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That Northwest Miramichi salmon stocks are made up of four somewhat, but not entirely, discrete components was advanced in ICANF Res. Doc. 71/73. M1ler (1970) has shown polymorphism between salmon stocks of different areas as indicated by blood transferrin frequencies. Some unpublished results of later studies at St. Andrews indicate probable genetic differences between parr taken from the headwater areas of the Northwest Miramichi as compared to parr from lower reaches of the same river. Behavioural differences between early-run salmon bound for headwaţers and late-run salmon using the lower reaches for reproduction have been noted by Saunders (1967). Data leading to the statement in ICNAF Res. Doc. 71/73 was derived from as yet unpublished experiments involving selectively bred salmon reared in hatcheries and liberated as tagged smolts.

## Season of ascent

Parent stock was selected at the Curventon counting fence on the Northwest Miramichi. To qualify as early-run parents the fish had to reach the fence 7 miles above head of tide, by July 15. A few times this date was extended to July 31 in order to get sufficient stock. To qualify as laterun, parent fish had to reach the fence on September 1 or later and had to be bright fish or have sea lice still attached. Progeny were reared separately to smolt stage in the fisheries Service's hatcheries, mostly at the Miramichi (South Esk) hatchery. They were tagged at the smolt stage and liberated at the Northwest Miramichi Curventon counting fence at the peak of native smolt run.

These studies were begun in the early sixties, when Northwest runs had already entered a period of decifine and it was sometimes difficult to get sufficient parents of one stock or another. Consequentiy, in no years were progeny of all four stock components liberated together. In the first experiments parent stocks included both grilse and salmon, only the early or late criteria being applied. In later studies the groups were separated more rigorously.

Early-run stock for which results are now available included the following parent groups:

| mixed grilse and salmon | grilse only | 2-sea-winter only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28,547 smolts | 7,015 smolts | 4,788 smolts |
| Late-run stocks have | included: |  |
| mixed grilse and salmon | grilse only | 2-sea-winter only |
| 17,024 smolts | 9.573 smolts | 36,033 smolts |
|  | E 2 |  |

Returns from these smolts are summarized by area and mean date of recapture in Tables 1 and 2. Some fish of early-run stock entered fresh water as late as November, and some of late-run stock as early as June. But the majority of fish of early-run origin which ascended the river had reached Curventon by the end of July and most of those of late-run origin ascended past Curventon after August 31, the late run of these tagged fish peaking in October. Because of the number of years involved, with various conditions of water flow pertaining, it is believed that the dominant factor for season of ascent is to be found in the genetic constitutions of the different groups.

In the Northwest Miramichi there is littie angling after August 31, so that late-running fish could not contribute heavily to the local sport fishery, whereas the early-run contributed heavily to angling.

But many fish of both early- and late-run stocks were in the estuary quite early so that both contributed well to the home commercial fishery. There is, however, indication that the commercial fishery, while it existed, taxed late-run large salmon more heavily than the early-run ( $30 \%$ compared to 18\% of total returns). Similariy the distant fisheries taxed the late-run stock more heavily than the early-run ( $12 \%$ versus $6 \%$ in Greenland; $10 \%$ versus $2 \%$ in Newfoundland). For the Miramichi system at large, as for the Northwest, the late-run stocks are currently at a lower ebb than the early-run. It seems probable that these distant fisheries provide a good part of the explanation.

## Age at maturation

Data for comparison of sea age at first maturation to sea age of parents at first maturation is given in Table 3. The data are given in 2 parts, the upper part pertaining to early-run stocks and the lower to late-run. The table is a reorganization of much, but not all, of the data used for Tables 1 and 2. Looking at the overall totals, $60 \%$ of the fish from grilse parents returned first as grilse, $40 \%$ returned first as salmon. Within this group, $62 \%$ of the early-run fish returned first as grilse, but only $57 \%$ of the late-run.

There was, particularly in the early years, more opportunity for early-run grilse to mate with salmon and less for late-run grilse to mate with salmon.

In the same general pattern the early-run salmon which had ample opportunity to mate with grilse show, in fact, a predominance ( $60 \%$ ) of grilse offspring; but the late-run salmon with less opportunity for breeding with grilse show a predominance of progeny (71\%) first returning as 2-sea-winter virgin fish*. The groups would appear to be heterozygous for these behaviour patterns, with the grilse factor perhaps dominant, at least for early-run fish.

The mechanisms envisaged as operating are those of both environmental genetics in providing ability to respond in certain patterns, and environmental parameters eliciting appropriate responses in terms of individual behaviour, e.g., responses to freshets in ascending streams or to very low, warm water and/or pollution in failing to ascend streams.

## Different migratory patterns for different river stocks

That the migratory patterns followed by salmon stocks of different rivers may be different was suggested by Eison (1972; compare Figs. 2 and 3 ). Additional pertinent data are offered in Table 4. The table gives data obtained from stock indigenous to the Margaree River, N.S. Because only a limited
number of wild native smolts were tagged over a period of years (part A), data from hatchery-reared indigenous smolts are also given (part B). Both show a preponderance of smolts recaptured as 2-sea-winter or older fish (70\% to over 90\%). About 30\% of these were recorded from Greenland and $15 \%$ from home commercial fisheries. If allowance be made for non-return of tags from the high seas fishery, the Greenland take was much higher than $30 \%$. During the years 1962-68 an intensive experiment to increase Margaree stocks by control of mergansers (Elson, 1962) was in operation. This was expected to approximately double stocks available to home fisheries in 1964-70. The improvement was barely discernable and this may have been related to the large proportion of stock abstracted in Greenland. In contrast, wild Northwest Miramichi smolts returned mostly (over $60 \%$ ) as grilse and under $40 \%$ as salmon. Distant fisheries exploited a high proportion of the Margaree stocks, e.g., Greenland about 31\% and Newfoundland 25 to $36 \%$. But the distant fisheries took smaller though still substantial portions of the Miramichi fish (Greenland - 24\% of the large salmon, and Newfoundland 4\%; Newfoundland also took grilse for a total exploitation of over $7 \%$ of these Miramichi stocks.

## Conclusion

In view of the different compositions of stocks from
different rivers in respect to season of return, age at maturation, and probably different migratory patterns, it is unlikely that they can be managed effectively and exploited optimally when there is heavy fishing mortality distant from home waters. Such fishing appears to have contributed greatly to the present low levels of Canadian salmon stocks. This condition cannot be expected to improve until more rational management is instituted.
*For early- and late-run stocks combined, 63\% of the progeny returned as virgin 2-sea-winter fish.
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Table 1. Recaptures from tagged hatchery-reared smolts of eariy-run parentage released in the Northwest Miramichi River, 1963-69.
Total released $=\mathbf{4 0 , 3 5 0}$. Total salmon + grilse recaptured $=881$

| Location | \% of salmon and grilse recaptures |  |  | Range of months | Mean date of capture |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grilse | Salmon | Total |  |  |
| Greenland | 0 | 6 | 6 | 8-12 | October 10 |
| Newfoundland | 5 | 2 | 7 | 3-12 | July 13 |
| Nova Scotia | 5 | 4 | 9 | 5-9 | July 4 |
| Dist. New Bruns and Prov. Queb | wick 2 | 3 | 5 | 6-11 | July 17 |
| Home waters |  |  |  |  |  |
| - commerctal | 18 | 18 | 36 | 5-9 | July 2 |
| - angled | 18 | 3 | 21 | 6-10 | July 25 |
| - poached | 1 | $<1$ | 1 | 6-10 | July 20 |
| - escapement | 14 | 1 | 15 | 6-11 | July 31 |
| Total | 63 | 37 | 100 | 3-12 | July 18 |

Table 2. Recaptures from tagged hatchery-reared smolts of late-run parentage released in the Northwest Miramichi River, 1961-69.

| Location | ```% of salmon and grilse```recaptures |  |  | Range of months | Mean date of capture |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grilse | Salmon | Total |  |  |
| Greenland | 0 | 12 | 12 | 5-12 | October 17 |
| Newfoundland | 12 | 10 | 22 | 5-11 | July 5 |
| Nova Scotia | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6-11 | July 9 |
| Dist. New Bruns and Prov. Queb | wick 2 C | 4 | 6 | 6-10 | July 22 |
| Home waters |  |  |  |  |  |
| - commercial | 9 | 30 | 39 | 5-10 | July 15 |
| - angled | 8 | 2 | 10 | 6-11 | August 9 |
| - poached | $<1$ | 1 | 1 | 6-10 | July 10 |
| - escapement | 6 | 1 | 7 | 6-11 | September 20 |
| Total | 38 | 62 | 100 | 5-12 | July 31 |

Table 3. Recaptures from tagged, hatchery-reared smolts from selectively bred parents of
Curventon

Grilse parents during peak of native smolt run.

| Table 4. Comparison of captures in various fisheries, and escapement of Hargaree River and Northwest Miram smolts tagged and liberated during the natural s in these streams. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. Native wild smolts released at Margaree, N.S., 1962-69 <br> Number released =5549. Number adult recaptures $=42$ <br> \% total adult recaptures |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Location | Grilse | Salmon |
| Greenl and | 0 | 31 |
| Newfoundland | 0 | 36 |
| New Brunswick | 0 | 0 |
| Distant Nova Scotia | 0 | 10 |
| Home waters |  |  |
| - commercial | 0 | 14 |
| - angled | 7 | 2 |
| - poached | 0 | 0 |
| - escapement | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 7 | 93 |

B. Hatchery smolts of indigenous Margaree stock released at Margaree, N.S: 1961-69

Number released $=52,444$. Number adult recaptures $=153$

| Greenland | 0 | 31 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Newfoundland | 9 | 16 |
| New Brunswick | 0 | $<1$ |
| Distant Nova Scotia | 0 | 2 |
| Home waters |  | 16 |
| - commercial | 3 | 5 |
| - angled | 11 | 0 |
| - poached | 0 | 0 |
|  | -2 | -2 |
| Total | 30 | 70 |

C. Native wild smolts released at Curventon, Northwest Miramichi,1962-70
Number released $=91,541$. Number grilse + salmon recaptures = 3003
Greenland ..... 10 ..... $4 \quad 4$New foundland
Nova Scotia + Prince Edward Island <1 ..... 1
Distant New Brunswick and ..... $<1$
Prov. Quebec
Area unknown - commercial <1
Home waters
- commercial 1319
- angled 17
- poached, etc. 5
- escapement
Total
22
61
39

