## **International Commission for**



## the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries

Serial No. 3999 (A.a.3) ICNAF Comm. Doc. 76/VI/60

#### ANNUAL MEETING - JUNE 1976

## Annual Meeting of the

## International Fisheries Commissions Pension Society

13-14 May 1976

The annual meetings of the International Fisheries Commissions Pension Society were held on May 13 and 14, 1976, in Ann Arbor, Michigan, the site of the Great Lakes Fishery Commission. In accordance with Society practice, this letter is intended to inform you of some of the discussions at this year's meetings.

In the President's letter of July 3, 1975, the Society's history and mandate from the Canadian and U.S. governments were described. This year, in response to interest expressed by some commissions, a copy of the original Letters Patent establishing the Society is enclosed with this letter; this sets forth the duties and responsibilities of the Society.

The Society has six members, three from Canada and three from the United States.

The members from Canada are:

Mr. E.B. Young

Associate Director

International Directorate, Fisheries

and Marine Service

Department of Environment

Ottawa, Ontario

Mr. H.D. Clark

Director

Pensions and Insurance Division

Treasury Board Secretariat

Ottawa, Ontario

Mr. R.J. Kelly

Director

Departmental Financial Services Finance and Facilities Directorate

Department of Environment

Ottawa, Ontario

The members from the United States are:

Mr. A.E. Pardee, Jr.

Executive Director

Bureau of Oceans and International Environmental and Scientific Affairs

Department of State Washington, D.C.

Ms. M.R. Wells

Chief

NMFS EDS NESS Section Personnel Division

National Oceanic and Atmospheric

Administration Washington, D.C.

Mr. R.J. Myers

Actuarial Consultant to the Department

of State

Washington, D.C.

The Officers elected from the members of the Society for the 1976-77 operating year are:

President: R.J. Myers
Vice-President: H.D. Clark
Treasurer: M.R. Wells
Secretary: R.J. Kelly

In Ann Arbor, the Society met with the Executive Secretary and staff of the Great Lakes Fishery Commission to discuss the operations of the pension and other benefit plans, proposed improvements, and specific concerns of the Commission. All participants agreed that this opportunity for personal discussion was very beneficial. Also, during the past year, the Secretary of the Society has been able to visit all the commissions to discuss the plans.

Highlights of the discussions at our meetings in Ann Arbor are:

- 1. The method of calculating rates of contribution has been changed so that there will be automatic annual adjustments in the earnings base for the Canada Pension Plan or U.S. Social Security. Policies in Canada have also been amended to permit additional voluntary contributions, which are not feasible for U.S.-based commissions because of the participating policies.
- 2. The Society decided that any increase in the maximum monthly benefit under the long-term disability plan should be deferred until it has more general application. The Secretary has written to each Commission about this decision and about a proposal to add a cost-of-living factor to the plan.
- 3. The Orphan's Benefit proposed at last year's meetings has been accepted by all six commissions. This year, the Society decided upon more specific guidelines for implementation of the benefit. These guidelines will be outlined in a separate letter to you.
- 4. The Reciprocal Transfer Agreement has been signed with the Government of Canada. Four of the commissions have formally concurred with the agreement and a fifth is expected to do so.
- 5. The Society has decided to recommend adoption of an early-retirement benefit based on attainment of age 60 with 20 years of service. The Society believes that adoption of such a benefit would represent a reasonable first step in attaining full comparability with the early-retirement provisions of the Canadian Public Service and the U.S. Civil Service. It is hoped that, if the Commissions agree with this recommendation, implementation of the benefit could begin in October 1976. A letter has been sent to each commission giving specific details and asking for a definite response to the proposal.
- 6. The Society agreed to recommend adoption of an alternative method of determining the amount of annuity payable at retirement or to survivors. Adoption of this policy will mean that the annuity will be similar to the benefits payable under the two government plans, falling between those plans in terms of liberality. Technical details of this proposal will be explained in a separate letter.
- 7. Attached are tables from the Annual Report of the Directors which summarize the combined experiences of the commissions under the three plans. These summary tables show the historical experience of the plans as well as the operations of the policy year ended September 30, 1975.
- 8. Also enclosed with this letter is a comparison of pension benefits payable under the Pension Society plan, the U.S. Civil Service, and the Canadian Public Service. This comparison should be of interest to you, your commissioners, and the staff of the commissions.
- 9. The Society has decided to initiate a series of numbered letters concerning Society policy. A numbered letter will be distributed to all commissions whenever a new policy is implemented or an existing policy is clarified.

The 1977 annual meetings are scheduled to be held on April 14 and 15, 1977, in Halifax, Nova Scotia, enabling the Society to meet with the staff of the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries.

Your comments on any of the items discussed in this letter are most welcome. Please write to the Secretary, who will refer your comments to me and the other members of the Society. As in previous years, you may find it useful to send copies of this letter to your commissioners so as to improve their understanding of your requests for additional funds.

Yours sincerely,

R.J. Myers President



## Canada

By the Homencrable ELLEN LOUKS FAIRCLOUGH.

## Secretary of State of Canada.

To all to whom these presents shall come, or whom the same may in anywise concern, GREETING:

WHEREAS, in and by Part II of Chapter 33 or the Revised Statutes of Canada, 1932, known as the Companies Act, it is, amongst other things, in effect emacted that the Secretary of State of Canada may by letters patent under his seal of office grant a charter to any number of persons not cost than three (3 who, having compiled with the requirements of the said office spays therefor, constituting such persons and others who thereafter become members of the corporation thereby created a body corporate and politic without court capital for the purpose of carrying on, in more than one (1) province of Canada, without pecuniary gain to its members, objects of a national, partiotic, religious, philanthropic, charitable, scientific, artistic, social, professional or sporting character, or the like, upon the applicants therefor establishing to the satisfaction of the Secretary of State of Canada due compliance with the several conditions and terms in and by the said Act set forth and thereby made conditions precedent to the granting of such charter;

have made application for a charter under the said Act, constituting them and such others as may become members in the corporation thereby created a body corporate and politic under the name of

## INTERNATIONAL FISHERIES COMMISSIONS PENSION SOCIETY

for the purposes hereinafter mentioned, and have satisfactorily established the sufficiency of all proceedings required by the said Act to be taken, and the truth and sufficiency of all facts required to be established previous to the granting of such letters patent, and have filed in the Department of the Secretary of State a duplicate of the memorandum of agreement esecuted by the said applicants in conformity with the provisions of the said Act;

NOW KNOW YE that I, ELLEN LOUKS FAIRCLOUGH.

Secretary of State of Canada, under the authority of the said Act, do, by these letters patent, constitute the said

HOWARD CHARLES LINLEY RANSOM. HART DUNCAN CLARK. JOHN ORVILLE HODGKIN, EDWARD

CALHOUN CROUCH, ROBERT JULIUS MYERS and ISLA VICTORIA DAVIES.

and all others who may become member to the Corporation a body corporate and politic without share capital under the

to enter into, administer and otherwise deal in contracts with insurers or other persons for or on behalf of or for the benefit of employees, and former and future employees, and their dependents, of any commission whose seat or headquarters is in Canada or the United States of America, established and maintained jointly by the Governments of Canada and the United States of America, or by either or both of those Governments and the government of one (1) or more other states, to administer, enforce or carry out any international convention to which Canada or the United States of America is a party for the conservation or protection of, or for regulating, prohibiting or controlling the exploitation of any of the resources of the sea, which contracts provide for the payment of pensions, annuities, gratuities or similar amounts on account of retirement, disability, infirmity or death, to or for the benefit of such employees, dependents and beneficiaries.

The operations of the Corporation may be carried on throughout Canada and elsewhere.

The head office of the Corporation will be situate at the City of Ottawa, in the Province of Ontario.

The suid HOWARD CHARLES LINLEY RANSOM, HART DUNCAN CLARK,

JOHN ORVILLE HODGKIN, EDWARD CALHOUN CROUCH, ROBERT JULIUS MYERS and

ISLA VICTORIA DAVIES are to be the first directors of the Corporation.

And it is hereby ordained and declared that when authorized by by-law, duly passed by the directors and sanctioned by at least two-thirds (2/3) of the votes cast at a special general meeting of the members duly called for considering the by-law, the directors of the Corporation may from time to time

- (a) borrow money upon the credit of the Corporation;
- (b) limit or increase the amount to be borrowed;
- (c) issue debentures or other securities of the Corporation;
- (d) pledge or sell such debentures or other securities for such sums and at such prices as may be deemed expedient; and
- (e) mortgage, hypothecute, charge or pledge all or any of the real and personal property, undertaking and rights of the Corporation to secure any such debentures or other securities or any money borroued or any other liability of the Corporation.

Nothing in this clause limits or restricts the borrowing of money by the Corporation on bills of exchange or promissory notes made, drawn, accepted or endorsed by or on behalf of the Corporation.

And it is further ordained and declared that the business of the Corporation shall be carried on without pecuniary gain to its members and that any profits or other accretions to the Corporation shall be used in promoting its objects.

GIVEN under my seal of office at Ottawa this twenty-ninth duy of July, one thousand nine hundred and fifty-seven.

for the Secretary of Stuty.

# LETTERS PATENT

## INCORPORATING

(WITHOUT SHARE CAPITAL)

## INTERNATIONAL FISHERIES COMMISSIONS PENSION SOCIETY

Dated 29th July, 1957.

Recorded 9th August, 1957.

Film 62

Document 17

for the Deputy Registrar General of Canada.

Ref. No. 15.1950

TABLE 3

Summary Financial Operations of Pension Plans of U.S.A. and Canadian Commissions by Policy Year

	<del> </del>			-			_	_				_						_		_
Employer bution	CANADA		Œ	1		7		9											٠, ٦	
Total Employ Contribution	USA		7.36	2,25	13,619	4.40	4.72	5.18	8.84	9.03	6.74	1,32	3.45	66.0	2.07	1.92	4.46	8.53	ָ כ	87.502
rvice	CANADA		48.0	22,17	1,104	, 23	.41	.35	333	,	ı	,	•	107	•	ı	ı	6	42.300	0
Past Service Contribution	USA	dollar	5.27	28,535	٠,	,	•	13,591		143	0,5	24	.61	64,611	86	. 1	1	9,48	32.453	P 0 '
yer Con- including	CANADA	o the nearest	-4	9.1	10,050	۲,	.8	7.6	7	۲.	٧.	0	4	9.2	٥.	~	٠,	. 0	*	0
Net Employ tribution, OASDI or	VSD	rounded t	60.	72	13,619	40	,72	. 59	*8	. 89	, 23	0,	.83	38	,20	92	9	.03	54	7
Employer Contribution OASDI or CPP	CANADA	the country,	1		ı		•	ı		ı	8	4	•	~	•	0	-	5,551	9	٠
Employer Contribu OASDI or	USA	units of t	\$ 278	•	423	4	∹	٠,	٦,	~	9	5.7	4.	6,2	4.0	6.	1,8	0	ï	7 7 2
Employer ribution, re Service	CANADA	Bonetary	4.8	,12	•	, 20	1,83	68	, 23	,76	9	,52	.08	96	5	.81	4.5	, 21		36
* Net Employer Contribution Future Servi	USA	In	1,81	13,32	-	0,91	1,57	,91	1,49	9,60	, 23	4,29	2,36	œ	2,76	95	2,64	$\blacksquare$	5.877	•
in Year	CANADA		36,08	85,77	285,719	95,07	12,21	32,72	51,96	73,51	91,27	31,26	36,99	58,62	33,68	556,183	20,66	92,41	47,11	u
Payroll	USA		38	95	143,779	, 22	,34	,75	63	96	33	77	50	74	67	02	44	31	17	;
Policy Year Ended Sept.30	<del></del>		Ś	1959	1960	9	9	96	96	96	96	96	96	9	9.7	97	97	1973	93	101
		<del></del>				_		_					_				_			_

\* Gross employer contribution to insurance company, less dividends and premium adjustments, interest on credit balance, and net employer withdrawal allowances (see TABLE 4 for details).

...7 (Continued)

<sup>\*\*</sup> Includes cost-of-living increase for annultants

Page 7

TABLE 3 (Continued)

Summary Financial Operations of Pension Plans of U.S.A. and Canadian Commissions by Policy Year

Policy Year Ended	Payroll	Payroll in Year	Net Employer Contribution, Future Servic	loyer sution, Service	Employer Contribu OASDI or	Employer Contribution OASDI or CPP	Net Employ bution Fut Including	Net Employer Contri- bution Future Service, Including OASDI or CPP	Employer Past Ser Contribu	Employer Past Service Contribution	Total Employer Contribu	Total Employer Contribution
Sept.30	NS.	CANADA	NSU	CANADA	YSD	CANADA	NSU	CANADA	USA	CARADA	USA	CAKADA
					εγ	-	Percentage of Payroll	ro11				
1958	,	•	9.50%	3.172	.222	,	9.72%	3.17%	12.28%	4.602	22.00%	7.72
1959		ı		3.19	.28	1	2.67	3.19	19.69	7.76	29.15	10.95
1960		,		3.52	30	ı	9.48	3,52	ı	.39	6.47	3.90
1961	•	,		3.46	2.18	•	8.99	3.46	•	.76	8.99	7
1962		1	۲.	3.79	1.82	•	8.54	3.79	•	. 45	8.64	4.24
1963		ı	4.35	2.31	2.03	ı	6.38	2,31	7.48	2.51	13.86	4.82
1964	1	ı		2.06	2.19	,	5.61	2.06	•	6.34	5.61	8.40
1965	,	ı	4.28	1.81	2.03	•	6.31	1.81	.03	1	6.34	1.81
1966	'	,	4.35	1.43	2.74	.74%	7.09	2.17	2.05	•	9.14	2.17
1967	•	•	4.77	1.51	3.10	.81	7.88	2.32	2.21	ı	10.09	2.32
1968	(	ı	2.52	1.62	2.95	.76	5.48	2.38	1.35		6.83	2.38
1969	1	ı	.03	1.30	3.14	.71	3.17	2.01	12.50 50	.02	13.07	2.03
1970	1	ı	2.18	7	3.49	44.	5.67	1.67	1.51	,	7.18	1.67
1971	1	ı	1.98	m	2.91	.54	4.90	. 22	•	•	4.90	.22
1972	•		1.80	.72	3.10	.83	4.90	1.55	•	ı	₹.90	1.55
1973	+	,	٠	.75	3.84	O <b>8</b> .	5.54	1.56	7.02	1.87	12.55	3.64
1974	•	ı	.79	.87	4.24	08.	5.03	1.67	4.34	5.66	9.37	7.33
1975		•	(.24)	.48	7	.87	4.03	1.35	7.16	4.62	111.20	σl

•

# TABLE 4

Detailed Financial Operations of Pension Plans of U.S.A. and Canadian Commissions in Respect of Gross and Net Payments to Insurance Company for Employer Future Service Contributions, by Policy Year

ttione	CANADA		\$ 9,127	10,050	10,203	7077	000	0671	70/10	N C 2 Y	70.0	700	900,1	70,0	(010'1)		18,187	48,806	45,196	į
Met Contributions	USA		\$13,328	13,196	10,910	11,3/4	7,7,7	11,493	19.602	26,430	24,74	12,362			12,93		•	38,330	54,045	
Net Wichdrawal Allowance Used to Purchase Past Service	CANADA		\$ 338	33	1 6	0/1	2,869	172	•	1	•	,	1	1	1	,	t	t	•	
Net Withd Allowance Used to P Past Serv	USA	nearest dollar	\$ 158	ı	t	ı	•	• :	143		1,248	ı	1		•	•	1	ı	1	
Withdrawal wance lited to are Service	CANADA	to the nea	1	191	107		1,165	٠	367	1,901	1,014	629	1,101	٦,	669,9	222	162	276	2,619	
Met Withd Allowance Credited Future Se	USA	rounded	,	872	44	1	1,610		16	290	775	8,747	15,535	319	825	699	683	1,635	4,652	
Interest on Credit Balance	CANADA	country,	1	1	•	•	1	1	ı	•	1	•	ı	•	701	•	1	ŀ	118	
Interest on Credit Bala	USA	ts of the	•	ı	•	,	ı	20	•	•	62	176	32	29	463	617	616	29	133	
Dividends & Expense Adjustments	CANADA	monetary units		1	ı	1	ı	ı	1	•	ł	•		,	1	47	738	096	1.092	•
Dividend a Expens Adjust se	USA	In Bot	1		1,220	۰,	0	٥.	Φ,	٦.	۲.	۳,	٦.	2.5	2.5	7.		29.098	. 5	
Contributions	САИАБА				3	2.24	8.84	5	17	51	53	71	90	69	58	72	. c	0.0	0.0	
Gross Conti	USA		208	14.068	2,17	3.25	1.61	9.40	3,43	6.66	9.91	7.82	3.94	5.69	6.80	90 .		, 0		) -
Policy Test	Ended Sept. 30		0.0	1960	1961	96	96	96	1965	96	96	1968	96	97	6	6	, ,	, 0	. 6	<u>,                                     </u>

TABLE 5

Summary Financial Operations of Pension Plans of all Fisheries Commissions Combined by Policy Year

Policy Year			to Future Service OASDI and GPP	to Future Sarvice, OASDI and CPP		Service, OASDI and CPP
Ended Sept.30	Conversion Rate	Payroll in Year	Amount	As Z of Payroll	Amount	As Z of Payroll
		- 1	IN UNITED S	IN UNITED STATES DOLLARS		
1958	\$1.0327	\$368,184	\$19.822	5.38%	\$46,295	12.57
1959	1.0354	440,844	23,171	5.26	74,662	16.94
1960	1.0417	441,416	24,089	5.46	25,238	5.72
1961	1.0018	455,829	24,630	5.40	26,874	2.90
1962	.94518	467,499	25,906	5254.	27,247	5.83
1963	.92599	489,831	18,708	3.82	40,030	8.17
1964	.92593	661,526	25,543	3.86	46,219	66.9
1965	.92593	803,817	35,157	4.37	35,300	4.39
1966	.92879	874,733	44,118	5.04	54,624	6.24
1961	.92664	908,400	49,367	5.43	60,615	6.67
1968	.92807	895,064	36,506	4.08	43,124	4.81
1969	.92954	943,049	24,967	2.65	89,262	9.47
1970	00056	1.092.674	22,651	2.07	50,540	4.62
1971	00066	1,201,641	21,991	1.83	33,037	2.75
1972	1.00	1.324.108	44.054	3.33	44,054	3.33
1973	1.00	1,397,734	74.569	5.34	137,025	9.80
1974	1.0186	1,480,390	49.340	3.33	123,489	8.34
1975	10001	1 6 74 996	43 712	2,60	140 950	14.8

TABLE 5 (Continued)

Summary Financial Operations of Pension Plans of all Fisheries Commissions Combined by Policy Year

4000	i i	Employer C tions to F Service, 0	Contribu- Future OASDI & CPP	Employer Contribut to Future and Past Service, OASDI & G	Employer.Contributions to Future and Past Service, OASDI & CPP
Rate					
		Amount	As Z of Payroll	Amount	As X of Payroll
	H	II - IN CANA	IN CANADIAN DOLLARS	- m:	ļ <u> </u>
4 06811	4 356.526	\$19.194	5.38%	\$44,829	12.57
•	425.772	22.379	5.26	72,109	16.94
00096	423.746	23,125	2.46	24,228	5.72
.99817	455,010	24,586	2.40	26,826	2.90
1.0580	494,614	27,409	5.54	28,827	5.83
1.0800	529,016	20,204	3.82	43,232	6.17
1.0800	714,447	27,586	3.86	49,916	6.99
1.0800	868,121	37,970	4.37	38,124	\$ . 4 .
1.07667	941,799	47,500	5.04	58,812	47.0
1.07917	980,318	53,275	5.43	474.00	20.0
1.0775	964,434	39,335	20 of	004.04	70.0
1.0758	1,014,533	26,862	2.65	20,029	7.5
1.0500	1,148,641	23,789	2.07	030.050	70.4
1.0100	1,211,665	23,919	1.97	33,480	07.7
1.0000	1,324,108	44,054	3.33	44,054	2.3
1.0000	1,397,734	74,569	5.34	137,025	
. 9814	1,508,447	50,275	3.33	125.879	60°
2066	1 659 562	43,309	7.00	100 / 651	•

TABLE 6

Summary of Contributions to Sun Life and to National Pension Plan by Employees of U.S.A. and Canadian Commissions by Policy Year

Policy Year	Pavroll	Pavroll in Year	Gross Employee Contribution	yee	Employer Col	Employee Contribution to OASD1 or CPF	Total Gross Employee Contribution	Employee ution
	VSn	CANADA	NSA reneared	CANADA	NSA	CANADA	NSU	CANADA
			erary units of	the country, r	rounded to the	to the nearest dollar	_ wi	
						•	4 7 137	813,898
80.0	38	0	\$ 6,859	\$13,898	\$ 2/8	•	8,778	17,098
1050	144.95	285,	8,385	860, 47	7 6 7	•	8.557	16.796
1040	7,	285,719	8,134	16,796	676	ļ <b>I</b>	10.051	17,015
1961	160.227	295,076	6,553	17,015	000	ı <b>4</b>	10,139	18,443
,	76	312.270	6,991	18,443	2,140	ı	10.868	19.476
9	7		7,187	19,476	3,061	1	20 386	20,155
1001	5	g	13,039	20,155	4 95 7	1 1	28,096	21.478
1965	457.968	373,515	18,803	21,478	9,293	2 877	35,193	22,054
1966	1,31	N	21,193	19,177	78, 786	669	36,661	24,449
9		N	20,877	00,00	10,41	3,329	33,940	24,251
96	6	٥	19,465	776,07	14,207		34,225	26,116
9	516.742	ø	18,018	100,22	007 00		41.336	28,786
1970	'n	ø	20,897	20,402	20,423	1.051	966.07	29,745
	-	_	2,025	* 60° 07	10,71	_	47,386	35,409
1972		9	25,574	30,327	270477	• •	-	39,476
		692,415	29,678	33,920	7, ,000	100	-0	42.471
, 0	7,17	747,117	29,760	6,48		1 760		0
1016	7	885.521	30,343	42,423	35,45/	2		
	-							

TABLE 6 (continued)

Summary Contributions to Sun Life and to Mational Panaton Plan by Employees of U.S.A. and Canadian Commissions by Policy Year

Policy Year Ended Sept. 30	Payroll in Year	in Year	Gross E Contrib Pension	Gross Employes Contribution to Pension	Reployee to OAS	Reployee Contribution to OASDI or CPP	Total Gro	Total Gross Employee Contribution
	USA	CAKADA	USA	CANADA	VS.D	CANADA	USA	CANADA
			As Per	Percentage of Payroll	11011			
1958	1	ı	5.512	5.897	222	,	277 5	708.7
1959		ı	5.78	5.98	. 28	•	90.9	200.5
1960	•	,	5.66	5.88	30	•	5.95	88.5
1961	1	ı	60.4	5.77	2.18	•		5.77
1962	1	,	4.06	5.91	1.82	1		16,5
1963	•	,	3.95	5.85	2.03	1		20,50
1964		,	3.88	5.73	2.19	1		•
1965	,	,	4.11	5.75	2.03	•	6.14	-
1966	1	1	4.14	4.90	2.74	. 74%		5.64
1961		1	4.10	4.86	3.10	.81	•	5.67
1968		1	3.97	4.78	2.95	.76	6.93	5.55
1969		ı	٠	86.4	3.14	.71	٠	5.69
1970	ı	1	3.57	96.4	3.49	44.	-	5.39
1971	1	•	3.38	4.80	2.91	.55	6.30	5.35
1972	,	,	3.64	4.88	3.10	.82		5.71
1973	•	1	4.21	06.4	3.84	08.	8.05	5.70
6	,	,	3.97	4.88	4.24	.80		2.68
1975		,	. 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	4.79	4.28	78.	9.16	5.66

TABLE 8

SUMMARY OF OPERATIONS OF THE

	PLAN
	INSURANCE
5	LIFE
SUBBREE	GROUP

_							15				
Insurance	A CANADA		1	•	1	ı	21,500 -	1	ı	28,000 -	ļ
	ns,		•	1	1	١.	21	•	·	2 '	
<b>.</b>	CANADA		1	ı	1	н	1	ı	7	7	
Pa1 Po1	NSU		1	<u>.</u>	<u></u>		7_	e	<u></u>	<u> </u>	
Net Employer Paid-up Premiums Polities as a Purchas Z of Payroll	CANADA USA CANADA USA CANADA	ı	.102	. 20%	.21%	.18%	171	.19%	.22%	232	
Net E Pre 7 of	USA		. 10 <b>z</b>	.187	. 20%	.182	.167	192	. 2X	327	
H W	CANADA USA		416 .10Z	853	949	943	925	1,200	1,348	1,518	
Net Employer Premiums for Policy Year	USA.		490	857	1,017	1,025	1,021	1,371	1,321	2,307	
siums by Year	CAKADA		1,329	2,723	3,031	3,272	3,359	3,943	469.4	4,782 5,149	
Net Premiums for Policy Year	USA C		1,566	2,736	3,248	3,441	3,706	4,506	4,394	5,705	
	CAWADA	Chance	371,750	415,650	451,550	503,150	516,000	561,900	654,650	678,100	
Insurance In force	1 401	460	457,700	413,950	454,150	539,450	573,800	683,800	729,900	762,100	
Participating Payroll		CANADA		436,995	458,622	533,682	556,183	620,660	601,300		
Partic		USA	508,775 431,263	489,503	516,742	585.676	651.020	703.448	673,444		
Employees Participating		CANADA	41	97	97	47	. 60	9 9	47	50	2
Emp		USA	44	· 4	42	7.7	97	7	. 4	9,7	
Policy Year	Sept. 30		1967	. 60	696	0201	2 6 6	1771	1973	1974	1975

TABLE 10

SUMMARY OF OPERATIONS OF THE LONG TERM DISABILITY INSURANCE PLAN

Policy Year Ending Sept. 30	· Parti	Employees Participating	Part Part	Participating Payroll	Net Pra	Net Premiums for Policy Year	Net Em	Net Employer Premiums for	Net Employe Premiums as	Net Employer Premiums as a 2
	USA	CANADA	USA	CANADA	USA	САМАВА	USA	CANADA	USA	CANADA
*1970	21	\$ 2	108,953	222,368	860	1,507	¥/¥	Y/N	797	.681
1971	50	5.5	313,413	556,183	1,955	3,699	W/W	M/A	.62%	.67%
1972	24	85	243,155	527,488	973	2,110	N/N	N/A	. 59%	.34%
1973	22	5.4	278,874	623,797	2,076	4,532	1,026	2,159	.37%	.35%
1974 1975	20	51 62	307,451	705,605 821,103	2,610	5,936	1,462	3,024	.558.	.43%

\*Partial Year only

#### COMPARISON OF PENSION BENEFITS

The purpose of this paper is to compare the pension benefits payable to employees of the International Fisheries Commissions as against the benefits payable under similar conditions under the Canadian Public Service and the U.S. Civil Service. The following comparisons were made:

- 1. Total benefits payable from all sources to an employee with no dependents.
- 2. Total benefits payable from all sources to an employee with a dependent spouse.
- 3. Total employee contributions to all sources.

It was impossible to do a meaningful comparison of employer costs, due to lack of essential data for the Canadian Public Service and the U.S. Civil Service. Cost-benefit comparison were not done because, in most cases, differences in total employee contributions among the plans were insignificant.

The service records of three sample employees of one of the U.S. - based commissions were used as the basis for the comparison. Example 1 is a male employee who would have 38 years of service in September 1988, Example 2 is also male, with 15.25 years of service in October 1986, at age 65 Example 3, a female employee, would have 21.67 years of service in September 1986, at age 65. Because of lack of data, or for the sake of simplicity, the following assumptions were made in preparation of the figures:

- 1. The salaries of all three employees were in the middle of the appropriate Earnings Class levels for years prior to 1975.
- The salary of Example 1 was projected back from 1968, with increases of one level every two years, until 1957, prior to which it remained constant.
- 3. All data were frozen at the October 1, 1975, level, including salaries, rates of contributions, and benefits. The only variations after that date were upgrading in the Pension Society plans and increasing age and years of service. The data were frozen because it would be too difficult to project salary increases, rate increases, and changes in the various plans.
- 4. All spouses are fully dependent on the employee and are the same age as the employee.
- 5. All children are under age 18 and unmarried.
- 6. Employees did not contribute to Social Security in the U.S. or to the Canada Pension Plan in Canada prior to the date they commenced contributing to those plans as employees of the Commission.
- 7. A reduction of 6 2/3% a year was used to determine the actuarial equivalent pensions for retirement prior to age 65.

The figures shown include benefits from and contributions to all plans under which the employees are covered. Sources for the Pension Society in the U.S. are the group annuity plan and U.S. Social Security. For the Pension Society in Canada, sources are the group annuity plan, the Canada Pension Plan, and the Old Age Security program. The Canadian Public Service figures include Public Service Superannuation, the Canada Pension plan, and the Old Age Security program. Employees of the U.S. Civil Service contribute to and receive benefits from the Civil Service Retirement plan alone. Because of the method used in compiling the data, there are limitations on the conclusions which can be drawn from the results. Some of these limitations are.

- 1. Renefits under the Civil Service plan are based on the average salary of the best three consecutive years. Public Service benefits are based on the average of the best six years, and benefits under the Pension Society are based essentially on the salary in the third or fourth year before retirement. Because salaries were assumed not to increase after 1975, the advantage under the Civil Service plan is not illustrated; such advantage would have the approximate effect of increasing the benefits shown by 10 percent (such possible differential is taken into account in the analysis that follows).
- 2. Cost-of-living increases under the Civil Service plan are based on the rise in the Consumer Price Index, plus 1%. Increases in Public Service and Pension Society benefits are based on the CPI. The advantage of the additional 1% under Civil Service is not illustrated because cost-of-living increases are not shown in the comparison: it appears likely that this 1% factor will be eliminated for the future.
- 3. Contributions to approved pension plans in Canada are tax-deductible. Therefore, the real cost to public servants and Commission employees in Canada is lower than the amount shown. On the other hand, benefits payable in U.S. are non-taxable until an amount equal to the total employee contributions has been paid out, and Social Security benefits are not subject to income tax. It may be assumed that the advantages of the different tax structures will balance out in the long run.
- 4. Long-term disability benefits under the Civil Service plan are considerably lower than those payable under the other plans. However, if the disability is work related. U.S. civil servants are eligible for additional benefits, which are not included in the comparison.
- 5. Long-term disability benefits payable under Civil Service terminate with rehabilitation or death Benefits under the other plans terminate with rehabilitation or age 65; at age 65, regular annuity benefits, which may be considerably lower, become payable instead.
- 6. Commission employees receive long-term disability benefits from two sources, the Pension Society long-term disability plan and Social Security in the U.S. or Canada Pension Plan in Canada. Benefits payable under the Pension Society LTD plan are offset by the amount payable under the applicable social security program. While a Commission employee is receiving disability benefits, group annuity premiums continue to be

paid in full by the employing Commission. This policy alleviates the fact that LTD benefits to Commission employees terminate at age 65, and they begin receiving the group annuity, which is based on contributions actually paid.

The total benefit payable to disabled Canadian public servants is made up of benefits payable under the Public Service Superannuation Account and long-term disability plan and the Canada Pension Plan.

Keeping in mind these limitations, certain observations can be made about the results of the comparison.

- At the normal retirement date (age 65), highest benefits are payable under the Pension Society plans. However, for an employee with no spouse, differences between the Public Service and the Pension Society are small. Although benefits are lowest under the Civil Service plan, these benefits would probably increase more rapidly after retirement because of the more generous cost-of-living increases.
- 2. For retirement before age 65, the disadvantages of the lack of an early-retirement benefit under the Pension Society plans become apparent, although generally for retirement at age 60 or over, the benefits are at least as large as the Civil Service benefits.
- Long-term disability benefits under the Public Service and Pension Society plans are comparable, and all are significantly higher than the Civil Service benefits.
- 4. With the exception of benefits to a surviving spouse, benefits to spouses and orphans are most generous under the Pension Society plan in the U.S. in most cases, sometimes by significant amounts. Even though benefits are payable for four children under the Public Service plan, the additional amounts still do not make the benefit as large as the maximum under the Pension Society plan in the U.S. (which pays only for three children). In general, smallest benefits are payable under the Pension Society in Canada, but in most cases they are not significantly lower than the benefits under the Public Service plan.
- 5. Total contributions made by employees of U.S. -based commissions are considerably higher than those made by employees of Canadian - based commissions. The gap is accounted for by the higher rate of contribution and the higher earnings base for U.S. Social Security in comparison with the Canada Pension Plan.

This gap will narrow as the earnings base increases more rapidly for CPP than for Social Security in the future.

### Example 1.

The employee used in Example 1 provides the most appropriate basis for comparison because, having 38 years of service at age 65, he would have been covered by the plans for all or almost all of his career.

- Even taking into account the lack of an early retirement benefit, this employee receives a slightly Targer pension at age 62 with a dependent spouse under the Pension Society plans than under the Civil Service Plan.
- 2. For retirement at age 60, even with 33 years service, the Pension Society benefit at age 60 is only about half that of the other two plans. This ratio is increased somewhat by the commencement of Social Security or CPP benefits, but the actuarial-equivalent pension is still lowest under the Pension Society plans (about 2/3 of the Public Service benefits).
- 3. The effect of introduction of an early-retirement benefit can be seen in the facts that this employee would receive a somewhat better pension from the Pension Society than the Civil Service and that the gap between the Pension Society and the Public Service narrows to \$1000-2000.

#### Example 2.

With 15.25 years of service, this employee may be representative of the greater mobility among employees. Although the benefits are relatively low in terms of final average salary, having had two careers, presumably this employee would be eligible for benefits from sources not included in this comparison.

- 1. The pension for retirement at age 65 from the Civil Service is significantly lower than from the other plans (only about half as larger for the case including a dependent spouse). The difference is attributable, in part, to the lower percentages used in calculating benefits for the first 10 years of service.
- 2. The effect of the lower percentages under Civil Service is also evident for retirement at age 62. Even without an early-retirement benefit, the Pension Society benefit in the U.S. is highest at age 62, although from age 65 on the Public Service benefit is largest. The benefit is lowest under the Pension Society in Canada at age 62, but the actuarial equivalent is about the same as that for the Pension Society in the U.S.
- 3. For retirement at age 60, this employee is not eligible for an immediate annuity from Civil Service, although he may receive a deferred annuity commencing at age 62. This annuity is about 2/3 of the Pension Society total and 1/2 of the Public Service benefit.
- 4. This employee's annuity at age 60 would not be affected by adoption of the proposed early-retirement benefit by the Pension Society because he would not have twenty years of service.
- 5. The disadvantage of the Civil Service Long-Term Disability benefit is particularly evident in this case, where the benefit is about 25% of those under the other plans

## Example 3.

The benefits for this employee are similar to those for Example 2, both in amounts and in relationships among the plans. Her longer service is offset by her lower final earnings. Differences in benefits because of sex have been removed from the Civil Service and Public Service plans, and the same thing has occurred for U.S. Social Security, with only minor exceptions (which will likely soon be removed).

In general, benefits and contributions are comparable among all three plans. While one plan may have disadvantages in one area, these may be offset by advantages in another area. The most notable differences are in the Civil Service long-term disability benefits and the Pension Society benefits in the U.S. to a surviving spouse with no dependents. Although the results would vary somewhat with variations in the method of analysis and in the assumptions made, it is unlikely that the basic comparability would change significantly. It can be concluded that commission employees need not be concerned that their benefits are inadequate in comparison with those payable to their counterparts in the Canadian and U.S. governments with regard to retirements at age 60 and over (assuming that the "early-retirement at age 60" amendment to the Pension Society plan is adopted).

## COMPARISON OF BENEFITS

	Canadian Public Service	Examp: Pension In Canada	le l Society In U.S.	U.S. Civil Service	Canadian Public Service	Examp Pension In Canada	le 2 Society In U.S.	U.S. Civil Service	Canadian Public Service	Examp Pension In Canada	le 3 Society In U.S.	U.S. Civil Service	
A RETIREMENT AT AGE 65													
1. Total Pension Payable i) Employee ii Employee & Spouse	14,608 16,202	14,907 16,501	14,782 17,513	12,687 12,687	7,610 9,205	7,800 9,395	7,830 10,169	4,491 4,491	7,732 9,327	8,024 9,619	7,796 10,167	4,808 4,808	
2 lotal Contributions *	28,156	24,409	32,234	31,298	16,644	14,142	20,048	16,711	13,348	12,299	18,003	14,534	
B. RETIREMENT AT AGE 62													
1. Pension from Age 62 i) Employee ii) Employee & Spouse	12,264 12,264	7,750 7,750	10,747 12,660	11,632 11,632	4,113 4,113	2,695 2,695	5,093 6,557	3,484 3,484	4,535 4,535	2,921 2,921	5,255 6,719	4,079 4,079	- 22 -
2. Additional Pension from Age 65 on 1) Employee 11) Employee & Spouse	2,518 4,113	3,670 5,265	:	- -	2,312 3,907	3,024 4,619	<u>-</u> -	-	2,644 4,238	3,670 5,265	-	-	•
3 Total Pension from Age 65 on () Employee ii) Employee & Spouse	14,782 16,377	11,420 13,015	10,747 12,660	11,632 11,632	6,425 8,020	5,719 7,314	5,093 6,557	3,484 3,484	7,179 8,773	6,591 8,186	5,255 6,719	4,079 4,079	
4. Actuarial Equivalent Pension if Level from Age 62 on i) Employee ii) Employee & Spouse	14,278 15,554	10,686 11,962	10,747 12,660	11,632 11,632	5,963 7,239	5,114 6,390	5,093 6,557	3,484 3,484	6,650 7,925	5,857 7,133	5,255 6,719	4,079 4,079	
5. Total Contributions *	27,751	21,296	27,874	27,619	13,119	11,159	15,886	13,185	10,798	10,152	14,778	11,983	
* Employee													
												2	

2.	U.S. Civil Service		1 1	3,593 3,593	1.1	3,593 3,593	3,115 3,115	10,283	м : :
			1,678	2,808 4,124	1.1	4,486 5,803	4,113 5,254	12,628	:
	Example 3 Pension Society In In Canada U.S.		2,232	1-1	3,435 5,030	5,667	4,523 5,587	8,721	
	Canadian Public Service		4,049	1 1	2,467 4,062	6,516 8,111	5,694 6,758	860 6	
	U.S. Civil Service	-		2,812	1 1	2,812	2,438	10,835	: :
	Society In U.S.		1,416	2,767		4,183 5,480	3,815 4,939	13,068	N.
	Example 2 Pension Society In In Canada U.S.		1,903	4 1	2,789 4,384	4,692 6,287	3,763 4,827	9,171	
	Canadian Public Service		3,442 3,442		2,193 3,788	5,635 7,230	4,905 5,969	10,768	
	U.S. Civil Service		10,935 10,935	1 1	1 1	10,935 10,935	10,935 10,935	25,166	
	s 1 Society In U.S.		5,378	3,859 5,669		9,237 11,047	8,724 10,293	24,967	
	Example 1 Pension Society In In Canada U.S.		6,102 6,102		3,435 5,030	9,537 11,132	8,393 9,457	19,220	
	Canadian Public Service		11,884		2,399 3,994	14,283 15,878	13,484 14,548	25,389	
		RETIREMENT AT AGE 60	1. Pension from Age 60 on 1) Employee 1i) Employee & Spouse	2. Additional Pension from Age 62 on i) Employee ii) Employee & Spouse	3. Additional Pension from Age 65 on 1) Employee ii) Employee & Spouse	4. Total Pension from Age 65 on i) Employee § Spouse ii) Employee §	5. Actuarial Equivalent Pension if Level from Age 60 1) Employee ii) Employee	6. Total Contribution	(Employee)

												'n
	Canadian Public Service	Example 1 Pension Society In In Canada U.S.	e 1 Society In U.S.	U.S. Civil Service	Canadian Public Service	Example 2 Pension Society In In Canada U.S.	ociety In U.S.	U.S. Civil Service	Cenedien Public Service	Example 3 Pension Society In In Canada U.S.	ociety In U.S.	U.S. Civil Service
D. PENSION AT AGE 60 IF PENSION SOCIETY ADOPTS 60-20 EARLY 1. Pension Payable at	ION SOCIETY	Adopts 60-		RETIREMENT B	BENEF IT							
Age 60 i) Employee ii) Employee & Spouse	11,884	9,732	8,274	10,935 10,935	3,442	1,903	1,416	1 1	4,049 4,049	2,232	1,678 1,678	
2. Additional Pension from Age 62 on i) Employee ii) Employee & Spouse	1 1	11	3,859 5,669	1 1	1 1	1 1	2,767	2,812 2,812			2,808	3,593 3,593
<ol> <li>Additional Pension from Age 65 on         <ol> <li>Employee</li> <li>Employee &amp; Spouse</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	2,399	3,435 5,030			2,193	2,789		1 1	2,467	3,435 5,030		- 24
4. Total Pension from Age 65 on i, Employee ii) Employee	14,283 15,878	13,167 14,762	12,133	10,935 10,935	5,635	4,692 6,287	4,183 5,480	2,812 2,812	6,516 8,111	5,667	4,486 5,803	3,593 3,593
5. Actuarial Equivalent Pension if Level from Age 60 on i) Employee ii) Employee & Spouse	13,484	12,023 13,087	11,620	10,935	4,905 5,969	3,763 4,827	3,815 4,939	2,438 2,438	5,694	4,523 5,587	4,113 5,254	3,115 3,115
6. Total Contribution *	25,389	19,220	24,967	25,166	10,768	9,171	13,068	10,835	860'6	8,721	12,628	10,283
E. DISABILITY BENEFITS PAYABLE AT 1/10/76	E AT 1/10/7	92									,	, , ,
1. Disability Benefit	12,264	13,140	11,012	7,913	11,752	12,591	10,573	2,545	8,501	9,108	7,787	3,210
<ol> <li>Contributions to LTD *</li> <li>Employee</li> </ol>	322	38 80 80	385	Included in Pension Plan	275	284	284	Included in Pension Plan	213	247	247	Included in Pension Plan
					·							; 4

	_	Examo	Example 1				•					*
	Canadian	Pension	Sociato	<i>u</i>		Tdanstr	7 0			Examp1	e 3	
	Public	In In	Ĭ	Civil	Public	rension Society In In	Society	U.S.	Canadian Public	Pension Society	Society	u.s.
	Service	Canada	n.s.	Service	Service	Canada	u.s.	Service	Service	Cenede	E 2 :	Caval
F. SPOUSES' AND ORPHANS' BENEFITS PAYARLE AT 1/10/76	ENEFITS PAYARI	E AT 1/10/	7.								;	Service
	_	/07 / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•									
1. Total Contributions	16,702	11,868	14,673	16,479	4,893	4,200	6,023	4.959	4.918	5 203	7 243	
2. Surviving Spouse,											245	0,104
no Children	4,973	4,315	2,608	4.352	1.775	1 76.1	ì		,			
1 Child	6,321	5,531	200	, L	1 2 2 2	19/61	446	1,400	2,252	2,108	718	1,765
2 Children	7,590	6,668	11, 188	7000	24,4	7,400	4,467	2,866	3,055	2,882	5,620	3,231
3 Children	8,858	7,805	11,710	0747	000	3,093	4.760	4,332	3,779	3,578	6,628	4.697
4 Children	10,128	8,302	11 710	1100	7,045	3,719	4,849	5,798	4,504	4 273	6.772	6,163
			21,11	06/60	4,298	4,216	4,849	5,798	5,229	4.770	6.772	6 163
3 No Surviving Spouse										•		
1 Child	2.040	1.777	A 175	7.0	,	į						
2 Children	4.081	3.554	C/1 6	1,739	745	755	2,144	1,759	952	894	2.667	1,759
3 Children	6.121	5.331	10,556	0,010	1,490	1,511	4,288	3,518	1,903	1,788	5,333	K 12
4 Children	8.161	5.828	10,000	0,2,0	2,235	2,266	4,671	5,278	2,855	2.682	6.484	5.278
			000 01	0/710	7,980	2,763	4,671	5,278	3,806	3,179	6.484	5 278
									ı		•	
				•								
* Employee				_								
								-				