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## Introduction

Since the April Mid-Term Meeting of the Assessments Subcommittee, additional information concerning mackerel catches in 1974 and 1975 has become available. The 1974 catch in SA 3-6 was greater than previously assumed which therefore influences VPA calculations of fishing mortality and stock size. Estimates of the age composition of the catches by several countries in January-February 1975 are now available which indicates that the age composition of the 1975 catch will differ considerably from that assumed by the Working Group in its assessment. In view of this new data, this paper presents a reassessment of the mackerel stock in SA 3-6 and suggests alternatives to the 1976 TAC of $310,000 \mathrm{MT}$ recommended by the Assessments Subcommittee at its Mid-Term Meeting.

Catch in 1974
The 1974 nominal catch of mackerel from SA 3-6 used by the Mackerel Working Group in its assessment of the stock at the April 1975 Mid-Term Meeting of the Assessments Subcommittee at Woods Hole, Mass. was 336,231 MT.

However, the 1974 catch appears to have been greater than this amount. The USSR catch, as reported in Working Paper No. 6 (Addendum 1), was 24,961 MT from SA $3-4$ and 108,618 MT from SA $5-6$ giving a total of 133,579 MT from the combined areas. The USSR catch, as reported in the USSR Research Report, 1974 (ICNAF Summ. Doc. $75 / 30$ ), was 27,461 MT from SA $3-4$ and 118,140 MT from SA 5-6 for a total of 145,601 MT. If the latter USSR catch is used, the international total for 1974 is 348,255 MT (Table 1). This total was used in this reassessment of the stock.

The numbers at age data for 1974 catches by Bulgaria, GDR, Poland, and USSR (ICNAF Res. Doc. 75/40) were modified to take into account the larger USSR catch. The revised numbers caught at age for 1974 are given in Table 2.

## Virtual population analysis

Virtual population analysis was performed on the 1959-1972 yearclasses using the $1968-1974$ catch in numbers at age, $M=0.3, F=0.6$ for ages 4 and older in 1974, and partial recruitment of $42 \%$ at age 2 and $82 \%$ at age 3. These values, with the exception of the 1.974 catch in numbers, are the same as accepted and used by the Mackerel Working Group (ICNAF Summ. Doc. 75/18). The results of the VPA are given in Table 2. The calculated F's and stock sizes vary only slightly from those obtained by the Working Group (ICNAF Summ。Doc. 75/18). The new F's are slightly smaller and the new stock sizes are slightly larger than the values obtained previously. These changes result from using a larger 1974 catch than before and assuming that the $F$ in 1974 was the same as assumed for
the smaller 1974 catch. Using the assumed F's in 1974 and the calculated 1974 stock size, the size of the year-classes in 1975 was determined by the following equation: $N_{n+1}=N_{n} e^{-Z_{n}}$ where $N=$ year-class size in numbers, $\mathrm{n}=1974$, and $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{F}+0.3$.

The size of the 1973 year-class at age 1 was assumed to be $50 \%$ of the 1967 year-c1ass or approximately $3,700 \times 10^{6}$ and the size of the 1974 and 1975 year-classes at age 1 was assumed to be equal to the median of the 1967-1972 year-classes or approximately $2,500 \times 10^{6}$.

The stock size in 1975 of age 1 and older fish was calculated to be $6,845.4 \times 10^{6}$ fish or $1,103.0 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{MT}$, in contrast to $6,780.8 \times 10^{6}$ fish and $1,084.6 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{MT}$ calculated by the Working Group.

## Catch in 1975

The assessment by the Working Group at the Mid-Term Meeting assumed that the 1975 catch would equal the TAC's, which total $355,000 \mathrm{MT}$ for SA 3-6. The age composition of the 1975 catch in numbers was calculated by applying an $F$ of 0.7 for ages 4 and older to the year-class sizes calculated to be present at the beginning of 1975 and assuming partial recruitment coefficients of $90 \%$ at age $3,50 \%$ at age 2 , and $18 \%$ at age 1 . The estimated 1975 catch included $256.5 \times 10^{6}$ fish at age $1,680.5 \times 10^{6}$ fish at age $2,317.6 \times 10^{6}$ fish at age $3,158.7 \times 10^{6}$ fish at age 4 , and $222.8 \times 10^{6}$ fish at ages 5 and older for a total of $1,636.1 \times 10^{6}$ fish.

A revised estimate of the 1975 age composition is presented in this report. As the result of a recent ICNAF resolution, monthly catch statistics for 1975 are being reported to the Secretariat within 30 days following the month in which the catches were made. Catches through March 1975 are given in Table 3. Assuming each country takes its allocation of the TAC, the catch for April-December was estimated as the balance of the TAC not taken in January-March.

Size compositions (\% at length) of mackerel catches in SA 5-6 by Bulgaria in January 1975 and Poland and USSR in January-February 1975 were presented in graphical form in ICNAF Res. Doc. 75/40. The percentage of the catch at each length (cm) was determined graphically for each country for each month indicated. An age-length key constructed from samples taken aboard ALBATROSS IV, WIECZNO, and WALTHER HERWIG during 1975 spring surveys (Table 4) was used to determine the percentage age composition of the length compositions. The results indicated that the catches by the three countries in January-February consisted entirely of age 1-3 mackerel (Table 5) with age 1 being predominant. The composition of the combined catches weighted by each country's monthly catch was $68 \%$ age $1,30.6 \%$ age 2 , and $1.4 \%$ age 3 . In order to convert the catches in MT to numbers at age, mean weights at age determined from weights taken from the fish used to construct the agelength key (Table 4) were utilized. These values were 0.070 kg at age 1 , 0.149 kg at age 2 , and 0.242 kg at age 3 . The numbers at age and MT at age for each of the countries each month are given in Table 5. The total catch converted to numbers at age was $82,826 \mathrm{MT}, 23.3 \%$ of the TAC of $355,000 \mathrm{MT}$ for 1975. Age 1 mackerel were $40,873 \mathrm{MT}$ or $583.9 \times 10^{6}$ fish and age 2 were 39,171 MT or $262.9 \times 10^{6}$ fish.

Catches of $6,983 \mathrm{MT}$ in January and $17,658 \mathrm{MT}$ in February were taken by countries for which age or length compositions were not available. Numbers at age comprising these catches were estimated by the following procedure. Taking the stock size at the beginning of January 1975, as calculated from the VPA, and assuming partial recruitment coefficients of $18 \%, 50 \%$, and $90 \%$ at ages 1,2 , and 3 , respectively, and $M=0.025(1 / 12$ of 0.3 for one month), an F was applied (approximately 0.0097) which would produce the catch of $6,983 \mathrm{MT}$ in January (Table 7). The mean weights at age given in Table 6 were used.

Previously, the Working Group used an agreed set of mean weights at age (Redbook 1974, p. 32) and then adjusted the summed annual weight of all ages by a procedure described in Redbook 1974, p. 34. The use of the agreed mean weights and the adjustment procedure was not possible when dealing with a fraction of the annual catch (or stock) so the mean weights at age were corrected to eliminate the need for an adjustment of the total weight. Using the previously-agreed set of mean weights at age, the unadjusted total weight of the 1975 stock (age $1+$ ) was $1,327.7 \times 10^{3}$ MT. Following adjustment by the accepted procedure, the total weight was $1,103.0 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{MT}$. The ratio of the adjusted weight to the unadjusted weight was 0.831 . Each of the mean weights at age was multiplied by this ratio to obtain the mean weights at age used in the present analysis (Table 6).

The stock at the beginning of January of $6,845.4 \times 10^{6}$ fish or $1,103.0 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{MT}$ was reduced by the catch at age in numbers totalling $6,983 \mathrm{MT}, 1 / 12$ of M , and the catch at age in numbers estimated previously for Bulgaria, Poland, and USSR leaving a stock at the beginning of

February of $6,156.1 \times 10^{6}$ fish or $1,018.1 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{MT}$. The same procedure as performed for January was then done for the February catch. An $F$ of about 0.0262 was applied to the February stock (and an M of 0.025 ) to produce a catch of 17,658 MT (Table 7). Reducing the stock by that amount plus that due to $1 / 12$ of the annual natural mortality, and then deducting the numbers at age caught by Poland and USSR resulted in a stock at the beginning of March of $5,554.5 \times 10^{6}$ fish or $938.8 \times 10^{3}$ MT. An $F$ of about 0.5275 and an $M$ of $0.25(10 / 12$ of 0.3$)$ was applied to the March stock size to produce the estimated March-December catch of $247,533 \mathrm{MT}$. The total catch for 1975 included $741.6 \times 10^{6}$ fish at age $1,764.2 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{fish}$ at age $2,281.9 \times 10^{6}$ fish at age $3,137.8 \times 10^{6}$ fish at age 4 , and $193.5 \times$ $10^{6}$ fish at ages 5 and older for total of $2,119.0 \times 10^{6}$ fish.

The revised estimate of the 1975 catch includes $482.9 \times 10^{6}$ more fish than calculated by the Working Group at the Mid-Term Meeting (Table 8). The catch of young fish was greater in the revised estimate, with a $189 \%$ increase in numbers at age 1 and a $12 \%$ increase in numbers at age 2 . The catch of age 3 and older fish was less in the revised estimate due to a greater portion of the TAC accounted for by age 1 and 2 fish.

The fishing mortality in 1975 at each age was determined from the equation: $C_{n}=N_{n} \frac{F}{Z}\left(1-e^{-Z}\right)$ where $C_{n}$ is the catch in numbers in 1975 and $N_{n}$ is the year-class size in numbers at the beginning of 1975 . The results indicated a mean $F$ (weighted by year-class size) for ages 4 and older (fullyrecruited ages) of 0.55 (Table 7). This is less than the $F$ of 0.7 calculated by the Working Group. However, the F's at ages 3, 2, and 1 of $0.513,0.401$, and 0.415 , respectively, indicated much greater fishing mortality on the
younger ages than was previously assumed. The F's at ages 1 and 2 assumed by the Working Group were 0.126 and 0.350 , respectively. The partial recruitment coefficients in 1975 resulting from the present analysis are $93 \%$ at age 3, $73 \%$ at age 2, and $75 \%$ at age 1 (Table 7). These are all greater than the coefficients of $90 \%, 50 \%$, and $18 \%$ assumed for ages 3, 2 , and 1 , respectively, by the Working Group.

TAC for 1976
The stock size at the beginning of 1976 was calculated in the present assessment to be $3,323.1 \times 10^{6}$ fish or $744.8 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{MT}$ of age 2 and older. Assuming the 1975 year-class at age 1 to be $2,500.0 \times 10^{6}$ fish, the stock size at age 1 and older was calculated to be $5,823.1 \times 10^{6}$ fish or 942.3 $\times 10^{3}$ MT (Table 7). This is a smaller stock size than the $6,135.8 \times 10^{6}$ fish or $971.0 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{MT}$ calculated by the Working Group (Table 8). The greatest difference between the present stock size and that calculated by the Working Group is that the 1974 year-class at age 2 and, to a lesser extent, the 1973 year-class at age 3 are smaller due to the catches of age 1 and 2 fish in 1975 which were greater than previously assumed.

Four hypotheses concerning the partial recruitment pattern in 1976 were considered in calculating possible catches for 1976 (Table 9). The first hypothesis was that accepted by the Working Group which assumed $18 \%$ recruitment at age $1,57 \%$ at age 2 , and $96 \%$ at age 3 . The second hypothesis was the recruitment pattern indicated by the revised catches and F's at age in 1975 which assumed $75 \%$ recruitment at age $1,73 \%$ at age 2 , and $93 \%$ at age 3. The third and fourth hypotheses were options of the first two
hypotheses which assumed that age 1 fish would not be caught as the result of a recommended 25 cm total length minimum size limit.

Depending on the management objective desired, whether it be a particular level of $F$ in 1976 or a particular stock size in 1977, the 1976 catch would vary considerably. The TAC of $310,000 \mathrm{MT}$ recommended by the Assessments Subcommittee would generate an F of 0.6 , which is very near the level of fishing mortality providing maximum yield per recruit. An F of 0.6 would produce a catch of $282,000 \mathrm{MT}$ under the first hypothesis concerning partial recruitment and $335,000 \mathrm{MT}$ under the second hypothesis (Table 9). However, both of these catches would result in a 1977 stock size smaller than that assumed by the Working Group. In order to keep the 1977 stock at the level of about $710 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{MT}$ assumed by the Working Group which provided maximum recruitment during the past 10 years, adjusting for environmental variations, the 1976 catch would have to be about 250,000 MT ( $F$ of about 0.51 ) under the first hypothesis and about 237,000 MT (F of about 0.39 ) under the second hypothesis. If the management objective is to maintain the same stock size in 1977 as in 1976, then a catch of $220,000 \mathrm{MT}$ ( $F=0.44$ ) should be taken in 1976 under the first hypothesis and 208,000 MT ( $F=0.335$ ) under the second hypothesis.

Assuming the exclusion of age 1 fish from the catch, an $F$ of 0.6 would produce a catch of $265,000 \mathrm{MT}$ under hypothesis 1 a and $272,000 \mathrm{MT}$ under hypothesis 2 a . To maintain the 1977 stock size at $710 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{MT}$, the catch would need to be about 258,000 MT under hypothesis 1 a and 255,000 MT under hypothesis 2a. To maintain the same stock size in 1977 as in 1976, a catch of $225,000 \mathrm{MT}$ under hypothesis 1 a and $224,000 \mathrm{MT}$ under hypothesis 2a should be taken.

## Discussion

The revised estimate of the age composition of the 1975 catch based on reported monthly catches and the reported size composition of those catches differs significantly from the estimated age composition assumed in the Working Group!'s assessment. The present estimate indicates much greater numbers of age 1 and 2 fish. These estimates of the catch of younger fish, though higher than previously estimated, may still be lower than actual if the catches for which size composition data are presently unavailable are, in fact, similar to those for which such information was known. The absence of fish older than age 3 in the known size compositions may indicate very low abundance of these fish. This, in fact, appears to be the case as indicated by 1975 spring survey catches by research vessels from FRG, GDR, Poland and USA (Working Paper No. 60). The estimates of fishing mortality in 1974 on age 3 and older fish may have been greatly underestimated if the catches from those year-classes in 1974 reduced their size to very low abundance. In view of the absence of older fish in the January-February 1975 commercial samples as well as in the survey catches, the large catches of age 1 and 2 fish would appear to suggest that only young fish are currently present in commercially-abundant quantities. There does not appear to be sufficient information to justify the hypothesis that the 1973 and 1974 year-classes are much greater in size than previously assumed.

The partial recruitment pattern indicated by the revised catch and fishing mortality estimates for 1975 shows quite clearly a shift in the fishing pattern from older fish in past years to very young fish at the present time. The coefficients for 1975 provide strong evidence of heavy fishing mortality at ages 1 and 2 with the result of nearly full recruitment to the fishery at those ages.

The revised estimate of the stock size at the beginning of 1976 is about $3 \%$ smaller by weight than that determined by the Working Group at the 1975 Mid-Term Meeting. Of greater significance is the fact that the 1973 and 1974 year-classes are smaller than previously assumed due to the large removals in 1975. If the presently-recommended TAC of $310,000 \mathrm{MT}$ is taken in 1976, then the 1977 stock size will be much smaller than determined earlier. If $310,000 \mathrm{MT}$ is taken under hypothesis 1 concerning partial recruitment (i.e., $18 \%$ at age $1,57 \%$ at age 2 , and $96 \%$ at age 3 ), the 1977 stock will be about $10 \%$ smaller than assumed by the Working Group. Under hypothesis 2 (i.e., $75 \%$ at age $1,73 \%$ at age 2, and $93 \%$ at age 3 ), the 1977 stock will be $12 \%$ less than assumed before.

The partial recruitment pattern accepted by the Working Group as applicable to the 1976 fishery (hypothesis 1) appears now to be inaccurate, especially at age 1. Under hypothesis 2 which assumed a higher percentage of fishing mortality at ages 1 and 2, the catch at a given $F$ would be much greater than under hypothesis 1 . However, the resulting 1977 stock size would accordingly be much smaller. It would seem advisable, therefore, to
recommend a TAC based more on the resulting 1977 stock size than on a particular level of $F$ in 1976. A meaningful management objective would. be to regulate the 1976 catch so as to maintain the spawning stock (age 2+) in 1977 at the 1976 level of about $745 \times 10^{3}$ MT which is also close to the level which has provided maximum recruitment in past years (ICNAF Res. Doc. 75/33). Under such a management regime, the recommended 1976 TAC would vary from $220,000 \mathrm{MT}$ (hypothesis 1) to $208,000 \mathrm{MT}$ (hypothesis 2). Under the recommended provision of excluding age 1 fish from the catch, the TAC would be about $225,000 \mathrm{MT}$ assuming either hypothesis concerning partial recruitment.
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Table 1. Mackerel catch (MT) in 1974 from SA 3-6.

| Country | SA3 | SA4 | SA5-6 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bulgaria | --- | --- | 20,723 | 20,723 |
| Canada | 1,845 | 14,790 | --- | 16,635 |
| FRG | --- | 383 | 386 | 769 |
| GDR | --- | --- | 59,976 | 59,976 |
| Italy | --- | --- | 420 | 420 |
| Japan | --- | --- | 67 | 67 |
| Poland | --- | 1 | 96,103 | 96,104 |
| Romania | --- | - --- | 6,967 | 6,967 |
| USSR | --- | 27,461 | 118,140 | 145,601 |
| USA | --- | --- | 993 | 993 |
| Total | 1,845 | 42,635 | 303,775 | 348,255 |

Table 2.
Catch of mackerel in numbers from SA3-6 and fishing mortalities (F) and stock sizes in
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Table 3. Mackerel catch (MT) in 1975 from SA 3-6.

| Country | Jan. . | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Total | TAC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bulgaria | 5,737 | 3,794 | 4,435 | $\stackrel{L}{2}$ |  |  |  | 4,784 |  |  |  |  | 18,750 | 18,750 |
| Canada | --- |  | --- | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ |  |  |  | 46,500 |  |  |  |  | 46,500 | 46,500 |
| FRG | --- | --- | --- | $\stackrel{ }{+}$ |  |  |  | 1,400 |  |  |  | $\rightarrow$ | 1,400 | 1,400 |
| GDR | 6,945 | 13,790 | 8,585 | $\stackrel{\sim}{4}$ |  |  |  | 26,930 |  |  |  | $\rightarrow$ | 56,250 | 56,250 |
| Italy | --- | 10 | --- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\rightarrow$ | 10 |  |
| Poland | 18,554 | 8,944 | 7,659 | $\leftarrow$ |  |  |  | 54,843 |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{ }$ | 90,000 | 90,000 |
| Romania | --- |  | --- |  |  |  |  | 3,750 |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{ }$ | 3,750 | 3,750 |
| Spain | , | 19 | 14 |  |  |  |  | --- |  |  |  | $\rightarrow$ | 34 | --- |
| USSR | 23,768 | 25,823 | 33,334 | $\leftarrow$ |  |  |  | 40,325 |  |  |  |  | 123,250 | 123,250 |
| USA | 37 | 45 | 107 |  |  |  |  | 5,511 |  |  |  |  | 5,700 | 5,700 |
| Others | --- | --- | --- | $\leftarrow$ |  |  |  | 9,356 |  |  |  |  | 9,356 | 9,400 |
| Total | 55,042 | 52,425 | 54,134 | $\leftarrow$ |  |  |  | 193,399 |  |  |  | $\rightarrow$ | 355,000 | 355,000 |

Table 4. Mackerel age-length key from Albatross IV, Wieczno, and Walther Herwig 1975 spring surveys in SA 5-6.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { length } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Number at age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | $10+$ |  |
| 17 | 25 | 1 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 26 |
| 18 | 58 | -.. | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 58 |
| 19 | 33 | -- | -- | -- | ... | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 33 |
| 20 | 20 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | --- | -- | 20 |
| 21 | 18 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 18 |
| 22 | 12 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 12 |
| 23 | 16 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 16 |
| 24 | 6 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 6 |
| 25 | 5 | 3 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 8 |
| 26 | -- | 16 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 16 |
| 27 | -- | 32 | 1 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 33 |
| 28 | -- | 26 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 26 |
| 29 | -- | 13 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 13 |
| 30 | -- | 10 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 10 |
| 31 | -- | 2 | 8 | 1 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 11 |
| 32 | -- | 2 | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | $\sim$ | -- | 9 |
| 33 | -- | -- | 12 | 5 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 17 |
| 34 | -- | -- | 7 | 4 | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 11 |
| 35 | -- | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 8 |
| 36 | -- | -- | -- | 4 | -- | -- | 1 | - | -- | -- | 5 |
| 37 | -- | -- | -- | 3 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | -- | -- | 12 |
| 38 | -- | -- | -- |  | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | -- | -- | 8 |
| 39 | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | 3 | 1 | 2 | -- | -- | 6 |
| 40 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1 | 1 | - | -- | 1 | -- | 3 |
| 41 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1 | - | 1 |
| 42 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 193 | 106 | 37 | 21 | 7 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 387 |
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Table 5. Estimated age composition of mackerel catches in SA 3-6 by Bulgaria, Poland, and USSR in January-February 1975.

| Month | Country | Age composition of catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percentage |  |  |  | Numbers ( $\times 10{ }^{6}$ ) |  |  |  | MT |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | Total | 1 | 2 | 3 | Total | 1 | 2 | 3 | Total |
| January | Bulgaria | 59.00 | 37.50 | 3.50 | 100.00 | 32.0 | 20.3 | 1.9 | 54.2 | 2,243 | 3,034 | 460 | 5,737 |
|  | Poland | 66.25 | 33.75 | ---- | 100.00 | 127.2 | 64.8 | -- | 192.0 | 8,901 | 9,653 | --- | 18,554 |
| February | USSR | 66.55 | 31.55 | 1.90 | 100.00 | 161.1 | 76.4 | 4.6 | 242.1 | 11,276 | 11,379 | 1,113 | 23,768 |
|  | Total | --- | --- | ---- | --- | 320.3 | 161.5 | 6.5 | 488.3 | 22,420 | 24,066 | 1,573 | 48,059 |
|  | Poland | 82.80 | 17.20 |  | 100.00 | 88.6 | 18.4 | - | 107.0 | 6,202 | 2,742 | --- | 8,944 |
|  | USSR | 66.55 | 31.55 | 1.90 | 100.00 | 175.0 | 83.0 | 5.0 | 263.0 | 12,251 | 12,363 | 1,209 | 25,823 |
|  | Total | - | --- | ---- | --- | 263.6 | 101.4 | 5.0 | 370.0 | 18,453 | 15,105 | 1,209 | 34,767 |

Table 6. Mean weights (kg) at age of mackerel used in the assessment of the SA 3-6 stock.

| Age | Mean <br> weight <br> (kg) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | .079 |
| 2 | .145 |
| 3 | .221 |
| 4 | .291 |
| 5 | .359 |
| 6 | .420 |
| 7 | .469 |
| 8 | .511 |
| 9 | .547 |
| $10+$ | .576 |



Table 8. Comparison of the 1975 mackerel catch and 1976 stock size from SA 3-6 in numbers ( $\times 10^{6}$ ) at age determined by the Mackerel Working Group (ICNAF Summ. Doc. 75/18) and the present assessment.

| Age | 1975 catch |  | 1976 stock |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mackerel Working Group | Present assessment | Mackerel Working Group | Present assessment |
| 1 | 256.5 | 741.6 | 2,500.0 | 2,500.0 |
| 2 | 680.5 | 764.2 | 1,632.8 | 1,266.5 |
| 3 | 317.6 | 281.9 | 1,380.3 | 1,327.2 |
| 4 | 158.7 | 137.8 | 305.5 | 352.7 |
| 5 | 47.0 | 40.7 | 131.9 | 156.7 |
| 6 | 53.3 | 46.4 | 39.1 | 46.3 |
| 7 | 52.7 | 45.9 | 44.3 | 52.8 |
| 8 | 52.8 | 45.8 | 43.9 | 52.1 |
| 9 | 11.8 | 10.2 | 43.9 | 52.1 |
| 10 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 9.8 | 11.6 |
| 11 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 3.1 | 3.7 |
| 12 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| 13 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 14 | --- | --- | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Total $\left(\times 10^{6}\right)$ | 1,636.1 | 2,119.0 | 6,135.8 | 5,823.1 |
| Weight ( $\times 10^{3} \mathrm{MT}$ ) | 355.1 | 355.0 | 971.0 | 942.3 |

Table 9.


|  |  | 1976 Catch-Age 1+ |  | 1977 Stock-Age $2+$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F | z | No. ( $\times 10^{6}$ ) | MT ( $\times 10^{3}$ ) | No. ( $\times 10^{6}$ ) | MT ( $\times 10^{3}$ ) |










## Appendix

The revised age composition of the 1975 catch and the resulting $F$ values determined from that catch and the 1975 stock size suggests high partial recruitment coefficients at ages $1-3$ (hypothesis 2 ). In order for the previously-accepted partial recruitment coefficients of $18 \%, 50 \%$, and $90 \%$ at ages 1,2 and 3, respectively, to be applicable to the 1975 catch, the earlier estimates of the strength of the 1973 and 1974 year-classes would necessarily have to be greatly increased.

This possibility was examined by the following procedure. Fishing mortality in 1975 at ages 1-3 was determined assuming partial recruitment coefficients of $18 \%, 50 \%$, and $90 \%$ at ages 1,2 , and 3 , respectively, and F $=0.551$ at ages 4 and older. The resulting F's at ages 1,2 , and 3 were $0.099,0.276$, and 0.496 , respectively. These values were applied to the catch in numbers at the respective ages, using the equation: $C=\frac{N}{N}-\frac{\mathrm{F}}{\mathrm{Z}}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{Z}}\right)$, to determine the size of each of the year-classes at the beginning of 1975. The results are given in Appendix Table 1. According to this procedure, the size of the 1974 year-class at age 1 would need to be $9,084.5 \times 10^{6}$ fish instead of $2,500.0 \times 10^{6}$, or 3.6 times larger. Also, the 1973 yearclass at age 2 would be $3,642.4 \times 10^{6}$ instead of $2,649.4 \times 10^{6}$, or 1.4 times larger. Assuming that the $F$ of 0.034 calculated in 1974 for the 1973 year-class at age 1 was correct, then the 1973 year-class at age 1 would have been $5,086.8 \times 10^{6}$, or $68 \%$ of the 1967 year-class instead of $50 \%$ as presently assumed. The total stock size at the beginning of 1975 would have been $14,444.5 \times 10^{6}$ fish, nearly $30 \%$ larger than the previous high of $11,203.0 \times 10^{6}$ in 1968 and twice as large as that calculated in the present

Appendix Table 1. Comparison of the 1975 mackerel stock size in SA $3-6$ in numbers ( $\times 10^{6}$ ) at age determined by two methods: (1) assuming that partial recruitment coefficients of $18 \%, 50 \%$, and $90 \%$ at ages 1,2 , and 3 , respectively, and an $F$ of 0.551 for fully-recruited ages (4+) were applicable to the revised 1975 catch and (2) as presented in the present assessment.

| Age | Method 1 | Method 2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $9,084.5$ | $2,500.0$ |
| 2 | $3,642.4$ | $2,649.4$ |
| 3 | 824.2 | 802.6 |
| 4 | 371.7 | 371.7 |
| 5 | 109.9 | 109.9 |
| 6 | 125.1 | 125.1 |
| 7 | 123.5 | 123.5 |
| 8 | 123.5 | 123.5 |
| 9 | 27.5 | 27.5 |
| 10 | 8.7 | 8.7 |
| 11 | 0.1 | 2.1 |
| 12 | 0.5 | 0.8 |
| 13 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| 14 | $14,444.5$ |  |

assessment. The stock weight would be $1,771.2 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{MT}$ as compared to $1,103.0 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{MT}$ determined by the present analysis.

Spring survey catch/tow values in recent years (ICNAF Res. Doc. 75/15 and Working Paper No. 60) have declined substantially as have commercial catch/effort indices indicating that stock abundance has declined instead of increasing. Furthermore, ALBATROSS IV spring survey catches, in terms of actual numbers of mackerel, have not indicated any strong incoming yearclasses as was the case with the 1967 year-class when very large catches of that year-class were taken in the 1968 spring survey (ICNAF Res. Doc. 73/14).

Available evidence, therefore, suggests that the 1973 and 1974 yearclasses are not stronger than previously assumed and that fishing mortality is, indeed, currently very heavy.on age 1 and 2 mackerel.


[^0]:    $\mathbf{1}_{50 \%}$ of the 1967 year-class.
    $50 \%$ of 1967-1972 year-cl
    

