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## Introduction

The squid Illex illecebrosus is a seasonal migrant to Newfoundland inshore waters. Immature juveniles arrive inshore in Tate June or July and depart generally in November by which time many of the males are mature although females remain in the immature state (Squires, 1957, 1967; Mercer, 1965, 1969). Spawning areas and times are unknown but Squires (1957) has suggested winter spawning offshore at an age of one year; the species is presumed to be monotelic and to die after spawning (Mercer, 1965; Squires, 1967).

The following preliminary account proposes a simple unambiguous series of maturity stages for males and describes seasonal, year to year and areal variation in maturities and sex ratios. As female squid remain in the immature state during their sojourn in Newfoundland inshore waters, female maturities will not be discussed in this paper.

## Materials and Methods

## Specimens examined

All specimens were taken by handine and jigger in inshore waters of less than 20 metres depth (Fig. 1). Conception Bay samples were taken at various localities in 1965 and all were obtained at Holyrood in succeeding years. Hermitage Bay samples were taken at various localities in 1966 and at Rencontre West only in 1965 and 1967.

Dorsal mantle lengths were measured to the nearest centimetre from the antero-dorsal protuberance to the apex of the tail fin. Maturities were classified according to the scale defined below and testis weights recorded to the nearest 0.1 g .

## Maturity stages

Stages of maturity for males were determined by gross visual inspection of the vas deferens and the spermatophoric organ and sac (Fig. 2) as follows:

Imenature: Spermatophoric organ thin and transparent to translucent. Vas deferens thin and transparent. Spermatophoric sac empty.

Maturing Stage I: Spermatophoric organ with a thin white mid-lateral streak. Vas deferens thin and transparent or with a slight white streak. Spermatophoric sac empty.

Maturing Stage II: Spermatophoric organ with a white mid-lateral streak. Vas deferens thick and creamy white. Spermatophoric sac empty or containing a few whitish particles (no complete spermatophores).

Mature: As for previous stage except that the spemmatophoric sac contains spermatophores.
The stages represent sequential changes in the appearance of only part of the genttalia during the course of spermatophore formation but these stages are unambiguous and have been found not to offer problems of reproducibility between workers. The definitions purposefully exclude reference to attendant changes in size, appearance or histology of the testis.

## Data analysis

Maturities. The technique applied to analysis of the maturity data was that developed for comparison of dosage-mortality data (Bliss, 1935a,b). The technique is widely applied in bioassay (see Finney, 1952) and has previously been used in analyzing maturity data (see Fleming, 1960). As adapted here, maximum likelihood estimates of $a$ and $b$ for the probit equation $Y=a+b x$ were obtained by an iterative scheme; the program was modified from Subroutine PROBT of the IBM System 360 Scientific Subroutine Package. The procedure assumes normality in the distribution of critical values.

Numbers at each length in each maturity stage were summed by month for each year and area. The samples were "dosed" with mantle length, the resultant Mat 50 values obtained being the mantle length (cm) at which 50 percent of the specimens leave or enter the given maturity stage. While size frequency distributions of the immature and mature stage animals present sigmoids the intervening maturing I and maturing II stages fall in normal distributions. To render these into sigmoids the maturing classes were summed with the adjacent immature or mature classes and Mat $t_{00}$ values were then obtained for immature, immature + maturing I, maturing II + mature, and mature specimens.

Between year comparisons of size at 50 percent maturity in a given month and area were made employing a one-sided $t$ test, the one-sided test being applicable as the ordering of the Mat 50 values was by prior hypothesis. The test application to the probit equations of slightly differing slope is here considered equivalent to its application to comparison of skewed and thus slightly non-normal distributions; the robustness of the test indicates its applicability in this circumstance. Sample sizes of specimens cannot be used in the analysis as numbers examined at the ends of the range outside the zone where the change in maturity class is occurring are irrelevant and their inclusion would bias the analysis. Probit weighting factors were employed instead of the sample sizes. For purposes of this paper time precluded the covariance analysis which is the most suitable test.

Length-gonad weight equations were computed using the equation $W=c L^{b}$ in which $W=$ testis weight, $L=$ mantle length and $c$ and $b$ are constants which were estimated from a least squares regression of the logarithmic transformation $Y=a+b x$ in which $Y=\log _{10} W, a=\log _{10} c$ and $x=\log _{10}$. .

Sex ratios. Each sample was examined for deviation from numerical equality of the sexes. The $x^{2}$ test was applied (l df) and all probability values are tabulated, a 10 percent probability of inequality being considered "significant" enough to merit discussion in the results.

## Results

## Maturity

Maturation was found to be related to the correlated variables squid size and season and between year and areal variation was observed (Table 1, Fig. 3). Goodness of fit to the probit equation varied considerably. For instance in September 1967 at Rencontre West where only 4 of 950 specimens examined were mature $x^{2}=2.09$ and $P=.98$ whereas at Holyrood where 252 of 795 specimens were mature $x^{2}=32.98$ and $P=.00052$.

Seasonal variation. At the time of their arrival inshore in late June or early July all squid were found to be immature and, except for one 21 cm maturing I squid taken at Holyrood on July 12, 1971, all squid sampled in July were classified as immature. In August 1966 at Holyrood immature males ranged to 25 cm mantle length but in subsequent months the largest were 23 cm . Size at which 50 percent of the samples left the immature stage dropped from 22.6 to 21.8 cm from August to September 1966 and more slowly thereafter. Whereas in August only 14.3 percent of 14 specimens 24 cm or larger were in stage maturing II, in September 57.5 percent of 137 spec imens of this size were maturing II or mature. The trend is consistent for all years and areas studied, size at which 50 percent of the specimens leave the immature and both immature and maturing I stages and enter the maturing II and mature stages decreases over the season. The only anomalies occurred in November ( 1966 Fortune Bay and Holyrood immature class, and 1967 Holyrood mature class) involving small sample sizes and/or poor fits to the probit equation.

Areal variation. Various comparative data are available for Conception Bay (Holyrood), Fortune Bay and Hermitage Bay in 1966-67. Sizes at 50 percent exit from immature-maturing I in September 1966 were $24.03,23.91$ and 23.37 cm respectively in the three areas, the paired comparisons by one-sided $t$ test being highly significant ( $P \ll .0005$ ). Other comparisons of immature and immature-maturing I animals result in a similar ordering of Matso values. Mat 50 values for mature animals on the south coast are higher than at Holyrood (Conception Bay) and few mature anlmals were taken in south coast samples. However, maturing II stage animals bulked larger in south coast samples (Fig. 4).

Between year variation. Comparative data are available for three years from Holyrood only. Most increasing Mat 50 values fal 1 in order of years 1967, 1971, 1966 (Table 1) which is the order of decreasing water temperatures. Based on the hypothesis that more rapid maturation occurs under warmer temperature conditions (see Richard, 1966) one-sided t tests were used to compare differences observed at Holyrood in September of each of the three years (Table 2). Three of four comparisons indicated highly significant differences (which would also be highly significant in a two-sided test). Anomalies from this trend are seen in comparisons of Mat 50 values for immature squid at Holyrood for August 1966 and 1971 and for November 1967 and 1971 immature-maturing I and mature squid.

Testis weights. Testis weight increases as a function of mantle length and with advancing maturity (Fig. 5 and 6 ). Calculations from the fitted regressions (Fig. 6) indicate testis weights of $0.8,2.2,4.0$ and 5.7 g for 23 cm immature, maturing I , maturing II and mature squid respectively.

## Sex ratios

Data for the years 1966, 1967 and 1971 are given in Fig. 7. Males predominated significantly ( $\mathrm{P}<.05$ ) in only 1 of 32 south coast samples in 1965-67, this being taken early in the season (Sept. 13, 1966). Females predominated significantly in most of the other samples ( $\mathrm{P}<.05$ for 19 samples and $\mathrm{P}<.1$ for 1 sample), this preponderance being most striking late in the 1966 season.

At Holyrood the pattern is somewhat different. In considering samples collected July 6 to the end of September males predominated significantly in 5 of 12 samples ( $P<.05$ in 3 and $P<.1$ in 2) in 1966, in 5 of 10 samples ( $P<.05$ in 3 and $P<.1$ in 2 ) in 1967, but in only 1 of 10 samples ( $P<.02$ ) in 1971 and 0 of 4 in 1965. In only one early season sample taken 1966-71 (July 19, 1971) were females preponderant ( $\mathrm{P}<.01$ ), but in 1965 females comprised a significant majority in 3 of 4 samples ( $P<.05$ in 1 and $P<.1$ in 2). Late in the season the pat-tern changed. Females were significantly more abundant in 6 of 13 samples ( $P<.05$ in 4 and $P<.1$ in 1 ) and males $\ln$ only $2(P<.001$ in 1 and $P<$.$] in 1), both of these latter being in 1967$.

Females were more abundant in all 5 samples taken at Englee Aug. 11-Sept. 14, 1965 but in only the last was the difference significant ( $P<. l$ ).

In a Trinity Bay sample taken July 27, 1965 males were preponderant ( $P$ < . 01 ) but females comprised a majority in the 4 remaining samples taken Aug. 18-Sept. 9 ( $P<.05$ in 2).

## Discussion

Squires (1957) reported no mature males prior to September but in September to November he reported "early" or "late" spermatophores in 75 percent of specimens examined. (Terms were not defined.) Squires noted an increase in testis weight for squid at a given length throughout the season but he supplied no supporting data. The maturation of males at progressively smaller sizes later in the season is consistent with available information on maturation in cephalopods. Wells and Wells (1969) described, in an octopod, a negative feedback system involving the optic glands and testes (or testicular ducts) which is a functional analogue of the pituitary system in mammals. This system explains the prolonged maturity of males, compared with females, where there is apparentiy no ovarian hormone. Richard (1968) found that photoperiod affected maturation of female cuttlefish (Sepia officinalis) and it is likely that the same situation obtains in males. The pattern observed was a quickening of maturation with decreasing day length and our data on maturation of male Illex illecebrosus are consistent with the same situation obtaining for this species.

In controlled rearings of female cuttlefish, Richard (1966) demonstrated the accelerating effect on maturation of elevated temperatures. Observations reported here indicate that year to year and regional differences in maturation of male Illex illecebrosus in the Newfoundland region may also relate to temperature differences with more rapid maturation occurring under warmer temperature conditions.

The dearth of 数ture specimens and higher Mat 50 values for the mature class in south coast samples may reflect reticed catchability of mature squids possibly related to offshore migration (Mercer, 1969). The proportion of males in the catch late in the season (Fig. 7) supports this conjecture.
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Table 1. Comparison of probit analyses of Illex illecebrosus maturities by month, year and area. Mat50 indicates mantle length (cm) immature-maturing I.


| Hol yrood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1966 Aug. | 22.6326 | 0.1063 | -1.1361 | 30.7126 | 144.6193 | 0.00000 | 581 | 25.3504 | 0.7127 | -1.2437 | 36.5199 | 1.8521 | 0.9993 | 667 |
| Sept. | 21.7687 | 0.0762 | -1.3370 | 34.1050 | 1.7931 | 0.98568 | 322 | 24.0325 | 0.0939 | -0.8690 | 25.8845 | 10.0598 | 0.2615 | 579 |
| Oct. | 21.7666 | 0.1198 | -1. 2301 | 31.7749 | 4.8486 | 0.77478 | 78 | 24.0579 | 0.0961 | -1.0749 | 30.8593 | 426.3835 | 0.0000 | 244 |
| Nov. | 21.9115 | 0.1538 | -1.1074 | 29.2657 | 1.1918 | 0.97581 | 83 | 23.9349 | 0.2936 | -0.8818 | 26.1051 | 1.8681 | 0.9307 | 121 |
| 1967 Aug. | 22.0469 | 0.0499 | -0.5040 | 16.1126 | 42.5676 | 0.00004 | 660 | 24.8209 | 0.2348 | -0.6757 | 21.7703 | 324.1064 | 0.0000 | 988 |
| Sept. | 21.4258 | 0.0869 | -0.8444 | 23.0923 | 0.6908 | 0.99984 | 155 | 23.0869 | 0.0557 | -0.8382 | 24.3517 | 8.3293 | 0.5978 | 375 |
| Oct. Nov. | no imm |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 22.0058 | 0.2682 | -0.8543 | 23.7988 | 31.7954 | 0.0001 | 19 |
| ${ }^{1971}$ Nov. | 21.1267 | 0.5391 | -1.4149 | 34.8930 | 0.6637 | 0.99915 | 4 | 21.6622 | 0.3581 | -1.0412 | 27.5550 | 4.1913 | 0.8399 | 10 |
| 1971 Aug. | 22.6929 | 0.0713 | -0.8627 | 24.5759 | 42.1450 | 0.00005 | 976 | 25.2902 | 0.3556 | -0.6905 | 22.4640 | 1.4790 | 0.99846 | 1172 |
| Sept. Nov. | 21.7719 | 0.1197 | -0.8837 | 24.2394 | 0.8990 | 0.92321 | 64 | 23.6854 | 0.1746 | -0.7482 | 22.7215 | 0.6681 | 0.95274 | 154 |
| Nov. | no imm |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 21.3339 | 0.3630 | -0.8986 | 24.1706 | 1.5987 | 0.81085 | 9 |
| Hermitage Bay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1966 Sept. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23.3663 | 0.2569 | -0.8676 | 25.2737 | 2.1928 | 0.9938 | 730 |
| 1967 Aug. | 21.7624 | 0.2853 | -0.5548 | 17.0730 | 11.2218 | 0.12999 | 859 | 23.3663 | 0.256 | -0.8676 | 25.2737 | 2.1928 | 0.9938 | 886 |
| Sept. | 19.9428 | 0.0466 | -0.5118 | 15.2071 | 5.8673 | 0.66380 | 429 | 22.4737 | 0.0889 | -0.8373 | 23.8164 | 9.6583 | 0.2904 | 819 |
| 0ct. | 19.3971 | 0.0800 | -0.6411 | 17.4355 | 3.3407 | 0.94867 | 144 | 22.0117 | 0.0719 | -0.8249 | 23.1581 | 5.2676 | 0.8109 | 358 |
| Fortune Bay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1966 Sept. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23.9147 | 0.1107 | -1.0105 |  |  |  |  |
| Oct. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22.4956 | 0.0842 | -0.8450 | 24.0096 | 12.5094 | 0.1875 | 521 |
| Nov. | 20.2012 | 0.3040 | -1.4866 | 35.0315 | 1.4084 | 0.96407 | 24 | 21.6943 | 0.2743 | -0.9764 | 26.1829 | 1.5268 | 0.9566 | 36 |

Table 1. Cone'a.

| Time | MATURING II-MATURE |  | No. <br> Mat II-Mature | MATURE |  |  |  |  |  | No. Mature | d.f. | Total examined |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Slope | Intercept |  | Mat50 | SE(Mat50) | Slope | Intercept | Fit of | 1ine |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $x^{2}$ | Prob. |  |  |  |
| Holyrood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1966 Aug. | not fit |  | 2 | no mature |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 12 | 669 |
| Sept. | 0.8693 | -15.8904 | 108 | 25.4037 | 0.1982 | 0.7179 | -13.2373 | 11.6898 | 0.16654 | 37 | 8 | 687 |
| Oct. | 1.0748 | -20.8582 | 115 | 24.7617 | 0.1181 | 1.0103 | -20.0165 | 27.5394 | 0.00090 | 71 | 8 | 359 |
| Nov. | 0.8816 | -16.1007 | 12 | 24.6949 | 0.5537 | 1.6905 | -36.7461 | 0.0956 | 0.99979 | 3 | 6 | 133 |
| 1967 Aug. | 0.6758 | -11.7727 | 32 | 27.7266 | 0.5956 | 0.3944 | - 5.9363 | 51.4359 | 0.00001 | 11 | 10 | 1020 |
| Sept. | 0.8384 | -14.3550 | 420 | 24.1452 | 0.0590 | 0.7344 | -12.7334 | 32.9789 | 0.00052 | 252 | 10 | 795 |
| 0ct. | 0.8539 | -13.7910 | 467 | 22.6950 | 0.1677 | 0.7739 | -12.5639 | 5.8734 | 0.56102 | 438 | 6 | 486 |
| Nov. | 1.0414 | -17.5582 | 584 | 22.8736 | 0.1740 | 1.0929 | -19.9984 | 21.4749 | 0.00661 | 553 | 8 | 594 |
| 1971 Aug. | 0.4628 | - 7.7496 | 16 | no fit to | probit |  |  |  |  | 7 | 10 | 1188 |
| Sept. | 0.7482 | -12.7219 | 42 | 25.3328 | 0.5744 | 0.7916 | -15.0545 | 2.4683 | 0.65383 | 6 | 4 | 196 |
| Nov. | 0.8992 | -14.1840 | 160 | 21.9719 | 0.2316 | 0.6834 | -10.0152 | 2.1482 | 0.71192 | 145 | 4 | 169 |
| Hermitage Bay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1966 Sept. | 0.8677 | -15.2748 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 10 | 745 |
| 1967 Aug. |  |  | 0 | no mature |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 7 | 886 |
| Sept. | 0.8375 | -13.8225 | 131 | 24.7149 | 0.6937 | 1.0181 | -20.1622 | 2.0915 | 0.97714 | 4 | 8 | 950 |
| Oct. | 0.8252 | -13.1649 | 215 | 24.5401 | 0.1537 | 0.5880 | - 9.4300 | 9.8973 | 0.3597 | 55 | 9 | 573 |
| Fortune Bay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1966 Sept. | 1.0106 0.8454 | -19.1690 -14.0164 | 88 150 | 25.2748* | 0.2038 | 0.7090 | -12.9211 | 2276.9921 | 0.00000 | 25 | 9 | 508 671 |
| Nov. | 0.9768 | -16.1920 | 14 | no mature |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 6 | 50 |
| *Deleting a single precocious male recorded as mature at 19 cm Mat $_{50}=24.9136, x^{2}=.1942, P=.9999$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2. year comparisons (one-sided $t$ test) of size at which 50 percent of squid a) pass stages immature and maturing I and b) enter the mature stage at Holyrood in Septeme. Air temperatures given are the means of daily high and low air temperatures for the July l-Sept. 30 at Torbay. Water temperatures are means of daily high and low surface temperatures at Holyrood July 5 -Sept. 24.

| Sept. | Mean reme (C) |  | Immature-maturing I |  |  |  | Mature |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Water | Al | Mat 50 | t | df | P | Mat50 | t | df | P |
| 1967 | 11.4 | 16.5 | 23.09 |  |  |  | 24.15 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 52.92 | 416 | << . 0005 |  | 36.06 | 361 | << . 0005 |
| 1971 | 8.8 | 14.7 | 23.69 |  |  |  | 25.33 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 20.75 | 256 | << . 0005 |  | 1.02 | 122 | . $15<\mathrm{P}<.20$ |
| 1966 | - | H. 4 | 24.03 |  |  |  | 25.40 |  |  |  |

Table 3. mumbers of testis weights used in computing the regressions in Fig. 6.

| Length No. mimed | Immature | Maturing I | Maturing II | Mature |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 16 | 5 |  |  |  |
| 17 | 9 |  |  |  |
| 18 | 24 |  |  |  |
| 19 | 33 |  |  |  |
| 20 | 52 | 1 |  |  |
| 21 | 59 | 8 |  | 2 |
| 22 | 52 | 40 | 4 | 1 |
| 23 | 14 | 60 | 15 | 14 |
| 24 | 3 | 29 | 23 | 59 |
| 25 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 88 |
| 26 |  |  | 1 | 56 |
| 27 |  |  |  | 3 |
| 28 |  |  |  | 1 |
| Totals | 253 | 142 | 53 | 224 |



Fis. 1. Map of Newfoundland showing place names mentioned in the text.


Fig. 2. Lakal wiews of the spermatophoric organ and sac and vas deferens of Illex illecebrosus from Newfoukiand. Top to bottom: maturing stage I, maturing stage II, mature. See text for descriptions.


Fig. 3. Sexual maturities of male Illex illecebrosus from samples obtained at Newfoundland in 1966, 1967 and 197T. Numbers indicate sample sizes.


Fig. 4. Size distributions of male Illex $\frac{\text { illecebrosus in the maturing classes from samples obtained at }}{}$


Fig. 5. Testes of Illex illecebrosus of mantle length 23 cm collected at Holyrood October 3,1967 . From left to right: mature, testis weight 7.6 g ; maturing stage II, testis weight 6.0 g ; maturing stage I , testis weight 4.5 g.


Fig. 6. Length-testis weight regressions for male Illex illecebrosus. Numbers of specimens used in computing the curves are given in Table 3.


Fig. 7. Sex composition of samples of Illex illecebrosus obtained at Newfoundland in 1966, 1967 and 1971. Data points are joined for ease of interpretation of the figure.

