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# REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE ON RESEARCH AND STATISTICS (STACRES) 

Eighth Special Commission Meeting - January 1976

Chairman: A. W. May (Canada)
Rapporteur: V. M. Hodder (ICNAF)

STACRES met at FAO, Rome, Italy, during 12-16 January 1976 to consider the Commission's request for : (a) a review of information concerning implementation of effort reduction in 1976 (Proposal (1) from the Seventh Special Commission Meeting, September 1975; Comm. Doc. $76 / I / 1$ and Addenda); (b) a review of conservation measures for herring stocks in Subareas 4 and 5 and Statistical Area 6; and (c) a review of herring and mackerel size limits. Further meetings were held on 20 and 23 January 1976 to deal with "Other business" items and to give final approval to its report. Representatives were present from Canada, Cuba, Denmark, France, Federal Republic of Germany, German Democratic Republic, Japan, Poland, Portugal, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Kingdom and United States of America, and observers from FAO and ICSEAF.

Ad hoc Working Groups on Fishing Effort Regulation (convened by Mr. A. T. Pinhorn) and Herring (convened by Dr. V. C. Anthony) were assigned the tasks of considering the abovementioned items (a) and (b) respectively, and their reports, as approved by STACRES, are at Appendices I and II. Brief sumaries of these reports, together with other matters considered by STACRES, are given below.

## 1. Groundfish Effort Regulation, Subareas 2 to 4 (App. I)

The major tasks of the Working Group were: (a) to review the base period data and the proposed numbers of fishing days for 1976 , as submitted by member countries subsequent to the September 1975 Special Commission Meeting (Comm. Doc. $76 / I / 1$ and Addenda); (b) to evaluate the effects of these revisions on the effort regulation and to determine the reduction in effort expected to be achieved by the effort regulation in 1976; and (c) to construct a revised table indentical in format to the illustrative table of Proposal (1) from the September 1975 Special Commission Meeting.

The Working Group provided some explanatory notes, additional to those contained in Comm. Doc. 76/I/l and Addenda, for those countries which reported revisions and were represented at sessions of the Working Group. These notes further clarified the procedures used by the countries in revising the data, converting effort between tonnage/ gear categories and transferring effort between areas.

Comparision of the total number of days fished in comparable units for all country/gear/ tonnage categories and for all areas combined for 1972 with the proposed total number of fishing days for 1976 indicates that a decrease of about $23 \%$ is expected, the change ranging from $-8 \%$ in Div. $3 P$ to $-36 \%$ in Div. 3M. For countries other than coastal states, the decrease in total effort for all areas between 1972 and 1976 is expected to be about $32 \%$, the change ranging from $-22 \%$ in Div. 4 VWx to about $-40 \%$ in Div. 3 M , Div. 3LNO and Div. 3P. A similar comparison of effort expended in 1973 with proposed effort for 1976 indicates a decrease of $23 \%$ for all countries and areas combined, the change ranging from $+13 \%$ in Div. $3 M$ to $-36 \%$ in Div. $4 V W X$. For countries other than coastal states, the decrease in total effort for all areas between 1973 and 1976 is expected to be about $33 \%$, the change ranging from $+3 \%$ in Div. $3 M$ to $-50 \%$ in Div. 4 VWX (see Appendix I, Table 1). While the total amount of effort was about the same in 1972 and 1973, there was a general shift in fishing activity from the northern areas in 1972 to the southern areas in 1973. The revisions to 1973 effort data, as reported by some countries, resulted in an overall $8 \%$ increase in effort for all areas combined.

A table was constructed, identical in format to the illustrative table in Proposal (1) from the September 1975 Special Meeting, but using the revised base period data and the proposed numbers of fishing days for 1976 , as submitted by member countries since the September 1975 Meeting (Comm. Doc. $76 / \mathrm{I} / 1$ and Addenda). All proposed revisions received up to 22 January 1976 have been incorporated into the table of fishing effort for 1976 (see Appendix I, Table 2).

Following a discussion of the definition of "days fished", it was concluded that a redefinition of the effort concept is undesirable from a scientific viewpoint, since it would disrupt the historical data on fishing effort, have the effect of rejecting an internationally-used definition, and create new uncertainties about the relationship of fishing effort to fishing mortality. It was pointed out that, if the effort concept "days fished" were redefined for regulatory purposes, adjustments would have to be made
both in the base period data and in the proposed fishing effort of each country for 1976.

The present list of species in the effort regulation proposal was considered to be ambiguous with reference to the definition of "other pelagics" and "sharks". It was concluded that, if the Commission wished to clarify this matter, the ICNAF List of species should be used as the basis for clarification.

The question of excluding the fishery for roundnose grenadier from the effort regulation was discussed. From a biological point of view, with the present state of knowledge of the roundnose grenadier resource and its role in the ecosystem and since data for this species were included in the analyses of fishing effort data and the development of the data base, the exclusion of roundnose grenadier could not be recommended at this time. It was pointed out that, if the species were to be excluded for practical reasons, a smaller reduction in fishing effort would result, unless the total effort, as presently proposed for 1976, was reduced by the estimated amount of effort applicable to the roundnose grenadier fishery.
2. Herring Assessments (App. II)

The status of herring stocks under ICNAF's management regime was evaluated in accordance with the Commission's request when proposals for regulation in 1976 were deferred from the 1975 Annual Meeting. Because of new.information on the inter-relationship of stocks in Subarea 4 (Res. Doc. 75/38), the assessment of the Subarea 4 stocks was undertaken for Div. 4V and Div. 4WX as separate management areas. Earlier assessments and previous conservation measures were based on managing the herring fishery in the northeastern part of Div. 4W together with the fishery in Div. 4 V as a stock unit (i.e. Div. 4VW (a) .

Provisional statistics for 1975 indicate that herring catches in the various management areas were as follows: 3,600 tons in Div. $4 V$ for the first half of the $1975 / 76$ season, 143,400 tons in Div. 4WX, 20,500 tons in Div. $5 Y$, and 143,300 tons in Div. $5 z$ and Stat. Area 6. Further details on catches in 1975 are given in Table 1 of the Report of the ad hoc Working Group on Herring (Appendix II). In all areas under management, the 1970 year-class continued, as in 1974, to make up the major proportion of the catches, and this situation is not expected to change appreciably in 1976.

Management advice on TACs (total allowable catches) from the various herring stocks in 1976, based on the most recent assessments, follows below. A summary of previous and proposed management regimes for herring in Subareas 4 and 5 and Stat. Area 6 is given in Table 1.

Table 1. Summary of previous and proposed management regimes for herring in Subareas 4 and 5 and Stat. Area 6.

| Stock area | $\frac{\text { Nominal catches ( } 000 \text { tons) }}{1971}$ |  |  |  |  | TACs (000 tons) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4VW (a) | 72 | 32 | 30 | 44 | $31^{2}$ | - | 45 | $30^{2}$ | - |
| 4VW (a) |  | sonal | - July | to J | ne) | - | - | $45^{3}$ | - |
| 4XW(b) (adults) | 70 | 75 | 91 | 89 |  | 90 | 90 | 90 | - |
| Proposed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4V | (seasonal - July to June) |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | $11^{4}$ |
| 4WX (adults) | (calendar year) ${ }^{5} 143$ |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | $118^{6}$ |
| $5 Y$ (adults) | 39 | 43 | 16 | 18 | 20 | 25 | 25 | 16 | 47 |
| 5Z+6 | 267 | 174 | 202 | 148 | 143 | 150 | 150 | 150 | $60^{7}$ |

[^0]
## a) Herring in Division 4V

The advise for this stock remains unchanged from that recommended at the 1975 Annual Meeting, i.e. a TAC of 11,000 tons for the period from 1 July 1976 to 30 June 1977.
b) Herring in Divisions 4 W and 4 X

In developing advice for the conservation of herring in Div. 4WX, it was necessary to allow for the fact that the present management regime for Div. $4 \mathrm{VW}(a)$ involves a TAC for July 1975 to June 1976 of 45,000 tons, which is not partitioned between Div. 4V and Div. 4W(a). The TAC recommended for Div. 4WX is 118,000 tons for 1976. Since a catch of 34,000 tons is expected to be taken in Div. 4W(a) during JanuaryJune $1976^{1}$, an amount of 84,000 tons is left to be taken in Div. $4 \times \mathrm{xW}$ (b) during January-December 1976 and in Div. $4 \mathrm{~W}(\mathrm{a})$ during July-Decebmer 1976.

The stock size (age 4 and older) in Div. $4 W X$ is expected to decline from 472,000 tons at the beginning of 1976 to 273,000 tons at the start of 1977. It was agreed that the stock size should not be allowed to decline below 250,000 tons. If the 1972 and 1973 year-classes are not substantially larger than presently estimated, a TAC of about 85,000 tons is implied for 1977.
c) Herring in Division 5Y

At the 1974 Annual Meeting (Proc. No. 20, App. II), the Commission agreed that the adult stock should be maintained at a minimum of 60,000 tons, and that the 1976 TAC would not be increased above that for 1975 unless the adult stock size at the end of 1975 had reached a level (110,000 tons) which would provide the MSY (maximum sustainable yield) by the end of 1976. The stock size (age 4 and older) is now calculated to be 58,000 tons at the beginning of 1976 , in contrast to 64,000 tons estimated at the April 1975 Meeting of the Assessments Subcommittee (Redbook 1975, page 46) ${ }^{2}$. In order to maintain the adult stock size at the minimum level of 60,000 tons, a TAC of 4,000 tons or less is recommended for 1976 , based on the assumption that the size of the recruiting 1973 year-class is about the same as the 1971 and 1972 year-classes (i.e. 64 million fish at age 3).

During the course of the Commission Meeting, STACRES was requested to examine the implication of assuming a higher level for the size of the 1973 year-class, as was done in assessing the stock in Div. 5 z and Stat. Area 6. This higher level for the 1973 year-class is based on the average of the sizes of the 1968 and 1972 yearclasses at age 3 (i.e. 91 million fish). This procedure, when applied to the Div. 5 Y stock, results in an adult stock size of 61,000 tons at the beginning of 1976 (about 3,000 tons higher than the level estimated by assuming the smaller size for the 1973 year-class). In order to maintain the adult stock size at the minimum level of 60,000 tons, a TAC of 7,000 tons could be taken in 1976 with fishing mortality $(F=0.17)$ less than one-half of the $F_{0} 1$ level. If 7,000 tons are taken in 1976 and if the assumption as to the lower ievel of the 1973 year-class is the more correct one, the stock size at the end of 1976 would be reduced to about 56,000 tons.
d) Herring in Division 52 and Statistical Area 6

In this area, as in the others, the 1975 fishery was heavily dependent on the 1970 year-class. The 1971 and 1972 year-classes appear to be no better than the poorest observed in the fishery. The 1973 year-class also appears to be poor and, for assessment purposes, was taken to be in the range of $550-620$ million fish at age 3 .

At its Fourth Special Meeting in January 1974 (Proc. No. 7, App. II), the Commission agreed that an adult stock size of at least 225,000 tons be maintained to the beginning of 1977 and that the TAC could not be increased unless the adult stock size

1 An estimated amount of 10,000 tons taken in December 1975 was considered to be 1976 catch for purposes of assessment and projected regulation, and this amount is included in the catch estimate of 34,000 tons. Since a similar situation will also pertain late in 1976, the Commission may wish to consider that the TAC in Div. 4WX apply for the period 1 November 1975 to 31 October 1976.
2 That assessment assumed that 15,000 tons (TAC of 16,000 tons minus 1,000 tons, representing the allocations for Federal Republic of Germany and German Democratic Republic which these countries agreed not to take) would be taken in 1975, and indicated that a TAC of 9,000 tons would maintain the adult stock at 60,000 tons at the start of 1977. Since the 1975 catch is now reported to be 20,500 tons, the projected 1976 catch must therefore be substantially lower than 9,000 tons.
at the end of 1975 had reached a level ( 500,000 tons) which would provide the MSY by the end of 1976 . This level of stock size was not reached, and, in order to maintain the stock size at the minimum level of 225,000 tons, the TAC for 1976 should not exceed 60,000 tons, a value derived from using the higher of the two levels assumed as to the size of the 1973 year-class. Under these conditions, it is noted that a TAC lower than 60,000 tons would allow a slight increase in stock size, a first step in the Commission's goal of rebuilding the stock even at a low recruitment level.

## e) Management Strategy of Stock Rebuilding

For the herring stocks in Div. 5 Y and Div. 5 Z plus Stat. Area 6, the Commission has adopted the goal of rebuilding stock sizes to levels capable of producing the maximum sustainable yield (and thus reducing the likelihood of recruitment failure). Such rebuilding can only be accomplished by harvesting less than the annual increase due to growth and recruitment. At current levels of stock size, the annual surplus depends almost entirely on the size of the recruiting year-class (age 3 ), which is composed partly of immature fish and which is presently being harvested at an age less than that giving the maximum yield-per-recruit.

To assess the long-term consequences of specific management options, several projections were made, assuming the recent poor levels of recruitment. For Div. 5Y, a TAC of 9,000 tons maintained for $1976-82$ would result in continuing decline in stock size. For Div. 52 and Stat. Area 6, a TAC of 60,000 tons for 1976-82 would allow the stock to rebuild slowly to only $58 \%$ of the desired level of 500,000 tons by 1982. However, if moderate to good recruitment of new year-classes were to occur, the stocks would increase very quickly; for example, given a TAC of 60,000 tons and recruitment equivalent to that provided by the 1970 year-class, the Georges Bank stock would increase to the 500,000 -ton level within a single year.

## 3. Review of Herring and Mackerel Size Limits

a) Herring
a) At its January 1972 Special Meeting, the Commission introduced size limit regulations for the fisheries in Subarea 5 and part of Subarea 4 to the effect that a vessel may not take during a calendar year herring less than 9 inches ( 22.7 cm ) in total length in an amount exceeding $10 \%$ by weight of all herring caught by the vessel during the year (Comm. Doc. 75/6, page 29). The regulation was amended at the January 1974 Special Meeting by adding a " $25 \%$ by count" exemption to the already existing " $10 \%$ by weight" exemption. A further amendment to the regulation was made at the June 1974 Annual Meeting to the effect that the period to which the exemption applied was reduced from an "annual basis" to a "per trip basis", where a trip was considered for the purpose of the regulation to be not more than 90 days on the fishing ground as determined from examination of the logbook.

STACRES noted that no new biological information was available that would indicate the need for a change in the minimum size limit.
b) Mackerel

At its April 1975 Meeting, the Assessments Subcommittee strongly recommended the implementation of a minimum size limit regulation of 25 cm total length for mackerel in Subareas 3 to 5 and Stat. Area 6 , and this was endorsed by STACRES at its June 1975 Annual Meeting (Redbook 1975, pages 15 and 52). STACRES once again reemphasizes the need for such a minimum size limit regulation for the mackerel fishery in the ICNAF Area.
4. Other Business
a) Management of Greenland Fisheries for Cod

At its 1974 Annual Meeting, the Commission requested the Secretariat to point out to NEAFC (Northeast Atlantic Fisheries Commission) the problems involved in managing the cod fishery at West Greenland when the stocks migrate between West and East Greenland. The matter was considered by ICES at its 1975 Annual Meeting, and a meeting of the ICES Northwestern Working Group was scheduled for $8-12$ March 1976 at Charlottenlund to (i) investigate the inter-relationship between cod at East and West Greenland and adjacent waters, and (ii) report separately on the state of the stocks of cod and haddock in Icelandic and adjacent waters. ICNAF was invited to participate in the discussion under item (i) above.

STACRES noted that several scientists from ICNAF Member Countries would be participating in the discussions of the Working Group, and nominated Mr. Sv. Aa. Horsted as the ICNAF representative to report to the April 1976 Meeting of the Assessments Subcommittee on progress and recommendations from the March 1976 Meeting of the ICES Working Group.
b) Reporting of Oceanographic Data to MEDS

STACRES took note of recommendations made at its June 1975 Annual Meeting regarding the designation of a national representative in each country who would be responsible for the submission of national oceanographic data to MEDS (Canadian Marine Environmental Data Service) within six months of its collection, so that MEDS can produce annual summaries of environmental conditions in the ICNAF Area (Redbook 1975, page 18). STACRES re-emphasized the necessity of having the names of the designated representatives and requested the Secretariat to bring the matter to the attention of member countries.
c) Meeting of Environmental Working Group

STACRES was informed that the Environmental Working Group (Mr. E. J. Sandeman, Convener) will meet at Szczecin, Poland, during the last week of April 1976 to continue discussion of its fisheries oceanographic program which was initiated at the 1974 Annual Meeting.
d) Workshop on Ageing Techniques

Mr. E.C. Lopez-Veiga presented a brief report on the Workshop which was held at Vigo, Spain, in October 1975. The studies on ageing of cod were completed and a report is being prepared for distribution prior to the April 1976 Meeting of the Assessments Subcommittee. However, the planned investigation of silver hake ageing techniques did not materialize, and it was strongly emphasized that these studies be carried out as soon as possible. STACRES accordingly
recommends
i) that a meeting of silver hake ageing experts from canada, USSR and USA be held as soon as possible, preferably before or during the April 1976 Meeting of the Assessments Subcommittee but no later than May 1976, and
ii) that scientists of the three countries meet before the end of the present Commission Meeting to decide on the time and place for the silver hake workshop, and inform the sechetariat.

## 5. Approval of Report and Adjournment

STACRES met on 20 January 1976 to give final approval to its Report, which was presented to the Commission by its Chairman (Dr. A. W. May) on the preceding day. A further brief session was held on 23 January 1976 to consider the Commission's request for additional information on herring in Div. 5Y. In adjourning this Special Meeting of STACRES, the Chairman expressed his appreciation for the facilities provided by the FAO Fisheries Department and thanked the participants for their cooperation and excellent work during the meetings of STACRES and its Working Groups.
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# APPENDIX I - REPORT OF AD HOC WORKING GROUP ON FISHING EFFORT REGULATION IN SUBAREAS 2 to 4. 

Chairman: A. T. Pinhorn (Canada)
The ad hoc Working Group on Fishing Effort Regulation in Subareas 2 to 4 met during the week of 12-15 January 1976 at FAO, Rome, Italy, to evaluate the implications of the revisions to the basic fishing effort data and the 1976 allocated days fished, which have been reported to the Secretariat (Comm. Doc. 76/I/1 and Addenda) after the Seventh Special Commission Meeting in September 1975.

## 1. Terms of Reference

The Working Group was given the following terms of reference by STACRES:
a) To review the submissions by each country and to comment or provide further explanation of the revisions to the basic data and to the proposed fishing effort for 1976 where necessary.
b) To compare for each area the total number of fishing days proposed for 1976 by all countries combined with the revised 1972 and 1973 data on fishing effort.
c) To construct a table identical in format to the illustrative table at Attachment 2 of Proposal (1) for International Regulation of Fishing Effort for Groundfish in Subareas 2, 3 and 4 of the Convention Area, adopted at the September 1975 Special Commission Meeting, but using the revised base period data and proposed fishing effort data for 1976, as contained in Comm. Doc. 76/I/I and Addenda or as revised at the meeting of the Working Group.
2. Review of Revised Basic Data and Changes in Proposed Allocation of Days Fished for 1972.

The Working Group reviewed the revised base period data and the proposed allocation of fishing effort for 1976, as submitted by member countries after the September 1975 Special Commission Meeting and summarized in Comm. Doc. 76/I/1 and Agenda. The following explanatory notes are additional to those contained in the document for those countries that were represented in the Working Group and for which additional explanation of revised data was necessary.

## a) Bulgaria

The numbers of fishing days requested for 1976 in Subarea 2 and Div. 3K, in Div. 3LNO, and in Div. 4VWX (total of 380 days) are mainly additional to those allocated to Bulgaria at the September 1975 Special Commission Meeting (total of 21 days).
b) Japan

The revised numbers of fishing days submitted by Japan are identical to those allocated at the September 1975 Special Commission Meeting, except for increasing the number from 170 to 179 days fished for the $2000+$ tonnage category in Div. 4VWX and decreasing the number from 18 to 9 days fished for the 10001999 tonnage category also in Div. 4VWX, because of an error found in 1973 statistics as previously reported to ICNAF.
c) Poland

The revised numbers of days fished, as reported in Comm. Doc. 76/1/1, contain fishing effort for both demersal and pelagic species. After discussion, the Working Group concluded that, since the fishing effort regulation is for groundfish, any effort directed at pelagic species should be excluded, as was the case for the effort data in the illustrative table of Proposal (1) from the September 1975 Special Commission Meeting. Consequently, an estimate of the fishing effort directed at pelagic species in each area was subtracted from the reported number of days fished. The polish representative also indicated that previous statistics on days fished had been reported according to a definition used nationally, i.e. any days on which less than 8 hours of fishing took place were excluded. The previously reported days fished for
groundfish were therefore corrected to comply with the ICNAF definition of days fished, and this resulted in the revised data on days fished for 1973 shown in Table 2 for Poland. Removal of effort data for pelagic species resulted in revision of the base data (expressed in days fished according to the ICNAF definition) as follows:

| Tonnage | Effort | 2+3K | 3LNO | 3M | 3P | 4VWX |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1000-1999 | Total effort | - | - | - | - | 78 |
|  | Pelagic effort | - | - | - | - | 38 |
|  | Groundfish effort | - | - | - | - | 40 |
| 2000 -over |  | Total effort | 2422 | 563 | 102 | 18 |
|  | Pelagic effort | 53 | 17 | 9 | 1 | - |
|  | Groundfish effort | 2369 | 546 | 93 | 17 | 11 |

## d) Portugal

The data given for Portugal in Comm. Doc. 76/I/l provides information on areas and tonnage/gear categories to which fishing effort had been transferred only and does not provide information on effort data remaining in other categories to which no effort was transferred or on conversion factors used for the transfers. Attention was also drawn to the fact that some errors were found in the Portuquese submission, in that some dory vessel effort remained after transfer and conversion; these have subsequently been taken into account and the data converted and included in other gear/tonnage categories. The details of the transfers, the conversion factors used and the number of fishing days requested for 1976 are fully described in Addenda II to Comm. Doc. 76/I/1.
e) USSR

Historically, the USSR has reported fishing effort by midwater trawls and bottom trawls as otter trawl effort, with no distinction between gears. The USSR statistical office traditionally associated bottom fish (groundfish) catches with bottom trawl effort and pelagic fish catches with midwater trawl effort. This was in error, as some groundfish are caught in midwater trawls and some pelagic fish in bottom trawls. Revision of the base period data for Subarea 2 and Div. 3 K and for Div. 3LNO, correcting for these errors, results in the values for fishing effort by gear type shown in Table 2.
f) USA

Historically, the USA has reported fishing effort on the basis of a national rather than the ICNAF definition of days fished. As the national definition is essentially the number of hours, during which vessels are engaged in fishing operations, divided by 24 , the actual number of calendar days on which fishing took place is substantially greater than the number of days reported in the ICNAF statistics. US effort data for 1972 and 1973 have been converted to days fished according to the ICNAF definition, on the basis of reanalysis of fishing logbook data for these years to determine the relationship between fishing effort in terms of the US national definition and days fished by the ICNAF definition. The converted numbers of days fished based on the ICNAF definition are given in Table 2.

## Other Countries

Since not all countries were represented at the meetings of the Working Group during 12-15 January 1976, further minor revisions to Table 2 are to be expected during the course of the Commission Meeting (see Section 4 below).
3. Comparison of Proposed Fishing Effort for 1976 with Revised Effort for 1972 l and 1973.

In order to determine the overall effect of the proposed reduction in fishing effort for groundfish in Subareas 2, 3 and 4 from the base years of 1972 and 1973

1 The term "partially revised" is used in referring to 1972 base year data, as the revised numbers of days fished for some countries were not available and the reported numbers of days fished were used, whereas the revised effort data for 1973 were available for almost all countries.
to 1976 , the following procedure was used. The revised fishing effort (days fished) for 1973 and the partially revised data for 1972 , as well as the proposed numbers of days fished for 1976 in each tonnage/gear category for each country, were converted to standard Spanish 1000-1999 GRT otter trawl days fished for Subarea 2 plus Div. 3K, Div. 3LNO, Div. 3M, and Div. 3P, and to standard Canada (M) 150-499 GRT otter trawl days fished for Div. 4VWX, by using appropriate conversion factors based on relative catch rates in recent years. These standardized effort values were then totalled over all tonnage/gear categories for each area. In combining the total for all areas, the number of fishing days for Div. 4VWX, based on Canada (M) 150-499 GRT otter trawl standard, was converted to the Spanish 10001999 GRT otter trawl standard by using a conversion factor of 0.38 which is the average of conversion factors for the $1969-73$ period. The results of the comparisons are given in Table 1.

Table 1. Percentage change in revised effort (days flshed) relative to reported effort for 1973, and in the proposed effort for 1976 relative to the revised effort for 1973 and the partially revised effort for 1972 .

| Area | Percentage change in revised 1973 effort relative to reported effort for 1973 |  | Percentage change in proposed 1976 effort relative to revised effort for 1973 |  | Percentage change in proposed 1976 effort relative to partially revised 1972 effort |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All countries | Excluding coastal states ${ }^{1}$ | A11 <br> countries | Excluding coastal states ${ }^{1}$ | All <br> countries | Excluding coastal states ${ }^{1}$ |
| 2+3K | +10 | $+11$ | -15 | -18 | -20 | -23 |
| 3M | + 1 | $+1$ | +13 | + 3 | -36 | -40 |
| 3LNO | +17 | +24 | -26 | -39 | -32 | -41 |
| 3P | < 1 | + 1 | -15 | -32 | - 8 | -41 |
| 4VWX | $<1$ | < 1 | -36 | -50 | -12 | -22 |
| Total | +8 | +10 | -23 | -33 | -23 | -32 |

1 Coastal states are considered to be Canada, France and USA.

Revisions to basic statistics for 1973, submitted by member countries after the September 1975 Special Commission Meeting, resulted in an increase in the total number of days fished by about $8 \%$ for all countries and areas combined when compared with the 1973 effort data available at that meeting. The change ranged from less than $+1 \%$ in Div. $3 P$ and Div. $4 V W X$ to $+17 \%$ in Div. 3LNO (Table 1).

Relative to the revised effort data for 1973 , the number of fishing days proposed for 1976 under the effort regulation is expected to be $23 \%$ less for all countries and areas combined, the change ranging from $+13 \%$ in Div. 3 M to $-36 \%$ in Div. 4VWx. When the data for coastal states are excluded from the analysis, the total fishing effort in 1976 for the remaining countries in all areas combined is expected to be $33 \%$ less than the 1973 level based on revised data, the change ranging from $+3 \%$ in Div. 3 M to $-50 \%$ in Div. 4 VWX .

Table 1 also indicates that, relative to the partially revised effort data for 1972, the 1976 fishing effort for all countries and areas combined is expected to decrease about $23 \%$, the change ranging from $-8 \%$ in Div. 3 P to $-36 \%$ in Div. 3 M . When the data for coastal states are excluded, the total fishing effort in 1976 for the remaining countries in all areas combined is expected to be $32 \%$ less than the 1972 level, the change ranging from $-22 \%$ in Div. 4 VWX to $-41 \%$ in Div. 3LNO and Div. 3P. The similarity of the overall percentage reductions from the 1972 and the 1973 levels of effort is because the total effort for both years is approximately the same, but in 1973 there was a general shift of fishing effort from the northern to the southern areas.

The conclusions drawn from Table 1 can only be considered as providing an approximate measure of the overall effect of the proposed reduction in fishing effort, considering the uncertainties in comparing different fleets fishing for different species, using overall conversion factors based on groundfish catch and effort statistics.
4. Revised Fishing Effort for the Base Period and Nationally Proposed Effort for 1976

Table 2 contains the revised effort data (in days fished) for the base years and the proposed fishing effort for 1976, based on information contained in Comm. Doc. $76 / I / 1$ and Addenda including revisions made during meetings of the Working Group and further revisions reported to the Chairman of the Working Group up to 22 January 1976. This table is intended to replace the illustrative table of Proposal (1) for International Regulation of Fishing Effort for Groundfish in Subareas 2, 3 and 4 of the Convention Area, adopted at the Seventh Special Commission Meeting, Montreal, September 1975.

Table 2. Fishing effort data for base period and proposed fishing effort for 1976. (This table is intended to replace the illustrative table of Prosposal (1) for International Regulation of Fishing Effort in Subareas 2, 3 and 4 of the Convention Area, adopted at the Seventh Special Commission Meeting, September 1975.

| Country | Base period | Vessel tonnage | Gear | Base data (days fished) for groundfish by area |  |  |  |  | Nationally proposed fishing days for 1976 by area ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 2+3K | 3LNO | 3M | 3P | 4VWX | 2+3K | 3LN0 | 3M | 3 P | 4VWX |
| Bulgaria | 72-73 | $\begin{aligned} & 2000 \text {-over } \\ & 2000 \text {-over } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OTB } \\ & \text { OTM } \end{aligned}$ | 2 | $19$ | - | - | - | 80 | 80 | - | - | $220$ |
| Canada | 72-73 | $\begin{aligned} & 150-499 \\ & 150-499 \\ & 150-499 \\ & 500-999 \\ & 500-999 \end{aligned}$ | OTB <br> OTM <br> LL <br> ОТВ <br> OTM | 63 11 | $\begin{array}{r} 1330 \\ 140 \\ 5880 \end{array}$ | 7 4 | $\begin{array}{r} 1436 \\ 47 \\ 44 \\ 1053 \\ 103 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5222 \\ 76 \\ 176 \\ 2439 \\ 102 \end{array}$ | 1200 | $\begin{array}{r} 1600 \\ 150 \\ 7100 \end{array}$ | 500 | $\begin{array}{r} 2200 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 1500 \\ 100 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5100 \\ 100 \\ 200 \\ 2400 \\ 100 \end{array}$ |
| Cuba |  | 2000-over | Отв |  |  |  |  |  | 250 | 450 | 225 | - | 810 |
| Denmark | 73 | $\begin{aligned} & 150-499 \\ & 150-499 \\ & 500-999 \\ & 500-999 \end{aligned}$ | OTB LL OTB OTM | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \\ 150 \\ 311 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ 76 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $211 \overline{5}$ | $\overline{7}$ | $\begin{array}{r} - \\ 303 \\ 43 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 540 \\ 306 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 83 | $\begin{array}{r} 1500 \\ 100 \end{array}$ | 85 75 17 | $\begin{array}{r} 140 \\ 125 \\ 33 \end{array}$ |
| France | 72-73 | $\begin{gathered} 150-499 \\ 1000-1999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OTB } \\ & \text { OTB } \end{aligned}$ | $411 \overline{7}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 108 \\ & 269 \end{aligned}$ | $105$ | $\begin{aligned} & 288 \\ & 109 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 102 \\ & 492 \end{aligned}$ | $417$ | $\begin{aligned} & 108 \\ & 269 \end{aligned}$ | 105 | $\begin{aligned} & 288 \\ & 109 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 102 \\ & 492 \end{aligned}$ |
| FRG | 73 | $\begin{aligned} & 1000-1999 \\ & 2000 \text {-over } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OTB } \\ & \text { OTB } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 243 \\ 1090 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 71 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ 33 \end{array}$ | - | $\overline{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 123 \\ & 802 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ 44 \end{array}$ | 3 17 | - | - |
| GDR | 72 | $\begin{gathered} 500-999 \\ 1000-1999 \\ 1000-1999 \\ 2000-\text { over } \\ 2000 \text {-over } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OTB } \\ & \text { OTB } \\ & \text { OTM } \\ & \text { OTB } \\ & \text { OTM } \end{aligned}$ | 1120 - 165 | $\begin{array}{r}65 \\ \hline \\ \hline\end{array}$ | - | 2 | $\begin{array}{r} 199 \\ - \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}682 \\ - \\ \hline 234\end{array}$ | 38 <br>  <br> 30 | - <br>  | - <br> - <br> - | - |
| Japan | 73 | $\begin{aligned} & 1000-1999 \\ & 2000 \text {-over } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OTB } \\ & \text { OTB } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 78 \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 31 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \\ 179 \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 78 \end{array}$ | - | 1 31 | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \\ 179 \end{array}$ |
| Norway | 73 | $\begin{gathered} 150-499 \\ 150-499 \\ 500-999 \\ 500-999 \\ 1000-1999 \end{gathered}$ | OTB <br> LL <br> OTB <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{LL}} \mathrm{OTB}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 89 \\ 252 \\ 133 \\ 99 \\ 73 \end{array}$ | 33 | 93 | 243 | 112 | $\begin{aligned} & 300 \\ & 130 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 135 \\ 14 \end{array}$ | 288 | 304 | 250 |
| Poland | 73 | $\begin{aligned} & 1000-1999 \\ & 2000 \text {-over } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OTB } \\ & \text { OTB } \end{aligned}$ | $2369$ |  |  | $17$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | 1535 | 300 | 80 | - | - |
| Portugal | 73 | $\begin{gathered} 500-999 \\ 500-999 \\ 1000-1999 \\ 1000-1999 \\ 1000-1999 \\ 2000 \text {-over } \end{gathered}$ | DV <br> GN <br> ОTB <br> DV <br> GN <br> ОТВ | $\begin{array}{r} - \\ 1778 \\ - \\ 817 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 200 \\ 359 \\ 1942 \\ 52 \\ 584 \\ 752 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 592 \\ 26 \overline{6} \\ 2- \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 116 \\ - \\ 165 \\ 27 \\ 17 \\ 34 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ 217 \\ 7 \\ 2 \\ 119 \end{array}$ | - <br> 1496 <br> - <br> 589 | $\begin{array}{r} 483 \\ 1362 \\ 522 \\ 527 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}59 \\ \hline- \\ \hline-86\end{array}$ | 5 <br> 8 <br> 24 <br> 9 <br> 5 | 7 <br> 2 <br> 35 <br> 5 <br> 19 |
| Romania | 72-73 | 2000-over | ОТВ | 175 | 33 | 10 | 2 | - | 80 | 80 | 25 | 35 | - |
| Spain | 72-73 | $\begin{gathered} 150-499 \\ 500-999 \\ 1000-1999 \\ 1000-1999 \end{gathered}$ | PT <br> PT <br> отв <br> PT | $\begin{array}{r} 47 \\ 42 \\ 245 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5240 \\ 1852 \\ 386 \\ 291 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 8 \\ 233 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1118 \\ 237 \\ 128 \\ 57 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1459 \\ 740 \\ 257 \\ 120 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 215 \\ 183 \\ 558 \\ 22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2136 \\ 1818 \\ 302 \\ 202 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 34 \\ & 82 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 408 \\ 349 \\ 105 \\ 43 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 341 \\ 288 \\ 116 \\ 33 \end{array}$ |

Table 2. (continued)

| Country | Base period | Vessel tonnage | Gear | Base data (days fished) for groundfish by area |  |  |  |  | Nationally proposed fishing days for 1976 by area ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 2+3K | 3LNO | 3M | 3P | 4VWX | 2+3K | 3LNO | 3 M | 3 P | 4VWX |
| USSR | 72-73 | 150-499 | OTB | - | 1024 | 23 | 1902 | 53 | 14 | 563 | 23 | 172 | 53 |
|  |  | 500-999 | OTB | 14 | 94 | 7 | 6 | 108 | 14 | 94 | 7 | 6 |  |
|  |  | 1000-1999 | OTB | - | - | , | 154 | 6827 | 3505 | 1051 | 736 | 154 | $342{ }^{-}$ |
|  |  | 2000-over | ОТВ | 4981 | 2863 | 1304 | 154 | 6827 | 3505 | 1051 | 736 | 154 | 3425 |
|  |  | 2000-over | OTM | 624 | 1203 | - | - | - | 343 | 662 | - | - | - |
| UK | 72 | $\begin{gathered} 500-999 \\ 1000-1999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OTB } \\ & \text { OTB } \end{aligned}$ | 60 | 43 | 97 | - | 85 | 616 | 246 | 370 | - |  |
|  |  |  |  | 653 | 504 | 642 |  | 85 | 616 | 246 | 370 |  | - |
| USA | 72-73 | $\begin{aligned} & 150-499 \\ & 150-499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OTB } \\ & \text { OTM } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | 1617 | - | - |  | - | 1883 81 |
|  |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |  | - |  |  | ${ }^{-}$ | 81 |
| Others |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

1 Italy requested 250 fishing days in Subareas 2, 3 and 4 for 1976.

## 5. Definition of Days Fished

The effort concept "days fished", as used by ICNAF and many other international fisheries agencies, is defined as "the number of 24 -hour periods, reckoned from midnight to midnight, during which any fishing took place". The Working Group considered it necessary to discuss the matter, as it was suggested in the submission of revised data by one country that "days fished" be redefined.

The Working Group concluded that, if the intention of the suggestion is that the Commission redefine the fishing day for the purpose of enforcement of the fishing effort regulation in Subareas 2 to 4 only, the matter could best be discussed in a committee other than STACRES. However, if the suggestion implied that "days fished" be redefined for purposes of reporting effort data to ICNAF for publication in the Statistical Bulletin, the implications of such a change would have to be considered more fully by STACRES prior to any change being made. It was pointed out that, if "days fished" were redefined for regulatory purposes, adjustments would have to be made in both the base period data and the proposed fishing effort in 1976 for each country, in order to maintain comparability between the two periods, and that it would not be possible for countries to make these adjustments at this meeting. Considered from a scientific viewpoint, the redefinition of "days fished" is undesirable, disrupting the historical data on fishing effort, rejecting an internationally-used definition, and creating new uncertainties concerning the relationship of fishing effort to fishing mortality.

The definition of "days fished" for groundfish for the purpose of regulation is a matter for STACREM and/or STACTIC to discuss. It was pointed out that the definition used in constructing the fishing effort tabulations for the base period is relevant to STACTIC's deliberations, as the use of a different definition will change the effectiveness of the regulation in limiting fishing mortality. In constructing the base period tabulations, fishing effort was considered to be the number of days fished for groundfish if more than $50 \%$ of the corresponding catch consisted of groundfish species, irrespective of the species which may have been sought.

## 6. Definition of Species Included in the Regulation

The species listed as exclusions in the effort regulation proposal, approved at the September 1975 Special Commission Meeting, were reviewed. It was concluded that the present list is ambiguous, particularly in relation to the definition of "other pelagics" and "sharks". It was pointed out that the fishing effort tabulations for the base years of 1972 and 1973 included all fishing effort by vessels greater than 150 GRT , except for herring, mackerel, capelin, and small quantities of effort for such pelagic species as tunas, swordfish, Atlantic saury and large sharks. By implication, the 1976 effort levels for regulation should apply to all finfish species other than those listed above, unless the Commission decides to include or exclude particular species, in which case the ICNAF List of

Species (in Statistical Bulletin Vol. 24) could be used to further clarify the issue. The regulation would then apply to all fishing effort for finfish species listed in the ICNAF List of Species under the categories Principal Groundfish, Flounders, Other Groundfish and Other Finfish (with the exception of capelin, porbeagle and other sharks); species listed in the groups Principal Pelagics and Other Pelagics would be excluded. The number of species excluded under this definition is larger than the number excluded in compiling the base period tabulations of fishing effort, but the species involved do not occur in fishable quantities in Subareas 2 to 4.

The Working Group discussed the implications of excluding the fishery for roundnose grenadier from the effort regulation. From the biological point of view, if a species is an integral part of the groundfish ecosystem, it should be included in the overall regulation of the system. It should be excluded only if it is found to belong to a completely separate ecosystem, it does not interact to any significant degree with the ecosystem being regulated, and it can be fished separately. In the case of roundnose grenadier, although the fishery is relatively distinct from the fishery for other species, the by-catch being only $15 \%$ in the directed fishery for roundnose grenadier by the German Democratic Republic and the species occurring at depths greater than for most other species, very little is known about the role it plays in the groundfish ecosystem. A 1974 assessment of the state of the stock in Subarea 2 and Div. 3 K based on very limited data indicated that the portion of the stock being fished at the time was probably fully exploited but not over-exploited (Res. Doc. 74/6), and no new information has been presented since that time to indicate otherwise. Also, roundnose grenadier resources are thought to exist at depths greater than those presently fished, but no information was presented to indicate that fishing technology is presently available to exploit these resources.

The exclusion of fishing effort for roundnose grenadier from the effort regulation will result in a reduction in fishing effort in Subarea 2 and Div. 3 K less than would otherwise be achieved. The reduction in total fishing effort in this area is expected to be about $15 \%$ in 1976 relative to 1973 (roundnose grenadier included). as indicated in Table 1 above. Previous general production model studies on cod, which represents the major portion of the groundfish resource in this area, indicate that a reduction considerably larger than $15 \%$ is necessary to reduce fishing effort on the stock from the 1972-73 level to the MSY level. Thus, the proposed reduction in fishing effort, even with roundnose grenadier included, may not be large enough to maximize production of the groundfish resource as a whole in this area. The Working Group therefore concluded that, from a biological viewpoint, with the present state of knowledge of the grenadier resource and its role in the ecosystem, it could not at this time recommend the exclusion of roundnose grenadier from the groundfish effort regulation, but that the problem could be further considered when a better understanding of the role of this species in the ecosystem is obtained.

From the practical point of view, the Commission may wish to exclude roundnose grenadier from the effort regulation for a particular country whose allocated effort is not sufficient for it to take its catch allocation, but it should at the same time bear in mind the biological uncertainties surrounding this species. Also, it should be noted that fishing effort for roundnose grenadier was included in the analysis of fishing effort data used in the groundfish general production model and in the development of the data base, both of which were important in deciding the necessity and magnitude of the effort regulation. The exclusion of the fishery for roundnose grenadier from the 1976 regulation at this time would result in a smaller reduction in fishing effort for 1976 relative to the base data, unless the total amount of effort as presently proposed for 1976 were reduced by the estimated amount that would be applied to the fishery for roundnose grenadier.

App. II Herring

## APPENDIX II - REPORT OF AD HOC WORKING GROUP ON HERRING

Chairman: V. C. Anthony (USA)
The ad hoc Working Group on Herring met during 12-15 January 1976 at FAO, Rome, Italy, to review the status of the herring stocks in Subareas 4 and 5 and Statistical Area 6 and to recommend TACs for 1976.

## 1. Fishery Trends

Preliminary herring catches by country and stock for 1975 are listed in Table 1. The catch from the Georges Bank stock in Div. 52 and Stat. Area 6 was 143,000 tons in 1975, slightly less than the 1974 catch of 150,000 tons. The 1975 catch from the adult herring fishery of Div. 5 Y was about 20,000 tons, compared with 18,000 tons in 1974. Catches from the 1974 and 1975 juvenile fisheies were. 19, 000 and 15,000 tons respectively. Catches from the Nova Scotia stock under management in Div. 4WX were 139,000 and 143,000 tons in 1974 and 1975 respectively. In addition, the catch of juveniles from the New Brunswick side of the Bay of Fundy in Div. 4 X (b) (Fig. 1) was 33,000 tons in 1975 compared with 29,000 tons in 1974 . In Div. 4 V , the catch for the first half of the $1975 / 76$ fishing season was 3,600 tons (TAC is based on July-June season). In all areas under quota regulation, the 1970 yearclass continued, as in 1974, to make up the major proportion of the catches.

Table 1. Preifminary statistics of 1975 herring catches (metric tons) used in the January 1976 stock assessments.

| Country | Catches by Stock area |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1975 \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4WX | 5 Y | $52+6$ |  |
| Bulgaria | 1 | - | 410 | 411 |
| Canada | $\begin{gathered} 120,963 \\ (33,389)^{1} \\ (3,079)^{2} \end{gathered}$ | 3,431 | - | $\begin{gathered} 124,394 \\ (33,389)^{1} \\ (3,079)^{2} \end{gathered}$ |
| Cuba | - | - | 600 | 600 |
| France | - | 90 | 2,850 | 2,940 |
| FRG | 1,343 | - | 23,230 | 24,573 |
| GDR | - | - | 30,800 | 30,800 |
| Japan | - | - | 1,874 | 1,874 |
| Poland | - | 71 | 38,400 | 38,471 |
| Romania | - | - | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| USSR | 21,060 | - | 38,600 | 59,660 |
| USA | - | $\begin{gathered} 16,864 \\ (15,132)^{1} \end{gathered}$ | 4,492 | $\begin{gathered} 21,356 \\ (15,132) 1 \end{gathered}$ |
| Totals | $\begin{aligned} & 143,367 \\ & (33,389)^{1} \\ & (3,079)^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20,456 \\ (15,132)^{1} \end{gathered}$ | 143,256 | $\begin{gathered} 307,079 \\ (48,521)^{1} \\ (3,079)^{2} \end{gathered}$ |
| Grand Total | 179,835 | 35,588 | 143,256 | 358,679 |

1 Catches in juvenile herring fisheries.
2 Catches from local stocks.

## 2. Herring in Division 4V

No new information has become available since that presented to the 1975 Annual Meeting. Consequently, the recommendation for a TAC of 11,000 tons for the period 1 July 1976 to 30 June 1977 remains unchanged.


Fig. 1. Herring stock structure in Subareas 4 and 5 and Statistical Area 6. (Double lines indicate stock management areas; solid black areas indicate the general spawning grounds.)

## 3. Herring in Divisions $4 W$ and $4 X$

The Assessments Subcommittee previously recommended that the fisheries in Div. 4W(a) and Div. 4XW(b) be combined (Redbook 1975, page 39), as a result of new information based on recent tagging experiments (Res. Doc. 75/38). Reconsideration of the 1976 TAC is, therefore, restricted to an analytical assessment of the stock in the two areas combined.
a) Catch Statistics and Age Composition

The total catch from the Div. 4 WX fisheries in 1975 was about 179,800 tons, of which 143,400 tons was from the Div. $4 W X$ stock, the remaining 36,400 tons having been taken in the New Brunswick juvenile fishery (Div. 4X(b)) and gillnet catches from local inshore stocks (Table l). The 1970 year-class comprised 43\% in numbers and $55 \%$ in weight of the catch from the Div. 4 WX stock. Tables 2,3 and 4 give statistics on removals, these being revisions of similar tables in Summ. Doc. 75/19 (Report of Herring Working Group, April 1975).
b) Year-class Size and Estimates of Fishing Mortality

The starting values of $F$ used in the cohort analysis were set at 0.7 for the 1965 and earlier year-classes. Since the total effort in 1975 was only $77 \%$ of the 1974 effort level, it seemed reasonable that $F$ in 1975 on the 1970 year-class would not exceed the level of $F$ in 1974. Further, the continued dominance of the 1970 year-class in the catches and the associated catch per unit effort data indicated that the 1970 year-class was probably about 2.5 times the size of the 1966 year-class. Consequently, a starting $F$ of 0.25 was set to obtain this level at age 1 . It was assumed that the 1971 year-class did not experience a greater fishing mortality than the 1970 year-class in 1975, and thus $F$ was set at 0.25 . In 1975, the 1970 year-class comprised $86 \%$ of the catch of herring aged 5 and older, and, although fishing mortality
Table 2. Herring catches (numbers $\times 10^{-3}$ ) by age-group in Div. 4XW(b) fisheries, 1974.

|  | Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tota 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |  |
| NB Weir <br> NB Purse seine | 3,019 13,133 | 228,589 426,663 | $\begin{aligned} & 40,304 \\ & 24,978 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28,539 \\ 380 \end{array}$ | 1,135 | 44 | 50 | 32 | 35 | 24 | 35 | $\begin{aligned} & 301,806 \\ & 465,154 \end{aligned}$ |
| NB Total | 16,152 | 655,252 | 65,282 | 28,919 | 1,135 | 44 | 50 | 32 | 35 | 24 | 35 | 766,960 |
| NS Weir <br> NS Gillnet <br> NS Purse seine | - | 65,176 50,291 | 3,848 241 17,163 | 21,246 12,797 343,590 | 1,207 2,107 20,547 | 315 1,388 4,976 | 117 845 1,973 | 60 315 477 | $\begin{array}{r} 87 \\ 639 \\ 1,302 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39 \\ 282 \\ 467 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46 \\ 315 \\ 559 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 92,141 \\ 18,929 \\ 441,345 \end{array}$ |
| NS Total | - | 115,467 | 21,252 | 377,633 | 23,861 | 6,679 | 2,935 | 852 | 2,028 | 788 | 920 | 552,415 |
| Canada Total Other Countries | 16,152 | 770,719 3 | $\begin{array}{r} 86,534 \\ 5,785 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 406,552 \\ & 113,562 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24,996 \\ 9,712 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,723 \\ & 3,484 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,985 \\ & 1,173 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 884 \\ 1,173 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,063 \\ & 5,207 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 812 \\ 1,427 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 955 \\ 3,633 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,319,375 \\ 145,159 \end{array}$ |
| Div. $4 \mathrm{XW}(\mathrm{b})$ Tota] | 16,152 | 770,722 | 92,319 | 520,174 | 34,708 | 10,207 | 4,158 | 2,057 | 7,270 | 2,239 | 4,588 | 1,464,534 |
| Stock Total ${ }^{1}$ | - | 115,470 | 27,037 | 491,195 | 33,573 | 10, 163 | 4,108 | 2,025 | 7,235 | 2,215 | 4,553 | 697,574 |

1 Includes "NS Total" and "Other Countries" only.

|  | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB Weir | - | - | - | 37 | 361 | 650 | 5,175 | 7,315 | 3,724 | 1,560 | 206 | - | 19,028 |
| NB Purse seine | 2,355 | 794 | 267 | 868 | - | - | - | - | 1,304 | 1,431 | 1,825 | 1,825 | 10,131 |
| NB Total | 2,355 | 794 | 267 | 905 | 361 | 650 | 5,175 | 7,315 | 5,028 | 2,997 | 2,031 | 1,287 | 29,159 |
| NS Weir | - | - | - | - | 1,813 | 1,818 | 1,276 | 584 | 697 | 248 | - | - | 6,436 |
| NS Gillnet | - | - | 1 | 21 | 80 | 1,071 | 760 | 1,024 | 1,276 | 51 | 1 | - | 4,285 |
| NS Purse seine | - | - | - | - | 592 | 15,146 | 35,933 | 23,708 | 1,193 | 287 | - | - | 76,859 |
| NS Total | - | - | 1 | 21 | 2,485 | 18,035 | 37,969 | 25,316 | 3,166 | 586 | 1 | - | 87,580 |
| Canada Total | 2,355 | 794 | 268 | 926 | 2,846 |  | 43,144 | 32,631 | 8,194 | 3,577 | $2,032$ | $1,287$ |  |
| Other Countries | 2,355 | - | 183 | 740 | 6,083 | 13,404 | 1,979 | 463 | 563 | 955 | $56$ | $57$ | $24,483$ |
| Div. 4XW(b) Total | 2,355 | 794 | 451 | 1,666 | 8,929 | 32,089 | 45,123 | 33,094 | 8,757 | 4,532 | 2,088 | 1,344 | 141,222 |
| Stock Total ${ }^{1}$ | - | - | 184 | 761 | 8,568 | 31,439 | 39,948 | 25,779 | 3,729 | 1,541 | 57 | 57 | 112,063 |

1 Includes "NS Total" and "Other Countries" only.

Table 4. Calculated herring catches by age-group from Div. 4W(a) fisheries, 1969-75.

| Age | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 |  | - | - | 1,430 | 2,607 | - | 11 |
| 2 | 4,629 | 22,389 | 149,851 | 1,653 | 14,912 | 2,949 | 2,056 |
| 3 | 55,175 | 42,435 | 150,333 | 19,714 | 39,909 | 19,728 | 42,302 |
| 4 | 64,423 | 87,024 | 68,994 | 36,932 | 23,795 | 100,912 | 16,323 |
| 5 | 36,343 | 23,670 | 52,506 | 12,516 | 7,238 | 19,581 | 78,004 |
| 6 | 29,988 | 26,204 | 34,588 | 13,936 | 3,566 | 5,108 | 7,799 |
| 7 | 7,452 | 8,120 | 44,892 | 8,948 | 1,399 | 3,998 | 2,369 |
| 8 | 1,760 | 4,561 | 25,593 | 11,585 | 906 | 3,483 | 1,645 |
| 9 | 665 | 1,776 | 9,696 | 4,837 | 843 | 3,880 | 2,079 |
| 10 | 27 | 290 | 4,874 | 5,181 | 164 | 3,745 | 2,030 |
| Total | 200,462 | 216,469 | 542,757 | 117,909 | 92,732 | 193,395 | 155,207 |

increases with age, $F$ should not be substantially higher on earlier yearclasses that on the 1970 year-class in 1975; consequently, starting F's on the 1966-69 year-classes were set at 0.40. Regressions of total removals of age 2 fish and catch per unit effort of age 2 fish against calculated yearclass size of 2 -year-old fish plus additional juvenile catch data suggested that the sizes of the 1972 and 1973 year-classes at age 2 were higher than the conventional level of $750 \times 10^{6}$ fish. Starting values of $F$ of 0.27 and 0.23 were set for the 1972 and 1973 year-classes respectively, in order to obtain year-class sizes of $1000 \times 10^{6}$ fish at age 2. The size of the 1974 year-class was set at the conventional level of $750 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{fish}$. The calculated fishing mortalities and year-class sizes at age from cohort analysis are given in Table 5.
c) Catch Predictions for 1976

In Div. 4WX, the fisheries occur in the early months of the year in Div. 4W(a) and in summer in $4 \mathrm{XW}(\mathrm{b})$. Consequently, the same mean weight-at-age values could not be used in the catch predictions for the two fisheries. Mean weight data for both areas were re-examined for the period 1969-75, and those used in the predictions are as follows:

| Age | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Div. 4W(a) | 0.036 | 0.082 | 0.128 | 0.173 | 0.216 | 0.252 | 0.277 | 0.304 | 0.330 |
| Div. 4XW $(\mathrm{b})$ | 0.042 | 0.113 | 0.175 | 0.218 | 0.259 | 0.298 | 0.332 | 0.364 | 0.392 |

The catch and stock size predictions (Table 6) are based on applying a given management strategy to the stock on an annual basis. Since the catches from Div, 4W(a) and Div. 4XW(b) were in about the same proportions in 1974 and 1975, the predicted removals at. age (in numbers) on an annual basis were partitioned between the two fisheries. This was based on the average of the proportions of each year-class removed by each of the fisheries in 1974 and 1975 (e.g. the average of the proportion of the 1970 year-class removed in 1974 and 1975) for age 4 and older herring. The proportion used for removals of age 3 fish in Div. $4 \mathrm{~W}(\mathrm{a})$ was set at 0.28 , slightly less than for 4 -year-olds. Since removals of age 2 fish in Div. $4 \mathrm{~W}(\mathrm{a})$ are usually relatively low, the proportion was set at 0.02 . The proportions used to partition the 1976 predicted catch between Div. $4 \mathrm{~W}(\mathrm{a})$ and $4 \mathrm{XW}(\mathrm{b})$ are as follows:

| Age | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Div. | 4W (a) | 0.02 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.22 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.55 |
| Div. | $4 \mathrm{XW}(\mathrm{b})$ | 0.98 | 0.72 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.78 | 0.72 | 0.70 | 0.45 |

Table 5. Herring in Div. 4WX: stock size, catch and fishing mortality by age-groups.

| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age 2 and older |  | Age 4 and oider |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7-7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | Number | Weight |  | Weight |
| Stock size (millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\left(10^{-6}\right)$ | (000 t) | $\left(10^{-6}\right)$ | (000 t) |
| 1966 | 1,443 | 1,802 | 645 | 822 | 215 | 54 | 20 | 2 | - | 5,003 | 634 | 1,758 | 371 |
| 1967 | 1,194 | 1,140 | 1,231 | 478 | 394 | 135 | 31 | 9 | - | 4,612 | 653 | 2,278 | 475 |
| 1968 | 2,365 | 939 | 871 | 792 | 292 | 179 | 58 | 21 | 7 | 5,524 | 687 | 2,220 | 484 |
| 1969 | 607 | 1,262 | 697 | 655 | 406 | 175 | 67 | 19 | 4 | 3,893 | 620 | 2,024 | 453 |
| 1970 | 807 | 434 | 689 | 466 | 399 | 241 | 93 | 36 | 10 | 3,175 | 527 | 1,934 | 445 |
| 1971 | 851 | 564 | 303 | 315 | 212 | 222 | 105 | 42 | 12 | 2,626 | 396 | 1,211 | 298 |
| 1972 | 5,874 | 551 | 267 | 143 | 135 | 104 | 94 | 44 | 17 | 7,229 | 504 | 804 | 197 |
| 1973 | 744 | 4,209 | 400 | 104 | 54 | 45 | 40 | 31 | 12 | 5,639 | 653 | 686 | 149 |
| 1974 | 997 | 584 | 2,913 | 230 | 53 | 20 | 19 | 16 | 8 | 4,840 | 702 | 3,259 | 594 |
| 1975 | 995 | 709 | 437 | 1,822 | 140 | 30 | 9 | 10 | 3 | 4,155 | 648 | 2,451 | 527 |
| 1976 | 750 | 651 | 446 | 279 | 1,162 | 77 | 17 | 5 | 5 | 3,392 | 577 | 1,991 | 472 |
| Catch in numbers (millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1966 | 45,678 | 270,055 | 56,063 | 308,471 | 44,916 | 15,006 | 7,716 | 1,689 | 215 | 749,809 |  | 434,076 |  |
| 1967 | 43,234 | 68,671 | 238,403 | 109,786 | 159,205 | 57,936 | 4,497 | 409 | 296 | 682,437 |  | 570,532 |  |
| 1968 | 746,145 | 78,899 | 64,045 | 267,965 | 70,183 | 87,767 | 31,258 | 15,277 | 5,635 | 1,367,174 |  | 542,130 |  |
| 1969 | 70,178 | 380,232 | 116,375 | 152,093 | 101,434 | 56,111 | 20,597 | 6,859 | 2,816 | 906,695 |  | 456,285 |  |
| 1970 | 106,193 | 57,254 | 275,563 | 186,746 | 115,348 | 102,208 | 37,407 | 19,204 | 7,590 | 907,513 |  | 744,066 |  |
| 1971 | 161,088 | 215,236 | 116,010 | 135,406 | 77,124 | 97,474 | 46,442 | 19,275 | 9,435 | 877,490 |  | 501,166 |  |
| 1972 | 663,740 | 57,061 | 126,446 | 70,400 | 72,539 | 50,198 | 50,483 | 26,009 | 13,223 | 113,099 |  | 409,298 |  |
| 1973 | 27,601 | 589,092 | 107,908 | 35,535 | 26,269 | 19,886 | 17,682 | 19,749 | 9,585 | 853,307 |  | 236,614 |  |
| 1974 | 118,419 | 45,865 | 662,107 | 53,118 | 15,271 | 8,106 | 5,508 | 11,115 | 5,960 | 885,469 |  | 721,185 |  |
| 1975 | 185,975 | 152,730 | 87,975 | 366,772 | 42,085 | 8,966 | 2,766 | 3,133 | 2,661 | 853,063 |  | 514,358 |  |
| 1976 | 5,650 | 36,420 | 86,604 | 67,840 | 321,228 | 21,324 | 4,498 | 1,397 | 1,444 | 546,405 |  | 504,335 |  |
| Fishing mortality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mean Fl |  |
| 1966 | 0.036 | 0.181 | 0.101 | 0.536 | 0.263 | 0.363 | 0.560 | 1.613 | 0.700 |  |  | 0.590 |  |
| 1967 | 0.041 | 0.069 | 0.241 | 0.293 | 0.592 | 0.641 | 0.175 | 0.050 | 0.700 |  |  | 0.384 |  |
| 1968 | 0.429 | 0.097 | 0.085 | 0.468 | 0.309 | 0.784 | 0.896 | 1.572 | 0.700 |  |  | 0.688 |  |
| 1969 | 0.137 | 0.405 | 0.204 | 0.296 | 0.323 | 0.437 | 0.417 | 0.492 | 0.700 |  |  | 0.410 |  |
| 1970 | 0.157 | 0.158 | 0.584 | 0.586 | 0.385 | 0.632 | 0.590 | 0.889 | 0.700 |  |  | 0.624 |  |
| 1971 | 0.235 | 0.547 | 0.549 | 0.646 | 0.514 | 0.662 | 0.673 | 0.706 | 0.700 |  |  | 0.636 |  |
| 1972 | 0.133 | 0.121 | 0.740 | 0.782 | 0.900 | 0.763 | 0.902 | 1.068 | 0.700 |  |  | 0.836 |  |
| 1973 | 0.042 | 0.168 | 0.354 | 0.472 | 0.778 | 0.671 | 0.679 | 1.204 | 0.700 |  |  | 0.694 |  |
| 1974 | 0.141 | 0.091 | 0.269 | 0.295 | 0.381 | 0.586 | 0.391 | 1.371 | 0.700 |  |  | 0.570 |  |
| 1975 | 0.230 | 0.270 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.400 | 0.400 | 0.400 | 0.400 | 0.700 |  |  | 0.400 |  |
| 1976 | 0.105 | 0.144 | 0.266 | 0.315 | 0.350 | 0.350 | 0.350 | 0.350 | 0.350 |  |  | 0.333 |  |

[^1]The present conservation program involves a TAC of 45,000 tons for the period 1 July 1975 to 30 June 1976 in Div. 4VW(a), but this TAC is not partitioned between Div. 4 V and Div. $4 \mathrm{~W}(\mathrm{a})$. It is possible that 34,000 tons of the Canadian allocation in Div. $4 \mathrm{VW}(\mathrm{a})$ will be taken in Div. $4 \mathrm{~W}(\mathrm{a})$ by 30 June 1976, since poor catches were experienced earlier in the 1975/76 fishing season in Div. 4V. Consequently, in setting the 1976 TAC for Div. 4WX, account must be taken of the expected catch in Div. 4W(a) during the first half of 1976. It was therefore assumed that 34,000 tons would be taken in Div. 4W(a) during January-June 1976 (about 10,000 tons were actually taken in December 1975, but, in the absence of information on length and age compositions, this amount was assumed to be 1976 catch for assessment purposes), and the estimated catch (in numbers) in Div. $4 \mathrm{~W}(\mathrm{a})$ was adjusted to equal 34,000 tons. The predicted residual removals constitute the Div. $4 \times W(b)$ catch. The F-values were then calculated for each age-group for each area assuming that $M=0.1$ for each area (Table 2).

Yield-per-recurit calculations, using Beverton-Holt equations, indicated that $F_{\text {max }}=0.7$ and $F_{0.1}=0.3$ (Fig. 2). It appeared obvious that fishing at $F_{\text {max }}$ would be extremel $\dot{y}$ imprudent and that the fishery should be conducted at a. level of $F$ closer to $F_{0.1}$. Consequently, $F=0.35$ was used for age 6 and older fish in 1976. The 1976 F -values used for ages 2 to 10 are based on partial recrutiment factors as follows:

| Age | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Partial <br> recruitment | 0.30 | 0.41 | 0.76 | 0.90 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| F in 1976 | 0.105 | 0.144 | 0.266 | 0.315 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 |

The catch prediction calculations indicate that the 1976 TAC should be 118,000 tons for the Div. 4WX stock. Assuming that 34,000 tons will be taken in Div. 4W(a) during January-June 1976, a catch of 84,000 tons is predicted for Div. 4XW(b) for January-December 1976 and for Div. 4W(a) during July-December 1976 (Table 6).


Fig. 2. Yield per recruit for herring in Div. 4X.

Table 6. Herring in Div. 4WX: catch projection for 1976 and stock size projection (age 2 and older) for 1977 for $F=0.35$.


It should be noted that the 1970 year-class has supported the fishery since 1972 and is predicted to constitute $67 \%$ of the catch by weight in 1976. Furthermore, the stock size (age 4 and older) will have declined by $42 \%$ from a level of 472,000 tons at the beginning of 1976 to 273,000 tons at the beginning of 1977. Although little is known about stock-recruitment relationships for herring and the minimum stock size necessary to ensure good recruitment, the Working Group agreed that, for the time being, the stock size (age 4 and older) should not be allowed to decline below 250,000 tons, which is $63 \%$ of the long-term average (1965-76) of 399,000 tons, the lowest stock size during the period being 149,000 tons in 1973 (Table 5). If the 1972 and 1973 year-classes are not substantially larger than presently estimated, the TAC for Div. 4WX would have to be reduced to about 85,000 tons in 1977, in order to maintain a stock size of 250,000 tons. Unless one or more good year-classes enter the fishery, subsequent TACs would have to be set at a relatively low level.
4. Herring in Division $5 Y$
a) Catch Statistics

The catch from the adult herring fishery in Div. 5Y (Jeffreys Ledge area) increased to 20,500 tons in 1975 (Table 1) from 18,000 tons in 1974. The Federal Republic of Germany and German Democratic Republic did not fish in the area in 1975, and catches by both Canada and Poland were less than in 1974. The USA catch increased to $16,9,00$ tons from 10,200 tons in 1974. The catch from the juvenile herring fishery decreased to 15,000 tons in 1975 from 19, 100 tons in 1974. Age 3 and older herring accounted for $33 \%$ ( 4,900 tons) of the 1975 catch from the juvenile fishery.
b) Fishing Mortality and Year-class Size

The fishing mortality on the various age-groups in 1975 and year-class abundance were estimated as follows:
(i) For the 1968 and earlier year-classes. (age 7 and older), cohort analysis was applied to the catches using a starting $F$-value of 1.1 for 1975. This level of $F$ roughly corresponds to that for the older age-groups in catches since 1970.
(ii) For the 1969 year-class (age 6), an F-value of 0.35 was assumed for 1975. This estimate is based on extrapolating a catch curve to 1978 (age 9) and applying starting F-values of $0.6,1.0$ and 1.4 to the projected 1978 catch by cohort analysis, giving estimates of $F$ for 1975 of $0.31,0.35$ and 0.37 .
Table 7. Herring in Div. 5Y: stock size, catch and fishing mortality by age-groups, 1967-76.

| Year | Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age 3 and older |  | Age 4 and older |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10+ | Number | Weight | Number | Weight |
| Stock size (millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\left(10^{-6}\right)$ | (000 t) | $\left(10^{-6}\right)$ | (000 t) |
| 1967 | 281 | 201 | 181 | 99 | 99 | 56 | 10 | 5 | 3 | - | 555 | 123 | 453 | 99 |
| 1968 | 329 | 230 | 165 | 146 | 92 | 86 | 48 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 785 | 126 | 555 | 98 |
| 1969 | 184 | 269 | 172 | 119 | 93 | 63 | 58 | 30 | 7 | 3 | 814 | 159 | 545 | 127 |
| 1970 | 147 | 149 | 185 | 136 | 88 | 56 | 36 | 36 | 19 | 4 | 597 | 148 | 448 | 130 |
| 1971 | 89 | 117 | 114 | 128 | 94 | 48 | 21 | 13 | 8 | 5 | 548 | 113 | 431 | 99 |
| 1972 | 675 | 72 | 75 | 72 | 74 | 44 | 16 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 359 | 75 | 287 | 66 |
| 1973 | 81 | $533{ }^{3}$ | 44 | 28 | 23 | 22 | 11 | 4 | 1 | - | 666 | 95 | 133 | 31 |
| 1974 | 83 | $64^{2}$ | 420 | 31 | 13 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 2 | - | 546 | 99 | 482 | 91 |
| 1975 | - | $64^{2}$ | 39 | 287 | 19 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 422 | 86 | 358 | 79 |
| 1976 | - | $64^{2}$ | 36 | 16 | 182 | 11 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 312 | 65 | 248 | 58 |
| Catch in numbers (thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age 2 | nd older | Age 3 | nd older |
| 1967 | 21 | 317 | 2,953 | 7,410 | 13,366 | 8,197 | 565 | 343 | 326 | 167 | 33,665 | 7,793 | 33,644 | 7,794 |
| 1968 | 564 | 17,734 | 17,467 | 29,458 | 29,280 | 27,974 | 18,387 | 3,166 | 2,412 | 1,960 | 148,402 | 31,264 | 147,838 | 37,230 |
| 1969 | 1,722 | 39,044 | 6,192 | 9,850 | 22,476 | 26,618 | 21,124 | 11,028 | 2,604 | 1,685 | 142,343 | 30,993 | 140,621 | 30,890 |
| 1970 | 3,419 | 9,327 | 26,370 | 18,350 | 26,835 | 26,943 | 23,344 | 27,993 | 13,774 | 2,666 | 179,021 | 40,095 | 175,602 | 39,564 |
| 1971 | 21 634 | 23,129 | 22,676 | 33,979 | 36,750 | 26,335 | 16,011 | 11,020 | 7,347 | 3,241 | 181,122 | 38,558 | 180,488 | 37,711 |
| 1972 | 21,243 | 16,992 | 37,487 | 39,758 | 42,449 | 27,493 | 9,347 | 1,434 | 1,311 | 761 | 199,375 | 42,169 | 177,032 | 41,216 |
| 1973 | 2,226 | 18,594 | 5,701 | 10,437 | 13,182 | 13,645 | 7,293 | 2,116 | 420 | 233 | 73,847 | 16,196 | 71,261 | 16,049 |
| 1974 | 3,979 | 14,950 | 62,821 | 7,224 | 5,094 | 3,568 | 2,991 | 1,436 | 801 | 348 | 103,212 | 17,774 | 99,233 | 17,543 |
| 1975 | 338 | 18,656 | 18,240 | 59,343 | 4,932 | 3,800 | 1,640 | 1,191 | 474 | 373 | 108,987 | 20,456 | 107,052 | 20,436 |
| Fishing, mortality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mean $\mathrm{F}^{3}$ |
| 1967 | - | 0.002 | 0.018 | 0.078 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.11 | - |  |  |  | 0.066 |
| 1968 | 0.002 | 0.089 | 0.125 | 0.253 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.48 | 0.39 | 0.67 | 1.10 |  |  |  | 0.231 |
| 1969 | 0.010 | 0.170 | 0.041 | 0.096 | 0.31 | 0.55 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.50 | 1.10 |  |  |  | 0.215 |
| 1970 | 0.026 | 0.070 | 0.170 | 0.162 | 0.40 | 0.77 | 1.04 | 1.46 | 1.34 | 1.10 |  |  |  | 0.439 |
| 1971 | 0.008 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.350 | 0.56 | 0.93 | 1.82 | 2.03 | 2.01 | 1.10 |  |  |  | 0.526 |
| 1972 | 0.0354 | 0.300 | 0.800 | 0.930 | 1.01 | 1.17 | 1.08 | 0.84 | 1.54 | 1.10 |  |  |  | 0.832 |
| 1.973 | 0.031 | 0.039 | 0.160 | 0.540 | 0.98 | 1.16 | 1.28 | 0.78 | 0.64 | 1.05 |  |  |  | 0.163 |
| 1974 | 0.055 | 0.299 | 0.181 | 0.300 | 0.56 | 0.79 | 0.88 | 0.99 | 0.79 | 1.10 |  |  |  | 0.233 |
| 1975 | . | 0.385 | 0.717 | 0.258 | 0.35 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.10 |  |  |  | 0.350 |

[^2]
## (iii)

The size of the 1970 year-class (age 5) was assumed at age 3 to be twice as large as the 1966 year-class at age 3 (i.e. 533 miliion fish). Catches of $18.6,62.8$ and 59.3 million fish from the 1970 year-class in 1973, 1974 and 1975 respectively (Table 7) produced F -values of $0.04,0.18$ and 0.26 , resulting in an abundance of 182 million fish ( 44,000 tons) at the start of 1976.
(iv)

The sizes of the 1971 and 1972 year-classes (ages 4 and 3 respectively in 1975) were assumed to be equal to the size of the 1969 year-class at age 3. The catches from these year-classes in 1975 did not indicate that a change in this assumption was necessary, and their abundance at the beginning of 1976 was estimated to be 16 and 36 million fish respectively.
c) Recruitment Level of 1973 Year-class

New information, available since the previous assessment in April 1975, on recruitment of the 1973 year-class in 1976 includes the results of a juvenile herring survey in the Gulf of Maine and catch statistics for the juvenile herring fishery in 1975. Both sets of data support the conclusion from the previous assessment that the size of this year-class is very small, being approximately the same as the 1971 and 1972 year-classes at age 3 (i.e. 64 million fish).
d) Adjustment in Mean Weights

Recent analysis of average weight data for herring in the Div. 5Y fishery for adults indicated that the mean weight of age 2 fish should be reduced from 87 gm , as used previously, to 60 gm and that for age 3 fish from 155 to 120 gm . The mean weights used for ages 4 to 11 herring are 180,220 , $240,275,300,320,340$ and 360 gm .
e) The TAC Level for 1976 .

The stock size (age 4 and older) at the beginning of 1976 was estimated in the previous assessment to be about 64,000 tons, under the assumption that the 1975 catch would be 15,000 tons. Since 20,500 tons were actually taken in 1975, the stock size at the start of 1976 is now estimated at 58,000 tons, compared with a spawning stock size of 79,000 tons at the beginning of 1975. Selection coefficients used in calculating the predicted catches for 1976 were obtained by averaging F-values for the years 1972 and 1973.
The projected stock sizes at the beginning of 1977, in relation to projected catches for a range of F -values, are given in Table 8 and Fig. 3. At its 1974 Annual Meeting (Proc. No. 20, App. II, page 240), the Commission agreed that the TAC for 1976 must be such that the adult stock size (age 4 and older) be maintained at a minimum of 60,000 tons at the start of 1977, and that the TAC in 1976 would not be increased above that for 1975 unless the adult stock size at the end of 1975 had reached the level (i.e. 110,000 tons) that would provide the maximum sustainable yield by the end of 1976 . The 1975 TAC was set at 25,000 tons at the 1974 Annual Meeting, but it was adjusted to 16,000 tons at the November 1974 Special Commission Meeting. The desired adult stock size of 110,000 tons was not attained at the end of 1975, and, therefore the TAC cannot be increased in 1976. In order to maintain the minimum stock size of 60,000 tons, a catch of 3,500 tons may be taken in 1976 at an $F-1$ evel of 0.08 . A catch of 16,000 tons would require an $F$ of 0.43 and result in a reduction in stock size to 47,000 tons. An $F$ at the $F_{0.1}$ level of 0.38 would provide a catch of 14,500 tons, but the stock size at the end of 1976 would be reduced to 48,500 tons.

In view of the present state of the stock, the Working Group recommends that the TAC for 1976 be set at 4,000 tons of less, depending on the management strategy to rebuild the stock. Only by accepting such a TAC level can any increase in stock size be anticipated, in accord with the management objectives of the commission.

Table 8. Herring in Div. 5Y: projected stock size in 1977 as a function of catch for a range of fishing mortalities in 1976, assuming that the recruiting year-class (1973) is equal to 63.5 milifon fish.

| Stock size age 4 and older at start of 1975 | Catch age 3 and older $\qquad$ | Stock size age 4 and older at start of 1976 $\left(10^{5}\right)(000 \mathrm{t})$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fin } \\ 1976 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ | Predicted catch age 3 and older $\frac{\text { in } 1976}{(000 \mathrm{t})}$ | Stock size age 4 and older at start of 1977 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35879 | 20.4 | 24858 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 63.5 |
|  |  |  | 0.08 | 3.5 | 60.0 |
|  |  |  | 0.10 | 4.3 | 59.1 |
|  |  |  | 0.20 | 8.2 | 55.0 |
|  |  |  | 0.30 | 11.8 | 51.3 |
|  |  |  | 0.40 | 15.2 | 47.8 |
|  |  |  | 0.50 | 18.3 | 44.8 |
|  |  |  | 0.60 | 21.2 | 41.7 |
|  |  |  | 0.80 | 26.0 | 37.0 |

Fig. 3. Herring in Div. 5Y: projected catch in 1976 and resultant stock size in 1977 over a range of fishing mortalities, and the trend in stock size for 1967-76.

5. Herring in Division 52 and Statistical Area 6
a) Catch Statistics

The catch of 143,000 tons in 1975 was slightly below the 150,000 tons reported for 1974. Most countries fished heaviest in the August-October period when $70 \%$ of the catch in weight was taken. Exceptions to this were the USSR, whose
catches were spread almost equally over the months of April to November, and the USA which fished mostly in January to March. The 1970 year-class continued to support the fishery, constituting $77 \%$ of the catch both in numbers and weight.
b) Indices of Abundance

Abundance indices were available for the commercial fisheries of Federal Republic of Germany and Poland for 1975. FRG vessels caught an average of 38 tons per day in 1975 compared with 40 tons per day in 1974. The catch per unit effort of Polish B-18 trawlers was 19 tons per day in September 1975 and 23 tons per day in October (preliminary data) compared with a value of 31.7 tons per day in 1974.

The average catch per tow in the USA autumn survey by Albatross IV in 1975 was 0.02 fish per tow, the lowest value in the 13 years of the survey. The average catch was 4.4 fish per tow for 1963-69, 0.8 fish per tow for 1970-72, and 0.09 fish per tow in 1973-75.
c) Estimation of Fishing Mortality in 1975

The fishing mortality on the various age-groups in 1975 were estimated as follows:

For the 1971 and 1972 year-classes (ages 4 and 3), fishing mortality was estimated by starting with assumed recruitment of 550 million fish for each, the resulting $F$-values being 0.023 for age 3 and 0.23 for age 4. These values seemed to be rather low compared with those of previous years. However, since the fishery in 1975 was concentrated mainly on the 1970 year-class with the 1971 and 1972 year-classes accounting for only $13 \%$ of the total catch, these low F-values were considered to be realistic.
(ii) For the 1970 year-class (age 5), its size at age 3 was assumed to be equal to twice the size of the 1966 year-class (i.e. 3,202 million fish). Using this figure and the catches in 1973, 1974 and 1975 from the 1970 year-class, the $F$-value for age 5 in 1975 was estimated to be 1.03.
(iii) For herring older than age 5, fishing mortalities in the preceding years have always been higher than that for age 5 fish; therefore, $F$ for fish older than age 5 was set at 1.lo.

The $F$-values obtained by the above procedures were used to estimate the size of the stock at the beginning of 1976 as the starting points for the calculation of the catch in 1976 and the surviving stock for 1977 (Table 9).
d) Recruitment Level of the 1973 Year-class

New information on the size of the recruiting 1973 year-class in 1976 consisted of catch statistics from the juvenile herring fisheries in Subareas 4 and 5 and the results of the 1975 juvenile herring survey. These data indicated that the 1973 year-class was similar in size to those of 1971 and 1972.
e) Adjustment in Mean Weights

Mean weights used in the population prediction models for herring were examined on the basis of new sampling data. The weights used for ages 4 to $8+$ fell within the range of the observed new data. However, the mean weight used previously for age 3 was appropriate only for the early autumn fishery. Examination of monthly catch statistics for 1975 indicated that $52 \%$ of the total catch in Subarea 5 and Stat. Area 6 was taken during the first half of the year. Consequently, the mean weight of age 3 herring was reduced from 155 gm used previously to 140 gm , which is the weighted mean of the averages from January-June and July-December samples.

Table 9. Herring in Div. $5 Z$ and Stat. Area 6: stock gize, catch and fishing mortality by age-groups, $1964-76$.

|  | Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age 3 and older |  | Age 4 and older |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | >8 | Number | Weight | Number | Weight |
| Stock | (mil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (10 ${ }^{6}$ ) | (000 t) | ( $70^{6}$ ) | $(000 \mathrm{t})$ |
| 1965 | 2,272 | 1,517 | 2,241 | 2,277 | 282 | 101 | 22 | - | 6,440 | 1,219 | 4,923 | 1,006 |
| 1966 | 1,517 | 1,860 | 1,233 | 1,803 | 1,771 | 207 | 71 | 17 | 6,962 | 1,388 | 5,102 | 1,128 |
| 1967 | 1,755 | 1,242 | 1,511 | 978 | 1,316 | 1,197 | 119 | 46 | 6,409 | 1,357 | 5,167 | 1,184 |
| 1968 | 1,878 | 1,435 | 1,010 | 1,182 | 703 | 850 | 637 | 46 | 5,863 | 1,252 | 4,428 | 1,051 |
| 1969 | - | 1,536 | 1,128 | 762 | 664 | 364 | 304 | 217 | 4,975 | 1,010 | 3,439 | 795 |
| 1970 | 860 | , 972 | 1,216 | 733 | 373 | 292 | 128 | 77 | 3,791 | 751 | 2,819 | 615 |
| 1971 | 764 | 693 | 683 | 588 | 355 | 195 | 155 | 58 | 2,727 | 554 | 2,034 | 457 |
| 1972 | 3,966 | 614 | 266 | 310 | 224 | 132 | 66 | 81 | 1,693 | 339 | 1,079 | 253 |
| 1973 | . 678 | 3,222 | 471 | 118 | 60 | 40 | 17 | 13 | 3,941 | 597 | 719 | 146 |
| 1974 | 672 | 546 | 1,710 | 145 | 39 | 19 | 12 | 3 | 2,474 | 435 | 1,928 | 359 |
| 1975 |  | $550^{1}$ | 411 | 849 | 57 | 20 | 10 | 7 | 1,904 | 362 | 1,354 | 285 |
| $1976{ }^{2}$ |  |  | 440 | 267 | 248 | 15 | 5 | 3 |  |  | . 978 | 204 |

Catch in numbers (millions)

| 1964 | 16.5 | 150.8 | 230.5 | 128.4 | 97.5 | 34.9 | - | - | 658.6 | 131.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1965 | 0.4 | 10.3 | 34.9 | 103.0 | 25.5 | 12.7 | 9.3 | - | 200.3 | 40.6 |
| 1966 | 0.3 | 12.8 | 34.6 | 178.0 | 280.1 | 65.1 | 13.6 | 2.0 | 587.1 | 142.7 |
| 1967 | 1.8 | 6.9 | 60.6 | 108.0 | 250.7 | 379.2 | 49.4 | 21.3 | 877.9 | 218.6 |
| 1968 | 2.5 | 52.1 | 72.0 | 336.0 | 233.4 | 432.9 | 336.6 | 28.4 | 1493.9 | 373.4 |
| 1969 | - | 45.5 | 210.8 | 277.1 | 278.1 | 188.5 | 190.5 | 133.3 | 1323.8 | 306.0 |
| 1970 | 12.6 | 125.4 | 450.5 | 270.3 | 122.3 | 92.9 | 51.6 | 47.3 | 1172.9 | 247.0 |
| 1971 | 12.9 | 332.5 | 275.5 | 284.6 | 175.8 | 103.9 | 50.4 | 35.7 | 1271.3 | 262.5 |
| 1972 | 28.0 | 35.0 | 110.0 | 214.0 | 158.0 | 100.0 | 45.0 | 50.0 | 712.0 | 174.0 |
| 1973 | 10.0 | 1026.0 | 266.0 | 64.0 | 33.0 | 23.0 | 12.0 | 8.0 | 1432.0 | 199.0 |
| 1974 | 1.9 | 39.9 | 608.9 | 68.6 | 12.9 | 6.1 | 3.5 | 2.1 | 743.9 | 146.2 |
| 1975 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 76.8 | 503.0 | 34.6 | 12.5 | 6.2 | 4.2 | 650.0 | 143.3 |


| Fishi | rtality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mean $\mathrm{F}^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1965 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.15 | - | - | 0.034 |
| 1966 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.43 | 0.24 | - | 0.102 |
| 1967 | 0.001 | 0.006 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.24 | 0.43 | 0.68 | (1.10) | 0.175 |
| 1968 | 0.002 | 0.041 | 0.08 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.83 | 0.88 | (1.10) | 0.372 |
| 1969 | - | 0.033 | 0.23 | 0.52 | 0.62 | 0.85 | 1.18 | (1.10) | 0.359 |
| 1970 | 0.016 | 0.154 | 0.53 | 0.52 | 0.45 | 0.43 | 0.59 | (1.10) | 0.407 |
| 1971 | 0.019 | 0.756 | 0.59 | 0.77 | 0.79 | 0.89 | 0.45 | (1.10) | 0.698 |
| 1972 | 0.008 | 0.065 | 0.61 | 1.45 | 1.51 | 1.82 | 1.42 | (1.10) | 0.792 |
| 1973 | 0.016 | 0.432 | 0.98 | 0.97 | 0.94 | 1.00 | 1.43 | (1.10) | 0.528 |
| 1974 | 0.003 | 0.084 | 0.50 | 0.74 | 0.45 | 0.44 | 0.38 | (1.10) | 0.420 |
| 1975 |  | 0.023 | 0.23 | 1.03 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.10 | (1.10) | 0.560 |

${ }^{1}$ Recruitment at age 3 assumed as in previous assessments (Redbook 1974, page 112).
${ }^{2}$ Stock size calculated from the relationsh1p, $N_{i+1}=N_{1} e^{-Z_{1}}$.
${ }^{3}$ Mean $F$ (for age 3 and older) weighted over yearwclasses by stock size in number.
f) The TAC Level for 1976

The assessment of the Georges Bank stock to determine the appropriate TAC for 1976 was made with the following assumptions:
(i) The size of the 1970 year-class at age 3 is equal to twice the size of the 1966 year-class as estimated in a previous assessment (Redbook 1974, page 44).

The sizes of the 1971 and 1972 year-classes at age 3 are equal to the size of the poorest year-class observed in the fishery (i.e. 1969 yearclass) as indicated in a previous assessment (Redbook 1974, page 44).
(iii) The size of the 1973 year-class at age 3 is equal to the size of the two preceding year-classes (i.e. 550 million fish, which was chosen as a conventional level for poor year-classes). An alternative assessment was carried out, assuming that recruitment of the 1973 year-class at age 3 is equal to the poorest year-class observed in the fishery; this is approximately the average of the sizes of the 1968 and 1972 year-classes at age 3 (i.e. 620 million fish) and is close to the size of the 1969 year-class at age 3 (Table 9).

The results of the assessments are given in Table 10 and illustrated in Fig. 4A. At its January 1974 Special Meeting (Proc. No. 7, page 93), the Comcission agreed that the TAC for 1976 must be such as to maintain a stock size of at least 225,000 tons at the beginning of 1977, and that the TAC for 1976 can be increased only if the adult stock size at the end of 1975 had reached the level (i.e. at least 500,000 tons) that would provide the maximum sustainable yield by the end of 1976. This level of stock size was not achieved by the end of 1975 and the TAC ( 150,000 tons in 1975) cannot therefore be increased. If the size of the 1973 year-class at age 3 is assumed to be 550 million fish, a catch of 150,000 tons in 1976 would leave an adult stock size of 120,000 tons at the beginning of 1977, a value much below the minimum level of 225,000 tons. In order to prevent a decline in stock size below this minimum level by the end of 1976 , the TAC for 1976 should not exceed 52,000 tons. Under the assumption that the size of the recruiting 1973 year-class is 620 million fish, the corresponding TAC would be 61,000 tons.

Table 10. Herring in Div. $5 Z$ and Stat. Area 6: projected stock size in 1977 as a function of catch for a range of fishing mortalities for 1976, assuming the the recruiting year-class at age 3 equals 550 milifon fish ${ }^{1}$.

| Stock size age 4 and older at start of 1975 $\left(10^{5}\right)(000 \mathrm{t})$ | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Catch age } 3 \\ \text { and older } \\ \text { in } 1975 \end{array} \\ (000 \mathrm{t}) \end{gathered}$ | Stock size age 4 and older at $\frac{\text { start of } 1976}{\left(10^{6}\right)(000 \mathrm{t})}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fin } \\ 1976 \\ (100 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Predicted catch age 3 and older $\frac{\text { in } 1976}{(000 t)}$ | Stock size age 4 and older at $\frac{\text { start of } 1977}{(000 \mathrm{t})}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,354 285 | 143 | 978204 | 0.20 | 32 | 245 |
|  |  |  | 0.38 | 57 | 219 |
|  |  |  | 0.60 | 83 | 193 |
|  |  |  | 0.80 | 103 | 172 |
|  |  |  | 1.00 | 117 | 155 |
|  |  |  | 1.50 | 150 | 120 |

1 If recruitment is taken to be 620 miliion fish, the predicted catches remain unchanged and the stock size values increase by about 10,000 tons.

A TAC of 52,000 tons in 1976 implies a fishing mortality of 0.34 on fully recruited year-classes in that year, and the corresponding $F$ for a TAC of 61,000 tons is 0.41 . Both values are close to the $\mathrm{F}_{0} .1$ level (Summ. Doc. 75/19, Fig. 6). A TAC between 50,000 and 60,000 tons would only keep the size of the stock at the low level of about 225,000 tons under the assumed recruitment levels. A substantial increase in stock size above this level can only occur if the size of the recruiting 1973 year-class is considerably larger than anticipated.

In view of the present low stock size, the Working Group recommends that the TAC for 1976 should not exceed 60,000 tons. A lower level of TAC would allow a slight increase in stock size toward the Commission's goal of rebuilding the stock even at a low recruitment level, e.g. a TAC of 40,000 tons would result in an increase in spawning stock size to about 240,000 tons (Fig. 4A). A lower level of TAC would also reduce the probability that the size of the 1976 and subsequent year-classes will be adversely affected by a reduced spawning stock. This aspect is particularly important, since the 1971 and 1972 year-classes were poor and the recruiting 1973 year-class also seems to be poor. These year-classes will probably contribute very little to the already reduced spawning stock (Fig. 4B).
6. Implications for a Management Strategy of Stock Rebuilding

The Commission has adopted the goal of rebuilding the stocks in Div. 5 Y and in Div. 5 z and Stat. Area 6 to levels capable of producing the maximum sustainable yield (MSY). Maintaining the stock sizes at MSY levels is considered necessary in order to reduce the likelihood of recruitment failures which have resulted in collapses in most of the herring fisheries. Rebuilding the stocks can only be accomplished by harvesting at levels less than the yearly increases due to growth and recruitment. At present stock sizes the annual surplus depends al-


Fig. 4. Herring in Div. $5 Z$ and Stat. Area 6: projected catch in 1976 and reaultant stock sizes in 1977 over a range of fishing mortalities, assuming two levels of recruitment, and stock size levels for the period 1965-76.
most entirely on the size of the recruiting year-classes, but precise estimates of annual recrutiment are not possible at present. It is important to recognize that recruiting 3 -year-old herring are not all mature and that the maximum yield-per-recruit lies between ages 4 and 5 . Thus, estimates of recruitment which prove to be too low do not result in overall losses in yield but rather serve to increase the spawning stock size.

In order to examine the long-term consequences of specific management options, several projections were made, based on assessment data presented to the June 1975 Annual Meeting (Summ. Doc. 75/19). These projections are shown in Fig. 5 to 7 for Div. $5 Y$ and in Fig. 8 and 9 for Div. $5 z$ plus Stat. Area 6.

The Div. $5 Y$ stock was examined by applying over the period 1976-82 a constant TAC of 9,000 tons (giving a stock size of 60,000 tons in 1977) and constant annual recruitment of 64 milli ion fish (about the level of the 1971 to 1973 year-classes at age 3) (Fig. 5). This strategy resulted in continued decline in stock size with no opportunity for rebuilding toward the desired level of 110,000 tons. Projections were also made, using constant recruitment of 64 million fish as before and three levels of fishing mortality (Fig. 6). Even at the low F-value of 0.1 , the stock size showed a continuous decline after 1977 . Since good yearclasses occasionally occur in herring fisheries, a further simulation was done using a fixed mortality rate of $\mathrm{F}_{0} .1=0.38$ with a good year-class being recruited every fourth year (equal to the 1970 year-class) and low recruitment of 64 million fish for the other three years (Fig. 7). The result indicated that the catch would nearly stabilize at about 20,000 tons.


Fig. 5. Herring in Div. 5Y: simulated projection with constant recruitment of 64 million fish and TAC of 9,000 tons.


Fig. 6. Herring in Div. 5Y: simulation projections with constant recruitment and three levels of fishing mortality.


Fig. 7. Herring in Div. 5Y: simulated projections with fixed mortality at $F_{0.1}$, good recruitment ( $533 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{fish}$ ) every fourth year and poor recruitment $\left(63.5 \times 10^{6}\right.$ ) for the other years.

The stock size for Georges Bank was examined by applying for the period 1976-82 a constant TAC of 60,000 tons (giving a stock size of 225,000 tons in 1977) and constant annual recruitment of 550 milli ion fish (the low level for recent yearclasses) (Fig. 8). This strategy resulted in a continuous increase in stock size, but by 1982 the stock size would still be less than the 1975 level and be only $58 \%$ of the desired level of 500,000 tons. In order to provide for a faster rate of recovery, projections were made using constant annual recruitment, as before, of 550 million fish and a range of fishing mortalities; the resulting stock size and catch trends are shown in Fig. 9.

Under the strategy of maintaining a constant TAC for the Georges Bank stock, moderate recruitment of about 1,000 million fish (about one-third the size of the 1970 year-class) would increase the stock size to 300,000 tons or more in a single year. Good recruitment (equal to the 1970 year-class) would allow the stock size to reach the desired level of 500,000 tons within a single year. When the management objective is reached and the resulting recruitment has entered the fishery (time lag of 3 years), a TAC of 120,000 tons, corresponding to fishing at $\mathrm{F}_{0}, 1$, would maintain the stock size provided that annual recruitment is about 1,000 million fish (the average of the levels observed in the fishery during the past 11 years). If the stock size should exceed $1,000,000$ tons, a condition that existed before 1970, then the TAC could be increased to a level greater than 120,000 tons and still allow for the maintenance of the desired stock level. Any decrease in stock size below the 500,000 tons level would, of course, require an appropriate
reduction in the TAC.


Fig. 8. Herring in Div. $5 Z$ and Stat. Area 6: simulated projections with constant recruitment of 550 million fish and TAC of 60,000 tons.


Fig. 9. Herring in Div. $5 Z$ and Stat. Area 6: simulated projections for four levels of fishing mortality with recruitment constant at 550 million fish.


[^0]:    Proposed TACs for 1976.
    TAC pertains to January-June only.
    TAC pertains to July 1975-June 1976.
    TAC pertains to July 1976-June 1977.
    See footnote 1, page 5.
    Includes catch of 10,000 tons already taken in Div. 4W(a) in December 1975. An appropriate amount has to be deducted to allow for the inshore fishery.
    Proposed maximum TACs.

[^1]:    1 Mean F (for age 4 and over) unweighted.

[^2]:    Recruitment at age 3 assumed to be twice the size of the 1966 year-class at age 3 (Redbook 1974, page 110).
    Recruitment at age 3 assumed to be equal to the size of the 1969 year-class at age $\frac{1}{3}$ as calculated in previous assessments.
    Average $F^{\prime}$ s weighted over year-class by stock size in number.

