## ANNUAL MEETING - JUNE 1973

THE SILVER HAKE FISHERY ON THE SCOTIAN SHELF

by<br>R. G. Halliday<br>Fisheries and Marine Service.<br>Biological Station<br>St. Andrews, N.B.<br>Canada

## INTRODUCTION

This first analysis of the silver hake fishery on the Scotian Shelf is based largely on Soviet biological samples from the commercial fishery available from ICNAF Sampling Yearbooks, supplemented by the results of Canadian research vessel surveys conducted in July of 1970 7 (2. For stratification scheme and methodology of Canadian surveys see Halliday and Kohler (ICNAF Res. Doc. 71/35).

LANDINGS
The USSR is the only major exploiter of silver hake on the Scotian Shelf. Landings increased from 2 metric tons in 1961 to 123,000 tons in 1963 , subsequently declining to 2,500 tons in 1967 (Table 1). Landings then increased to 169,000 tons in 1970, declined slightly to 128,700 tons in 1971 and preliminary reports indicate landings of 113,774 tons in 1972 (USSR statistcal submission to ICNAF Secretariat for 1972). The bulk of these landings came from Div. $4 W$.

## DISTRIBUTION AND STOCK STRUCTURE

Canadian research vessel survey catches in July 1970-72 indicate that silver hake are widely distributed on the Scotian Shelf except in the cold water area to the north of Banquereau and in the head of the Bay of Fundy (Fig. l). Highest catch rates were in the deep holes and along the continental slope in depths greater than 100 fath in the central shelf ara (the

Scotian Gulf) and to the north of Sable Island Bank. A small concentration was also located in the Fundian Channel between Browns and Georges Banks. These areas of the shelf are freques inundated with incursions of warm "Slope Water".

Major spawning concentrations occur on the west bar of Sable Island Bank. It is likely that silver hake caught in Div. $4 V$ are taken from the eastern fringe of the Sable Island Bank Stock. As the Div. $4 W-4 X$ dividing line runs through the centre of the Scotian Gulf which is a major area of concentration of the Sable Island Stock, it is likely that much of the landings recorded from Div. $4 X$ are also from the Sable Island Stock. Concentrations of silver hake do occur and are fished in the Browns Bank area. This may be a small separate stock or part of the Georges Bank or Gulf of Maine stocks. It does at any rate appear to be small and is included with the Sable Island Stock in this analysis. It was posaible to compare size and age composition of commercial catches between Div. $4 X$ and Div. $4 V W$ only in 1970 (Fig. 2). In that year there was little difference in sizes and ages removed, and growth rates are also virtually identical.

## AGE COMPOSITION AND NUMBERS OF REMOVALS

Age composition of landings in the yaars 1962-71 were derived from Soviet length-frequency data from Sampling Yearbooks and age-length keys avallable from the Secretariat. The length-frequencies were weighted by quarterly landings in each Division when possible. However, the incompleteness of the data frequently required grouping of Divisions and quarters. It is assumed that there are no discards in this fishery and thus landings and catches are synonymous.

In the 1962-67 period removals were almost entirely composed of fish aged 2-6 yrs (Table 2). In 1968-71 fish $7-9$ yrs old and also 1 yr olds formed a signifieant part of removals. Peak contribution to the fishery occurred at age 3 or 4 possibly depending on the relative strengtha of year classes.

Removals increased from 54 million in 1962 to 525 million in 1963, decilned to 11 million in 1967, increased to 1,014 million in 1970, then declined to 743 miliion in 1971.

## MORTALITY

Virtual population analysis (VPA) indicates that fishing mortality (F) (assuming $M=0.50$ ) of fully recruited age groups (age 4+) ranged from 1.53-2.39 in 1963-65, declined to 0.05 in 1968 , and increased to 0.53 in 1969 (Table 3).

POPULATION NUMBERS
Population numbers estimated by VPA of age 24 fish declined from 1,869 million to 406 million in 1966 increasing to 3,017 million in 1969 (Table 4).

The 1962-1964 year classes were poor, numbering 200-300 million fish at age 2. The 1966 and 1967 year classes were very strong, numbering about 1,500 milifon fish at age 2 .

GROWTH
Mean lengths at age for all 1962-71 commercial sampling data combined are:

| Age | Length (cm) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 21.3 |
| 2 | 24.3 |
| 3 | 27.9 |
| 4 | 31.0 |
| 5 | 35.0 |
| 6 | 39.6 |
| 7 | 41.8 |
| 8 | 43.2 |
| 9 | 46.2 |
| 10 | 48.5 |

Von Bertalanffy growth parameters were calculated for ages 4-9 (i.e. those fully recruited age groups well represented in the fishery) giving:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{\alpha}=52.67 \mathrm{~cm}\left(W_{\alpha}=1.18 \mathrm{~kg} \text { from Table } 5\right) \\
& \mathrm{K}=0.229 \\
& t_{0}=0.141 \text { yrs. }
\end{aligned}
$$

YIELD PER RECRUIT
Beverton and Holt yield per recruit curves were calculated using growth parameters cited above and others as follows:

Age at recruitment to the exploited area, $t_{\rho}=1.0 \mathrm{yr}$.
Mean age at recruitment to the fishery, $\quad t_{\rho}=3.25 y r s$.
Maximum age of significant contribution
to the fishery, $\quad t_{\lambda}=10$ yrs.

The value of $t_{\lambda}$ was chosen from inspection of the age composition of removals and $t_{\rho}{ }^{1}$ was chosen by calculation of percentage recruitment at age from virtual papulation analysis $F$ values assuming full rearuitment at age 4 (Table 3).

If $M=0.50$, the yield per recruit curve is almost flat topped, $F_{\text {max }}$ occurring at 2.7 but $F_{\text {opt }}$ (as defined by Gulland) at 0.60 (Fig. 3). If $M=0.70, F_{\text {opt }}=0.90 . \quad$ If $M=0.30, F_{\text {opt }}=0.40$ and $F_{\max }=0.80$.

Thus, when removals were high in 1963-65 F was above Fopt and when removals were low in 1966-68, F was below fopt.

If mean age at recruitment is actually as high as 3.25 yrs then no benefits can be expected in the silver hake fishery by increasing mesh size (Figs 4 and 5).

CURRENT STATUS OF THE FISHERY
As VPA describes the fishery only through 1969 an attempt was made to describe the events of 1970 and 1971 from catch per unit effort (cpe) and Canadian research vessel uurvey data.

Although the cpe in Div. 4 W of Soviet stern trawlers of over 1,800 gross tons is biased by diversion of effort to other species at times of low silver hake abundance they agree moderately well with estimates of "available biomass" from VPA (Table 6). Cpe and "avallable biomass" are linearly related if 1968 data are excluded from the 1965-69 data series (Fig. 6h). "Available biomass" in 1970 and 1971 , estimated from this line, was 205,000 and 147,000 tons respectively. Values of $F$ calculated from catch to biomass ratios for 1962-69 are linearly related to $F$ from VPA, although slightly higher (fig. 6B). Interpretation of this line gives $F$ values on the VPA axis for 1970 and 1971 of 2.10 and 2.80 respectively. Thus, $F$ in 1970-71 was again well above $F_{\text {opt }}$.

Silver hake have a low catchability coefficient in relation to the $\# 36$ Yankee otter trawl used in Canadian research vessel surveys, and a high variability can be expected from survey population estimates. These estimates suggest that abundance decilned between 1970 and 1971 but to a greater extent than indicated by commercial cpe data, then increased in 1972 (Table 7).

However, although population estimates from surveys have aigh variability, relative length and age compositions are much less variable. Research vessel catch length frequencies are bimodal with peaks at about 20 on and 28 cm (Fig. 7). From the growth data of Nicky (1969, ICNAF Res. Bul1., 6: 107-117) for New England silver hake, thosefish less than 24 cm forming the mode at 20 cm are most likely virtually all age $l$ fish. The proportion of fish of 24 cmand less in research vessel surveys is similar in 1970, 1971 and 1972, ranging from 26-42\% of the catch (Table 8) and from 22.2 million to 36.9 million fiah, suggesting that the year classes of l969-71 are of comparable magnitude.

The large landings of $1970-72$ indicate that age 3 and 4 year old fish in those years belonged to strong year classes. In 1970 these were the 1966 and 1967 year classes which VPA indicated to be the strongest on record. However, 1968 and 1969 year classes must alao have been fairly strong to support the large fisheries in 1971 and 1972. If the 1970 and 1971 year classea are indeed comparable in strength to that of 1969 , then substantial landings should also be possible in 1973 and perhaps 1974.

## ADDENDUM

The USSR Research Report for 1972 (ICNAF Summary Doc. 73/22) gives the percentage age composition of 1972 commercial catches. These have mean age of 3.3 yrs which is equivalent to mean langth of 27.1 cm (from the von Bertalanffy growth equation calculated above) and a mean weight of 0.138 kg (from Table 5). Thus landings of 113,800 tons is approximately equivalent to removal of 824.6 million fish, slightly higher than the 743 million removed in 1971. The age composition of these removals was:

| Age | Romovals $\left(\times 10^{-6}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4.9 |
| 2 | 183.1 |
| 3 | 324.9 |
| 4 | 197.1 |
| 5 | 91.5 |
| 6 | 16.5 |
| 7 | 6.6 |

Recalculating the VPA with 1972 data included reduce* the estimated value of $F=0.53$ for fully recruited age groups $(4+)$ in 1969 to $F=0.42$ and estimates 1970 values for age 4 at $F=1.17$ (Table 9).

Revised population estimates $\left(\times 10^{-6}\right)$ for 1969 and those for 1970 are:

| Age | 1969 | 1970 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 1762.9 | 1282.9 |
| 3 | 1022.4 | 1057.7 |
| 4 | 338.1 | 555.1 |
| 5 | 87.5 | 145.2 |
| 6 | 19.5 | 25.8 |
| $\Sigma_{2-6}$ | $3,230.4$ | $3,066.7$ |
| $\Sigma_{4-6}$ | 445.1 | 726.1 |

This is a marginal increase in 1969 population numbers of age $2+\mathrm{fish}$ finom $3,016.6$ million and indicates that 1970 population numbers were about the same as in 1969. The 1968 year class at age 2 was strong and comparable in size to those of 1966 and 1967.
$F=1.17$ in 1970 is considerably lower than the estimate of 2.10 from $F i$. 5 but still higher than $F_{\text {opt }}$.
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TABLE 1 : Silver hake landings from ICNAF Div. 4VWX by Division and country (metric tons round).

| ICNAF DIVISION |  |  |  |  |  | $C \quad O \quad U \quad N \quad T \quad R \quad Y$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 4Vn | 4Vs | 4W | 4X | Total | Canada | France(SP) | Japan | Spain | USSR | USA |  |
| 1960 | - | - | - | 187 | 187 | - | - | - | - | - | 187 |  |
| 1961 | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 |
| 1962 | - | - | 8,825 | 29 | 8,854 | - | - | - | - | 8,825 | 29 | 1 |
| 1963 | 168 | - | 116,388 | 6,472 | 123,028 | - | - | - | - | 123,023 | 5 |  |
| 1964 | 32 | - | 62,905 | 18,210 | 81,147 | - | - | - | - | 81.147 | - |  |
| 1965 | 180 | 2 | 49,461 | 379 | 50,022 | 5 | 3 | - | - | 49,987 | 27 |  |
| 1966 | 40 | - | 3,860 | 6,423 | 10,323 | - | - | - | - | 10,323 | - |  |
| 1967 | - | - | 1,834 | 643 | 2,477 | - | - | - | - | 2.476 | 1 |  |
| 1968 | 2 | 237 | 3,150 | 58 | 3,447 | 5 | - | - | - | 3,441 | 1 |  |
| 1969 | - | 1,226 | 43,543 | 1,554 | 46,323 | - | - | - | - | 46,323 | - |  |
| 1970 | - | 5.116 | 158,938 | 4,991 | 169,045 | - | - | 88 | - | 168,957 | - |  |
| 1971 | 11 | 3,000 | 119,452 | 6,190 | 128,653 | - | - | 8 | 11 | 128,633 | 1 |  |



$$
\text { Table } 3 \text { : Silver hake Div. } 4 \mathrm{VWX} \text { - fishing mortality, F, from virtual population }
$$



$$
\text { population analysis. }(M=0.50)\left(\times 10^{-3}\right)
$$

|  |  | C a | e $n$ d | a r | $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathrm{e}$ | a r |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 |
| 2 | 1,142.9 | 746.6 | 305.6 | 209.2 | 213.1 | 918.1 | 1,560.1 | 1,669.0 |
| 3 | 558.6 | 680.1 | 439.7 | 178.2 | 124.5 | 135.3 | 550.9 | 936.1 |
| 4 | 151.8 | 316.2 | 242.2 | 128.5 | 58.2 | 64.4 | 97.2 | 327.8 |
| 5 | 14.4 | 83.3 | 34.0 | 34.2 | 9.2 | 25.6 | 34.3 | 64.2 |
| 6 | . 8 | 7.3 | 10.1 | 4.8 | . 9 | 2.0 | 13.3 | 19.5 |
| $\Sigma_{2-6}$ | 1,868.5 | 1,833.5 | 1,031.6 | 554.9 | 405.9 | 1,145.4 | 2,255.8 | 3,016.6 |
| $\Sigma_{4-6}$ | 167.0 | 406.8 | 286.3 | 167.5 | 68.3 | 92.0 | 144.8 | 411.5 |

Table 5 ：
SILVE？HAKE CRUISE AZOO－2UI EIVS． $4 V 4 \mathrm{w} 4 \mathrm{x}$ IALES AND FEMALFS COMBINED OVFR 15 CM ．
$B=3.37 \geqslant 602 \quad A=0.077819390 \quad L U G \quad A=-2.549844 \quad$ NU．SAMPLED $=835$ IINIVUY ORSERVED LENGTH 16．CM。 MAXIMUM OBSERVED LENGTH 73．CN． LFAGTH COMPUTEN VT．IRSOWT．
（CM．）GRAMS LBS．GRAMS

| L： | COMPUTED W |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10．1． | GRAN：LB | GRAMS |


| 1. | 0. | 0.71 | 0.101 | 41. | 535. | 1.18 | 566.161 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$. | 0. | 0.00 | $0.10)$ | 42. | 579. | 1.28 | 531.141 |
| 3. | 0. | $0 \cdot 00$ | 0.101 | 43. | 675. | 1．38 | 648．1 31 |
| 4. | 0. | 0.00 | U．1 31 | 44. | 674. | 1.49 | 625．1 21 |
| 5. | 1. | 0.00 | 0.1 （j） | 45. | 725. | 1.60 | 800.121 |
| 6. | 1. | 0.00 | $0.10)$ | 46. | 779. | 1.72 | U．1 0） |
| 7. | 2. | 0.00 | 0.101 | $4 \%$ | 836. | 1.584 | 750.131 |
| 8. | 3. | 0.01 | $0 .(0)$ | 48. | 896. | 1.97 | 700.111 |
| 9. | 4. | 0.01 | $0.10)$ | 49. | 959. | 2.11 | 1000．13） |
| 10. | 5. | 0.01 | $0.10)$ | 50． | 1024. | 2.26 | $1050 \cdot(5)$ |
| 11. | 7. | 0.02 | $0.10)$ | b1． | 1042 ． | 2.41 | 1025．（1） |
| 12. | 10. | 0.02 | U．（0） | 52. | 1164. | 2.57 | 12bu．（1） |
| 13. | 12. | 0.03 | $0.10)$ | 53. | 1239 。 | 2.73 | 92b．（1） |
| 14. | 16. | 0.04 | $0.10)$ | 54. | 1317. | 2.90 | $1300.11)$ |
| 15. | 70. | 0.04 | $0.10)$ | 55. | 1390. | 3.08 | $14.30 \cdot 11)$ |
| 16. | 25. | 0.05 | $26.117)$ | 56. | 1483. | 3.27 | 1575．1 11 |
| 17. | 30. | 0.07 | 27．134） | 57. | 1572 。 | 3.47 | $1600 \cdot(1)$ |
| 18. | 36. | 0.08 | 37．（44） | 58. | 1664. | 3.67 | 0．1 0.1 |
| 10. | 43. | 0.10 | $45.152)$ | 59. | 1760. | 3.88 | 1700． 111 |
| 20. | 51. | 0.11 | $52.169)$ | 60. | 1959． | 4.10 | 2000.111 |
| ？ 1. | 60. | 0.13 | 62．（36） | 61. | 1963． | 4.33 | C． 101 |
| 72. | 70. | 0.15 | 72．（34） | 62. | 2070． | 4.56 | 1600． 11$)$ |
| 23. | 81. | 0．1\％ | 82．（29） | 63. | 2161 ． | 4.81 | $2100.11)$ |
| 24. | 93. | 0.22 | 91．（10） | 64. | 2296. | 5.00 | U．1（ ） |
| 25. | 106. | 0.23 | 111．（22） | $63^{6}$ | 2416. | 5.33 | u．（ u） |
| 26. | 120. | 0.27 | 124．（40） | 66. | 2540. | 5.50 | $0.10)$ |
| 27. | 138. | 0.30 | 143．（59） | 67. | 2668． | 5.88 | $0.10)$ |
| 78. | 154. | 0.34 | 159．（67） | 68. | 2300． | 6.17 | $0.10)$ |
| 29. | 172. | 0.38 | 175．141） | 69. | 29370 | 6.48 | O．（ u） |
| 30. | 192. | 0.42 | 1960（46） | 70. | 3079． | 6.79 | u．（ u） |
| 31. | 214. | 0.47 | 213．（30） | 71. | 3225 。 | \％．11 | U．（ 0 ） |
| 32. | 238. | 0.52 | 227．（36） | 72. | 3376 。 | 7.44 | U．（ 0$)$ |
| 33. | 263. | 0.58 | 258．（35） | 73. | 3532． | 7.79 | 2900.111 |
| 34. | 290. | 0.64 | 293．（29） | 74. | 3693. | 8． 14 | $0 \cdot 10)$ |
| 35. | 319． | 0.70 | $315.119)$ | 75. | 3959． | 8.51 | U．（ u） |
| 36. | 349. | 0.77 | 344．（13） | 76. | 4030. | 8.88 | u．1 u） |
| 37. | 382. | 0.84 | 371．17） | 77. | 4206。 | 9.27 | U．（ ن） |
| 38. | 417. | 0.72 | 428．（7） | 78. | 4388. | 9.67 | $0.10)$ |
| 39. | 454. | 1.00 | $4430 \cdot 7$ ） | 79. | 4574. | 10.08 | $0 .(0)$ |
| 40. | 493. | 1.09 | $491.13)$ | 80. | 4767 。 | 10.51 | $0.10)$ |
|  | indmre： | OF | SAVPler． | ENGT | IN RRA | KETS |  |

CORR．SUM OF X SQRD．$=11.464075 \quad$ CORR•SUM OF Y SQRD．$=128.401376$
SUn1 $=1181.140144$
SUMY $=1736.282048$
SSREG
122．787991

Table 6 : Silver hake landings from ICNAF Div. 4VWX, catch per hour in Div. $4 W$ by USSR stern trawlers greater than 1,800 gross tons, total effort (hours) in USSR stern trawler units, and "available btomass" calculated from VPA with $M=0.5$. (CPE $=12$ month mean of monthly values. Landings and "available biomass" in metric tons.)

| Year | Landings | Catch/hour | Effort | Availan?e biomass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | 187 | - | - | - |
| 1961 | 2 | - | - | - |
| 1962 | 8,854 | - | - | 68,50: |
| 1963 | 123,028 | - | - | 162,10i |
| 1964 | 81,147 | - | - | 102,50 |
| 1965 | 50,022 | 0.67 | 74,660 | 58,40. |
| 1966 | 10,323 | 0.22 | 46,923 | 25,60c |
| 1967 | 2,477 | 0.29 | 8,541 | 30,004 |
| 1968 | 3,447 | 0.15 | 22,980 | 66,3C0 |
| 1969 | 46,323 | 0.98 | 47,268 | 139,90\% |
| 1970 | 169,045 | 1.58 | 106,991 | (205,000) ${ }^{1}$ |
| 1971 | 128,653 | 1.13 | 113,852 | (147,00:) |

${ }^{1}$ Estimated from relationship of catch/hour and "available biomass" in 1965-67 and 1969.

Table 7 : Silver hake - A. estimated jopulation biemass (metric tons) and $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{tow}$. B. estimated population numbers $\left(\times 10^{-6}\right)$ and no./tow, from Canadian research vessel surveys, July 1970-72.

| Strata | ICNAF Div. | 1970 |  | 1971 |  | 1972 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Btomass | kg/tow! | Biomass | kg/tow | Biomass | kg/tow |
| $\begin{aligned} & 40-42 \\ & 43-52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \mathrm{Vn} \\ & 4 \mathrm{Vs} \end{aligned}$ | 340 | $\begin{gathered} - \\ 0.84 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | - | $0.10$ |
| 40-50 | 4 V | 340 | 0.84 | + | + | 40 | 0.10 |
| 53-66 | 4W | 17,400 | 10.14 | 3,410 | 1.99 | 12,010 | 7.00 |
| 70-95 | 4x | 5,780 | 3.14 | 4,470 | 2.43 | 3.210 | 1.74 |
| 40-95 | $4 V W X$ | 23,520 | 4.90 | 7,880 | 1.59 | 15,260 | 3.09 |



Table 8 : Silver hake Div. $4 V W X$ - estimated population numbers by size group from Canadian research vessel surveys, July 1970-72.

| Year | Strata | Div. | $\leq 24 \mathrm{~cm}\left(\times 10^{-6}\right)$ | $\geq 24 \mathrm{~cm}\left(\times 10^{-6}\right)$ | Total $\mathrm{x} \times 0$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 40-52 | 4 V | $\cdots$ | 1.2 |  |
|  | 53-66 | 4W | 24.9 | 79.3 | 04 |
|  | 70-95 | 4 X | 12.0 | 25.3 | 37.3 |
|  | 40-95 | 4VWX | 36.9 (26\%) | 105.8 | 142.7 |

1971
40-52 4V
0.1
15.5
15.5
20.9

70-95 $4 X$
5.5
16.7
32.2

40-95 4VWX
22.2 (42\%)
31.1
53.3

1972
$\begin{array}{cc}40-52 & 4 V \\ 53-66 & 4 W \\ 70-95 & 4 X \\ & \\ 40-95 & 4 V W X\end{array}$
21.0
8.5
0.1
49.8
8.4
58.3
29.5 (34\%)
87.8

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Table } 9: & \text { Silver hake Div. } 4 V H X \text { - fishing mortality, F, revised after inclusion of } \\
& \text { l972 data, from VPA. ( }=0.50 \text {. Mean F's are weighted by population }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\overline{\bar{F}}_{68-70} & \frac{8}{\text { recruitment }} \\
.03 & 4 \\
.02 & 2 \\
.22 & 27 \\
.81 & 100 \\
.80 & 100 \\
.36 & 100
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{llllllll}
\infty & 1 & 0 & 0 & \overrightarrow{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \infty \\
\rightarrow-1 & & 0 & 0 & \dot{N} & \dot{\infty} & \dot{\infty} & 0 \\
\infty
\end{array}
$$

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{lllllll}
\infty \\
0 \\
0 \\
-1
\end{array} \right\rvert\, \quad \begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right) \vec{i}
$$

B 3


Mean length at age (cm)

| Age | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4X | 27.0 | 30.4 | 34.2 | 36.3 | 39.8 |
| 4VM | 27.0 | 29.4 | 33.0 | 37.5 | 39.5 |

Fig. 2. Silver hake : size and age composition of Soviet commercial catches and mean length at age in Div. $4 X$ and Div. 4 VW in 1970.


Fig. 3. Silver hake : yield per recruit for $t_{p l}=3.25$ yrs. Dots with broken arrows indicate $F_{\text {opt }}$, solid arrows indicate $F_{\text {max. }}$

? . . . F
Fig. 4. Silver haks ; yield isopleth diagram for $M=0.50$.


Fig. 5. Silver hake: yield isopleth diagram for $M=0.30$.



Fig. 6. Silver hake : A.(upper graph) relationship of cpe in Div. $4 W$ of Soviet stern trawlers of over 1,800 gross tons and "available biomass" from virtual population analysis. B. (lower graph) relationship of $E$ calculated from the catch/biomass ratio and $F$ from VPA.
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## SILVE:R HAKE



Fig. 7. Silver hake : length composition of Scotian Shelf population by Div.eion estimated from Canadian research vessel surveys. 1970-72.
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ADDENDUM II
ANNUAL MEETING - JUNE 1973
Silver Hake in Subarea 4
by
R. G. Halliday

Fisheries and Marine Service
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St. Andrews, N. B., Canada
Table 10 presents projected numbers and catches through 1974. A value of $M=0.50$ was used. Full recruitment at age 5, $50 \%$ recruitment at age 3 and $5 \%$ recruitment at age 2; the $1970-71$ recruitment pattern.

Mean weight at age was derived from mean length at age (page 3) and lengthweight Table 5 giving:

| Age | Weight $(g$ |
| :---: | ---: |
| 2 |  |
| 3 | 95 |
| 4 | 151 |
| 5 | 214 |
| 6 | 319 |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Population numbers and F for 1970 are available from VPA. The observed catches at age in 1970, when multiplied by mean weights at age total 167,000 tons compared to actual catches of 169,000 tons, and in 1971 amount to 116,000 tons compared to 129,000 tons indicating good agreement. From the population numbers and $F$ in 1970, population numbers in 1971 were calculated, and from these and known catches, F in 1971 was obtained. Again population numbers in 1971 and F in 1971 were used to calculate population numbers at the beginning of 1972. The preliminary breakdown of 1972 catch by age-group given in Addendum I does not compare well with estimated population numbers for 1972 and indicates greater removals of 5-year-olds than there were in the population. Thus the $F$ in 1972 was adjusted by interaction to generate the catch in weight for 1972 maintaining the same proportional distribution of $F$ among age-groups as in 1970-71.

Population numbers and $F$ in 1972 were used to obtain population numbers in 1973. Assuming effort (and hence F) in 1973 is the same as in 1972, catch will be about 104,000 tons. These calculations are based on the assumption that the 1969-71 year-classes are as strong as those of $1966-68$ and will recruit $1,500 \times 10^{-6}$ individuals at age 2. It is important to record that this assumes recruitment of six successive year-classes of a strength greater than any previously recorded in the fishery.

Assuming recruitment in 1974 of a 1972 year-class which is also as strong as those of 1966-71, fishing at about $\mathrm{F}_{\text {opt }}$ implies catches of 55,000 tons in 1974. If F remains at the 1972 level then catches may be about 103,000 tons.
$200 \times 10^{-6}$ If the 1972 year-class is as poor as the 1963 and 1964 year-classes, i.e. $1972 \mathrm{~F}=95,000$ tons .



