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INTRODUCTION
The following report presents an analysis of the status of the Northwest Atlantic mackerel (Scomber scombrus) stock distributed within ICNAF Subareas 3, 4, and 5 and Statistical Area 6 (SA 3-6) (Figure 1). This analysis is an update of an earlier assessment by Anderson (1977) and includes international commercial and USA recreational catch statistics, USA research vessel bottom trawl survey abundance indices, fishing mortality and stock size estimates from cohort analysis, recruitment estimates, and projected options for catch in 1979 under various levels of catch in 1978 with resulting spawning stock biomasses in 1980.

CATCH
Mackerel catches for 1960-77 by the USA, Canada, and other countries in SA 3-6 are listed in Table 1. These data are similar to those given by Anderson (1977) except for the addition of data for 1960 and corrections and revisions made to catches in 1973 and 1975-77. The USA recreational catch estimates for 1975-76 were revised slightly as a result of final tabulation and analysis of data collected from NMFS, NEFC mackerel angler surveys in the Mid-Atlantic area. For the previous assessment (Anderson 1977), the USA recreational catch for 1977 was assumed to be 5,000 tons. Based on an NEFC mackerel angler survey conducted in 1977, the 1977 recreational catch was estimated to be only 522 tons. The international commercial catch in 1977 was assumed for the previous assessment to be 87,000 tons; however, provisional statistics indicate a commercial catch of 77,598 tons (Table 2). Therefore, the present assessment is based on a total 1977 catch of 78,120 tons compared to 92,000 tons assumed previously.

USA commercial catches ranged from 938 to 4,364 tons during 1960-77 and averaged 2,200 tons per year; the 1977 catch was only 1,376 tons. Estimated USA recreational catches ranged from 522 to 33,303 tons and averaged 13,600 tons annually. Canadian catches varied from 5,459 to 22,477 tons (1977) and averaged 12,800 tons each year. The total international catch increased from 12,310 tons in 1960 to a high of 431,606 tons in 1972, decreased to 245,935 tons in 1976, and then dropped sharply to 78,120 tons in 1977.

Catch statistics for 1978 are incomplete. The USA recreational catch, based on data obtained from a NMFS mackerel angler survey in Delaware, New Jersey, and New York during April-June, was estimated to be 6,200 tons for the area from Virginia to Maine (Christensen ${ }^{1}$ ). A comparison of January-July statistics for 1977 and 1978 suggests a USA commercial catch for 1978 of only about $1 ; 300$ tons. Distant-waterfleet catches in SA 5-6 for January-September total only about 250 tons and in SA 3-4 for January-July about 240 tons. The largest component of the mackerel fishery in SA 3-6 in 1978 is Canadian. Statistics available from ICNAF indicate a catch of 3,896 tons during January-July 1978 compared to 4,097 tons for the same period in 1977. A projection based on a comparison between 1977 and 1978 catches, assuming comparable fishing patterns in the two years, implies a total Canadian catch of 21,400 tons for 1978. This may be an underestimate in view of reports of a possible expansion of the Canadian mackerel fishery in 1978. However, considering the above estimates and projections for the various components of the mackerel fishery, the total 1978 catch, at a minimum, may be expected to be about 29,400 tons.

## CATCH COMPOSITION

The international mackerel catch in numbers at age during 1962-77 (Table 3) is as given by Anderson (1977) except for changes made to the 1973 and 1975-77 data as a result of revised catch statistics. The 1977 results were further modified by the availability of numbers at

[^0]age for the Canadian catch calculated by Humt ${ }^{2}$ and Dawson ${ }^{3}$. The numbers at age calculated for the January-March commercial catch in SA 5-6, as described by Anderson (1977), were raised to include the balance of the commercial catch in SA 3-6 (less the Canadian catch). These were combined with the Canadian numbers and raised to include the USA recreational catch.

The 1974 year class at age 3 was predominant in the 1977 catch, comprising $45 \%$ by number of the total. The 1973 year class (age 4) was next in importance at $24 \%$, followed by the 1975 year class at $12 \%$. The average age of the 1977 catch was 3.8 years, compared with an average of 3.6 years for 1962-76.

MEAN WEIGHTS AT AGE

Mean weights at age adopted by ICNAF (1974) were utilized in the present assessment (Table 4). The numbers of fish caught at each age (Table 3) were multiplied by the appropriate mean weight, with the products summed by calendar year to obtain calculated catches (tons). Ratios between observed and calculated catches ranged from 0.906 to 1.302 (Table 3) and averaged 1.020. The mean weight values were also multiplied by the stock size numbers at age calculated from cohort analysis, with the products summed by calendar year to obtain stock biomass values. The annual biomass values were corrected using the appropriate observed/calculated catch ratios. Projected catch and stock biomass levels for 1978-80 were not corrected.

STOCK ABUNDANCE INDICES
The USA spring and autumn research vessel bottom trawl survey catch-per-tow indices have indicated a steady downward decine in mackerel abundance since 1968-69 (Table 5, Figure 3). Surveys conducted since the previous assessment (Anderson 1977) indicate a decrease in mean catch per tow (kg, retransformed) during the autumn survey from 0.039 in 1976 to 0.027 in 1977 , and an increase during the spring survey
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from 0.199 in 1977 to 0.447 in 1978 . The abrupt increase in the spring index in 1978 reflects the improved abundance and availability of mackerel at the time of the survey due to the absence of the international fishery. In previous years, an intensive international mackerel fishery was conducted in SA 5-6 during the late autumn and winter months. The average catch during November-March of 1971-72 to 1975-76 was over 232,000 tons.

Mean catch per tow in numbers from the spring survey also increased from 1977 ( 0.946 ) to 1978 (2.614) (Table 6).

The standardized USA commercial catch-per-day index (Table 7 , Figure 4) has generally been consistent with survey indices of abundance and with stock biomass estimates from cohort analysis (Table 9). However, it increased sharply from 0.17 tons in 1974 to 0.53 tons in 1975 and remained relatively steady in 1976 ( 0.59 tons) and 1977 ( 0.52 tons) while the survey indices and stock biomass estimates continued to decline after 1974. As suggested previously (Anderson 1977), the USA commercial index may be limited as a measure of overall stock abundance, particularly in recent years $(1970-77)$ since the catches on which the index is based have averaged only $0.2 \%$ of the total catch from the SA $3-6$ stock per year. The increase in the index in 1975-77 may, therefore, reflect only localized improvements in abundance.

Distant-water-fleet catch-per-effort data appear to be unreliable as a relative measure of mackerel stock abundance. Anderson (1976) found dissimilar patterns of catch per hour among various distant-waterfleet country-tonnage classes during 1968-74 which were generally inconsistent with changes in stock biomass determined from cohort analysis, and suggested that learning, improvements in vessel efficiency through technological changes, or both occurred which essentially invalidated the catch rates as consistent measures of mackerel abundance. Anderson and Paciorkowski (1978) analyzed Bulgarian, GDR, Polish, and USSR catch statistics and also found substantial variability in catch-per-day trends among country-tonnage classes. The GDR and Polish stern trawler classes did exhibit declining catch rates after 1973 which varied from 12\% per year (Polish B-418 vessel class) to $18 \%$ per year (GDR $>1800$ GT class). By contrast, the USA spring survey indices indicate a $29 \%$ decline per year during 1968-77 (Table 8, Figure 5), and results of
cohort analysis (Table 9) indicate an average decrease of $23 \%$ per year in total stock biomass during 1972-77. Since the catch rates from the above distant-water-fleet vessel classes do not reflect the changes in mackerel abundance before 1973, it is uncertain how closely the declines in their catch rates after 1973 depict actual decreases in biomass. Data for the Polish B-29 and B-18 vessel classes do indicate, however, that catch per day during January-February decreased in 1977 to the lowest level observed for these classes during the period for which data are available (1970-77) (Anderson and Paciorkowski 1978).

NATURAL MORTALITY
Instantaneous natural mortality $(M)$ was assumed to be 0.30 for ali ages, as adopted earlier by ICNAF (1974).

FISHING MORTALITY
Instantaneous fishing mortality ( $F$ ) in 1977 was estimated using the method proposed by Anderson et al. (1976) based on a linear relationship between mean annual $F$ values (ages 3 and older) from cohort analysis and relative exploitation indices (international catch divided by spring survey catch per tow). This technique gave a predicted F for 1977 of 0.39 in the previous assessment (Anderson 1977). As a result of changes in the numbers-at-age catch data (Table 3), particularly for 1977, requiring a new cohort analysis, the F for 1977 was re-estimated to be 0.36 (Table 8, Figure 6).

Age-specific fishing mortality (F) rates for 1962-76 (Table 9) were generated from cohort analysis (Pope 1972) assuming $F=0.36$ at ages 4 and older in 1977. Mean annual $F$ values for ages 3 and older increased from 0.04 in 1962-64 to a peak of 0.84 in 1976 (Figure 12). RECRUITMENT

The sizes of the 1961-73 year classes at age 1 , estimated from cohort analysis, ranged from 428 million (1962 and 1963 year classes) to 7,791 million fish (1967 year class) (Table 9, Figure 12), with a mean size of 2,089 million and a median of 1,616 million.

Power curve relationships, fitted by least squares, between (1) autumn survey catch per tow (numbers) at age 0 and year-class size at age 1 estimated from cohort analysis for 1963-73 (Table 10, Figure 7),
(2) spring survey catch per tow at age 1 and year-class size at age 1 for 1967-73 (Table 10, Figure 8.), and (3) spring survey catch per tow at age 2 and year-class size at age 2 for 1966-73 (Table 10, Figure 9) were used to estimate the sizes of the 1974-77 year classes.

The 1974 year class was estimated to be 2,488 and 2,059 million fish at age 1 based on the autumn (age 0) and spring (age 1) survey catch-per-tow indices, respectively, and 1,460 million at age 2 based on the spring age 2 index (Table 10, Figures 7-9). The catch $\left(C_{2}\right)$ of 353.5 million fish at age 2 in 1976 (Table 3) and a year-class size $\left(N_{2}\right)$ of 1,460 million fish implied, from the catch equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2}=N_{2} \frac{F_{2}}{Z_{2}}\left(1-e^{-Z_{2}}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

an $F_{2}$ of 0.326 . A year-class size of 2,406 million at age 1 then followed from cohort analysis. Based on three estimates of its size at age $1(2,488,2,059$, and 2,406 million fish), which averaged 2,318 million, the 1974 year-class size was considered to be 2,300 million at age 1.

Estimates obtained in the same manner for the 1975 year class at age $1(606,880$, and 854 million) averaged 780 million ; therefore, this year class at age 1 was chosen to be 800 million.

The 1976 year class was estimated to be 0 and 395 million fish at age 1 based on the autumn (age 0) and spring (age 1) survey catch-pertow indices, respectively, and 686 million at age 2 based on the spring age 2 index (Table 10, Figures 7-9). The catch $\left(C_{1}\right)$ of 2.0 million fish at age 1 in 1977 (Table 3) and a year-class size ( $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ) of 686 million fish at age 2 in 1978 implied, from the following expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{N_{2}}{C_{1}}=\frac{Z_{1} e^{-Z_{1}}}{F_{1}\left(1-e^{-Z_{1}}\right)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

an $F_{1}$ of 0.0025 . A year-class size of 929 million at age $1\left(N_{1}\right)$ was then implied from Equation 1. Based on the estimates of 395 and 929 million at age 1 (the estimate of 0 from the autumn survey was not considered), which averaged 662 million, the 1976 year-class size at age 1 was set at 700 million fish.

The 1977 year class was estimated to be 774 and 661 million fish at age 1 based on the autum (age 0 ) and spring (age 1) survey catch-per-tow indices, respectively (Table 10, Figures 7-8). Since the mean
of these two estimates was 718 million, this year class was assumed to be 700 million fish at age 1 or equal in size to the 1976 year class.

For the purpose of the catch and stock size projections in this assessment and lacking any information, the 1978 year class was arbitrarily set equal to the $1976-77$ year classes ( 700 million fish at age 1).

Based on the above estimates, the 1974 year class appears to be the strongest observed since the 1969 year class, and the 1975-77 year classes all appear to be poor in comparison to those observed during 1965-74. The results of the 1978 USA spring survey, from which estimates of the sizes of the 1976 and 1977 year classes were determined (Table 10), are supported by data from a bottom trawl survey conducted during January-March 1978 in SA 5-6 by the USSR R/V Argus. The relatively low mean catch-per-tow values from the USA survey for ages 1 and 2 mackerel in comparison to older ages (Table 6) are in close agreement with the corresponding values from the USSR survey. Both surveys indicated a predominance of 1974 year-class fish (USA- $31 \%$; USSR-38\%) followed by 1973 year-class fish (USA-18\%; USSR-22\%).

PARTIAL RECRUITMENT
Partial recruitment of an age group to the fishery in a given year is defined here as the ratio of the fishing mortality ( $F$ ) at that age to the average fishing mortality of fully-recruited ages in that year. Based on age-specific $F$ values from cohort analysis (Table 9), mackerel appear to have generally become fully recruited to the fishery at age 3. Partial recruitment coefficients calculated for ages 1 and 2 during 1962-77 are given in Table 11. These values differ very little, if any, from those calculated by Anderson (1977).

Partial recruitment coefficients for $1978-79$ were assumed to be $9 \%$ at age 1 and $39 \%$ at age 2, with $100 \%$ at ages 3 and older (Table 12), the same as assumed in the previous assessment (Anderson 1977) based on average values for selected years.
yIELD PER RECRUIT
A Beverton and Holt (1957) yield-per-recruit curve for mackerel, based on von Bertalanffy (1938) growth parameters of $W_{\infty}=735 \mathrm{gm}$,
$\mathrm{K}=0.250$, and $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{o}}=-1.900 \mathrm{yr}$ adopted by ICNAF (1973) and additiona1 parameters of $t_{r}=0 \mathrm{yr}, t_{c}=2 \mathrm{yr}, t_{\lambda}=12 \mathrm{yr}$, and $M=0.30$, is shown in Figure 10. The curve is virtually asymptotic with $F_{\max }=1.55$ and $F_{0.1}=0.40$. Yield per recruit at $F_{0.1}$ is about $88 \%$ of that at $F_{\max }$. An equilibrium-yield curve, based on the assumption of a constant level of recruitment at age 1, partial recruitment of $9 \%$ at age 1 and 39\% at age 2, 100\% recruitment at ages 3 and older, and mean weights at age in Table 4 (ICNAF 1974), is shown in Figure 11. This curve, nearly identical to the Beverton and Holt curve in Figure 10 , has an $F_{\max }$ of 1.08 and an $F_{0.1}$ of 0.40 . The equilibrium yield at $F_{0.1}$ is about $90 \%$ of that at $\mathrm{F}_{\text {max }}$.

STOCX SIZE
Age-specific stock size estimates generated from cohort analysis and annual biomass values determined by applying mean weights at age to these estimates are given in Table 9. Total stock biomass (ages 1 and older) increased from around 600,000 tons during $1962-66$ to 2.4 million tons in 1969 (Figure 12) and then dropped steadily to an estimated 517,600 tons at the beginning of 1978. Spawning stock biomass, defined as $50 \%$ of the age 2 fish and $100 \%$ of ages 3 and older according to recent work by Isakov (1976) and Moores (1976), increased from about 500,000 tons during 1962-67 to 1.8 million tons in 1970-72 and then declined to an estimated 405,900 tons at the beginning of 1978.

CATCH AND STOCK SIZE PROJECTIONS
Projections of spawning stock biomass available at the beginning of 1979 were made (Table 13) assuming various levels of catch in 1978. The minimum level of catch was assumed to be 29,400 tons which was the amount projected based on the most current statistics available (USA commercial $=1,300$ tons; USA recreational $=6,200$ tons; Canada $=21,400$ tons; and others $=500$ tons). Three different levels of catch ( 33,000 , 58,000 , and 108,000 tons) were assumed based on catches of 7,500 tons by the USA, 500 tons by distant-water fleets (others), and 25,000 , 50,000 , and 100,000 tons by Canada. Three additional levels (40,500, 65,500 , and 115,500 tons) were based on catches of 14,300 tons by the USA (USA capacity as stated in the NMFS preliminary fishery management plan for mackerel), 1,200 tons by others (the total allowable level of foreign fishing in SA 5-6 as specified in the NMFS management plan),
and $25,000,50,000$, and 100,000 tons by Canada. The estimated amount of catch which can be taken in 1978 and still maintain the same spawning stock biomass in 1979 as in 1978 ( 405,900 tons) would be 61,900 tons (USA commercial $=1,300$ tons; USA recreational $=6,200$ tons; Canada $=$ 53,900 tons; and others $=500$ tons). Fishing mortality (F) estimated to generate these catches will range from 0.088 ( 29,400 tons) to 0.392 ( 115,500 tons). Resultant spawning stock biomass levels at the beginning of 1979 will vary from an estimates 440,100 tons (8.4\% increase from 1978) to 349,700 tons (13.8\% decrease from 1978). Projected options for catch in 1979 and resultant levels of spawning stock biomass available at the beginning of 1980 were made (Table 14) assuming various levels of catch in 1978. If the 1978 catch is at the minimum projected amount of 29,400 tons, then a 1979 catch as high as 88,000 tons could be taken and keep the spawning stock biomass in 1980 at the 1978 level, or as high as 55,000 tons and maintain the spawning biomass in 1980 at the 1979 level. If the catch in 1978 is greater than 29,400 tons, then the projected catch for 1979 which would maintain or increase the spawning stock in 1980 at or above the 1978 or 1979 levels would be less than the above estimates of 88,000 and 55,000 tons as indicated in Table 14 .

If the management objective would be to set fishing mortality at the $F_{0.1}(0.40)$ level in 1979, then the catch would range between 127,000 and 101,000 tons, given a range of catch in 1978 between 29,400 and 115,500 tons, and spawning stock biomass in 1980 would decrease 9.9-23.3\% from 1978 and 16.9-11.0\% from 1979 (Table 14).

Since spawning stock size for mackerel appears to bear little, if any, relationship to recruitment (Anderson 1977), there is presently no biological basis for establishing a level of catch for 1979. However, since estimated stock size has steadily decreased to the lowest level (1978) observed during 1962-78 (Table 9, Figure 12) and recent year classes appear to be weak, there is cause for concern if the spawning stock decreases further.
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Table 1. Mackersl catches (MT) from SA 3-6 during 1960-1977.

| Year | USA |  | Canada | Other countries | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Commercial | Recreational |  |  |  |
| 1960 | 1,396 | 4,957 ${ }^{1}$ | 5,957 | - | 12,310 |
| 1961 | 1,361 | 6,828 | 5,459 | 11 | 13,659 |
| 1962 | 938 | 8,698 | 6,801 | 175 | 16,612 |
| 1963 | 1,320 | 8,348 | 6,363 | 1,299 | 17,330 |
| 1964 | 1,644 | 8,486 | 10,786 | 301 | 21,717 |
| 1965 | 1,998 | 8,583 ${ }^{1}$ | 11,185 | 2,945 | 24,711 |
| 1966 | 2,724 | 10,172 | 11,577 | 7,951 | 32,424 |
| 1967 | 3,891 | 13,527 | 11,181 | 19,047 | 47,646 |
| 1968 | 3,929 | 29,130 | 11,134 | 65,747 | 109,940 |
| 1969 | 4,364 | 33,303 | 13,257 | 114,189 | 165,113 |
| 1970 | 4,049 | 32,078 ${ }^{1}$ | 15,690 | 210,864 | 262,681 |
| 1971 | 2,406 | 30,642 | 14,735 | 355,892 | 403,675 |
| 1972 | 2,006 | 21,882 | 16,254 | 391,464 | 431,606 |
| 1973 | 1,336 | 9,944. | 21,247 | 396,759 | 429,286 |
| 1974 | 1,042 | 7,640 ${ }^{1}$ | 16,701 | 321,837 | 347,220 |
| 1975 | 1,974 | 5,968 | 13,544 | 271,719 | 293,205 |
| 1976 | 2,712 1,376 | 4, $2022^{1}$ 522 | 15,746 22,477 | 223,275 53,745 | 245,935 78,120 |

$1_{\text {From angler }}$ survey; remaining years estimated (see text).
${ }^{2}$ Provisional.

Table 2. Mackerel catches (tons) in 1977 by country from SA 3-6.

| Country | Total |
| :--- | ---: |
| Bulgaria | 3,110 |
| Canada | 22,477 |
| Cuba | 917 |
| FRG | 190 |
| GDR | 7,981 |
| Italy | 366 |
| Japan | 16 |
| Poland | 17,186 |
| Romania | 1,070 |
| Spain | 67 |
| USSR | 22,842 |
| USA (comm.) | 1,376 |
| USA (rec.) | 522 |
|  |  |

Table 3. Mackerel comercial and recreational catch at age (millions of fish) from IUNAF SA 3-6 during 1962-1977.

| Yegr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Observed } \\ \text { woight } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Calculated } \\ \text { weiglit } 1,2 \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\text { Obs }}{\text { call }}$ | Mean age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 114 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1962 | - | 23.3 | 4.0 | 22.1 | 5.5 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 63.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 1963 | - | 1.5 | 5.6 | 1.7 | 35.2 | 8.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 63.3 53.5 | 16.6 | 15.3 | 1.085 | 2.8 |
| 1964 | - | 15.9 | 8.6 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 24.0 | 5.1 | 4.8 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 53.5 70.5 | 17.3 | 18.2 | 0.951 | 3.9 |
| 1965 | - | 10.9 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 4.9 | 6.3 | 23.6 | 5.1 | 4.8 | 0.9 | 0.3 | - | 70.5 | 21.7 | 23.1 | 0.939 | 3.8 |
| 1966 | 2 | 29.0 | 13.9 | 6.4 | 3.2 | 5.7 | 9.6 | 26.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 | - | - | 64.3 45.0 | 24.7 | 25.5 | 0.969 | 4.7 |
| 1967 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 33.0 | 24.4 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 6.3 | 7.5 | 39.8 | 0.4 | - | - | 95.0 123.0 | 32.4 | 30.7 | 1.055 | 3.9 |
| 1968 | 1.4 | 175.5 | 76.3 | 73.6 | 47.3 | 17.8 | 8.2 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 7.6 | 0.1 | - | 123.0 409.8 | 47.6 | 48.0 | 0.992 | 4.8 |
| 1969 | 4.5 | 8.1 | 298.8 | 183.2 | 75.0 | 6.5 | 3.4 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 9.5 | - | 409.8 597.3 | 109.9 | 84.0 | 1.302 | 2.3 |
| 1970 | 5.1 | 206.1 | 58.1 | 556.0 | 173.5 | 29.4 | 7.5 | 5.6 | 10.5 | 10.6 | 9.5 | 3.0 | 597.3 1069.1 | 165.1 | 144.7 | 1.141 | 2.8 |
| 1971 | 2.5 | 77.3 | 304.8 | 132.0 | 579.0 | 210.8 | 35.8 | 9.2 | 3.7 | 4.4 | 8.4 | 3.0 | 1375.4 | 262.7 | 276.8 | 0.949 | 3.0 |
| 1972 | 3.6 | 22.4 | 87.0 | 260.0 | 185.3 | 396.2 | 88.6 | 24.4 | 4.3 | 8.3 | 3.4 3.8 | 7.5 | 1375.4 1089.6 | 403.7 431.6 | 429.2 | 0.941 | 3.6 |
| 1973 | 4.0 | 161.4 | 282.4 | 284.3 | 233.0 | 191.9 | 196.7 | 31.1 | 10.9 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 5.7 1.6 | 1089.6 | 431.6 | 396.2 | 1.089 | 4.2 |
| 1974 | 2.0 | 95.9 | 242.2 | 264.4 | 101.5 | 114.3 | 111.8 | 108.3 | 25.7 | 6.1 | 2.5 | 1.6 0.8 | 1405.2 1075.8 | 429.3 3472 | 435.4 | 0.986 | 3.6 |
| 1975 | 3.7 | 374,7 | 432.6 | 114.0 | 101.1 | 58.8 | 68.0 | 52.0 | 50.6 | 12.5 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 1075.8 1271.3 | 347.2 293.2 | 346.9 | 1.0013 | 3.8 |
| 1976 | - | 12.5 | 353.5 | 272.5 | 85.7 | 52.4 | 27.3 | 40.5 | 34.6 | 22.6 | 13.4 | 1.0 | 1271.3 916.1 | 293.2 245.9 | 308.1 | 0.952 | 2.8 |
| 1977 | - | 2.0 | 26.9 | $100 \cdot 7$ | 53.9 | 11.9 | 9.9 | 5.6 | 6.3 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 0.6 | 916.1 225.2 | 245.9 | 271.3 | 0.906 | 3.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 73.1 | 1.068 | 3.8 |

Table 4. Mean weights at age (kg) for Atlantic mackerel (ICNAF 1974).

| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10+ |
| . 095 | . 175 | . 266 | . 350 | . 432 | . 506 | . 564 | . 615 | . 659 | . 693 |

Table: 5. Stratified mean catch ( kg ) per tow (linear, 1 n , and retransformed) of mackerel from USA bottom trawl surveys in the spring (strata $1-25,61-76$ ) and autum (strata $1-2,5-6,9-10,13,16,19-21,23$, 25-26). See Figure 2 for location of sampling strata.

| Year | Spring ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Autumn ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Linear | Ln | Retrans formed | Linear | Ln | Retransformed |
| 1963 | - | - | - | . 016 | . 013 | . 016 |
| 1964 | - | - | - | <. 001 | $<.001$ | $<.001$ |
| 1965 | - | - | - | . 089 | . 046 | . 073 |
| 1966 | - | - | - | . 098 | . 057 | . 085 |
| 1967 | - | - | - | . 740 | . 195 | . 372 |
| 1968 | 18.228 | . 575 | 3.998 | . 299 | . 117 | . 217 |
| 1969 | . 177 | . 029 | . 065 | 2.592 | . 154 | . 459 |
| 1970 | 7.138 | . 471 | 2.039 | . 110 | . 068 | . 099 |
| 1971 | 10.213 | . 425 | 1.969 | . 082 | . 052 | . 073 |
| 1972 | 5.012 | . 354 | 1.332 | . 126 | . 070 | . 107 |
| 1973 | 21.901 | . 228 | . 748 | . 045 | . 034 | . 043 |
| 1974 | 2.103 | . 277 | . 769 | . 205 | . 046 | . 108 |
| 1975 | . 500 | . 121 | . 255 | . 018 | . 010 | . 016 |
| 1976 | . 823 | . 144 | . 317 | . 043 | . 028 | . 039 |
| 1977 | . 266 | . 118 | . 199 | . 029 | . 020 | . 027 |
| 1978 | 1.125 | . 181 | . 447 | - | - | - |

 trawl and were adjusted to equivalent No. 41 catches using a $3.25: 1$ ratio (41/36).
${ }^{2}$ Besed on catches with No. 36 trawl.

Table 6. Stratified man eatch (number) per tow of mackerel by year class from the 1973-78 USA spring bettom crawl surveys in SA 5-6, scrata 1-25, 61-76.

|  | Number by year class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1977 | 1976 | 1975 | 1974 | 1973 | 1972 | 1971 | 1970 |  | 1968 | 1967 | 1966 | 1965 | 1964 | 1963 |  |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | 1.949 | 6.683 | B. 188 | 15.957 | 5.669 | 21.081 | 6.309 | 3.319 | . 365 | . 574 | 68.094 |
| 1973 | : | - | : | - | 2.067 | . 749 | 1.347 | . 185 | . 492 | . 249 | 1.401 | . 440 | . 237 | . 107 | - | 7.274 |
| 1975 | - | - | - | 5.330 | 1.101 | . 141 | . 128 | . 030 | . 028 | . 020 | . 014 | . 001 | - | - |  | 6.793 5.843 |
| 1976 | - | - | . 447 | 4.928 | . 365 | . 070 | . 014 | . 036 | . 009 | - | . 004 | 0 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}5.843 \\ \hline 2.96\end{array}$ |
| 1977 | - | . 043 | . 254 | . 310 | . 153 | . 050 | . 017 | . 010 | . 024 | . 011 | . 018 | . 035 | .n9 |  |  | 2.9414 |
| 1978 | . 194 | . 358 | . 400 | . 801 | . 265 | . 202 | 006 | . 014 | . 014 | - | . 068 | . 035 | - | - |  | 2.614 |

Table 7. Mackerel catch per standardized USA day fished.

| Year | Catch per day (tons) |
| :--- | :---: |
|  |  |
| 1964 | 0.43 |
| 1965 | 0.49 |
| 1966 | 0.84 |
| 1967 | 1.75 |
| 1968 | 2.80 |
| 1969 | 1.92 |
| 1970 | 2.07 |
| 1971 | 1.29 |
| 1972 | 0.84 |
| 1973 | 0.53 |
| 1974 | 0.17 |
| 1975 | 0.53 |
| 1976 | 0.59 |
| 1977 | 0.52 |
|  |  |

Table 8. Estimation of $F$ in 1977 for the $S A$ 3-6 mackerel fishery.

| Year | Spring survey catch/tow |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catch }{ }^{3} \\ & \text { (tons) } \end{aligned}$ | Relative exploitation index ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \mathrm{F}^{5} \\ & \text { age } 3+ \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual ${ }^{1}$ | Calculated ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |
| 1968 | 3.998 | 4.518 | 109,940 | 24, 334 | . 155 |
| 1969 | . 065 | 3.199 | 165,113 | 51,614 | . 144 |
| 1970 | 2.039 | 2.265 | 262,681 | 115,974 | . 185 |
| 1971 | 1.969 | 1.604 | 403,675 | 251,668 | 268 |
| 1972 | 1.332 | 1.135 | 431,606 | 380,270 | . 319 |
| 1973 | . 748 | . 804 | 429, 2.86 | 533,938 | . 460 |
| 1974 | . 769 | . 569 | 347,220 | 610,228 | . 529 |
| 1975 | . 255 | . 403 | 293, 205 | 723,556 | 553, 6,7 |
| 1976 | . 317 | . 285 | 245,935 | 862,930 | $(.652) 6$ |
| 1977 | . 199 | . 202 | 78,120 | 386,733 | (.357) |

$1_{\text {Stratified mean catch }}(\mathrm{kg}$ ) per tow (retransformed from $\ln$ to linear scale).
${ }^{2}$ Values predicted from exponential curve calculated using actual values for 1968-1977 (except 1969). See Figure 5.
${ }^{3}$ Includes commercial and recreational catch.
${ }^{4}$ Catch divided by calculated spring survey catch/tow.

${ }^{6}$ Calculated from regression of relative exploitation index on mean $F$ for 1968-1975: $Y=0.118+0.000000620 \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{r}=0.991$.
${ }^{7}$ Actual value calculated from cohort analysis was 0.345 , assuming $F$ $=0.36$ in 1977.

Table 9. Fishing mortalliy ratas (F) and stock sixe by me (allifons of fish) for mackerel lu linaf SA 3-6


TMean $F$ for ages 3 and older woighted by stock size at age.
$\mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{M e a n}} F$ for ages $\mathbf{3}$ and older in that year.
${ }^{3}$ betermined frow estimeted stock slze and known catch.
${ }^{4}$ Ages 4 and older.
5 sos age 2, 100t ages 3 and oldar.
${ }^{\text {HAljusted }}$ using observedfalculated catch ratios in Toble 3.
${ }^{7}$ Estimated.

Table 10. Stratified mean catch per tow (number) of age 0,1 , and 2 mackerel from USA autumn and spring bottom trawl surveys and year-class sizes at ages 1 and 2 from cohort analysis assuming $F=0.36$ at ages 4 and older in 1977.

| Year- | Auturn survey | Spring survey | Spring survey | Cohort analysis |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| class | Age 0 | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 1 | Age 2 |
| 1963 | 0.087 | - | - | 428.6 | 303.8 |
| 1964 | 0.022 | - | - | 540.6 | 391.1 |
| 1965 | 0.134 | - | - | 1207.9 | 869.9 |
| 1966 | 0.170 | - | 21.661 | 3178.8 | 2354.1 |
| 1967 | 15.709 | 197.9931 | $1.19{ }^{1}$ | 7790.7 | 5620.4 |
| 1968 | 0.215 | $0.299^{1}$ | 12.435 | 3084.6 | 2278.1 |
| 1969 | $38.504^{1}$ | 6.208 | 13.390 | 3208.6 | 2199.6 |
| 1970 | 0.027 | 2.954 | 5.545 | 1615.7 | 1130.4 |
| 1971 | 0.517 | 12.093 | 6.683 . | 1688.0 | 1231.3 |
| 1972 | 0.119 | 1.949 | 0.749 | 1203.5 | 752.7 |
| 1973 | 0. 339 | 2.067 | 1.101 | 1869.8 | 1302.6 |
| 1974 | 0.648 | 5.330 | 4.928 | $(2487.8){ }_{2}^{2}(2058.9)^{3}$ | $(1460.3)^{4}$ |
| 1975 | 0.012 | 0.447 | 0.254 | $(605.9)^{2}(880.5)^{3}$ | $(621.6)^{4}$ |
| 1976 | 0.000 | 0.043 | 0.358 | $(0)^{2}{ }^{(394.7)^{3}}$ | $(686.2)^{4}$ |
| 1977 | 0.024 | 0.194 | - | $(774.4)^{2}(661.1)^{3}$ | - |

${ }^{1}$ Values not used in calculating curves.
${ }^{2}$ Calculated from power curve relationship between survey catch per tow at age 0 and year-class size at age 1 for $1963-1973$ year-classes: $\ln Y=\ln 2900.920+0.354 \ln X, r=0.764$.
${ }^{3}$ Calculated from power curve relationship between survey catch per tow at age 1 and year-class size at age 1 for $1967-1973$ year-classes:
$\ln Y=\ln 1160.313+0.343 \ln X, r=0.888$.
${ }^{4}$ Calculated from power curve relationship between survey catch per tow at age 2 and year-class size at age 2 for $1966-1973$ year-classes: $\ln Y=\ln 922.435+0.288 \ln X, r=0.850$.

Table 11. Percentage of fishing mortality (F) at ages 1 and 2 compared to mean $F$ at ages 3 and older (partial recruitment)

| Year | Age 1 | Age 2. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1962 | 78.9 | 15.8 |
| 1963 | 9.5 | 23.8 |
| 1964 | 100.0 | 82.1 |
| 1965 | 46.2 | 32.7 |
| 1966 | 46.7 | 70.0 |
| 1967 | 0.9 | 40.5 |
| 1968 | 17.4 | 24.5 |
| 1969 | 2.1 | 44.4 |
| 1970 | 42.2 | 16.2 |
| 1971 | 21.3 | 65.7 |
| 1972 | 5.0 | 29.5 |
| 1973 | 36.7 | 67.4 |
| 1974 | 11.5 | 88.5 |
| 1975 | 37.6 | 88.1 |
| 1976 | 2.1 | 41.2 |
| 1977 | 0.8 | 15.3 |
|  |  |  |

Table 12. Sumary of parameters used in projection of catch and stock size options for mackerel in SA 3-6.

| Parameter | Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| Fishing mortality (F) in 1977 (ages 4 and older) | 0.36 |
| Recruitment at age 1: 1974 year class | $2300 \times 10_{6}^{6}$ fish |
| 1975 year class | $800 \times 10_{6}^{6}$ fish |
| 1976 year class | $700 \times 10^{6}$ fish |
| 1977 year class | $700 \times 10^{6}$ fish |
| 1978 year class | $700 \times 10^{6}$ fish |
| Partial recruitment in 1978-79: Age 1 | 9\% |
| Age 2 | 39\% |
| Ages 3 and older | 100\% |
| Total stock biomass at beginning of 1978 | 517,600 tons |
| Spawning stock biomass at beginning of 1978 | 405,900 tons |

Table 13. Various levels of catch of mackerel in SA 3-6 in 1978 and associated fishing mortality (F) with resulting spawning stock biomass in 1979 and its percentage change from 1978. Catch and stock are expressed as thousands of tons.
$\left.\begin{array}{ccccc}\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Stock in } \\ 1978\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Catch } \\ 1 \\ 1978\end{array} & F^{2} \text { in } \\ 1978\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Stock in } \\ 1979\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { \% change } \\ \text { in stock } \\ \text { from 1978 }\end{array}\right]$
$1_{\text {See text or Table } 14 \text { for explanation of catch levels. }}^{{ }^{2} \text { Fishing mortality at ages } 3 \text { and older. }}$.

Table 14. Profected mackerel catch in SA $3-6$ In 1979 with fishing mortality ranging frow 0.05 to 0.50 assumble oight options of catch in 1978, and the rasuiting spawning stock blomass in 1980 and its percentage change from 1978 and 1979. Catch and stock are expressed as thousands of tons.


TUSA compercial $=1.3$; USA recreational $=6.2$; Canads $=21.4$; others $=0.5$.
${ }^{2}$ USA commercial $=1.3$; USA recreational $=6.2$; Canada $=25.0 ;$ uthers $=0.3$.
${ }^{3}$ USA comercial and recreational $=14.3$; Canada $=25.0$; others $=1.2$,
${ }^{4}$ USA commerclal $=1.3$; USA recreational $=6.2 ;$ Camada $=50.0$; others $=0.5$.
${ }^{5}$ USA comercial $=1.3$; USA recreational $=6.2$; Calnda $=53.9$; others $=0.5$.
${ }^{6}$ USA commercial and recreational $=14.3$; Canada $=50.0$; others $=1.2$.
${ }^{7}$ USA conmercinl $=2.3$; USA recrentiona! $=6.2 ;$ Canade 100.0; others $=0.5$.
${ }^{8}$ USA commercial and recreational $=14.3 ;$ Canada $=100.0 ;$ others $=1.2$.
${ }^{9}$ F $_{0.1}$.


Figure 1. Northwest Atlantic from North Carolina to Labrador
showing ICNAF SA $3-6$. showing ICNAF SA 3-6.


Figure 2. USA bottom trawl survey sampling strata in the Northwest Atlantic between Cape Hatteras and Nova Scotia.


Figure 3. Stratified mean catch per tow (kg-retransformed) of mackerel from USA spring (1968-1977) and autum (1963-1977) bottom trawl surveys in ICNAF SA 5-6.


Figure 4. Catch per standardized day fished for the USA conmercial mackerel fishery in ICNAF SA 5-6.


Figure 5. Exponential curve fitted to the 1968-1977 (1969 value omitted from calculation) catch-per-tow values for mackerel (retransformed) from the USA spring bottom trawl survey.


Figure 6. Relationship between fishing mortality from cohort analysis assuming $F=0.36$ at ages 4 and older in 1977 and a relative exploitation index derived from USA spring survey catch per tow and total catch of mackerel.


Figure 7. Power curve relationship between mackerel year-class size at age 1 from cohort analysis assuming $F=0.36$ at ages 4 and older in 1977 and autumin survey catch per tow at age 0 ( 1969 value omitted from calculation).


Figure 8. Power curve relationship between mackerel year-class size at age 1 from cohort analysis assuming $\mathrm{F}=0.36$ at ages 4 and older in 1977 and spring survey catch per tow at age 1 ( 1968 value omitted from calculation).


Figure 9. Power curve relationship between mackerel year-class size at age 2 from cohort analysis assuming $F=0.36$ at ages 4 and older in 1977 and spring survey catch per tow at age 2 (1967 value omitted from calculation).


Figure 10. Beverton and Holt (1957) yield-per-recruit curve for mackerel (see text for parameters), with yield per recruit expressed as a percentage of the maximum.


Figure 11. Curve of equilibrium yield for nackerel (see text for parameters), with yield expressed as a percentage of the maximn.


Figure 12. Mackerel stock biomass (ages 1 and older and spawners) during 1962-1978 from cohort analysis assuming $F=0.36$ at ages 4 and older in 1977, abundance at age 1 of the 1961-1977 year classes (open circles represent estimated year-class sizes; others determined from cohort analysis), total international catch (commercial and recreational)
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