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## Introduction

Yellowtail catches from Divisions $3 \mathrm{~L}, 3 \mathrm{~N}$ and 30 increased very rapidly from very low levels in the mid-1960s to a peak of approximately 39,000 tons in 1973 and down to about 23,000 tons in 1974 and 1975 (Table 1). It should be pointed out that the reported catch probably indicates minimal removals only since there is a distinct possibility of substantial non-reported catches of yellowtail by countries catching and salting cod with the by-catch, principally flatfish, not being recorded (Pitt 1975).

Total Allowable Catches were recommended at $50,000,40,000$ and 35,000 tons for 1973 to 1974 respectively. When the 1974 data were added and presented at the 1975 Assessments Subcommittee Meeting, the recommended TAC was reduced to 10,000 tons and was subsequently set at 9,000 tons by the Commission.

## Material and Methods

Indices of abundance from research vessel surveys (Table 2 and Fig. 4 and 5) are given as mean values per half-hour set weighted by the area of the strata surveyed. The following strata were used (Fig. 7):

| YEAR | DIVISION 3L |  |  |  |  |  | DIVISION 3 N |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 350 | 363 | 371 | 372 | 384 | 361 | 362 | 373 | 374 | 375 | 376 | 383 |
| 1971 | X | X | X | $\chi$ | $\chi$ | X | X | X | X | $x$ |  | $X$ |
| 1972 | $X$ | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | x |  | X |
| 1973 | X | X |  | X | X | X | $X$ | X | X | X |  | X |
| 1974 | X | X |  | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |  | X |
| 1975 | X | X |  | X | X | X | X |  | x | X | X |  |

All commercial data were collected from sampling catches by Newfoundland-based commercial trawlers with age-length keys and length frequencies collected and processed by the Newfoundland Biological Station. Total catches used in estimating numbers caught were those reported in the ICNAF Statistical Bulletin.

To calculate fishing mortalities and stock size, Pope's (1972) Cohort Analysis method was used. This is a modification of the "virtual population" method. A value of 0.3 was used for natural mortality $(M)$. The selection of a terminal $F$ value (Fishing Mortality on the fully recruited age groups in the final year for which data were available) as usual was difficult and really only approximate.

The determination of this value for the 1975 data was based in part on catch prediction for 1975 using the 1973 stock size from a previous assessment and projecting catches to 1975 using a range of terminal $F$ and partial recruitment values to produce a catch equivalent to that reported for 1975. The value of $F$ that produced the 1975 catch was about 1.1 . This is probably a minimal value since higher values were evident from previous years in the Cohort Analysis (Table 3) and survival rates from research vessel data indicate high total mortality (Table 4).

Weight at age was calculated from average annual length at age. These were calculated by weighting the average lengths at age for the quarter by the Canadian ( $N$ ) landings in each quarter. Length was converted to weight by the following relationship:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log W=3.044 & \log L=5.080 \\
& (W=\text { whole } \text { weight; } L=\text { length })
\end{aligned}
$$

To calculate spawning biomass, the following percent mature at age array was used:

| AGE | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\%$ mature | 32 | 71 | 94 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

## Results and Discussion

For the directed yellowtail fishery the catch per hour for Canada ( $N$ ) commercial otter trawlers (Fig. 2) declined rapidly 1965-69 and then stabilized until 1973 when it again declined drastically. For the catch rate associated with total effort (yellowtail recroded in the catch) the decline in the initial years of the fishery was drastic, but with some fluctuations has remained at about 300 kg per hour since
1967.

Up to 1971 the stock was increasing in abundance (Fig. 3) and spreading to most of the shallow ( $<50$ fath - 91 m ) habitat of the Grand Bank (Pitt 1975). Research vessel surveys since 1971 confirm a general downward trend in abundance at least up to 1973 (Fig. 4). However, the research vesse] data reveal that the most drastic reduction in abundance was in Division 3 L (Fig. 5) with some stabilization at a higher level in Division 3N.

A further breakdown of the results of research vessel surveys is given in Table 2 where the mean number per set at the different age groups is presented. Because the gear used was not particularly efficient in catching small fish, it was possible that the numbers recorded as 3 -year and probably 4 -year-old fish may not be particularly indicative of the actual abundance.

The result of Cohort Analysis using number at age for $1968-75$ is presented in Table 3. The average abundance of 5-year-olds for 1971-73 was approximately 84 million fish and this was the value used for the projections in Table 5. It should be noted here that in an assessment presented in 1973 (Pitt 1975) average recruitment at age 5 was projected at approximately 130 million fish. This was based on the population number at age 5 in 1970 and using the assumption that a stock that had been expanding up to that time could reasonably be expected to at least stabilize at that level.

Fishing mortality rates were quite high ranging from 0.73 in 1971 to 1.9 in 1972 . The value in 1972 and in 1974 ( 1.85 ) appears to be abnormally high and may have resulted from poor sampling of some of the older age groups however the total mortality rates from research survey data also indicate high mortality rates for 1971 ( $Z=2.08, F=1.77$ ) and for $1973(Z=1.93, F=1.63)$ (Table 4). In any case, the $F$ values are all well above $F_{0.1}(0: 55$; Fig. 6).

The total allowable catches projected for 1974 and 1975 of 40,000 and 35,000 tons respectively were based on assessments using catch data up to 1972 and 1973 respectively thus giving less than reliable stock sizes for 1971 and 1972. This is inherent in the model used because of the uncertainty about fishing mortality in the current year and the level of recruitment. The population numbers at the recruiting ages suggested that the population was increasing so that projections were made based on optimistic recruitment levels.

However, indications of stock size from Cohort Analysis (Fig. 3) and from research vessel surveys indicate a decline since 1971. This decline became especially acute in 1974 (Fig. 4 and 5). The mean number of fish at age 4-6 from survey data in 1973-75 were lower than in the two previous years.

Bottom temperatures during 1972-74 were unusually low especially in ICNAF Division 3L and this may have had some effect on the behaviour of the fish in relation to the trawl or may have contributed to a high natural mortality thus accounting for the high rates of $F$ calculated in recent years with an assumed M of 0.3 .

When the numbers caught age in 1974 were added, a new Cohort Analysis calculated, and projections made for 1976 total allowable catch, it was evident that the stock was declining and because of this current removal rates could not be maintained. Thus, using a more conservative recruitment level than in the previous projection ( 70 million fish at age 5) and fishing at $F_{0.1}$ indicated a TAC of 10,000 tons for 1976.

Making realistic 2-year projections for a species which has a fishery almost exclusively on 6 age groups (5-10 years) only and with very little indication of recruitment levels is difficult is not impossible. The only indication of recruitment prospects comes from research vessel surveys, and here the time series is not long enough to give proper correlation with population numbers from Cohort Analysis. Because of the minimal numbers of fishing stations from these surveys the variance of the abundance indices is quite high.'

However, as a rough estimate of the possible recruitment level for 1975 the average number per set from research vessel surveys at age 5 (Table 2) is compared with the population numbers 1971-73 from Cohort Analysis (Table 3).

|  | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | Research Ave. No./Set | 32.8 | 44.7 | 21.6 | 21.3 | 27.7 |
| B. Population No. (Millions of fish) 73.5 | 83.4 | 92.3 |  |  |  |  |
| B/A | 2.2 | 1.9 | 4.3 | Average 2.8 |  |  |

This gives a very rough estimate for recruitment in 1975 at age 5 of about 77.6 million fish.
Because of the uncertainty of the strength of the 1970 year-class in 1975 (age 5) a series of projected TAC's for 1977 is presented using a range of recruitment levels (Table 5). These indicate a range of probable catch levels for 1977 from about 10,300 tons for 50 million recruits to 15,500 tons for 80 million.

In addition to Figure 3, some indication of stock size expressed as biomass (tons), and the biomass of the spawning stock for recent years with a projection to 1981, is given in Table 6 . Thus the total biomass ( 5 years and older) declined from 105,500 tons in 1971 to 62,300 tons in 1974 and 56,400 tons in 1975, a drop of nearly $50 \%$ in four years, with an even greater decline in the spawning biomass ( 76,800 tons to 36,600 tons).

With the meager knowledge of recruitment presently available, management strategy for this stock is difficult to formulate. Obviously any long-term projections depend on the strength of the incoming year-classes so that for the next year or two it might be prudent to assume a relatively low level of recruitment and thus begin a rebuilding of the stock, and if in fact higher levels of recruitment are in fact realized, then the stock can rebuild to a level comparable to that of the early 1970 s with a resulting higher yield.
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Table 1. Nominal catches of yellowtail, ICNAF Divisions 3LNO (1965-74 from Sum. Doc. 76/10).

| YEAR | CAN | FRA | POR | USSR | OTHER | TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1965 | 3075 | - | - | 55 | - | 3130 |
| 1966 | 4185 | - | - | 2834 | 7 | 7026 |
| 1967 | 2122 | - | - | 6736 | 26 | 8878 |
| 1968 | 4180 | 14 | - | 9146 | - | 13340 |
| 1969 | 10494 | 1 | - | 5207 | 6 | 15708 |
| 1970 | 22814 | 17 | - | 3426 | 169 | 26426 |
| 1971 | 24206 | 49 | - | 13087 | - | 37342 |
| 1972 | 26939 | 358 | - | 11929 | 33 | 39259 |
| 1973 | 28492 | 368 | 406 | 3545 | 4 | 32815 |
| 1974 | 17053 | 60 | 248 | 6952 | - | 24313 |
| 1975 | 18424 | - | 342 | 3891 |  | $22694 *$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Preliminary

Table. 2. Mean number of yellowtail per set for Strata 50 fathoms or less. (Weighted by strata area) (ICNAF Divisions 3LNO).

| A G E | $\gamma$ | , |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} E_{i} \\ A_{R} \end{gathered}$ | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 |
| 3 |  | 1.14 | 2.80 | 0.23 | 0.75 | 0.62 |
| 4 |  | 13.95 | 22.13 | 2.06 | 11.04 | 6.98 |
| 5 |  | 32.80 | 44.70 | 21.62 | 21.26 | 27.71 |
| 6 |  | 62.86 | 48.70 | 34.90 | 35.19 | 25.38 |
| 7 |  | 53.50 | 24.63 | 27.72 | 14.71 | 25.74 |
| 8 |  | 9.11 | 9.63 | 10.78 | 1.64 | 6.99 |
| 9 |  | 3.04 | 1.99 | 4.15 | 0.19 | 0.50 |
| 10 |  | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.21 | - | 0.02 |

Table 3. Cohort Analysis of yellowtail, ICNAF Divisions 3L, $3 N$, and 30 using

| Age | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Par } \\ & \text { Rec } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1968 No. Caught $\times 10^{-3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $1968$ | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 |  |  |
| 4 | 0.01 | 573 |  |  |  |  | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 |
| 5 | 0.15 | 6,202 | 80 2,993 | 141 2.776 | 769 | 1,943 | 3,734 | 1,374 |  |
| 6 | 0.45 | 12,483 | 2,993 13,035 | 2,776 19,839 | 7,534 | 10,128 | 21,280 | 19,800 | 11,240 |
| 7 | 1.00 | 12,483 9,154 | 13,035 | 19,839 20,615 | 30,369 | 12,502 | 23,709 | 18,100 | 17,240 |
| 8 9 | 0.96 | 1,421 | 3,150 | 20,65 4,557 | 22,117 5,869 | 29,416 10,553 | 17,053 | 11,200 | 12,737 |
| 10 | 0.89 0.89 | 47 | 326 | +610 | 5,869 2,152 | 10,553 | 4,718 | 2,400 | 2,536 |
|  | 0.89 | $!$ | 40 | 68 | 245 | 4,206 | 862 | 850 | 372 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 300 | 130 | 23 |
| 5 |  | Population No. $\times 10^{-3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 109,694 | 115,879 | 130,062 | 73,554 | 83,427 | 92,316 | $(84,000)$ |  |
| 6 |  | 55,470 | 75,925 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  | 17,885 | 30,345 | 43,306 | -93,963 | 48,006 | 53,087 | 50,074 |  |
| 9 |  | 2,372 | 5,371 | 12,086 | 14,339 | 43,471 14,013 | 24,803 | 18,921 |  |
| 10 |  | 146 | 534 | 1,267 | 5,031 | 5,571 | 6,885 1,298 | 3,697 |  |
| TOTAL 7 \& over |  | 20,405 | 36,318 | 56,774 | 414 | 1,875 | +507 | 1,040 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 64,396 | 64,930 | 33,493 | 23,878 |  |
| 5 |  | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  |  |  | 23,878 |  |
| 6 |  | 0.30 | 0.26 | 0.32 | 0.13 0.47 | 0.15 0.36 | 0.31 | 0.25 |  |
| 8 |  | 0.90 | 0.62 | 0.81 | 0.85 | 0.36 1.54 | 0.73 | 0.54 |  |
| 9 |  | 1.19 | 1.14 | 0.58 | 0.65 | 2.07 | 1.60 1.59 | 1.16 |  |
| 10 |  | (1.10) | 1.23 | 0.82 | 0.69 | 2.10 | 0.48 | (2.98) |  |
| Mean F (7 \& over) |  | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.74 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.10 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.73 | 1.90 | 1.22 | $\begin{gathered} 1.85 \\ (1.28) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Effort (Total) <br> ('000 hours) |  | 47.3 | 64.4 | 58.0 | 102.0 | 107.9 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 80.4 |  |  |  |  | 87.3 |  |
| Catch (Tons) |  |  | 15,800 | 17,800 | 25,600 | 37,300 |  | $39,300$ | 81.6 |
|  |  | 32,800 |  |  |  |  | 24, 100 |  |  |

Table 4. Total mortality from survey data.

| $\begin{array}{cc} A & Y \\ G & { }_{E} \\ & { }_{R} \end{array}$ | $\frac{1972}{1971}$ | $\frac{1973}{1972}$ | $\frac{1974}{1973}$ | $\frac{1975}{1974}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SURVIVAL |  |  |  |  |
| 4/3 | 19.413 | 0.736 | 48.000 | 9.307 |
| 5/4 | 3.204 | 0.977 | 10.320 | 2.510 |
| 6/5 | 1.484 | 0.781 | 1.165 | 1.194 |
| 7/6 | 0.392 | 0.569 | 0.421 | 0.731 |
| 8/7 | 0.180 | 0.438 | 0.059 | 0.475 |
| 9/8 | 0.218 | 0.431 | 0.018 | 0.305 |
| 10/9 | 0.016 | 0.216 | - | 0.105 |
| Mean Z |  |  |  |  |
| 7/6 \& over | 2.077 | 0.946 | 1.928 | 1.118 |

Table 5. Stock and catch predictions using 1974 population and catches for 1974 and 1975 and predicting to 1977 on the basis of 50 , 60,70 and 80 million recruits in B, C, D and E respectively in 1976, 1976, and 1977.
A.

B.

|  |  |  | 1976 |  |  | 1977 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Ave. Wt. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stock } \\ & \text { No } \times 10^{-3} \end{aligned}$ | Fishing Mortality | $\begin{gathered} \text { Catch } \\ \text { No. } \times 10^{-3} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Stock } \\ \text { No } \times 10^{-3} \end{gathered}$ | Fishing Mortality | $\begin{gathered} \text { Catch } \\ \text { No } \times 10^{-3} \end{gathered}$ |



| C. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1976 |  |  | 1977 |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 0.298 | $(60,000)$ | 0.08 | $(3,993)$ | $(60,000)$ | 0.08 | $(3,993)$ |
| 6 | 0.450 | $(34,860)$ | 0.23 | $(6,224)$ | $(41,032)$ | 0.25 | $(7,890)$ |
| 7 | 0.569 | 15,972 | 0.50 | 5,497 | 20,519 | 0.55 | $(7,602)$ |
| 8 | 0.743 | 5,483 | 0.48 | 1,827 | 7,177 | 0.53 | 2,584 |
| 9 | 0.953 | 1,332 | 0.45 | 422 | 2,513 | 0.49 | 851 |
| 10 | 1.111 | 239 * | 0.45 | 76 | 629 | 0.49 | 213 |
| TOTAL NO.TOTAL WT. (tons) |  | 117,885 |  | 18,038 | 131,869 |  | 23,135 |
|  |  | 48,263 |  | 8,962 | 56,446 |  | 12,034 |


Table 6. Comparison of total biomass, spawning biomass and catches during a period of recent fishing activity with

| Recruits in 1975 (millions of fish at age 5) | Biomass | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1971}{=0.73)} \\ & \text { Tons } \\ & \text { Sp.Biomass } \end{aligned}$ | Catch | Biomass | $\begin{gathered} \frac{1973}{=1.55)} \\ \text { Tons } \\ \text { Sp.Biomass } \end{gathered}$ | Catch | Biomass | $\begin{gathered} \frac{1974}{=1.17)} \\ (F=\text { Tons } \\ \text { Sp.Biomass } \end{gathered}$ | Catch | Biomass | $\left(F^{\frac{1975 *}{=}}=1.10\right)$ <br> Tons Sp.Biomass | Catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 105,500 | 76,800 | 37,300 | 72,400 | 45,900 | 32,800 | 62,300 | 38,100 | 23,200 | 56,400 | 36,600 | 22,300 |
|  |  | $=\frac{1976}{=0.50)}$ |  |  | $(F \stackrel{1977}{=0.55})$ |  |  | $\left(F^{\left.\frac{1978}{x} 0.55\right)}\right.$ |  |  | $(F=1981$ |  |
| 50 | 42,000 | 28,100 | 8,200 | 47,900 | 32,800 | 10,300 | 50,900 | 35,627 | 11,400 | 53,100 | 37,900 | 12,200 |
| 60 | 48,300 | 31,000 | 8,900 | 56,400 | 38,200 | 12,000 | 60,600 | 42,300 | 13,500 | 63,800 | 45,400 | 14,600 |
| 70 | 54,600 | 34,300 | 9,800 | 65,000 | 43,700 | 13,700 | 70,300 | 48,900 | 15,600 | 74,400 | 53,000 | 17,100 |
| 80 | 60,900 | 37,600 | 10,500 | 73,500 | 49,200 | 15,500 | 79,400 | 54,100 | 18,900 | 84,200 | 59,300 | 20,400 |
|  |  |  | *Using average recruitment 65 million at age 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Fig. 1. Map of strata used for random stratified surveys of the Grand Bank.


Fig. 2. Catch per hour of yellowtail by Canada ( $N$ ) otter trawlers. Broken lines directed fishery; solid lines total catch and effort


Fig. 3. Population size of yellowtail, Divisions 3LN0 from Cohort Analysis.


Fig. 4. A. Average number per set (weighted by area) for research vessel surveys;
B. Average weight per set ( kg ) for research vessels and a comparison with catch/hour (tons) by Canada (N) trawlers


Fig. 5. Average number per set from research vessel surveys for Divisions 3 L and 3 N separately.


Fig. 6. Yield per recruit (using partial recruitment) for yellowtait, Divisions 3LN .

