INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION FOR



THE NORTHWEST ATLANTIC FISHERIES

Serial No. 3005 (D.c. 3)

ICNAF Res.Doc. 73/60

# ANNUAL MEETING - JUNE 1973

Evaluation of Research Survey Indices of Abundance for Cod Stocks in ICNAF Subareas 2 and 3

by

## A. T. Pinhorn

and

R. Wells Fisheries Research Board of Canada Biological Station, St. John's, Nfld.

#### Introduction

One of the most critical factors in the calculation of future total allowable catches at various levels of fishing is the prediction of the contribution of year-classes which have entered or will enter the fishery in years beyond the year of the last estimated stock size from virtual population analyses. These predicted contributions can only be calculated from known correlations between pre-recruit survey indices of abundance and stock sizes at first entry to the fishery.

Such correlations are presented in this paper for cod stocks in ICNAF Divisions 2J-3L and 3Ps.

## Materials and Methods

### Divisions 2J-3L

Pre-recruit indices of abundance for the stock complex in Divisions 2J-3L were derived from the USSR young fish surveys in Divisions 3K and 3L as reported in USSR Research Report for 1971 (ICNAF Res. Doc. 72/42) for Division 3K and from Bulatova (1970) for Division 3L. Stock size estimates at first entry to the fishery (age 4) were derived from updating the assessment by Pinhorn and Wells (1972).

### **Division 3Ps**

Pre-recruit indices of abundance for the stock in Division 3Ps were derived from USSR young fish surveys in Division 3P (ICNAF Res. Doc. 72/42) and from Canada (Nfld.) re earch surveys in Division 3Ps. For the latter, the surveys were conducted using the standard line system up to 1970 as reported by Pinhorn (1971) and these were post-stratified by assigning sets to the strata in which the standard positions occurred. The strata used were those shown by Pinhorn (1972a) for Division 3Ps. In 1972 the survey was conducted using the stratified-random survey method. In 1961, 1966 and 1971 no Canada (Nfld.) surveys were conducted in Division 3Ps and the numbers per standard set at each age for these years were estimated by applying average ratios to the numbers per standard set for these same year-classes in the adjacent years. Since only two strata on the seaward slope of St. Pierre Bank (Strata 311 and 317) contained most of the pre-recruit cod during the season of the survey (March-June), these two strata were selected for purposes of correlation with stock sizes. Stock size estimates at first entry to the fishery were derived from Pinhorn (1972b).

#### Results

## Divisions 2J-3L

Three sets of pre-recruit data at age 3 were correlated with stock sizes at age 4: Division 3K, Division 3L and Divisions 3K + 3L (Fig. 1). The arithmetic correlation was highest with survey indices of abundance in Division 3K (r = 0.80) and Divisions 3K + 3L (r = 0.82) and lowest in Division 3L, the

latter not being significant. Table 1 shows the basic data used in the correlation and indicates the variation in predicted stock sizes of year-classes beyond those estimated from the virtual population analyses.

#### Division 3Ps

The arithmetic correlation between the numbers of 2 + 3-year-old cod per standard set from USSR surveys and Canada (Nfld.) surveys was significantly high with correlation coefficient (r) = 0.93 (Fig. 2). Of the 9 year-classes compared, only the 1966 year-class was obviously far outside the range of the correlation. The Canada (Nfld.) survey in 1968 greatly overestimated the 1966 year-class because the cruise was of very short duration and only lines of known abundance of young cod were fished. Therefore the number per hour for this year-class from Canada (Nfld.) surveys was not considered representative of the abundance of this year-class in relation to the others.

There was also a high log-log correlation (r = 0.91) between age 2 + 3 cod from Canada (Nfld.) surveys and stock size at age 4 from virtual population assessments (Fig. 2). Again one year-class (1958) was obviously outside the range of the correlation, being overestimated in the Canada (Nfld.) survey cruises.

Comparisons between USSR survey data and stock sizes could only be made for 6 year-classes where overlap between the two sets of data occurred. For these 6 year-classes the log-log correlation coefficient (r = 0.89) was significant. Table 1 shows the basic data used in the correlation and indicates the variation in year-class predictions for the 1966-68 year-classes by the different methods. The figures in the third column represent the USSR index of abundance converted to Canada (Nfld.) index of abundance and the latter used to predict year-class contributions using the correlations in Fig. 2.

#### References

- Bulatova, A. Y. 1970. Abundance of young cod in the waters of Newfoundland. Int. Comm. Northw. Atlant. Fish., Redbook 1970, Part III: 56-64.
- Pinhorn, A. T. 1971. Objectives and characteristics of existing and proposed groundfish surveys by Fisheries Research Board of Canada, Biological Station, St. John's, Newfoundland. Annu. Meet. int. Comm. Northw. Atlant. Fish. 1971, Res. Doc. No. 71/86, Serial No. 2527.

1972a. Proposed stratification scheme for ICNAF Division 3Ps. Annu. Meet. int. Comma. Northw. Atlant. Fish. 1972, Res. Doc. No. 72/60, Serial No. 2776.

1972b. Virtual population assessment of ICNAF Division 3Ps cod. Annu. Meet. int. Comm. Northw. Atlant. Fish. 1972, Res. Doc. 72/4, Serial No. 2683.

Pinhorn, A. T. and R. Wells. 1972. Combined virtual population assessment for ICNAF Divisions 2J, 3K and 3L cod. Int. Comm. Northw. Atlant. Fish., Redbook 1972, Part III: 35-38.



- 3 -

Fig. 1. Correlation of indices of abundance of pre-recruit year-classes of cod at age 3 from research surveys and stock sizes of same year-classes at age 4 from virtual population analyses. Divisions 2J-3L cod stock complex.



- 4 -

Fig. 2. Correlation of indices of abundance of pre-recruit year-classes at age 2+3 from research surveys and stock sizes of same year-classes at age 4 from virtual population analyses. Division 3Ps cod stock.

Table l.	Research	indices	of abundance	and predicted	stock sizes	of year-classes	later than those	
estimated	from the	virtual	population an	nalyses. Thos	e underlined	were used in ca	lculation of total	
allowable catch for 1974.								

Year-class	No.	/hr. USSR surve	eys age 3	Stock si	ze	
	Div. 3K	Div. 3L	Divs. 3K + 3L	populati assessme	on nt	
<u></u>				age 4		
1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965	21 11 20 15 36 8 15	21 8 28 40 31 48 12	42 19 48 55 67 56 27	612 506 629 762 908 581 543		
				<u>Predicted</u> Div. 3K	<u>stock size</u> Div. 3L	from surveys in: Divs. 3K + 3L
1966 1967 1968 1969 1970	27 34 40 (25) <sup>2</sup>	43 (51) <sup>1</sup> (60) <sup>1</sup>	70 (85) <sup>1</sup> (100) <sup>1</sup>	758 844 918 (734) <sup>2</sup> (800) <sup>3</sup>	720 (756) <sup>1</sup> (797) <sup>1</sup>	820 (923) <sup>1</sup> (1025) <sup>1</sup>

Divs. 2	J-	3L
---------	----	----

<sup>1</sup>Survey indices of abundance (No./hr. of 3-year-olds) not available for 3L from USSR young fish surveys after 1966 year-class. No./hr. in 3L calculated from average ratio of No./hr. in 3K: No./hr. in 3L for 1959-66 year-classes.

 $^{2}$ No./hr. 3-year-olds estimated from No./hr. 2-year-olds reported in Res. Doc. 72/42 and average ratio 3-year-olds to 2-year-olds for 1959-68 year-classes.

<sup>3</sup>Stock size estimated as average of 1966-68 year-classes.

		Div. 3Ps			
Year-class	Surveys ag	jes 2 + 3	3 Stock si		
	CAN(N)		USSR populatio assessmen		
			age 4		
1955 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1963 1964 1965	131 40 49 (261) 36 32 115 92 83 145 110	8 12 19 30 80 46	104 47 47 40 37 31 56 68 79 94 69		
			Predicted CAN(N)	stock sizes f USSR	From surveys by: USSR+CAN(N)
1966 1967 1968 1969 1970	(418) 91 276 (144) <sup>2</sup> (117) <sup>2</sup>	60 30 130 (109) <sup>1</sup>	(179) 68 <u>137</u> <u>91</u> ( <u>80</u> ) <sup>2</sup>	89 <u>58</u> 120 (110) <sup>1</sup>	94 70 130 ( <u>119</u> )1

 $^{1}$ 3-year-olds estimated from No./hr. 2-year-olds reported in Res. Doc. 72/42 and average ratio 3-year-olds to 2-year-olds for 1959-68 year-classes.

<sup>2</sup>Adjusted for stratified-random versus standard line surveys.