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Since 1970, using a standard Yankee \#36 otter trawl with small mesh 1 iner in the codend, stratified, random groundfish surveys have been conducted on the Scotian Shelf (ICNAF Div. 4VWX) by Canada (Maritimes). These surveys provide a useful data bank for the study of finfish resources and associated species like Illex, found in the area. In our surveys, squid are measured to the nearest centimeter (mantle length) and weight is recorded in grams.

Results

Data from 1977-78 (Fig. 1) indicate widespread distribution of squid on the Scotian Shelf. Some squid were taken in the Bay of Fundy in 1978. Scott (1978) observed the same phenomenon on a larger scale in 1976. The 1977 biomass estimate is the second largest since 1970 but a continuous decrease was recorded since 1976 (Table 1).

An increase in mean bottom temperature (Table l) occurred during 1976-77, corresponding to the years of highest abundance. Mean bottom temperatures during 1976 and 1977 were 6.9 and $6.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ respectively, compared to a mean of $5.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the remaining years. The presence of Illex concentrations inshore (south of Nova Scotia) in 1976-77 might be the result of the increase in temperature registered in these two years. The percentage of tows with squid in 1978 was as high as in 1977 but the average number per tow was lower (Table 2).

The size distribution of Illex for 1970-78 (Fig. 2, Table 3) shows unimodality except in the years $1970-71$. Three modes ( 8,13 , and 16 cm ) apparently occurred in 1970 and two (12 and 17 cm ) in 1971. The size range of the principal mode (1970-78) remained between $16-20 \mathrm{~cm}$.

Weighted mean length, mean bottom temperature and estimated biomass (Table 1) were analysed for functional relationships. Results of multiple regression analysis are presented in Table 4. Temperature has emerged as the dominant factor in the regression analysis. Table $4 A(c)$ and $4 B(c)$ are evidence of the influence of temperature on the two factors' biomass and size. The second variable in both equations did not make any significant (statistically) contribution to the dependent variable.

Since the sampling (cruise) dates varied over the different years (mean sampling date was July 4 in 1972 and Juiy 24 in 1975), correlations were run for mean sampling time vs mean length to test whether difference in sampling time might have influenced the size of squid. There was no significant correlation ( $r=0.52$ ) between mean length and sampling date (Table 1, columns 3 and 5) strengthening our earlier hypothesis about the environmental factors, particularly temperature.

The interpretation of these statistical findings could best be summarized as follows:
a) The arrival and concentration of squid are influenced by prevailing environmental conditions, especially temperature - warmer years seem to favour larger catches.
b) The apparent lack of better correlation of biomass to length is puzzling. Perhaps this is due to better growth (in weight) related to favourable enviromental conditions, especially temperature or to other factors interacting with them.

It is fully realised that the method of analysis adopted (global annual averages for the variables under study) might be masking the delicate biological mechanisms that regulate the migration, growth and concentration of squid. It is hoped that detailed scrutiny of the data for 1976 and 1977 might throw more light on the influences of these highly correlated variables i.e. depth, temperature, date of sampling on mean size (length) and estimated biomass.
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```
Footnote: It has been suggested by Amaratunga (personal
communication) from his experience at sea that the smaller
squid taken particularly in 1970-72 may have been Gonatus
fabricij, which is superficially similar morphologically to
Illex illecebrosus and which can attain a size of about 12 cm.
```

Table 1. Biomass estimates, weighted mean lengths. Mean bottom termperatures and mean sampling dates calculated from groundfish cruises on the Scotian Shelf, 1970-78.

| Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Biomass est. } \\ & \text { ('000 mt) } \end{aligned}$ | Weighted mean length ( Cm ) | Mean bottorn temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Mean sampling date <br> (Jan. $01=01$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 1.9 | 15.0 | 5.3 | 199 |
| 1971 | 14.7 | 16.7 | 5.6 | 192 |
| 1972 | 3.2 | 16.7 | 5.6 | 187 |
| 1973 | 8.9 | 18.7 | 5.8 | 203 |
| 1974 | 9.5 | 18.5 | 5.7 | 203 |
| 1975 | 24.8 | 17.5 | 5.4 | 207 |
| 1976 | 262.5 | 20.5 | 6.9 | 205 |
| 1977 | 50.5 | 19.6 | 6.5 | 201 |
| 1978 | 11.0 | 18.5 | 5.9 | 201 |

Table 2. Summary of squid catches from sunmer (June-August) research survey cruises on the Scotian Shelf, 1970-78.

| Year | Mean no. <br> per tow | Mean weight <br> per tow $(\mathrm{kg})$ | No. of <br> tows | No. of tows <br> with squid | $\%$ tows <br> with squid |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1970^{*}$ | 5.25 | 0.37 | 143 | 47 | 32.9 |
| 1971 | 23.46 | 2.41 | 124 | 62 | 50.0 |
| 1972 | 7.61 | 0.82 | 156 | 6.5 | 41.7 |
| 1973 | 7.73 | 1.10 | 146 | 53 | 36.3 |
| 1974 | 11.61 | 1.61 | 165 | 71 | 43.0 |
| 1975 | 35.03 | 4.05 | 145 | 64 | 44.1 |
| 1976 | 187.14 | 35.16 | 141 | 116 | 82.3 |
| 1977 | 50.97 | 9.63 | 145 | 85 | 58.6 |
| 1978 | 18.24 | 2.49 | 144 | 86 | 59.7 |

*Data 1970 to 1976 are from Scott (1978).
Table 3. Weighted length frequency and representation (\%) of Illex from groundfish survey on the Scotian Shelf,

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Length } \\ & \text { (can) } \end{aligned}$ | 1970 | $\%$ | 1971 | \% | 1972 | \% | 1973 | \% | 1974 | \% | 1975 |  | 1976 | \% | 1977 | \% |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | 16 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 38 | 0.14 | 11 | 0.01 | 16 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  | 621 | 0.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 76 | 0.28 | 84 | 0.06 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 168 | 0.61 | 34 | 0.03 | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  | 414 | 0.20 | 41 | 0.003 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 433 | 1.57 | 22 | 0.02 | 0 | 0.00 |  |  | 22 | 0.03 | 431 | 0.21 |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 351 | 1.27 | 81 | 0.06 | 56 | 0.17 |  |  | 147 | 0.22 | 199 | 0.10 | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 94 | 0.34 | 116 | 0.09 | 46 | 0.14 |  |  | 51 | 0.08 | 640 | 0.31 | 54 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 1309 | 4.75 | 319 | 0.24 | 83 | 0.25 |  |  | 15 | 0.02 | 691 | 0.33 |  | 0.00 |  |  | 78 | 0.10 |
| 12 | 2787 | 10.11 | 2384 | 1.76 | 239 | 0.73 | 68 | 0.11 | 13 | 0.02 | 122 | 0.06 | 63 | 0.01 |  |  | 317 | 0.40 |
| 13 | 2818 | 10.23 | 1668 | 1.23 | 617 | 1.88 | 205 | 0.32 | 160 | 0.24 | 520 | 0.25 | 0 | 0.00 | 95 | 0.04 | 345 | 0.43 |
| 14 | 2305 | 8.36 | 8225 | 6.09 | 2033 | 6.19 | 995 | 1.55 | 1233 | 1.82 | 3654 | 1.75 | 347 | 0.03 | 538 | 0.21 | 524 | 0.66 |
| 15 | 4052 | 14.70 | 18083 | 13.38 | 4526 | 13.77 | 1537 | 2.40 | 3122 | 4.61 | 7309 | 3.51 | 229 | 0.02 | 1171 | 0.47 | 1355 | 1.71 |
| 16 | 4273 | 15.50 | 24305 | 17.98 | 7118 | 21.66 | 2946 | 4.60 | 4917 | 7.26 | 25931 | 12.45 | 3798 | 0.35 | 4858 | 1.93 | 2775 |  |
| 17 | 4106 | 14.90 | 34067 | 25.20 | 7694 | 23.42 | 5485 | 8.57 | 9354 | 13.82 | 65653 | 31.52 | 20449 | 1.90 | 15082 | 5.99 |  | 12.17 |
| 18 | 2500 | 9.07 | 30812 | 22.80 | 5553 | 16.90 | 12847 | 20.07 | 17402 | 25.70 | 56524 | 27.13 | 67945 | 6.32 | 36603 | 14.55 | 26200 | 33.03 |
| 19 | 1347 | 4.89 | 11453 | 8.47 | 2873 | 8.74 | 21452 | 33.51 | 13156 | 19.43 | 27245 | 13.08 | 161945 | 15.05 | 71257 | 28.32 |  | 27.34 |
| 20 | 663 | 2.41 | 2761 | 2.04 | 1458 | 4.44 | 12621 | 19.72 | 8171 | 12.07 | 12606 | 6.05 | 287938 | 26.76 | 56701 | 22.54 | 10369 | 13.07 |
| 21 | 76 | 0.28 | 617 | 0.46 | 510 | 1.55 | 4378 | 6.84 | 4280 | 6.32 | 4030 | 1.93 | 264799 | 24.61 | 35764 | 14.22 | 4100 | 5.17 |
| 22 |  | 0.00 | 92 | 0.07 | 0 | 0.00 | 1258 | 1.97 | 2697 | 3.98 | 780 | 0.37 | 168808 | 15.69 | 18012 | 7.16 | 1254 |  |
| 23 | 81 | 0.29 | 0 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 25 | 0.04 | 1862 | 2.75 | 889 | 0.43 | 68785 | 6.39 | 6913 | 2.75 | 280 | 0.35 |
| 24 | 41 | 0.15 | 0 | 0.00 | 20 | 0.06 |  | 0.00 | 752 | 1.11 |  | 0.00 | 20463 | 1.90 | 3083 | 1.23 | 218 |  |
| 25 | 41 | 0.15 | 0 | 0.00 |  |  | 0 | 0.00 | 164 | 0.24 | 0 | 0.00 | 7332 | 0.68 | 775 | 0.31 |  | 0.11 |
| 27 |  |  | 0 | 0.00 |  |  | 17 | 0.03 | 175 | 0.26 | 0 | 0.00 | 2634 | 0.24 | 354 | 0.14 |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 69 | 0.11 |  | 0.01 | 0 | 0.00 | 68 | 0.01 | 220 | 0.09 |  |  |
| 28 |  |  | 32 | 0.02 |  |  | 110 | 0.17 | 6 | 0.01 | 31 | 0.01 | 64 | 0.01 |  | 0.01 |  |  |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31 | 0.01 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.03 |  |  |
| 30 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.00 | 41 | 0.02 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65 |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]Table 4. Multiple regression analysis.
A. Biomass $(Y)$ vs temperature $\left(X_{1}\right)$ and mean size ( $X_{2}$ )
a) Correlation matrix

|  | $Y$ | $X_{1}$ | $X_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Y$ | 1.0000 | 0.8251 | 0.6474 |
| $X_{1}$ | 0.8251 | 1.0000 | 0.8730 |
| $X_{2}$ | 0.6474 | 0.8730 | 1.0000 |

b) Equation $Y=-706.3805+175.0006 \mathrm{X}_{1}-15.3254 \mathrm{X}_{2}$
c) $R^{2} \quad X_{1}=0.6807$

$$
x_{1}, x_{2}=0.7031
$$

d) Standardized B coefficients

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}(\text { temp. })=1.0929 \\
& x_{2}(\text { size })=-0.3068
\end{aligned}
$$

B. Size ( $Y$ ) vs temperature $\left(X_{1}\right)$ and biomass $\left(X_{2}\right)$
a) Correlation matrix

|  | $Y$ | $X_{1}$ | $X_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| $Y$ | 1.0000 | 0.8730 | 0.6474 |
| $X_{1}$ | 0.8730 | 1.0000 | 0.8251 |
| $X_{2}$ | 0.6474 | 0.8251 | 1.0000 |

b) equation $Y=-1.7613+3.4027 X_{1}-0.0046 X_{2}$
c) $R^{2} X_{1}=0.7622$
$x_{1}, x_{2}=0.7789$
d) Standardized $B$ coefficient $X_{1}($ tenp. $)=1.0616$ $x_{2}($ biomass $)=-0.2285$
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Fig. 1 Distribution of Squid catches (kg) on the Scotian Shelf in 1977, 1978.


Fig. 2: size frequency distribution for Illex illecebrosus, 1970-1978 Numbers above modal length groups are percentages of total catch at that length.


[^0]:    $251578 \quad 79316$
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