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The catch at age column for 1978 in Table 5 should read:

| Age | Catch (metric tons) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1,650 |
| 2 | 48,136 |
| 3 | 20,655 |
| 4 | 4,317 |
| 5 | 1,125 |
| 6 | 570 |
|  |  |
| Calculated Catch | 76,453 |
| Corrected Catch | 80,581 |

The corrected catch for 1979 is 84,645 metric tons.
In Table 6, the stuck size shown for 1977 should be the same as $\operatorname{In}$ Table 5 and the stock size shown for 1978 should be that shown for 1977.
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## Introduction

Doubleday and Hunt presented a comprehensive assessment of this stock at the Special ICNAF Meeting in Tenerife (ICNAF Res.Doc. 76/XII/160). Since that date, new data on provisional national catch statistics, sampling data, and results on mesh selection have become available. This document revises the authors' earlier assessment to incorporate these new data.

## Commercial Catches

Table 1 shows reported comercial catches for silver hake (Merluccius bilinearis) as reported to ICNAF by 18 April 1977. A USSR length frequency sample from December 1976 has been subjected to modal analysis indicating $28.3 \%$ age 1 with mean length $24.2 \mathrm{~cm}, 66.5 \%$ age 2 with mean length 31.5 cm , and $5.2 \%$ older fish. This composition was applied to USSR nominal catches for October to December and then combined with previous estimates of catch at age for the USSR fleet. The USSR catch composition was then pro-rated to the total reported catch for all nations. The results are shown in Table 2.

## Virtual Population Analysis

Virtual population analysis was carried out on the data of Table 2 using $m=0.4$. The starting $\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{s}$ were increased from those of ICNAF Res. Doc. $76 / X I I / 160$ in proportion to the increased total catch. The results are shown in Tables 3 and 4.

## Mesh Selection

To consider the possible effects of the $55-m$ mesh restriction to be imposed in 1977, the data of Jensen (1966) were re-examined to obtain estimates of mean selection sizes ( $\mathrm{L}_{50}$ ). A curve was fitted to these data to give a selection factor of 5.12 which yields an $L_{50}$ of 28.15 cm for $55-\mathrm{mm}$ mesh size and is shown in Fig. 1. The effects of various mesh sizes using this selection factor are shown in Fig. 2.

To illustrate the possible effect of $40-\mathrm{mm}$ and $55-\mathrm{mm}$ meshes relative to $33-\mathrm{mm}$ mesh, a length frequency of silver hake from a research cruise in July 1976 was adjusted by the selection curve of these mesh sizes and results are shown in Fig. 3. These indicate a sharp reduction in the number of fish less than 30 cm retained in the net.

## Catch Profections

Table 5 shows a catch projection based on recruitments of $1 \times 10^{9}$ fish at age 1 in 1976 and beyond and a continuation of recent patterns of selection at age. It was assumed that the 1977 quota would be taken and that $F_{0.1}=0.5$ would apply in 1978 and 1979. The estimated catch for 1978 is 71,000 tons and for 1979 is 80,000 tons.

Table 6 shows a catch projection incorporating possible effects of a 55-num minimum mesh size on selection at age. It was assumed that age-groups zero and one would be practically excluded from the fishery and that the age of $50 \%$ recruitment would be 2 , while older fish would be fully recruited. It was also assumed that $F_{0.1}$ would increase from 0.5 to 0.65 as at the Tenerife Meeting. Recruitment of $1 \times 10^{9}$ fish at age one was assumed for 1976 on. The 1977 TAC , if taken, might result in a fishing

$$
-2-
$$

mortality averaged over ages 2 to 5 of 0.98 and fishing at $F=0.65$ in 1978 and 1979 aight correspond to catches of 61,000 tons and 82,000 tons, respectively.

Conclusions
In the light of the newly available data, the authors' previous assessment has been revised to predict a 1978 catch of 71,000 tons associated with fishing at $F_{0,1}$ with recent patterns of selection at age. The effects of a minimum mesh size of 55 mm might be to reduce this catch to 61,000 tons in 1978 with an increase to 82,000 tons in 1979.

Recovery of the fishery to the high level of 1973 is dependent on exceptional new recruitment for which there is currently no evidence.
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| Table <br> Year | 1. | Silver hake landings (metric tons round). <br> ICNAF DIVISION |  |  | Total | COUNTRY |  |  | Country |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4Vn | 4Vs | 4W | 4X |  | Canada | Japan | USSR | USA | Others |
| 1960 | - | - | - | 187 | 187 | - | - | - | 187 | - |
| 1961 | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | - |
| 1962 | - | - | 8,825 | 29 | 8,854 | - | - | 8,825 | 29 | - |
| 1963 | 168 | - | 116,388 | 6,472 | 123,028 | - | - | 123,023 | 5 | - |
| 1964 | 32 | - | 62,905 | 18,210 | 81,147 | - | - | 81,147 | - | - |
| 1965 | 180 | 2 | 49,461 | 379 | 50,022 | 5 | - | 49,987 | 27 | $3^{2}$ |
| 1966 | 40 | 0 | 3,860 | 6,423 | 10,323 | - | - | 10,323 | - | - |
| 1967 | - | - | 1,834 | 643 | 2,483 | - | $6^{2}$ | 2,476 | 1 | - |
| 1968 | 2 | 237 | 3,150 | 58 | 3.523 | 5 | $76^{1}$ | 3,441 | 1 | - |
| 1969 | - | 1,230 | 43,563 | 1,558 | 46,564 | - | $213{ }^{1}$ | 46,323 | - | $28^{3}$ |
| 1970 | - | 5,116 | 158,938 | 4,991 | 169,045 | - | 129 | 168,916 | - | - |
| 1971 | 11 | 3,000 | 119.452 | 6,190 | 128,653 | - | 8 | 128,633 | 1 | $11^{4}$ |
| 1972 | - | 75 | 108,769 | 5,204 | 114,048 | - | 63 | 113,774 | - | $211^{5}$ |
| 1973 | - | 3,431 | 265,105 | 30,085 | 298,621 | - | 88 | 298,533 | - | - |
| 1974 | - | 712 | 86,927 | 8,106 | 95,745 | $11^{1}$ | 67 | 95,371 | - | $296{ }^{6}$ |
| $1975{ }^{\text {8 }}$ | - | 1,468 | 95,385 | 15,713 | 112,566 | 100 | 54 | 108,398 | 7 | 1,698 ${ }^{7}$ |
| $1976{ }^{8}$ | $\cdots$ | - | -•* | -•• | 97,086 | 28 | 78 | 81,216 | 1 | 15,763 |
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Table 2. Age composition of commercial catches of silver hake in Div. 4W, 1965-74 and 4WX 1975-76.

Numbers at age $\left(\times 10^{-3}\right)$

| Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 1966 | 10220 | 9795 | 406 | 34 | 9 | 13 |
| 1967 | - | 7576 | 804 | 67 | 18 | 26 |
| 1968 | 84 | 18218 | 1910 | 159 | 43 | 61 |
| 1969 | 21456 | 242169 | 19474 | 2154 | 740 | 90 |
| 1970 | 208319 | 702322 | 68653 | 6234 | 2026 | 1013 |
| 1971 | 65461 | 553957 | 202177 | 14761 | 3802 | 3131 |
| 1972 | 149692 | 414279 | 102440 | 13167 | 5074 | - |
| 1973 | 102212 | 1449980 | 118398 | 12715 | 4512 | 1094 |
| 1974 | 80432 | 405044 | 49437 | 5087 | 2115 | 457 |
| 1975 | 143125 | 376358 | 42256 | 4347 | 1807 | 391 |
| 1976 | 78480 | 480015 | 39762 | 4195 | 1504 | 138 |
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Table 3. Estimated population numbers at age for 4VXW Silver hake 1966-76. Population numbers at age $\left(\times 10^{-6}\right)$

| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Biomass $1+(\mathrm{mt})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1966 | 85.18 | 28.22 | 1.42 | 0.57 | 0.21 | 0.07 | $.9,647$ |
| 1967 | 145.26 | 48.83 | 11.09 | 0.63 | 0.36 | 0.13 | 18,776 |
| 1968 | 693.60 | 97.80 | 26.61 | 6.78 | 0.37 | 0.22 | 61,433 |
| 1969 | 1847.32 | 470.35 | 50.87 | 16.29 | 4.42 | 0.21 | 192,667 |
| 1970 | 1539.04 | 1221.02 | 124.20 | 18.57 | 9.18 | 2.36 | 322,042 |
| 1971 | 1127.82 | 863.43 | 267.50 | 29.27 | 7.46 | 4.52 | 288,324 |
| 1972 | 2775.49 | 703.48 | 147.44 | 24.61 | 7.97 | 2.00 | 310,567 |
| 1973 | 977.22 | 1739.40 | 147.09 | 19.64 | 6.12 | 1.39 | 379,628 |
| 1974 | 905.93 | 571.76 | 76.68 | 8.92 | 3.27 | 0.64 | 164,271 |
| 1975 | 1328.21 | 542.13 | 71.00 | 12.97 | 1.99 | 0.55 | 180,394 |
| 1976 | 725.48 | 774.01 | 72.78 | 14.55 | 5.22 | 0.48 | 189,665 |

Table 4. Fishing Mortality Estimates for Scotian Shelf Silver Hake 1966-76.

|  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 1966 | 0.156 | 0.534 | 0.419 | 0.075 | 0.055 | 0.100 |
| 1967 |  | 0.207 | 0.092 | 0.138 | 0.063 | 0.100 |
| 1968 |  | 0.254 | 0.091 | 0.029 | 0.153 | 0.150 |
| 1969 | 0.014 | 0.932 | 0.608 | 0.174 | 0.225 | 0.300 |
| 1970 | 0.178 | 1.118 | 1.045 | 0.512 | 0.308 | 0.300 |
| 1971 | 0.072 | 1.367 | 1.986 | 0.902 | 0.916 | 0.900 |
| 1972 | 0.067 | 1.165 | 1.616 | 0.991 | 1.349 | - |
| 1973 | 0.136 | 2.722 | 2.403 | 1.392 | 1.859 | 1.500 |
| 1974 | 0.113 | 1.686 | 1.377 | 1.100 | 1.388 | 1.000 |
| 1975 | 0.140 | 1.608 | 1.185 | 0.511 | 1.025 | 1.000 |
| 1976 | 0.140 | 1.281 | 1.025 | 0.423 | 0.423 | 0.423 |
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Table 5. Population numbers and catch profections for Scotian Shelf silver hake, 1976-78.

| Age | 1976 |  |  | 1977 |  |  | 1978 |  |  | Mean weight kg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Stock size } \\ \left(10^{-6}\right) \end{gathered}$ | F | Catch <br> ( $10^{-3}$ tons) | $\underset{\left(10^{-6}\right)}{\text { Stock size }}$ | F | $\begin{gathered} \text { Catch } \\ \left(10^{-3} \text { tons }\right) \end{gathered}$ | Stock size ( $10^{-6}$ ) | F | $\begin{gathered} \text { Catch } \\ \left(10^{-3} \text { tons }\right) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1 | 1,000 | . 06 | 2,559 | 1,000 | 0.04 | 1,625 | 1,000 | 0.04 | 1,650 | 0.051 |
| 2 | 774 | 1.27 | 75,948 | 630 | 0.80 | 46,528 | 644 | 0.80 | 47,023 | 0.159 |
| 3 | 73 | 1.02 | 10,697 | 146 | 0.64 | 15,661 | 190 | 0.64 | 15,842 | 0.270 |
| 4 | 15 | 0.42 | 1,793 | 18 | 0.27 | 1,455 | 52 | 0.27 | 1,475 | 0.426 |
| 5 | 5 | 0.42 | 952 | 6 | 0.27 | 789 | 9 | 0.27 | 800 | 0.635 |
| 6 | 0.5 | 0.42 | 130 | 2 | 0.27 | 386 | 3 | 0.27 | 392 | 0.905 |
|  | 2-5 | 0.78 |  |  | 0.50 |  |  | 0.50 |  |  |
| Calc | lated catch |  | 92,080 |  |  | 66,414 |  |  | 67,182 |  |
| Corr | cted catch |  | 97,086 |  |  | 70,000 |  |  | 70,809 |  |
| Corrected catch in 1979 |  |  |  | 80,230 metric tons |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6. Population number and catch projections with possible effects of $55-\mathrm{mm}$ mesh selection.

| 1976 |  |  |  | 1977 |  |  | 1978 |  |  | Mean weight kg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stock size } \\ & \left(10^{-6}\right) \end{aligned}$ | F | Catch $\left(10^{-3} \text { tons }\right)$ | Stock size $\left(10^{-6}\right)$ | F | Catch $\text { ( } 10^{-3} \text { tons) }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Stock size } \\ \left(10^{-6}\right) \end{gathered}$ | F | $\begin{gathered} \text { Catch } \\ \left(10^{-3} \text { tons }\right) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1 | 1,000 | 0.06 | 2,559 | 1,000 | 0.001 | 47 | 1,000 | 0.001 | 27 | 0.051 |
| 2 | 774 | 1.27 | 75,948 | 670 | 0.56 | 35,964 | 670 | 0.33 | 24,608 | 0.159 |
| 3 | 73 | 1.02 | 10,697 | 241 | 1.12 | 22,631 | 324 | 0.65 | 26,218 | 0.270 |
| 4 | 15 | 0.42 | 1,793 | 32 | 1.12 | 4,280 | 84 | 0.65 | 5,430 | 0.426 |
| 5 | 5 | 0.42 | 952 | 4 | 1.12 | 2,336 | 11 | 0.65 | 971 | 0.635 |
| 6 | 0.5 | 0.42 | 130 | 1 | 1.12 | 1,146 | 1 | 0.65 | 510 | 0.905 |
|  | 2-5 | 0.78 |  |  | 0.98 |  |  | 0.57 |  |  |
| Calc | lated catch |  | 92,080 |  |  | 66,414 |  |  | 57,764 |  |
| Corr | ected catch |  | 97,086 |  |  | 70,000 |  |  | 60,883 |  |
| Corrected catch in 1979 |  |  |  | 81,736 metric tons |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Fig. 1. Effect of mesh restrictions on retention at Silver Lake. Data from Jensen (1966)


Fig. 2. Selection Curves for Various Mesh Sizes Relative to 33 mm .


Fig. 3. Calculated Effect of Mesh Size on Retention in a Canadian Survey Length Frequency.


[^0]:    ${ }_{2}$ Not recorded by Division
    2 France (SP)
    ${ }^{3}$ GDR
    4 Spain
    5 FRG 10 mt , Cuba 201 mt
    ${ }^{6}$ FRG
    7 Bulgaria
    ${ }^{6}$ Preliminary Statistics
    ${ }^{9}$ Cuba 9,464, FRG 83

