changes in the catohes from different stocks and, by implication, by some countries. Equally important the strategies imply substantial changes in stock abundance (c.p.u.e.) with implied benefits from strategy 2 to both commercial catoh rates and to the spawning stock size and so, more problematically, to long-term catches.

These results refer only to consequential catches of cod. In the event of a reduction in cod flshing effort it may be presumed that the surplus effort could be diverted to other species. If such alternetives exist in the form of lightly exploited stocks, either in the North Atlantic (e.g. for grenadiers), or outside (e.g. hake in the south Atlantic), it seams reasonable to assume, that the immediate return (catch value per day fishing) on these stocks is somewhat less than for cod (otherwise the vessels would already be fishing there). Hxtra fishing on these stocks would be expected to increase the total yield from them. A diversion of part of the effort away from cod would therefore in the long term increase the total fish catoh, though the catch from the particular vessels diverted would drop slightly. This possibility is illustrated in Figure 5A for two hypothetical levels of catch per unit effort for fishing effort diverted on to non-cod stocks.

The change in total catch of cod and alternative species taken by the present cod fleets is impossible to forecast, as it depends on the uses to which the surplus effort is put. Some vessels may be scrapped, or used for non-fishery purposes, thus reducing the total costs of fishing, but it is likely that most would be employed on other stocks. The total catch might then dron in the first year, but would recover, and soon (probably in the sec ond or third year) rise above the present level.

Achievement of an immediate $50 \%$ reduction of fishing effort would involve disturbance of a large proportion of the fleet and would be impracticable. An alternative would be a phased reduction such as the
$10 \%$ reduotion phased over 5 years as illustrated in Figure 5B. In fact other soucces of ammal variation in catches are such that a $5 \%$ reductfon per year phssed over 10 years would cause still less disturbance to catch levels.

This maintenance of the overall catch would only be possible if the alternative stocks are not too heavily exploited. However, their exploitation is rapidly increasing, and opportunities for relatively painless diversion of the surplus and effort may not last much longer.

This summary of the effects of four possible management strategies on the North Atlantic cod fisheries indicates an approach to the study of the interactions between fisheries. The implications of other strategies e.g. the regulation of fishing effort or catch can be studied in a similar way provided the intended strategy is carefully defined.

## Section III. Date and Methode: Supplementary Information

## 1. Anslysis of catch and effort statistics

### 1.1 Catches by stocke

Table 1 shows the total catches of cod in the North Atlantic, by stocks, for the period 1955-1970. During most of this period the total catch of all stocks has fluctuated around a level of roughly 2.7 million tons, but substantially higher catches were made in 1968 and 1969 with the 1968 catch reaching nearly 4 million tons. There was a rapid decline to 3 million tons in 1970.

The table identifies at the top eight major stooks for which data were adequate for detailed assessments. These represent 75-85\% of the total catch of Atlantic cod. Adequate data were not available for the remaining stocks which are mostiy located in the southern part of the ICNAF and ICES areas; the catches for these are given as "Other ICMAF Stocks" and "Other ICES Stocks" in Table 1. The trend in total catch for the principal stocks is similar to that mentioned above for all North Atlantic cod stocks.

Of the eight stocks given above, four have contributed the major part of the cod catches. The catch in the Arcto-Norwegien stock has generally fluctuated around an average level of about 800000 tons annually, with catches greater than one million tons in 1955/56 and again in 1968/69, but low catches around 450000 tons in the 1964/65 period. The 1970 catch was nearly 880000 tons. In the Iceland area the catches showed a slow but fairly consistent decline from about 500000 tons in 1955/56 to about 350000 tons in 1966/67, but increased steadily to 470000 tone in 1970. The catches in West Greenland
(Div. 1A-1D) fluctuated irregularly between 180000 and 290000 tons in the 1955-61 period, between 270000 and 360000 tons during 1961-68, and declined rapidy to 67000 tons in 1970. In the Labrador-East Newfoundland area the catches increased ateadily from about 300000 tons in the 1955-58 period to nearly 700000 tons in 1967, jumped to 900000 tons in 1968, and declined thereafter to 560000 tons in 1970.

Of the four smaller stocks, the catches in the South and East Greenland area have fluctuated around an annual average of about 80000 tons with catches greater than 100000 tons in 1962-64 and again in 1967-68; the Grand Bank stock yielded catches which fluctuated around 70000 tons up to 1965, increased rapidiy to 220000 tons in 1967 and declined again to 100000 tons in 1970; the St Pierre Bank and South Gulf of St Lawrence stocks each yielded catches which fluctuated around an annual average of about 65000 tons over the 1955-70 period.

It is apparent from the above synopsis that the catches from the individual cod stocks show very different trends and fluctuations, but together, however, they have varied very little over the 1955-70 period, except in 1968 and 1969 when the exceptionally high catches were associated with the recruitment of very good year classes in the Arcto-Norwegian and Labrador-East Newfoundland stocks. A typical example of 'pulse fishing' is to be seen in the rapid doubling of catches in Div. 3NO in 1966/67.

### 1.2 Catches by countries from the selected stocks

The cod catches by countries for the whole Atlantic in Table $2 b$ relate to all stocks in Table 1 and are included here for reference only. In Table $2 a$ the catches by country from "Other ICNAF" and "Other ICES" stocks have been excluded to isolate the national atches from the stocks here selected for detailed study i.e. those grouped in the first part of Table 1. For these selected tocks the major cod-fishing countries, in order of importance, are Norway ( $17 \%$ of 1970 catch), USSR ( $15 \%$ ), Iceland ( $12 \%$ ), UK ( $12 \%$ ), Spain ( $11 \%$ ), Canada ( $10 \%$ ), Portugal ( $6 \%$ ) and Germany ( $6 \%$ ).

During the 1955-70 period the catches by Caneda (180 000-290 000 tons), Iceland ( $200000-320000$ ), Norway (200000-420 000), Portugal (140000-220 000) and UK (270000-390000 tons) have remained relatively unchanged except for annual variations as indicated by the ranges of catches given in parantheses. However, the catch by Germany increased from about 100000 tons in the late 1950's to just over 200000 tons in 1967 and 1968, and the catches by Spain increased more markedly over the aame period from 90000 to 250000 tons. During most of the $1955-70$ period the USSR catch fluctuated between 250000 and 580000 tons, but in 1968 and 1969 catches of 920000 and 800000 tons were taken. The cod fishery by France yielded catches between 120000 and 160000 tons during the 1955-68 period, but there was a substantial decline to 35000 tons in 1970. The Danish cod fishery by Faroes and Greenlanders inoreased from about 100000 tons in 1955-60 to nearly 150000 tons in 1962, but declined steadily to less than 80000 tons by 1970. The catches given for "Others" in Table $2 a$ and $\mathrm{2b}$ represent mostly the catches by the German Democratic Republic.

### 1.3 Catch by country and stock

Table 3 gives the average catch by each country from individual stocks in the period 1966-70. In the Arcto-Norwegian area the USSR catch was about $4 \% \%$ of the total with Norway ( $33 \%$ ) and UK ( $17 \%$ ) taking most of the remainder. At Iceland the Icelandic cod catch accounts for ebout $60 \%$ and UK about $25 \%$. The Fed. Republic of Germany takes about $50 \%$ of the cod catch off South and East Greenland. At West Greenland, F.R. Germany, Denmark and Portugal have taken the greatest ahare and likewise the 2G-3I stock is exploited by most countries in varying degrees, with Portugal, Canada and Spain having taken the three highest catches. The 3 NO stock has been fished almost exclusively by Spain and USSR, the $3 P$ south stock equally by Canada and Spain and the amall 4T-4V north stock mostly by Canade.

While many of the Bompeen countries exploit most of the stocks on both aides of the North Atlantio in vaxying degrees, France, Portugal, Poland and Spain have fished for ood almost acclusively in the Northwest Atlantic. The two North American countries fish exclusively on the cod stocks which are adjacent to their coasts. This also applies to the cod fisheries by Denmark (G) in West and South Greenland, by Iceland on the Icelandic cod atock, and partly by Norway on the ArctoNorwegian stock.

### 1.4 The fleet

Statistics of the number of vessels that have oulght cod in the North Atlantic in the specified areas were returned by all countries except Feroe, U.S.A. and U.S.S.R. These are summarised in Table 4. The returns account for $80 \%$ of the total catch of cod in 1970. The flgure for the category < 150 GRT are very imprecise because suah fleets are typically very heterogeneous and vessels may not necessarily fish full time. The category 151-500 GRN shows an increase of some $25 \%$ in the countries sampled during the period. Fxcept for such vessels of Faroe, Spain and USSR, these categories are henceforward taken to represent 'nonmobile' effort, i.e. fishing effort whose operation is restricted to resources in the imediate vicinity of the home-country. Categories 501-900 GRT and G01+ GRT are here combined to represent the 'mobile' fleet capable of redeployment from one part of the North Atlantic to another, though the 501-900 GRT group has only a limited mobility between a few resources. In these classes a decrease in the mumber of $501-900$ GRT of the sampled countries has been balanced by an increase in the number of $\mathrm{GOL}+\mathrm{GRT}$ unite.

An index of the total number of equivalent fishing units has been calculated for all 501 + GRT vessels as described in the footnote to Table 4. In these terms the size of fleet fishing for cod appears not to be increasing at the present time but this ignores the increases in efficlency of vessels due to their improved range and performance characteristics.

### 1.5 Flahing effort and catch per unit effort

The fishing effort and catch per unit effort values, given in Table 5, are derived from several sets of national fishing effort data, one or more for each stock, and converted to the equivalent of hours fishing by Finglish trawlers.

In the Arcto-Norwegian and Iceland non-spawing stocks effort data (hours fishing) for Finglish (501-900 GRT) trawlers were used. No time series of fishing effort date is avallable for the Iceland spawning fishery. For the South and Fast Greenland stock English hours fishing for all trawler categories was used and for West Greenland A-D F.D.R. German effort data of days fished were converted to an English equivalent with a conversion factor 11.51.

The comparability of fishing effort units between fleets fishing the stocks mentioned above and fleets fishing the remainder of the ICNAF area is difficult to determine because of lack of overlap between fleets. The available statistical evidence indicates that otter trawler hours fished for Portugal, Spain and UK are approximately equivalent and they have been taken as such. For the 2G-3L stock (Labrador-East Newfoundland) Portuguese otter trawl data (hours fished) were taken as belng directly equivalent to JK hours fished. For the $3 \mathrm{~N}-0,3 \mathrm{Ps}$ and 4T-Vs atocks Spanish pair-trawl data were taken as being equivalent to Portuguese effort data and consequently equivalent to UK effort unit as used for the North-Elast Atlantic stocks. Jsing 1961 as the base year the effort values for the vartous stocks were raised by $3 \%$ per year from 1961 yo 1970 in order to provide for a slow but gradual increase in efficiency which must undoubtedly have occurred especially for the mobile fleets.

As indicated above for the catches in Table 1, the effort values for the various stocks (Table 5 a) show different trends and fluctuations. The Barents Sea/Bear Island stock had high effort levels in the early 1960's and also during 1968-70 with a low level during 1964-65. In contrast, the Labrador-East Newfoundland stock was subjected to almost continuously increasing effort from about 300000 hours during $1960-63$ to nearly 600000 hours in 1969. Both the Rast and West Greenland stocks had relatively high effort levela during 1961-64 and in both areas the effort had by 1970 declined to not much more than one-third of the 1961-64 levels.

The catch per unit effort values, given in Table 5 b , axe relatively stable for some stocks (e.g. Arcto-Norwegian and Iceland) over most of the 1960-70 period, while for others they fluctuate greatly (e.g. $3 \mathrm{~N}-0$, $4 \mathrm{~T}-4 \mathrm{Vn}$ and 3Pa). In South and East Greenland the catch per unit effort steadily increased between 1960-61 and 1968-69 with a slight decline in 1970. In West Greenland there was a steady rise from 1962 to 1966 and a ateady decline thereafter. In the Labrador-East Newfoundland area there was a steady decline from a high level during 1960-63 to a relatively low level by 1970.

During the period under consideration significant changes have taken place in the patterns of fishing on some of the stocks. For example, it is well known that in the Labrador-East Newfoundland area there has been a major shift from mostly autumn fishing, in the early years, to mostly winter and spring fishing on spaming concentrations in the latter years. Because of such changes in the seasonality pattern of fishing, the catch per unit effort values of Table 5 b may not reflect reliable changes in stock abundance.

### 1.6 The allocation of catches and fishing effort between different sectors of the total fleet

The proportion of the catch in 1970 taken by each category and on each ground is summarised in Table 6. Though the $900+$ GRT group takes the greater part of the catch from resources most distant from centres of population, overall the greatest part of the catch is taken by the < 500 GRT sector of the international fleet.

The allocation of catch between vessel categories is used in Table 7 to allocate the available fishing effort, i.e. the national units of maglish hours fishing adjusted for a $30 \%$ increase in efficiency 1960-70. The uncorrected number of hours fished has been related to the number of hours fished per day of German 501-900 GRT trawlers giving an eatimated $170-190$ days fishing per year per vessel. This is realistic and since the estimate of vessels and hours fishing have been derived independently the comparison adds credibility to the estimate of trend in fleet structure summarised in Table 4.

## 2. Review of stock essessments

2.1 Arcto-Norwegian. ICES $I_{2}$ IIa, IIb (North-East Arctic Fisheries Working Group Report, ICEs, 1970)

The exploitation rate on this stock reached a very high level in the early 1960's, and then declined as mobile fleets transferred their activity to other stocks when the sbundance of the Arcto-Norwegian resource fell in 1964. A period of lower exploitation followed until 1968 when the recruitment of two successive strong year classes, 1963 and 1964, increased the relative attraction of this area to the mobile fleet. Catches and the exploitation rate were very high in 1968-1970,
and the stock again became overaxploited at that time with regard to the long-term yield. The 1963/64 year classes are being followed by a series of weak year classes and in 1971 fishing mortality has fallen to a level of $F=0.5$, and may decline further. The fluctuations in the fiehery have been primarily due to fluctuations in recruitwent, which, for a period, attracted excessive fishing effort. These factors leave, in 1972, a atock which contains old fish surviving from the good year classes and one strong recruit year class of 1970 which will enter the fishery in 1973.

The evidence that recruitment is related to apawing stock aize is the atrongest for all cod stocks in this Arcto-Norwegian stock. The North-East Arctic Fisheries Working Group is of the opinion that the long-term future of the resource as a whole depends largely on the fate of the recruiting 1970 year class. Fishing mortality ahould be held as low as practicable in order to ensure an increase in the stock.

### 2.2 Iceland. ICES Va (Northwest Arctic Fieheries Working Group, ICES, 1971)

The fishery for cod at Iceland can be divided into two components:-
Spawning fishery: a fishery in the spring off the south-west corner of Ioeland for mostly apawning cod carried out by Icelandic veasels exclusively. This fiehery, which accounts for about $46 \%$ of the total catch of cod in the Icelandic waters, is based mainly on the spawning stock of cod of Icelandic origin but supported by a component of mature cod imigrating from Greenlandic weters. Ti: : proportions of those immigrants probably differs from year to year, and may have a substantial influence onthe results of this fishery.

Non-spawning fishery: a general fishery for cod around the whole Icelandic coast at all times of the year. This fishory is mostly for immature cod and is prosecuted mainly by English, German and Icelandic vessels. Immigrants from Greenland which survive from the Icelandic spawning fishery appear to stay at Iceland and are at least partially available to capture in the non-spawning fiahery.

The catch: during the period 1964 to 1967 the catch oi cod at Iceland declined to 345000 tons in 1967 due to lack of good year classes in the spawning fishery, but aince 1968 a part of the strong year classes 1961, 1962 and 1963 which originated at Greenland migeated to Iceland and raised the catches again to a high level (471 000 tons in 1970). Previous assessments indicate that an increase in fishing mortality would not result in a further increase in a yield per recruit so this atock can be considered as being fully exploited.

### 2.3 Iceland-Greenland interrelationship. Methods of calculation

No migration of aduIt cod from Iceland to Greenland has been observed in the last decades, whereas migration of mature cod from West Greenland to East Greenland / Iceland and from East Greenland to Iceland is known to take place. Resulta of tagging experiments make it reasonable to neglect the small-scaled migration from Div. $14-1 D$ and to treat the IE-IF and East Greenland cod as a unit stock for assessment purposes.

On the basis of tagging experiments the Northwestern Working Group eatimated the actual proportion of mature fish at Greenland emigrating to Iceland as about $25 \%$ per year. A new attempt to estimate the migration has been made, using the virtual population technique. Back-calculations to age 3 of mature age groups (i.e. $7+$ ) from the total catch at Iceland and back-calculations from the catches of immature age groups only, to age 3, reveris two different figures. The difference between these is regarded as the number of 3 years old fish in the IE-IF, Fast Greenland stock which will ultimately migrate to Iceland at maturity.

The stock size at 3 yoars of age of fish of Greenland origin which will remain at Greenland was back-calculated from the catches of all age groups taken at Greenland. The stock size of fish which would remain at Greenland can be added to the aize of the stock of 3 years old ultimately providing the migrants to give the total stock aize of all fish of Greenland origin. The migrant stock size can then be expressed as a proportion of the total stock of Greenland origin.

The results indicate that migration may fluctuate between years and year classes, but generally it takes place from age 7-8 and onwards by an average proportion of $24 \%$ which is comparable to the findings of the Northwestern Working Group. For simplification in the present analysia, the migration is regarded as an extra natural mortality in the Greenland stock equal to a coefficient of 0.15 and the corresponding number of fish is added to the mature stock at Iceland for each year and age group.
2.4 Greenland. (ICNAF Assessments: Mid-teril Report, 1972)

South and East Greenland (ICNAF Div. IE-1F, ICES Subarea XIV)
In the last decade catches have fluctuated between 82 and 131 thousand tons, highest in 1968 . The originally mixed fishery (cod plus redfish) is gradually directed more and more towards cod especially flsked when ooncentrating during and around the spawning season. Catch per unit effort has, therefore, been increasing during the decade but this cannot be taken as an index of increased abundance of cod. Rather can it be taken as a aign of increased fishing mortality on older age groupg.
Fmigration of mature cod from this area to Iceland is mentioned above.

## West Greenland (ICNAF Div. 1A-1D)

Catches between 1955 and 1968 fluctuated between 180 and 360000 tons, highest in 1962. Recent poor recruitment and adverse physical fishing conditions has made 1969 and 1970 catches decline to 141 and 67 thousand tons, respectively. The remaining effort has tended to concentrate more on relatively old fioh probably maintaining a relatively high $F$ on these age groups. Prospect for recruitment up to the mid-1970ts is bad, and a catch level of not more than 100000 tons is likely.
The ICNAF Assessment Committee 1972 has concluded that the cod stock of ICNAF Divisions 1A-F is at least fully exploited.
2.5 Labrador - Fast Newfoundland (ICNAF Div. 2G-3L)
(Pinhorn, 1970; Pinhorn and Wells, 1970)
The fishery on this stock increased steadily from a level of about 300000 tons during 1955-1959 to about 700 000 tons in 1967, then increased strongly to 900000 tons in 1968 and 831000 tons in 1969, but fell to 561000 tons in 1970 (Table 1). Fishing mortality eatimates fluctuated in the vicinity of $F_{\max }$ of 0.4 during 1960-66 (0.3-0.6) but were well in excess of the maximum during 1967-69 (0.6-0.75), decreasing to $F_{\operatorname{mex}}$ of 0.4 in 1970 (Table 12).

Total stock size of fish older than 3 years fluctuated between 2500 and 5000 million during $1960-1970$ in response to fluctuations in recruitment, while the numbers of fully recruited fish older than 6 years decreased from about 650 million in 1961 to 365 million in 1969 with an increase to 470 million in 1970. Population biomass decreased from 3.5 million tons in 1960 to $2.6-2.7$ million tons in 1969-1970.
2.6 Grand Bank (ICNAF Div. 3NO)
(Pinhorn and Wells, 1970)
The fishery on this stock fluctuated between 34 and 78000 tons during 1956-1964 increasing to 96000 tons in 1965 and 106000 tons in 1966. The catch more than doubled to 222000 tons in 1967, decreasing to 110000 tons in 1968 and 104000 tons in 1970 (Table 1). The sharp increase in landings in 1967 was a reflection of the entrence of the very strong 1964 year class as 3 year olds and the reduction to the 1966 catch level in 1969 indicates that this year class only contributed significantly to the fishery for two years as ages 3 and 4. The characteristics of the present stock status indicates that the fishery is heavily dependent on individual recruiting year classes and with such a fast growth rate in this area, the long-term yield from a year class is greatly reduced by heavy fiahing at an early age.
Catch/effort assesaments for 1963-1966 indicated $F$ to be at or beyond the $F_{\max }$ of 0.2 during the early 1960's. With increased catch and effort since 1966 F of fully reoruited ge_groups is almost certain to have been well beyond the $\vec{F}_{\max }$ since 1966.
2.7 St Pierre (ICNAF Div. 3Ps)
(Pinhorn, 1972)
The fishery on this stock fluctuated only between 50000 and 80000 tons during the entire $1955-1970$ period (Table 1). Fishing mortalitlea for the 1960-1970 period varied between 0.30 and 0.55 and were thus somewhat beyond the $F_{\text {max }}$ of 0.30 for this stock for the entire period (Table 12). Total stock size of fish older than 3 years decreased from 225 million in 1960 to 150 million in 1963 and then increaged to 325 million in 1970, in response to variations in recruitment. Numbers of fully recruited fieh older than age 6 decreased from 30 million in 1960-1961 to 14 million in 1967 and then increased to slightly over 20 million in 1969-1970.
Population biomass decreased sharply from 270000 tons in 1960 to 180-190 000 tons in 1962-1965, and then increased slowly to 220000 tons in 1968 and 1969 and 290000 tons in 1970.
2.8 Southern Gulf of St Lawrence (ICNAF 4T-4V n)
(Halliday, 1972)
Landings declined from the peak of 110000 tons in 1964 to 41000 tons in 1967, but increased again to 64000 tons in 1970. The most recent increase was due to the mobile fleet effort in Div. 4Vn. Most of the catch is now taken by otter trawls but gill net effort has increased.
Assessment of the effect of fishing on this stock is complicated by density-dependent changes in growth rate and recruitment which, in turn, have caused changes in the rate of iecruitment to the fishery and in age at first exploitation. As a result it is difficult to assess an optimum value of $F$. The recent increase in trawl catches probably increased $F$ only to about 0.3 on $7-10$ year olde as atock abundance had increased at the same time. This is lower then the $F$ in 1960-1966 of $0.35-0.60$. Thus the stock appears to be in a relativeIf good state, with some increase in fishing still possible.

### 2.9 Brown's Lahavre, George's Bank (ICNAF Div. $4 X$ and 5)

Complete assessments for these stocks are not yet available; however, the stocks appear to be rather heavily exploited. For Div. $4 X$ in fact the present $F$ is about twice the value corresponding to maximum-yield-per-recruit. Recent pre-recruit year classes are known to be poor from research vessel surveys.
For Subarea 5, the present effort is somewhat higher than the level corresporiding to the maximum sustainable catch and it was considerably higher in the previous six years.

Thus, although these stocks are not inoluded in the model, they will not support additional effort, and, in fact, the effort should be decreased somewhat. The maximum yields from both atocks are probably less than 50000 tons and a large share of the present effort is non-mobile.

## 3. Biological characteristics of the stocks incorporated in the aimulation model

### 3.1 Initial stock composition and biomase estimates

The majority of eatimates of fishing mortality described in this Report have been derived by virtual population analysis. This method also provides estimates of the size of each stock in terms of millions of fish in each age group at the beginning of each year. The atock structure in a particular year is necessary to initiate a simplation run. For the validation of the model and data the simulation was initiated in 1960; the appropriate data are at Table 11. Subsequent experimental runs were based on analogous stock estimates for 1970.
Though not used explicitly in the model, estimates of biomass were derived by miltiplying the estimates of standing stock in numbers per age group from the virtual population analyses by the mean weight per fish which was obtained from various sources (see Table 16). They represent the biomess of the stock of fish aged three and older and are given in Table 12.
The three largest stocks - Arcto-Noxwegian, Iceland and Labrador/Newfoundlend - amount to $2.1,2.9$ end 2.7 million tons, respectively. For these the biomass has been rather stable since 1960, although the Arcto-Norwegian stock is rather lower than everage in 1970. The other stocks are all about 0.3-0.4 million metric tons, and excepting 3Ps, have all declined since 1960. The West Greenland stock in 1970 was only about $\frac{1}{8}$ of its gize in 1960 .
For most of the stocks, the catch in 1970 was $20-25 \%$ of the biomass. It was somewhat lower for the Icoland stock ( $\Sigma 16 \%$ ), and much higher for the Arcto-Norwerian stock ( $41 \%$ ).

### 3.2 Fishine mortality and the catchobility coofficient, $q$

Values for $F$ (Table 9) were taken directly from the virtual population analyses, except for $3 \mathbb{N O}$, where a value of $q$ was estimated and applied to the estimates of effective fishing effort.
The tabulated values represent fishing mortality on fully recruited and, in most cases, the mature stock (ages 7-12).
There are no consistent time trends in $F$, excopt that more of the higher values appear in the later years. The estimated $F$ in 1970 dropped for most stocks, after some large increases in 1968-1969 in the Iceland, West Greenlend and Labrador stocks.
It is important, howerer, to relete the F's to those epplicable to the younger, recruiting agrs groups. In mpay areas the to segments of the stock are fished eeparately, and a high $F$ on the younger age groups could nome with a low F on the meture stock.
In Table 1.3 estimates of $F$ (fiom Table 9) have been used with the independently determined esti $\because$ "ss of fishing effort (Table 5) to estimate the catchability coefficjent $q$. The estimates of fishing effort include an adjustment for increases in efficiency with time and for most stocks the implied value of $q$ shows little trend. However, the value of a for the Greenlend stock in Div. A-D has increased considerably in recent yeers: this is thought to reflect concentration of the fleet on a shrinking stock during the spawning season with more efficient fibhing gear (midwator trawls).
3.3 Seasonality and seasonal variations in the catchability coefficient

Table 14, the monthly percentage variation in CPUE, gives a picture of the different availability of the fish in the course of the year. It shows the concentration of cod during the first half of the year mainly due to the formation of spawning shoals (pre-spawners, spawners, post-spawners) and partly also due to environmental factors. During the second half of the year the cod are on feeding migration and thus widely epread (horizontaily and vertically) and leas available to the gears (slack period). The higher summer catches in $4 T-4 V_{n}$ are due to profitable fishing on cod returning from $4 V_{n}$ to the Gulf of St Lawrence.
Whilst up to the beginning of the 1960's off Greenland and in 2G-3L the fishery of the mobile fleet was mainly carried out during summer and auturm or over the whole year's period, the modern factory trawlers are now flahing for cod mostly only during the first half of the year, when dense concentrations allow profitable catches. During the uneconomic slack period, this fleet goes for other species (e.g. herring).

### 3.4 Recruitment (Table 8)

For the North Atlantic cod stocks for which recruitment data were available, recruitment of 3 year olds has varied considerably, both in absolute size, in corresponding year classes between stocks (cf. Barents Sea/Bear Island with Ioeland) and in the degree of fluctuations of successive year classes within esch stock (cf.Barents Sea/Bear Island with 2G-3L) (Table 8). The Icelandic, 2G-3L, 3Ps and $4 \mathrm{~T}-\mathrm{Vn}$ stocks show only moderate fiuctuations in year class strength, whereas in the East and West Greenland and 3NO stocks, fluctuations are greater. The Barents Sea/Bear Island stock demonstrated reasonably stable recruitment up to the 1964 year class after wiich recruitment from the $1965-1968$ year classee was only about 5\%, the previous level. Similarities evident in recruitment patterns between stocks include the importance of the 1963 year class in the Barents Sea/Bear Island, East Greenland, E and F and 2G-3L, the importance of the 1961 year class from Iceland and East and West Greenland stocks and the similarity of recruitment trends in the Barents Sea/Bear Island and 2G-3L stocks up to the 1965 year class.

### 3.5 Partial recruitment to the exploited stock

Table 15 gives the pattern of recruitment to each stock in terms of the partial fishing mortality of each age group as a proportion of fiehing mortality on fully reoruited age groups. It is derived from the mortality analysis and represents the combined effects of biological recruitment to the area of each fishery and selection of the fishing gear in use.

### 3.6 Growth

The growth rate data (weight at age) in rable 16 are collected from different sources. Data for the Arcto-Norwegian and Icelandic stocks are teken from Working Group reports (ICES, 197la, b), respectively. The growth data for the $2 G-3 L$ and $3 P s$ stocks are derived from curves of growth in length combined with a lengthweight relationship given in papers by May et a1. (1965) and Wells and Pinhorn (1970). The growth data for the 3 NO stock was derived from data submitted to the meeting by Pinhorn (pers.com.). The 4T-4Vnstock data are from a paper by Halliday (1972).

## 4. Interaction between fisheries

In order to examine the interaction between fisheries that follows from the redeployment of fishing effort from one resource to another in response either to the natural fluctuations in the stocks, or to regulation of individual atocks, the data summarised heve been incorporated in a simulation
model of the total cod resource complex. This model is described by Clayden (1972). A simplified flow diagram showing the relationships between the besic parameters and the resulting computations is at Figure 2. The resulta of the first control simulation to validate the model are illustrated in Figure 3. This was achieved by restricting the observed fishing effort on each stook to fish only that stock.

This simalation is not perfect. There are differences between actuel and simulated catches in most stocks. In general, these can be attributed either to inevitable simplification of reality in the model, or to poor data. The accuracy is considered sufficient to demonstrate that this fishery system can be deacribed by the parameters chosen, and that our estimates of these parameters must be close to the truth.

Having established the validity of the model, the interactions between fisheries were examined for a number of assumptions related to possible changea in fishing effort deployed on these North Atlantic cod resources. This was sahieved by allocating the available fishing effort to different sectors of the fleet (Table 7). The effort capacity of the < 500 GRT class was regarded as being restricted to the stocks in the vicinity of its origin, e.g. Norwegian effort $<500$ GRT could only fish Berents Sea or Norway Coast. Fleets of this clasa which do have a degree of mobility were assigned to mobile categories as appropriate. Thus Spanish pair trawlers were assigned to 501-900 GRT class capacity fiahing the Northwest Atlantic; Faroese vessels and OSSR vessels working with support craft, which may fish both in the north-east and in the northwest Atlantic, were assigned to the 901+GRT class. The 501-900 GRT class has limited range over resources on one aide of the Atlantic or the other, but not over all resources. It was divided in two parts according to the 1970 pattern of activity and each part was allowed to fish only stocks in the North-East Atlantic, or stocks in the North-West Atlantic. The GOl+ GRT group was permitted to fish any stock. Within the model the fishing effort of the three mobile groups was allowed to fish any stock in ita range according to their relative abundance in each month.
In the time available, it was only possible to investigate a small number of possible patterns of interaction, and it has not been possible to consider the redeployment of effort on to species other than cod.

In considering these results it is important to remember that such a model cannot and does not attempt to predict reality because data on future recruitment and on fishing effort cannot become available. The model is a research tool that enables us to investigate interactions over a time period based on the assumption that recruitment will fluctuate as it has in recent years. The relative yields between different strategies will be valid for any level of recruitment, but actual catches would not.

Starting from a 1970 stock situation, and recycling recruitment from 1957 as representing realistic natural fluctuations in stock, five runs were made to study the effect of possible changes in the pattern of fishing on average catches over a l0-year period.

| Strategy | (Run 3) | Fffort kept constant at the 1970 ievel. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy | (Run 6) | Effort reduced in Year 3 and later years to haif the 1970 level ( $=F_{\text {opt }}$ overall). |
| Strategy | (Run 8) | Effort increased in Year 3 and later years to $50 \%$ above the 1970 level. |
| Strategy | (Run 7) | Effort reduced by $10 \%$ per year between Years 4-8 and thereafter kept constant. |
| Strategy | (fon 4) | Effort increasing at $5 \%$ per year over the 10-year period. |

The summary results of these runs are given in Table 17. ;Figure 4 gives the changes in total effort, total catch, and overall catch per unit effort over the 10-year period. In Year 3, the first year of major changes in fishing effort, the catches vary widely, but by the end of the 10 -year period the catches from different rums have converged close
to the same quantity. The exception is for strategy 4 (fum 7) for which the catches are atill in a transitional state at the and of the period, but could be expected also to converge to the common value in later years.

The catches per unit effort shown at the bottom of the figure are very different for different runs. By the tenth year the oatch per unit effort for atrategy 2 (fun 6) is three times that for strategy 3 ( Fu B ).

The differences between some runs are ahown in Pigure 5. In this Figure an attempt has been made to estimate the effects on total catches taken by the present fleets, i.e. including the likely catohes taken by the sruplus offort diverted to other stocks. The present catoh per unit effort on cod is about 0.65 and two values of the catch per unit effort on alternative stocks were assumed 0.2 and 0.4 . Figure $5 A$ shows that if there were a $50 \%$ cut in the effort on cod, the cod catch would drop by about 850000 tons (i.e. a little under 50\%), increasing thereafter, but recovering close to the oatch taken with the original effort 5 years later. However, the total catch (including catches from stooks to which surplus effort had been diverted) will be congiderably bigher. At the more conservative eatimate of the productivity of the alternative stocks (rather less than one-third that of the cod stocks) the total catch following the reduction of cod effort will be equal to that of the unregulated fleets after four years. On the assumption that the alternative stocks are about two-thirds as productive as cod, there will be a loss only in the first year, and by the seventh year the total catch will be over half a million tons higher.

Similar results are obtained from a phased reduction in effort. There will be a reduction on cod catch over the short period considered, but the total catch will increase and on the more optimistic estimates of catches from alternative atocks the initial decrease will be insignifioant.
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TABLE 1. Total nominal ostch of COD in the Forth Atlantic, by atocks, 1955-1970 (000 tona)

| Stooke and areas | Pootnotes | 1955 | 1956 | 2957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barents Sea/Bear Ialand ICRS I + IIb | 1 | 985 | 1112 | 657 | 611 | 566 | 468 | 632 | 771 | 661 | 329 | 345 | 349 | 444 | 911 | 923 | 636 |  |
| Hozvegtan Soa, ICEs $\mathrm{Tr}_{\text {\% }}$ | 1 | 164 | 233 | 137 | 153 | 180 | 255 | 149 | 139 | 117 | 109 | 100 | 135 | 129 | 163 | 255 | 240 |  |
| Ioelend ground, ICRS Va | 2 | 538 | 481 | 452 | 509 | 453 | 465 | 375 | 386 | 402 | 429 | 394 | 357 | 345 | 391 | 406 | 471 |  |
| Grgenland Bast and South ICES XIV + ICHAF 1 E-F | 3.4 | 30 | 51 | 77 | ${ }_{82}$ | 73 | ${ }^{86}$ | 92 | 108 | 130 | 116 | 82 | 90 | 121 | 131 | 90 | 72 |  |
| Groenlend Weet, IGSAP 1 A-D | 3 | 244 | 294 | 203 | 249 | 180 | 181 | 272 | 360 | 322 | 26 | 296 | 291 | 344 | 279 | 141 | 67 |  |
| Lebrador/Kewfoundland Eant TCHAP 2 G-3 L | 3,5 | 275 | 321 | 307 | 235 | 351 | 482 | 513 | 513 | 512 | 627 | 619 | 626 | 678 | 906 | 831 | 561 |  |
| Grand Benk, ICliser 3 I-0 | 3 | 113 | 65 | ${ }^{86}$ | 46 | 62 | 78 | 71 | 34 | 68 | 62 | 96 | 106 | 222 | 160 | 110 | 104 |  |
| St Prezre Bank, Iccuap 3 Pa | 3,6 | 76 | 56 | 78 | 50 | 71 | 73 | ${ }^{8}$ | 49 | 47 | 52 | 50 | 64 | 61 | 74 | 59 | 71 |  |
| Southern Gulf of <br>  | 3,7 | 40 | 68 | 67 | 69 | 62 | 13 | 71 | 76 | ${ }^{78}$ | 72 | 75 | 64 | 49 | 54 | 57 | 74 |  |
| Total in model ( ) $^{\text {a }}$ |  | 2465 | 2671 | 2064 | 2004 | 1998 | 2136 | 2259 | 2435 | 2337 | 2064 | 2057 | 2082 | 2383 | 3059 | 872 | 296 |  |
| * ( $1 / 8$ ) |  | ${ }^{85}$ | 85 | ${ }^{80}$ | 76 | ${ }^{80}$ | ${ }^{80}$ | ${ }^{81}$ | ${ }^{62}$ | ${ }^{81}$ | ${ }^{78}$ | 76 | 75 | 76 | 78 | ${ }^{80}$ | 76 |  |
| Other ICES Etooke ICES III, IV, VI, VII \& Fb Other ICNAP stooks ICNAF 3 M , 3Pn-4B,47a-W, 4X | 8,10 | 300 232 | 328 346 | 374 153 | 337 166 | 319 175 | 350 186 | 295 219 | 311 216 | 327 226 | 329 240 | 395 263 | 462 250 | 414 <br> 244 | 565 290 | 475 223 | 475 236 |  |
| Total all stooks ( B ) | 9 | 2897 | 3135 | 2591 | 2507 | 2492 | 2672 | 2773 | 2962 | 2890 | 2633 | 2715 | 2794 | 3141 | 3914 | 3570 | 3007 |  |

[^0]Table 2 a Total nominal catch of COD in the North Atlantio (Table 1, Group A)

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Countries \& 1955 \& 1956 \& 1957 \& 1958 \& 1959 \& 1960 \& 1961 \& 1962 \& 1963 \& 1964 \& 1965 \& 1966 \& 1967 \& 1968 \& 1969 \& 1970 \& 1971 <br>
\hline Belgium \& 9 \& 8 \& 7 \& 10 \& 5 \& 6 \& 5 \& 8 \& - \& - \& 4 \& 3 \& 2 \& 3 \& 3 \& 5 \& <br>
\hline Canade (M) \& 65 \& 85 \& 85 \& 76 \& 70 \& 56 \& 54 \& 63 \& 60 \& 57 \& 59 \& 56 \& 46 \& 49 \& 53 \& 50 \& <br>
\hline Canada (N) \& 192 \& 205 \& 197 \& 146 \& 200 \& 206 \& 161 \& 176 \& 187 \& 175 \& 160 \& 165 \& 148 \& 159 \& 146 \& 103 \& <br>
\hline Denmarch (F) \& 78 \& 76 \& 70 \& - 69 \& 60 \& 75 \& 70 \& 110 \& 100 \& 94 \& 85 \& 82 \& 82 \& 67 \& 146 \& 56 \& <br>
\hline Denmark (G) \& 20 \& 21 \& 24 \& 27 \& 28 \& 29 \& 35 \& 36 \& 24 \& 23 \& 25 \& 30 \& 29 \& 22 \& 25 \& 21 \& <br>
\hline France (M) \& 160 \& 148 \& 143 \& 117 \& 132 \& 137 \& 147 \& 160 \& 121 \& 136 \& 112 \& 125 \& 127 \& 129 \& 77 \& 35 \& <br>
\hline France (SP) \& 5 \& 7
130 \& 5 \& 36 \& 5 \& 4

103 \& 5 \& 3 \& 3 \& 4 \& 5 \& 5 \& 3 \& 2 \& 3 \& 2 \& <br>
\hline Germany F.R. \& 89
304 \& 130 \& 81 \& 96 \& 82 \& 103 \& 142 \& 181 \& 191 \& 152 \& 182 \& 176 \& 204 \& 228 \& 188 \& 151 \& <br>
\hline Iceland \& 324 \& 302 \& 257 \& 298 \& 292 \& 305 \& 248 \& 223 \& 240 \& 281 \& 244 \& 228 \& 204 \& 234 \& 286 \& 313 \& <br>
\hline Italy \& 10 \& 9 \& 7. \& 3 \& 5 \& 2 \& 3 \& 1 \& - \& - \& - \& - \& - \& - \& - \& - \& <br>
\hline Japan \& - \& - \& - \& - \& - \& - \& - \& - \& - \& - \& - \& - \& - \& - \& + \& + \& <br>
\hline Netherlands \& - \& - \& - \& 1 \& - \& - \& - \& - \& - \& \& 2 \& \& \& - \& - \& - \& <br>
\hline Sorway \& 319 \& 383 \& 293 \& 332 \& 321 \& 271 \& 319 \& 264 \& 246 \& 194 \& 237 \& 246 \& 278 \& 331 \& 357 \& 421 \& <br>
\hline Poland \& $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ \& - \& \& - \& 1 \& 1 \& 2 \& 3 \& 9 \& 10 \& 20 \& 36 \& 54 \& 88 \& 76 \& 53 \& <br>
\hline Portugal \& 190 \& 215 \& 195 \& 161 \& 147 \& 169 \& 176 \& 202 \& 211 \& 192 \& 182 \& 183 \& 218 \& 200 \& 173 \& 140 \& <br>
\hline Romania \& $\overline{87}$ \& 99 \& 100 \& $\stackrel{\square}{88}$ \& 102 \& 120 \& 137 \& $\overline{39}$ \& $\stackrel{\square}{52}$ \& 170 \& 100 \& 172 \& 220 \& 25 \& 3
236 \& 3 \& <br>
\hline Spain
J.K. \& 87
361 \& 99
394 \& 100 \& 88
312 \& 102 \& 120 \& 137 \& 139 \& 152 \& 170 \& 180 \& 172 \& 220 \& 252 \& 236
336 \& 240 \& <br>
\hline U.K. ${ }_{\text {U. }}$ U. $^{\text {U }}$ \& 361

+ \& 394
+ \& 312
+ \& 312
+ \& 307 \& 273 \& 274 \& 310 \& 292 \& 265 \& 260 \& 264 \& 282 \& 299 \& 336 \& 310 \& <br>
\hline U.S.S.R. \& 552 \& 585 \& 285 \& 269 \& 243 \& 365 \& 471 \& 558 \& $\stackrel{+}{476}$ \& 268 \& 265 \& $\stackrel{+}{254}$ \& $\stackrel{+}{16}$ \& 917 \& 799 \& 390 \& <br>
\hline Othera \& - \& - \& - \& 1 \& + \& 1 \& $+$ \& + \& 1 \& 44 \& 51 \& 62 \& 70 \& 82 \& 55 \& 17 \& <br>
\hline Total \& 2461 \& 2667 \& 2061 \& 2009 \& 2000 \& 2123 \& 2257 \& 2437 \& 2313 \& 2065 \& 2073 \& 2087 \& 2383 \& 3062 \& 2883 \& 2311 \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 2 b

| Countries | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgivm | 15 | 12 | 10 | 18 | 21 | 22 | 28 | 17 | 12 |  |
| Canada (M) | 215 | 112 | 112 | 124 | 120 | 110 | 122 | 115 | 110 |  |
| Canada (II) | 206 | 222 | 204 | 190 | 188 | 176 | 201 | 179 | 153 |  |
| Denmark ( I ) | 63 | 69 | 68 | 79 | 90 | 93 | 107 | 94 | 97 |  |
| Denmark ${ }^{(R)}$ | 116 | 106 | 103 | 95 | 90 | 90 | 81 | 87 | 71 |  |
| Denmark (G) | 36 | 24 | 23 | 25 | 30 | 29 | 22 | 25 | 21 |  |
| Pinland | ${ }^{+}$ | + | + | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | $\pm$ | + | $+$ | $+$ | + |  |
| Franee (M) | 188 3 | 145 | 178 | 168 | 174 | 187 | 207 | 135 | 141 |  |
| Prance (SP) Germany P. | 200 | 208 | 4 176 | 5 210 | 5 | 3 239 | 2 274 | 3 223 | 2 |  |
| Iceland | 223 | 240 | 279 | 244 | 232 | 239 | 274 234 | 223 | 185 |  |
| Ireland | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |
| Itely | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Japan | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | + |  |
| Metherlands | 8 | 8 | 11 | 22 | 24 | 27 | 31 | 20 | 25 |  |
| Hoxway | 290 | 277 | 224 | 262 | 290 | 298 | 354 | 430 | 458 |  |
| Poland | 47 | 58 | 54 | 67 | 105 | 116 | 155 | 146 | 126 |  |
| Portugal | 218 | 231 | 210 | 197 | 202 | 237 | 219 | 182 | 163 |  |
| Romania |  |  | $-$ | 1 |  |  |  | 3 | 4 |  |
| Spain | 199 | 212 | 222 | 226 | 234 | 280 | 330 | 287 | 268 |  |
| Sreden | 36 | 33 | 25 | 26 | 28 | 30 | 31 | 25 | 23 |  |
|  | 386 | 385 | 362 | 380 | 388 | 421 | 447 | 456 | 414 |  |
| T.S.A. | 20 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 17 | 20 | 22 | 26 | 24 |  |
| J.S.S. $\mathrm{R}_{6}$ | 609 | 537 | 340 | 344 | 357 | 532 | 986 | 818 | 449 |  |
| Others | + |  | 44 | 51 | 62 | 71 | 82 | 55 | 17 |  |
| Total | 2980 | 2902 | 2668 | 2751 | 2867 | 3188 | 3938 | 3615 | 3074 |  |

The slight discrepancies between these totals and those in Table I (B) are due to
the inclusion of some catches whioh were not allocated to stocks for Table 1 .
Total nominal catch of cOD in the Forth Atlantic (Table 1, Group B) by oountries, 1962-1970 ('000 tons)
TABLR 3．Average anmal catch of con 1966－1970 by country and stook（＇000 tona）

| $\underset{\substack{\text { ® } \\ \hline \\ \hline}}{ }$ |  | －00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 递 | 1，1 a $0 \rightarrow++1+$ | 8 |
| 管 |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{0}$ |
| 串 | $1111+ \pm 1+1$ ¢ | $\stackrel{-1}{ }$ |
| \％ |  | N |
| 䨞 | ，1，，，，，ミ | $\stackrel{+}{\text { N }}$ |
| 宕 |  | 啝 |
|  | $11.11^{+1}+111+$ | $\pm$ |
| 哴 |  | － |
|  | $\rightarrow$＋＋＋in + ＋¢ N | $\stackrel{\sim}{\mathrm{H}}$ |
| 䓓 |  | m |
|  |  | $\approx$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { 号 } \end{aligned}$ | $111+1+$ | ＋ |
|  | 1 1 ，1 1 1 1 N | N |
| 号 |  | 罢 |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{N}$ |
| $0$ | $1111,+\infty+1+$ | $\sim$ |
| 此䒨 |  | 囬 |
| $\square$ | 11111010 | ＋ |
| 家 |  | $\stackrel{1}{*}$ |
|  |  | \＃ |
| ${ }^{\text {風 }}$ | 111111101010 | \％ |
| 歇 |  | 9 |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{1}$ |
|  | 1 ，m 1 1 1 1 ，¢ |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{lllllllll}  & & & \text { M } \\ & & & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 咢 |

Table 4 Sumary of fleet statistics

| Year | Total Gatoh | Veasel Category |  |  |  | Fon-Mobile |  | Mobile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ('000 tons) | $<150^{2}$ ) | 151-500 | 501-900 | 901+ | <150 ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | 151-500 | 501+3) |
| 1960 | 1840 | 42 | 342 | 456 | 124 | 42 | 342 | 934 |
| 1961 | 1886 | 43 | 357 | 447 | 143 | 43 | 357 | 1057 |
| 1962 | 1941 | 45 | 344 | 436 | 144 | 45 | 344 | 1090 |
| 1963 | 1915 | 45 | 358 | 413 | 160 | 45 | 358 | 1084 |
| 1964 | 1835 | 45 | 381 | 398 | 165 | 45 | 381 | 1012 |
| 1965 | 1861 | 45 | 401 | 397 | 177 | 45 | 401 | 1049 |
| 1966 | 1882 | 44 | 419 | 419 | 172 | 44 | 419 | 1048 |
| 1967 | 2036 | 43 | 433 | 412 | 210 | 43 | 433 | 1233 |
| 1968 | 2235 | 42 | 426 | 400 | 226 | 42 | 426 | 1440 |
| 1969 | 2151 | 40 | 437 | 375 | 224 | 40 | 437 | 1336 |
| 1970 | 2090 | 40 | 456 | 356 | 215 | 40 | 456 | 1089 |

Data from countries returning atatisticsi)

Best eatimate total fleet all countries
I) No data were available for the total Horth Atlantic for Denmark (Frroes), J.S.S.R., U.S.A.
2) Approximate thousands of vessels. Includes 25000 Norvegian vescels as estimated by census 1960. Frcindes U.S.A. vessels.
3) From the performance of vessels and catches returned for 1970 the anmual catoh of one unit $>901$ GRT $=2.5$ unita (501-900 GRT). Using this faotor for the sampled veasela, the two vessel oategoriea have been amalgamated to a aingle class $>501 \mathrm{GRT}$ and then raised to estimate the total fleet of all oountries in this category on the indiasted assumption that $95 \%$ of the uncanpled catoh was taken by vessels in thia ostegory, or having equivalent mobility in choice of area of fishing.
Notional fishing sffort per stook (hnglish fishing hours ('000) raised by simple $3 \%$ increase in efficiency
per year celative to 1960 ) Table 5a N..․

| Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { ICES } \\ \left.\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{IIa}^{1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ICES } \\ \text { IIbl) } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { non-sp. }}{\text { ICES }}{ }_{\text {I }}^{\text {( }}$ | ICES Va Spawning ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ICNAF } \\ & \text { E+F }+ \\ & \text { ICES } 3) \\ & \text { XIV } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ICNAF } \\ & \text { 1A } \left.-D^{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ICNAF } \\ \left.2 G-3 L^{5}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ICNAF } \\ & \text { 3NO } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ICNAF } \\ & 3 \mathrm{Pg} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ICNAF } \\ & \left.4 T-4 V N^{6}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Subtota } \\ \text { NEAFC7) } \\ \text { ICNAF } 1 \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{F} \end{gathered}$ | ICNAF | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | 933 | 420 | 578 |  | 91 | 159 | 280 | 76 | 69 | 54 | 2022 | 636 | 2658 |
| 1961 | 1068 | 337 | 602 |  | 103 | 229 | 339 | 70 | 89 | 55 | 2110 | 782 | 2892 |
| 1962 | 11.93 | 298 | 629 |  | 103 | 322 | 296 | 46 | 52 | 47 | 2223 | 766 | 2986 |
| 1963 | 1212 | 334 | 643 |  | 120 | 253 | 287 | 45 | 49 | 44 | 2309 | 678 | 2987 |
| 1964 | 796 | 206 | 613 |  | 108 | 203 | 403 | 50 | 37 | 43 | 1723 | 736 | 2459 |
| 1965 | 765 | 322 | 621 |  | 61 | 219 | 429 | 66 | 37 | 48 | 2769 | 799 | 2568 |
| 1966 | 785 | 323 | 552 |  | 63 | 195 | 398 | 80 | 48 | 50 | 1723 | 781 | 2494 |
| 1967 | 846 1607 | 362 | 494 |  | 76 | 254 | 421 | 167 | 57 | 45 | 1778 | 944 | 2722 |
| 1968 | $\begin{array}{ll}1607 \\ 1 & 337\end{array}$ | 321 | 423 |  | 84 | 238 | 570 | 123 | 52 | 37 | 2435 | 1020 | 3455 |
| 1969 | 1337 | 446 | 353 |  | 60 | 133 | 587 | 105 | 46 | 36 | 2196 | 857 | 3053 |
| 1970 | 1169 | 397 | 420 |  | 49 | 64 | 517 | 105 | 68 | 47 | 2035 | 801 | 2836 |



Table 6 Percentage distribution of catches in 1970 by vessel categories with different degrees of mobility

| Stocks in Model | EOn Moblle $<500$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Part- } \\ & \text { Yobile } \\ & 501-900 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mobile } \\ & \text { 901+ } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Barents Sea/Bear Island | 36 | 42 | 22 |
| Horway Coast | 77 | 14 | 9 |
| Ioeland | 71 | 25 | 4 |
| Greenland East, 1F+F | 15 | 17 | 68 |
| Greenland 1a-D | 25 | 34 | 41 |
| Lebrador 2G-3L | 18 | 18 | 64 |
| Grand Bank 3\%0 | 7 | 61 | 32 |
| 3 Ps | 39 | 53 | 8 |
| $4 \mathrm{~TB}=4 \mathrm{Vn}$ | 64 | 24 | 12 |
| Other Stocks |  |  |  |
| 3Pn - 4Ra | 38 | 9 | 53 |
| 4Va - 4X | 34 | 46 | 20 |
| 5 | 73 | 24 | 3 |
| Catoh ( ${ }^{0} 000$ tons) | $1048^{1)}$ | 721 | 757 |
| \% of Total Catch | 41 | 29 | 30 |

1) Includes 86000 tons landed by this oategory of U.S.S.R. vessela lishing Barents Ses/Bear Island which may be considered as mobile effort if used with aupport craft.

Table 1 Diatribution of fishing effort in 1970 between vessel categories

| Barente Sea/ <br> Bear Island | '000 hours corrected (See table 5a) |  |  | Total | '000 hours uncorrected <br> 501 GRT+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | < 500 | 501-900 | 900+ |  |  |
|  | 421 | 491 | 257 | 1169 | 524 |
| Norway Cobst | 305 | 56 | 36 | 397 | 64 |
| Iceland Non-spawning | 0 | 420 ${ }^{2}$ ) | - | 420 | 294 |
| Iceland Spawning | $\left.(620)^{1}\right)$ | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Greenland Frat, lE\&F | 8 | 8 | 33 | 49 | 29 |
| Greenland 1a-D | 16 | 22 | 26 | 64 | 34 |
| Labrador 2G-3I | 93 | 93 | 331 | 517 | 297 |
| Grand Bank 3N0 | 7 | 64 | 34 | 105 | 69 |
| St Pierre 3Pe | 27 | 36 | 5 | 68 | 29 |
| $4 \mathrm{~T}-4 \mathrm{~V}$ | 30 | 11 | 6 | 47 | 12 |

NB Estimated total hours fished by vessels 501 +GRT...... $=1352000$
Equivalent number of fishing days (F.R.W. Germany Day fished $=11.51$ Engligh hours) ................................. $=117000$
Total number of vessels in this clase (estimated Table
4) ......................................................................... $600-700$

Implied days fishing per vessel year ....................... $=195$ - 167

1) Adapted to simulate appropriate fiahing moxtality; it does not measure fishing effort.
2) Includes some catoh by vessels of other categories which are not separsted in the statistics for this sector of the fishery at Iceland.
Table 8 Reoxuitment by year olasses， 3 year olds（in millions）

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 日 $\varepsilon$ ariol |  |
| ORE AYIOT |  |
| TE－د己 avioi |  |
| （0－VT ATHOI |  |
| d－gt athol＊$\triangle$ IX siol |  |
|  |  |
| EII SiOI9II＋I SUOI |  |
|  |  |
| 工80］． |  |

＊Preliminary estimates based upon pre－recruit surveys or commercial data of newly
recruited year classes．
Values in brackets are interpolated for computation in the model（Section III）．

Table 2 Sumany of $F$ per total stock as mean of ages 7-12 eatimated by virtual population analysis

| Year | Arcto- <br> Norwegian <br> ICES I,IIa + <br> IIb | Iceland non-ap. spawning ICES Va | Greenland Fast,ICES XIV TCNAF 1E-F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grenland } \\ & \text { ICNAF } \\ & \text { IA-D } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { IGNAF } \\ & 2 G=3 I \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l} \text { ICNAF } \\ 3 \mathrm{NO}^{1} \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ICNAP } \\ 3 P \mathrm{~B} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ICNAF } \\ & 4 \mathrm{~T}-4 \mathrm{Vn} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | . 50 |  | .25 | . 19 | - | . 26 | . 43 | . 47 |
| 1961 | . 65 |  | . 33 | . 35 | . 40 | .25 | . 54 | . 37 |
| 1962 | . 63 |  | . 42 | . 49 | . 41 | . 16 | . 40 | . 35 |
| 1963 | . 86 | . 60 | . 43 | . 59 | . 32 | . 16 | . 30 | . 45 |
| 1964 | . 72 | - 77 | . 52 | . 85 | . 48 | . 18 | . 50 | . 46 |
| 1965 | . 50 | . 74 | . 50 | . 51 | . 61 | . 23 | . 42 | . 60 |
| 1966 | . 50 | . 57 | . 43 | -49 | . 44 | . 28 | . 80 | . 39 |
| 1967 | . 63 | - 74 | . 53 | . 70 | (.61) | . 58 | . 51 | . 28 |
| 1968 | .49 ${ }^{2}$ | 1.24 | . 29 | 1.06 | (.75) | . 43 | . 46 | . 25 |
| 1969 | .82 ${ }^{2)}$ | . 90 | (.25) | (.76) | (.70) | . 37 | (. 55 ) | (.25) |
| 1970 | $(.60)^{2}$ | . 94 | (.30) | $(.49)^{3)}$ | (.40) | . 37 | (.55) | (.30) |

Ko. Be Fstimates for recent years given in brackets are less reliable.

1) Based upon a value of $q$ for 1960-1964 applied to estimated effective fishing effort.
2) These values differ slightly from estimates presented in the North- Fest Arctic Fisheries Working Group Report 1972 for technical reasong.
3) This value differs from that given for Subarea 1 as a whole in ICNAF Mid-term Assessments Committee Report 1972, because the fishery has here been split to take sccount of the interrelationship between the Iceland and Greenland stocks.
Thale 10 Pronent statua of stooke rolating the present (2966-70) fighing mortality to the fishing gortality


Teble 11 Stook composition at the beginning of 1960 （in millions）

| Age Groups | 1） |  |  | ${ }^{\infty}$ ه㗊登寞䰟 <br> 5） |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 舄m } \\ \text { 男m } \\ \text { 3) } \end{gathered}$ |  <br> 4） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 1059 |  | 124 |  | 85 | 371 | 999 | 150 | （50） | 135 |
| 4 | 664 |  | 228 |  | 88 | 115 | 662 | 75 | 47 | 143 |
| 5 | 297 |  | 102 |  | 14 | 38 | 413 | 30 | 73 | 71 |
| 6 | 243 |  | 43 |  | 10 | 26 | 283 | 33 | 23 | 48 |
| 7 | 85 |  | 57 |  | 38 | 90 | 243 | 18 | 13 | 20 |
| 8 | 29 |  | 26 |  | 6 | 15 | 188 | 7 | 9 | 6 |
| 9 | 30 |  | 21 |  | 4 | 11 | 128 | 6 | 4 | 3 |
| 10 | 30 |  | 43 |  | 10 | 24 | 100 | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| 1 | 10 |  | 2 |  | 2 | 6 | 72 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 5 |  | 1 |  | 2 | 14 | 45 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 1 |  |  |  | 5 |  | 47 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 14＋ |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 54 | 5 |  |  |

1）Working papers of North－East Arctic Fieheries Working Group
2）Present Report
3）ICHAP Aseessment Committee Report，Mid－term 1972
4）Pinhorn 1970
5）The stock in these fisheries is generated by surfivors from the stocks in the Berents Sea／Bear Island and Iceland non－spawning fisherieg．

Pable 12 Estimates of population biomass（1000 tons）

| Fears | 蜀㦹 | 㻠血 |  |  |  | 氟易 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | 2756 |  | 3072 |  | 540 | 1272 | 3473 |  | 272 | 355 |
| 1961 | 2905 |  | 3272 |  | 570 | 1327 | 2951 |  | 268 | 390 |
| 1962 | 2878 |  | 2586 |  | 538 | 1217 | 2793 |  | 188 | 401 |
| 1963 | 2556 |  | 2654 |  | 498 | 1085 | 2588 |  | 180 | 380 |
| 1964 | 2090 |  | 2680 |  | 520 | 1059 | 2475 | $\stackrel{0}{-1}$ | 193 | 324 |
| 1965 | 2329 |  | 2722 |  | 480 | 1069 | 2510 | － | 192 | 268 |
| 1966 | 3227 |  | 2951 |  | 616 | 1023 | 2853 | $\underset{\sim}{5}$ | 240 | 218 |
| 1967 | 4098 |  | 3036 |  | 640 | 875 | 2455 | － | 208 | 213 |
| 1968 | 3645 |  | 3054 |  | 417 | 601 | 2625 |  | 222 | 235 |
| 1969 | 2853 |  | 2928 |  | 509 | 387 | 2625 |  | 218 | 262 |
| 1970 | 2091 |  | 2876 |  | 384 | 282 | 2693 |  | 286 | 282 |

C 7
Table 13 Comparison of fishing mortality (average 7-12 year olds) and notional fishing effort to calculate


|  | Freenland $A-D$ |  |  | 2G-3L |  |  | 3H0 |  |  | 3Ps |  |  | 4T-Vn |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F | f | q | F | f | $q$ | $\mathrm{F}^{3}$ | f | $q^{3)}$ | $F$ | $\mathbf{f}$ | q | F | I | $q^{3)}$ |
| 1960 | - 19 | 159 | 1.195 |  | 280 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1961 | - 35 | 229 | 1.195 1.528 | . 40 | 339 | 1.180 | . 26 | 74 70 | 3.500 3.500 | .43 .54 | 69 89 | 6.232 6.067 | .47 .37 | 54 55 | $\begin{aligned} & 8.704 \\ & 6.727 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1962 | - 49 | 322 | I. 522 | . 41 | 296 | 1.385 | . 16 | 46 | 3.500 | . 40 | 52 | 7.692 | - 35 | 47 | $7.447$ |
| 1963 | . 59 | 253 | 2.332 | . 32 | 287 | 1.115 | .16 | 45 | 3.500 | . 30 | 49 | 6.122 | . 45 | 44 | 10.227 |
| 1964 | .85 | 203 | 4.187 | . 48 | 403 | 1.191 | . 18 | 50 | 3.500 | . 50 | 37 | 13.514 | . 46 | 43 | 10.698 |
| 1965 | - 51 | 219 | 2.329 | . 61 | 429 | 1.422 | . 23 | 66 | 3.500 | . 42 | 37 | 11.351 | . 60 | 48 | 12.500 |
| 1966 | . 49 | 195 | 2.513 | . 44 | 398 | 1.106 | . 28 | 80 | 3.500 | . 80 | 37 48 | 16.667 | . 39 | 50 | 7.800 |
| 1967 | . 70 | 254 | 2.756 | (.61) | 421 | (1.449) | . 58 | 167 | 3.500 | . 51 | 48 57 | 8.947 | . 28 | 45 | 6.222 |
| 1968 | 1.06 | 238 | 4.454 | (.75 | 570 | $(1.316)$ | . 43 | 123 | 3.500 | . .46 | 57 52 | 8.946 | . 2.5 | 37 | 6.757 |
| 1969 | $(.76)$ | 133 | (5.714) | (.70) | 587 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}1.193 \\ 1.19\end{array}\right.$ | . 47 | 105 | 3.500 | (. .55 ) | 42 | (11.957) | (.25) | 36 | $6.944$ |
| 1970 | (.49) |  | (7.656) | (.40) | 517 | $\left(\begin{array}{r}1.174 \\ 0.774\end{array}\right.$ | - 37 | 105 | 3.500 | (.55) | 68 | $\left(\begin{array}{r}11.088 \\ 8.088\end{array}\right.$ | $(.30)$ | 47 | (6.383) |
| Mean q |  |  | 3.290 |  |  | 1.262 |  | 500 |  |  |  | 9.589 |  |  | 8.219 |

Seasonal pattern of fishing as the deviation of the average CPUE for each separate month over a number of years，from the annual mean CPUE for all months

| Months | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H } \\ & \text { O } \\ & + \\ & \text { H } \\ & \text { 思 } \\ & H \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 㖛 } \\ & \text { 凅 } \\ & \text { H } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { H } \\ 1 \\ \text { d } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 은 } \\ & m \end{aligned}$ | m $\sim$ $m$ | ＋ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan． | 95 | 159 | 67 | 78 | 70 | 127 | 168 | 6 | 6 | 70 |
| Feb． | 78 | 164 | － | 194 | 80 | 123 | 167 | 6 | 6 | 53 |
| Mar． | 92 | 173 | 107 | 200 | 100 | 135 | 129 | 112 | 112 | 60 |
| Apr． | 108 | 195 | 113 | 222 | 235 | 100 | 122 | 200 | 200 | 79 |
| May | 131 | 104 | 133 | 222 | 250 | 112 | 100 | 135 | 135 | 93 |
| June | 152 | － | 133 | 111 | 133 | 96 | 89 | 147 | 147 | 157 |
| Jul． | 125 | － | 131 | 56 | 75 | 80 | 134 | 177 | 177 | 199 |
| Aug． | 115 | 72 | 93 | 28 | 40 | 51 | 55 | 59 | 59 | 180 |
| Sept | 102 | 55 | 93 | 28 | 42 | 33 | 63 | 94 | 94 | 118 |
| Oct． | 62 | 36 | 80 | 28 | 43 | 37 | 63 | 106 | 106 | 72 |
| Nov． | 77 | 41 | 67 | 28 | 48 | 80 | 55 | 129 | 129 | 67 |
| Dec． | 115 | 68 | 77 | 28 | 57 | 102 | 55 | 112 | 112 | 60 |

Table 14b．Seasonal variation in catchability coefficient

| Monthly <br> Catcha－ <br> bility <br> Coefficient | .285 | .851 | .420 | 1.000 | 4.813 | 3.290 | 1.262 | 3.500 | 9.589 | 8.219 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan． | .266 | 1.353 | .281 | .780 | 3.369 | 4.178 | 2.120 | .210 | .575 | 5.753 |
| Feb． | .218 | 1.395 | -1 | 1.940 | 3.850 | 4.046 | 2.107 | .210 | .575 | 4.356 |
| Mar． | .257 | 1.472 | .449 | 2.000 | 4.813 | 4.441 | 1.627 | 3.920 | 10.739 | 4.931 |
| Apr． | .302 | 1.659 | .474 | 2.220 | 6.497 | 3.290 | 1.539 | 7.000 | 19.178 | 6.493 |
| May | .366 | .885 | .559 | 2.220 | 7.219 | 3.684 | 1.262 | 4.725 | 12.945 | 7.644 |
| June | .425 | - | .559 | 1.110 | 6.401 | 3.158 | 1.123 | 5.145 | 14.095 | 12.493 |
| July | .350 | - | .550 | .560 | 3.609 | 2.632 | 1.691 | 6.195 | 16.972 | 16.356 |
| Aug． | .322 | .612 | .390 | .280 | 1.925 | 1.677 | .694 | 2.065 | 5.657 | 14.794 |
| Sept | .285 | .468 | .390 | .280 | 2.021 | 1.085 | .795 | 3.290 | 9.013 | 9.698 |
| Oct． | .173 | .306 | .336 | .280 | 2.069 | 1.217 | .795 | 3.710 | 10.164 | 5.918 |
| Nov． | .215 | .348 | .281 | .280 | 2.310 | 2.632 | .694 | 4.515 | 12.369 | 5.507 |
| Dec． | .322 | .578 | .323 | .280 | 2.743 | 3.355 | .694 | 3.920 | 10.739 | 4.931 |

Souxceas：See Table 11 for ICES Stocks
ICNAF Statistical Bulletin，CPUE of selected countries for ICNAF Stocks

Table 15 Pattern of recraitment to the fishery，the fishing mortality in each age group as a percentage of the average fiahing mortality of age groupe 7－12

| Age group | 足 H H 異 H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { 思 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | ． 10 | 0 | ． 05 | ． 01 | ． 01 | ． 09 | ． 02 | ． 20 | ． 04 | ． 02 |
| 4 | ． 59 | 0 | ． 23 | ． 03 | ． 08 | ． 27 | .14 | .60 | ． 38 | ． 21 |
| 5 | 1.17 | ． 03 | ． 82 | ． 04 | ． 41 | ． 64 | ． 34 | 1.00 | ． 11 | ． 51 |
| 6 | 1.45 | ． 06 | 1.00 | ． 11 | ． 67 | 1，00 | ． 61 |  | ． 85 | ． 77 |
| 7 | 1.45 | ． 14 |  | ． 29 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 8 | 1.34 | ． 51 |  | ． 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 1.07 | 1.17 |  | ． 85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | ． 86 | 1.43 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | ． 86 | 1.46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | ． 48 | 1.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | ． 48 | 1.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | ． 48 | 1.23 | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |

Table 16
Growth rate，i．e．round fresh weight at each age in kilogrammes

| 3 | ． 43 | 1.48 | ． 62 | ． 18 | ． 47 | ． 28 | ． 22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | ． 84 | 2.41 | 1.18 | ． 44 | ． 79 | ． 69 | ． 54 |
| 5 | 1.36 | 3.45 | 2.10 | ． 82 | 1.37 | 1.08 | 1.00 |
| 6 | 2.00 | 4.32 | 3.08 | 1.24 | 2.47 | 1.68 | 2.67 |
| 7 | 2.92 | 5.16 | 3.81 | 1.71 | 3.55 | 2.40 | 2.05 |
| 8 | 3.87 | 5.72 | 4.54 | 2.17 | 4.93 | 3.21 | 2.84 |
| 9 | 5.25 | 6.29 | 5.55 | 2.62 | 6.05 | 4.10 | 3.37 |
| 10 | 6.50 | 6.73 | 6.00 | 3.07 | 7.50 | 5.08 | 3.96 |
| 11 | 8.23 | 7.19 | 6.50 | 3.47 | 9.23 | 6.03 | 4.45 |
| 12 | 9.43 | 7.58 | 6.50 | 3.83 | 11.06 | 7.00 | 4.80 |
| 13 | 10.60 | 8.00 | 6.50 | 4.15 | 12.40 | 8.05 | 5.17 |
| 14 | 11.80 | 8.47 | 6.50 | 4.43 | 13.80 | 9.16 | 5.75 |

Table 17

| Strategy | Run | Level of Fishing Effort | Objective |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | 1970 | Control geries fishing effort stabilised at present level |
| 2 | 6 | Effort from year $3 \times 0.5$ | Abrupt reduction of effort to the level for $\mathrm{F}_{\text {opt }}$ overall |
| 3 | 8 | Effort froil year $3 \times 1.5$ | Abrupt increase of effort to a level $50 \%$ above 1970 |
| 4 5 | 4 |  | Phased reduction of offort,-10\% per years 4-8 Gradual incrosse in fishing offort at $5 \%$ per year |




Figure 1. Main North Atlantic Cod Stocks and their Migrations.


Figure 2. Simplified flow diegram of the simulation model.

 with ontoloes grodiotel ly a almiaticn modol based upon the oniculated premoteris of moh stook.
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FIGURE 4. Changes in catch and catch per unit effort as a consequence of management to regulate fishing effort on an Atlantio wide basia.

1. (fun 3) To stabilise fishing effort at ita 1970 level.
2. (Run 6) To decrease fishing effort in Year 3 to a level that could generste Fopt on all atocks, but with no restriction on mobility (i.e. $50 \%$ reduction in overall fiahing effort).
3. (fiun 8) To allow fishing to inorease in Year 3 to $50 \%$ above its present (1970) level.
4. (lum 7) To decrease fishing effort as (2) by $10 \%$ per year from Year 4 to Year $B$ and held at that level thereafter.
5. (Hun 4) To allow flahing effort to increase by $5 \%$ per year over all years.


FIGGURE 5. Catahes under different management atrategiea comparad to the catck under
A. Strategy 2 (fun 6) reduction of fishing effort to Fopt in one year.
(1) Catoh of cod relative to strategy 1 (fim 3).
(ii) Ag (i) with the fishing effort displaced from cod redeployed on other non-cod stocks at an assumed oatch per unit effort two-thirds the overall ostah per unit effort on the cod itself.
(i1i) is (ii) with catoh per wilt effort of non-cod stoaks assumed one-third that of the cod stooks.
B. Strategy 4 (Run 7). Phased reduction of fishing mortality to Fopt*
(i), (ii) and (111) as for A. above.


[^0]:    1) From Reports of the North-Rast Arotio Fieheries Working Group, 2965-1972
    2) From Meyer and Horsted
    
    3) 4Vn oxcluded prior to 1960
    
