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Since, among other animals of Cephlopods, there is no clue to determine age of Loligo, a attempt was made in this paper to breakdown the catch into different age on the basis of size-composition.
Size composition of the catch by sex were brokendown into a portion of normal curve and the residue and probable growth equation was estimated from these two separated groups.

The squid fishery in Subarea 5 and Statistical Area 6 are operated during winter and early spring when squid migrate into the slope water. It is assumed, on the basis of change in CPUE values during fishing season, that squid imigrate to the fishing grounds in the first half of the season and emigrate to the coastal waters in the latter half. It is, therefore, difficult to observe successive change of the modes or mean in length composition because of considerable extent of incoaning and outgoing groups.
stze composition by fishing season

Loligo caught by Japanese trawlers are classified aboard vessels into several size categories which were discribed by Ikeda et al. (ICNAF Meabook 1973, Part III, p.151), together with length composition by categories.

Catch in weight by size categories is easily converted to number of squid on the basis of the key, table which shows the length and weight composition of each size category. The length composition and mean weight per individual, thus calculated, for each fishing season from 1968/69 to 1973/74 are given in Table 1.

SIZE COMPOSITION BY SEXES

From the observation by seientists aboard trawlers engaged in squid fishery, it is indicated that sex ratio, defined as percentage
of number of female to the total, in each length class, generelly decrease with the increase of length. The decrease of sex ratio by size is greater. in 22-23 cm class and no female is observed in the length of over 28 cm . This is shown in Table 2.

It is assumed that those differences of the sex ratio by size are originated from difference in growth and/or in moxtality by sex. The length composition for each fishing season. therefore, should be treated separately by sex. The results are shown in Figs. 1 and 2, as accumulative frequency distribution made on the normal probability paper, for each sex.

It appears that all curves shown in Figures 1 and 2 are more or less convex. Departure from normal curve in small gize will be caused by mesh selectivity. Departure in large size which is apparently greater in male than in female, probably implies another year class.

## BREAKDOWN OF LENGTH COMPOSITION

Parameters of main normal curve for size composition are calculated from the frequencies between 12 and 16 cm . taking into consideration of deformation cauged by emsh selectivity using the method of normal probability paper. The results are shown in Table 3 together with assumed retention rate. percentage of frequency in size composition to that in fitted normal curve, in mantle length of $7^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{s} \mathrm{cm}$. It is estimated that the mantle length of 7 's cm is corresponding with the length of 50 percent retention' on the condition of the mesh size used in ICNAF and the selection factor for Loligo in CECAF Waters.

As was mentioned in Table 3, assumed retention rate at 7 's cm approximately agrees with the calculated value from mesh size currently in use and selction factor.

The residual part in size composition of larger ones from the fitted normal curve $1 s$ shown in fig. 3 in the case of male by fishing season. Figure 4 indicates average frequency distribution of the residual part of squid by sex.

GROFTH CURVE

There are two groups to be estimated from breakdown of size composition. One is the most abundant in the catch with $11.5-13.3 \mathrm{~cm}$ for male and 10.5-12.6 cm for female in average mantle length. And other is minor part in the catch, say, 3.2 per cent for male and 1.2 per cent for female, with the average length of 24 cm for male.

Supposing that the two groups are corresponding to different age, and refering that growth of some squids in the Atlantic are in range
from 14 to 20 cm at their first full age, it is asamed that the main group in catch is of 0 -age and the second group is of 1-age. The mean mantle length of 12.5 and 24.0 cm for the respective group correspond to ages of 0.67 and 1.67. It is, therefore, assumed that growth of the equid starts at the first of June and most of the catch with the above mean values in mantle length must be taken in January.

Growth equation was calculated from the two sets of mean length and age for male as follows:

$$
t=38.3\left(1-e^{-0.59 t}\right) .
$$

where $L$ is mean mantle length at t-age in $c m$ and $t$ is age beginaing on 1, June, in year.

Relation of age, month and the length for male are shown in rable 4. Growth of the female squid is smaller than that of the male by blout 5 per cent of male's one.

As was mentioned above, survival into one year old and over is estimated to be negligible for stock assessment purpose.

Table 1
Size compositions of common American squid in Subareas 5 and 6 estimated from the catch composition by marcket size categories.

| Mantle <br> Length <br> 1n cm | Fishing Season |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1968/69 | 1969/70 | 1970/71 | 1971/72 | 1972/73 | 1973/74 |
| 4 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| 6 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 9 | 13 | 12 |
| 7 | 13 | 12 | 25 | 25 | 38 | 33 |
| 8 | 37 | 32 | 50 | 47 | 77 | 62 |
| 9 | 77 | 68 | 79 | 69 | 116 | 89 |
| 10 | 117 | 105 | 105 | 84 | 137 | 104 |
| 11 | 141 | 132 | 126 | 97 | 137 | 110 |
| 12 | 143 | 142 | 137 | 106 | 122 | 112 |
| 13 | 128 | 135 | 131 | 109 | 102 | 108 |
| 14 | 100 | 113 | 108 | 103 | 78 | 97 |
| 15 | 71 | 86 | 80 | 90 | 55 | 82 |
| 16 | 48 | 62 | 56 | 74 | 39 | 64 |
| 17 | 31 | 39 | 37 | 56 | 27 | 45 |
| 18 | 18 | 22 | 22 | 40 | 17 | 29 |
| 19 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 30 | 11 | 18 |
| 20 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 22 | 8 | 11 |
| 21 | 12 | 8 | 5 | 12 | 5 | 8 |
| 22 | 11 | 7 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| 23 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 3 |
| 24 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 25 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 26 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 27 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 32 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 33 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 34 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 35 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 36 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| rotal in per- 1. millage | . 998 | 999 | 1,000 | 998 | 999 | 1,000 |
| Mean weight per individual in $g$ | 71 | 70 | 65 | 77 | 59 | 68 |
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Table 2
Sex ratio for each size of common American squid in Subareas 5 and 6 in 1972/73 and 1973/74 fishing seasons.

| Mantle | 1972/73 |  |  | 1973/74 |  |  | Combined |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| in cm | Total | ale S | tio | Total | le | ratio | Sex ratio |
| 3 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| 4 |  |  |  | 4 | 2 | 500 | 500 |
| 5 | 3 | 1 | 333 | 17 | 12 | 706 | 650 |
| 6 | 19 | 9 | 474 | 14 | 8 | 571 | 515 |
| 7 | 53 | 23 | 434 | 22 | 12 | 545 | 467 |
| 8 | 70 | 33 | 471 | 27 | 17 | 630 | 515 |
| 9 | 67 | 33 | 493 | 32 | 16 | 500 | 495 |
| 10 | 68 | 29 | 426 | 38 | 18 | 474 | 443 |
| 11 | 84 | 38 | 452 | 45 | 23 | 511 | 473 |
| 12 | 96 | 42 | 438 | 41 | 20 | 488 | 453 |
| 13 | 106 | 44 | 415 | 61 | 25 | 410 | 413 |
| 14 | 99 | 38 | 384 | 46 | 20 | 435 | 400 |
| 15 | 77 | 30 | 390 | 54 | 15 | 278 | 344 |
| 16 | 70 | 26 | 371 | 58 | 21. | 362 | 367 |
| 17 | 71 | 29 | 408 | 53 | 23 | 434 | 419 |
| 18 | 60 | 28 | 467 | 61 | 37 | 607 | 537 |
| 19 | 63 | 30 | 476 | 68 | 32 | 471 | 473 |
| 20 | 58 | 26 | 448 | 57 | 34 | 596 | 522 |
| 21 | 33 | 11 | 333 | 58 | 23 | 397 | 374 |
| 22 | 28 | 4 | 143 | 46 | 19 | 413 | 311 |
| 23 | 22 | 3 | 136 | 26 | 4 | 154 | 146 |
| 24 | 19 | 2 | 105 | 32 | 2 | 63 | 78 |
| 25 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 2 | 61 | 41 |
| 26 | 9 | 1 | 111 | 18 | 1 | 56 | 74 |
| 27 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 2 | 91 | 69 |
| 28 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 32 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 33 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 34 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 35 |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 36 |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 37 |  |  |  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 38 |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Numben of fish measured | 1,224 | 480 |  | 1,015 | 390 |  |  |
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Table 3 Parameters of calculated main normal curve and assumed retention rate at 7 's cm in mantle length.

| Fighing <br> season | Male |  |  | Female |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ |  | Retention rate at $7^{\prime}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ | SD | Retention rate at $7^{\prime}$ |
| 1968/69 | 12.50 | 3.339 | 0.24 | 11.71 | 3.505 | 0.22 |
| 1969/70 | 12.77 | 2.990 | 0.33 | 12.13 | 3.277 | 0.22 |
| 1970/71 | 12.47 | 2.964 | 0.67 | 11.82 | 3.341 | 0.43 |
| 1971/72 | 13.31 | 3.515 | 0.77 | 12.66 | 4.105 | 0.64 |
| 1972/73 | 11.45 | 3.600 | 0.53 | 10.54 | 3.940 | 0.44 |
| 1973/74 | 12.59 | 3.451 | 0.78 | 11.80 | 3.935 | 0.58 |
| Mean | 12.52 |  | 0.55 | 11.78 |  | 0.42 |

SD: Standard deviation
Assumed retention rate is estimated from both frequencies in the size composition and the fitted normal curve.

Table 4 Age and growth of common Amexican equid of male.

| Age | Month | Mean mantle length |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $2 / 12$ | July | 3.6 |
| $4 / 12$ | September | 6.8 |
| 0.5 | Movember | 9.8 |
| $8 / 12$ | January | 12.5 |
| $10 / 12$ | March | 14.9 |
| 1 | May | 17.1 |
| $14 / 12$ | July | 19.1 |
| $16 / 12$ | September | 20.9 |
| 1.5 | November | 22.5 |
| $20 / 12$ | January | 24.0 |
| $22 / 12$ | March | 26.5 |
| 2 | May | 27.6 |
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Fig. 4. Size composition, average of 6 fishing seasons, of the residue from fitted normal curve on the length scale bigger than 16 cm of mantle enght.

