International Commission for



the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries

Serial No. 3347 (D.c.2)

ICNAF Res.Doc. 74/109

ANNUAL MEETING - JUNE 1974

On estimating the relaibility of data on age composition of fish population obtained by means of the age key

bv

E.I. Shemina AtlantNIRO Kaliningrad, USSR

In estimating the age composition of fish population be means of length-age key the initial data are as follows:

H - the number of fish with measured length.

E, - the number of fish with length 1,

P1 - the share of fish with length 1.

Pt/1 - a table of length-age key, the share of age t individuals among the fish with length 1.

In this case the number of fish in I size group and t age group is

$$H_{t/1} = N_1 P_{t/1} + N P_1 P_{t/1}$$
 (1)

The ratio

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}_{1}}{\mathcal{E}_{1}} = P_{t}$$
 (2)

Pt - the share of t age group.

It can be suggested that

$$P_{t} \stackrel{n}{=} P_{1} P_{t/1}$$
 (3)

and approximately (1.2)

$$P(P_{t}) = \sum_{1} \left[P_{1}^{2}D(P_{t/1}) + P_{t/1}^{2}D(P_{1}) \right]$$
 (4)

Based on this formula, P_t , dispersion $D(P_t)$, mean-root-square error $S(P_t)$ and variation factor V_t at the value of P_t have been calculated by means of length-age key.

In tables 1 and 2 the examples of calculations for the most numerous silver hake age groups are given according to the data for 1972.

Table 1
The estimation of precision in age composition calculations

March 1972, 4W

Age	P	D(P _k)	3(P _t)	V. S(P.) Cónfide - (0.9	nce limita 5 probability)
	<u> </u>	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •	lówér limit	úppér limit
2	.0.346	0.00078	0.028	0.081	0.290	0.402
3	0.322	0.00075	0.027	0.085	0.268	0.376
4	0.103	0.00124	0+035	0.342	0.033	0.173
5	0.064	0.00015	0.012	0.192	0 .19 2	0.088
Hay	1972,	5 28	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		3	able 2
						
4	0.560	0.03200	0.031	0.056	0.498	0.622
 4 5	0.560 0.171		0.031	0.056 0.199	0.498 0.103	0.622 0.239

To minimize $D(P_t)$, the necessary meansurement number and the number of age determinations for a table-key should be estimated.

Let us consider these 2 tasks separately.

1. Length composition estimation

The initial formula is given below:

$$S^{2}(P_{1}) = \frac{S_{\ell}^{2}(P_{1})}{\pi} + \frac{S_{\ell}^{2}(P_{1})}{\pi}$$
 (5)

where I is the number of samples,

n is the sample size,

 $\mathbf{S}_{b}^{2}(\mathbf{P}_{1})$ is an inter-sample dispersion

 $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{W}}^{2}(\mathbf{P}_{1})$ is an intra-sample dispersion.

The analysis of material for 1971-72 has shown that in all cases \mathbf{S}_b^2 (\mathbf{P}_1) > \mathbf{S}_w^2 (\mathbf{P}_1), therefore, for better precision the increase in sample number can be recommended without increase in sample volume which should remain as an existing one.

The minimum number of samples for estimating the length composition at confidence probability of 0.95 is

$$\frac{\pi_{\min}}{\pi} \approx \frac{4S_b^2 (P_1)}{\pi^2} \tag{6}$$

where E is an allowable difference between the true value of \mathbf{P}_1 and its estimate...

The size of one sample at that is

$$n_1 = \frac{4(1-P_1)}{\mu^2 P_1 n_{\min}} \tag{7},$$

where L is a given relative error.

A similar arror can be obtained at various combinations of H and n values.

If the number od samples is increased in \forall times as compared with π_{\min} , the error being the same (4), we'll have:

$$n = \frac{P_1(1-P_1)}{(V-1)^2 S_b^2}$$
 (8)

An example of similar calculations is given in table 3.

Table 3

The calculation of the number of observations for estimating the size-composition of silver hake stock

May. 528 4=0.1

I	P	8 ² (P ₁)	min	V=2		V=1.5	
				May Min	n	H-VI min	1.5سے
28 -29	0.123	0.0034	91	182	32	137	64
30 -31	0.2 96	0.0038	17	34	56	26	112
32-33	0.244	0.0035	24	48	53	36	106
34-35	0.153	0.0022	39	78	5 8	59	116
3 6-37	0.072	0.0019	144	268	37	216	74
38-39	0.032	0.0004	160	3 20	69	240	138

A large number of samples for size groups (36-37) and (38-39) is obtained, however, it is hardly necessary to assess these not numerous groups with a high precission. At $\omega = 0.2$ the number of samples is decreased 4 times, at $\omega = 0.5$ - 25 times etc.

2. The assessment of the number of age-determinations for length-age key

If a concentration is considered to be uniform and the error of table-key depends only on one sample size, the number of measurements can be determined by a formula:

$$n_{1t} = \frac{4\mathbb{E}^2 P_1^2 P_{1/2} (1 - P_{1/2})}{\mathcal{Z}^2 P_1^2}$$
 (9),

which was drawn in (3).

For each 1 the number of age determinations is max. H_{t1} is the number of size groups in t age group.

The analysis of data shows that there exists a dispersion of $P_{t/l}$ values between different sample-keys. In this case the number of samples is estimated by the following formula:

$$I_{1t} = \frac{4\mathbb{E}^2 P_1^2 S^2 (P_{t/1})_b}{2^2 P_t^2}$$
 (10)

The lesser, and P_t , the larger is the total number of age determinations and sample-keys. Moreover, the less significant the ratio of P_1 to P_t , i.e. the greater the entropy of $P_{t/1}$, the larger is this value. The number of age determinations would have been zero, if only one value of 1 corresponded to each t.

Length-age key for silver hake, 4W.

March 1972

(the number of age determinations $n = 260$)							
Ágé, i Length, 1	1	2	3	4	5	6	
22 - 23		1					
24 - 2 5	o	.833	0.167				
26 - 27	o	. 390	0.610				
28 -29	0	.031	0.469	0.500			•
30 - 31			0.161	0.821	0.018		
32 - 33			0.036	0.571	0.357	0.036	
34 - 35				0.100	0.700	0,200	
36 - 37					0.667	0.330	

Table 6
Length-age key for silver hake, 522, May 1972
(the number of age determinations n = 200)

Age Length	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
28 -29		0.357	0.643				
30 -31			0.981	0.019			
32 -33			0.659	0.341			
34 - 35			0.404	0.532	0.064		
36 - 37				0.316	0.579	0.105	
38 - 39				0.250	0.417	0.333	
40 - 41					0.375	0.500	0.125
4 2 - 43						1.000	
44 - 45						.,,,,,,	

This can be exemplified by calculations made on the basis of tables 5 and 6.

Table 7

The example of calculation of age determinations number at $\chi = 0.1$

May, 5ZE

ágé Length	3	4	4 5		Sumber of age determinations necessary for a size group	
28 - 29	43	73			73 = 9	
30 - 31		<u>35.</u> 11.	<u>547</u> 3		547 = 182	
32 - 33		2 88	4494		4494 = 1498 3	
34 - 35		118	<u>1909</u> 300	<u>1760</u> 165	<u>1909</u> - 6	
36 - 37			<u>360</u> 56	<u>1560</u> 138	<u>1560</u> = 11	
38 - 39			<u>63</u> 7	310 115		
40 - 41				<u>90</u> 17	<u>90</u> = 5	
42 - 43				•		

rable 8

March, 4W

Ágó Length	2	3	4	5	Runber of age determinations necessary for a size group
24 - 25		139 1			139 = 139
26 - 27	<u>540</u>	<u>975</u>			275 = 195
28 - 2 9	60	<u>897</u> 9	56 34 244		5634 - 23 244
30 - 31		474 5	3224 69	1004	<u> 3224</u> 69 = 47
32 - 33		46	<u>2027</u> 2	<u>4920</u> 7	4920 = 703
34 - 35			<u>161</u> 8	<u>976</u> 15	<u>976</u> - 65
36 - 37				<u>42</u> 1	42 = 42 1

In mumerator a total number of age determinations is given and in denominator – the number of sample-keys, while the fraction magnitude is equal to each sample size. All these indices differ in various size groups. It must be remembered that lowered values of ∞ , say, 0.2 or 0.5 may reduce the number of observations 4 and 25 times, accordingly, compared with tables 7 and 8, which will suffice to make corresponding calculations.

In case of direct determination of age-composition without the length-age key, the calculation of the number of observations is made in the same way as in calculation of size-composition (formula (6),(7),(8)).

REPEREELS

- 1. Stewart and Candall. A theory of distributions. H., Hauks, 1966.
- 2. I.A. Brennan. Preliminary evaluation of the present USA sampling scheme of yellowtail flounder for estimating the number at age in the catch landed. ICHAP Res. Doc. 74/29.
- 3. Shemina, E.I. On estimating the age-composition of a population by means of the length-age key.
 Trudy AtlantHIMO, 1967.
- 4. Shemina, E.I. On estimating the age and size compositions of fish population. Trudy Atlantairo, 1971.