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## Introduction

For mamagement purposes, witch in ICNAF Divisions 3 N and 30 were considered to be a single stock. The main concentrations of witch on the Grand Bank apparently occur along the southwest slope (30) to the southern tip of the Bank ( $3 N$ ). This document presents the first analytical assessment of this stock.

Removals during the 1950's and early 1960's by Canadian trawlers were primarily as by-catches of the haddock fishery. Fairly substantial quantities were landed from this stock since 1963, reaching a peak of about 15,000 tons in 1971 (Table 1), Canada and the USSR accounting for most of the fishery. Total allowable catches of 10,000 tons were agreed to at the 1973 and 1974 Annual Meetings of ICNAF and international quotas allocated for 1974 and 1975.

## Materials and Methods

All samples were collected from catches of Canada ( $N$ ) commercial trawlers for 1972 and 1974. As In Divisions 2J-3KL (Bowering and Pitt 1974) males and females were sufficiently different in age composition and growth to warrant separation of the sexes (Fig. 1 and 2). Since no data were available from the USSR, it was necessary to assume that the age and length composition of their catches were similar to that of the Canadian cojipercial trawlers for purposes of catch curve construction and mean selection leingth ( $1_{0}$ ) calculations. The $1_{c}$ was calculated from commercial length frequencies for 1972 and 1974 combined, as these were the only years in which data were avallable. The $l_{c}$ for males is 38.8 cms and for females is 40.9 cms . The catch curves were computed from data of the same years.

Limited research vessel data for 2J-3KL from 1949-52, prior to the start of the comercial fishery on that stock suggested total mortality values of 0.25 for males and 0.20 for females (Bowering and Pitt 1974). Because this was a virgin stock, the mortality values were believed to be totally attributed to natural causes, therefore estimates of natural mortality.

Von Bertalanffy growth curves were fitted to the age-length data and the Beverton and Holt yield per recruit model was applied to males and females separately using the following parameters:


|  | Males |  | Females |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 8 | yrs | 8 | yrs |
| $t_{p}$ - age at recruitment | 8 | 8 |  |  |
| $t_{p}$ - age at mean selection | 9.8 | yrs | 11.4 | yrs |
| $t_{\lambda}$ - age at last significant contribution into the fishery | 16 | yrs | 18 | yrs |

Yield per recruit curves were plotted for $M=0.15,0.20$, and 0.25 (Fig. 4) and were computed up to $F=2.5$.

Results
Catch curves from the commercial age composition gave estimates of instantaneous total mortality ( $Z$ ) of 0.58 and 0.72 for females and males respectively (Fig. 3). The yield per recruit curves (Fig. 4) indicates that only for $M=0.15$ is there a definitive maximum value so that essentially the curves are flat-topped. Levels for $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ (Gulland and Boerema 1972) are indicated on the curves (Fig. 4).

## Discussion

The level of removals from the stock is apparently dependent on the amount of effort directed to other fisheries. In the 1950's it was the haddock fishery, but at present the effort is directed towards cod. No information is available as to the amount of witch removed as a by-catch of countries that salt their cod. The total nominal catches presented in Table 1 therefore are minimal.

Values of $M=0.25$ and 0.20 for males and females respectively are probably high for this species and Halliday (1973) assumed corresponding values of 0.20 and 0.15 for the Nova Scotian witch. In any case, average values of $F$ for approximately the $1966-73$ period indicated in Figure 4 are close to the $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ level. This should represent removals during these years averaging approximately 9000 tons.

With improved sampling we should, in future, be able to obtain more up-to-date estimates of $F$ for this stock. For the present, the $9,000-10,000$ ton level should probably be maintained.

The difficulty of managing a stock such as this where a large proportion of the total removals are a by-catch of other fisheries should be emphasized. Unless we can obtain accurate figures on total removals, including all discards, it is difficult to see how this stock can be properly regulated.
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Table 1. Witch - ICMAF Divisions 3 N and 30 (Southwest edge and southeast tip of Grand Bank) nominal catches.

| Year | Country | Canada | France | USSR | UK | Poland | GDR | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. Removed } \\ & , 000 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1963 | . | 895 | 795 | 485 | 8 | - | - | 2,183 | 2,735 |
| 1964 | . | 1,055 |  | - | 11 | - | - | 1,066 | 1,335 |
| 1965 |  | 1,324 | - | 849 | 4 | - | - | 2,177 | 2,728 |
| 1966 |  | 3,644 | - | 3,828 | - | 16 | 4 | 7,522 | 9,426 |
| 1967 |  | 2,863 | - | 8,565 | 26 | 29 | 20 | 11,503 | 14,414 |
| 1968 |  | 1,503 | 18 | 9,078 | - | - | - | 10,599 | 13,282 |
| 1969 |  | 479 | 6 | 4,215 | - | - | - | 4,700 | 5,889 |
| 1970 |  | 723 | 1 | 6,039 | - | - | - | 6,763 | 8,745 |
| 1971 |  | 178 | 10 | 14,744 | - | 3 | - | 14,965 | 18.753 |
| 1972 |  | 3,419 | 17 | 5,738 | - | - | - | 9.177 | 11,500 |
| 1973 |  | 4,943 | 20 | 1,714 | 5 | 9 | - | 6,691 | 8,384 |
| 1974* |  | 2,717 | - |  | 2 | - | - |  |  |

* USSR Landings not yet received.


Fig. 1. Growth curves for male and female witch in ICNAF Divisions 3 N and 30 .


Fig. 2. Age and length distributions for male and female witch for 1972 and 1974 combined Canadian commercial otter trawl.


Fig. 3. Catch curves for male and female witch for 1972 and 1974 combined Canadian commercial otter traw] in Areas $3 N$ and 39.


Fig. 4. Yield per recruit curves for male and female witch in Divisions $3 N$ and 39 for 1972 and 1974 combined Canadian commercial otter trawl.

